

Algebra I&II – Summary

Source Code at
<https://github.com/kimhanm/kimhanm.github.io>

Han-Miru Kim

June 17, 2021

1 Rings

Definition

An element $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ is called a **zero divisor** (Nullteiler), if there exists a $b \in R \setminus \{0\}$ with $ab = 0$. A ring $R \neq \{0\}$ is called an **integral domain** (Integritätsbereich), if it has no zero divisors. This is equivalent to asking that the following holds

$$ab = ac \wedge a \neq 0 \implies b = c$$

Proposition

- Every subring of an integral domain is again an integral domain.
- Every field is an integral domain.
- $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is an integral domain $\iff n$ is prime.

Definition

In a commutative ring R , $a, b \in R$ we say that a **divides** b , (write $a|b$) if there exists a $c \in R$ with $b = ac$. Define the **group of units** (Einheitengruppe)

$$R^\times := \{a \mid a \text{ divides } 1\}$$

If $b = ac$ for some unit $c \in R^\times$, write $b \sim a$ and we say that a and b are **associated**.

Proposition

- $a \sim b \implies a|b$ and $b|a$

- If R is an integral domain, then $a \sim b \iff a|b$ and $b|a$.

Definition

Let R be an integral domain. It's **quotient field** (Quotientenkörper) is the field

$$\text{Quot}(R) := R \times (R \setminus \{0\}) / \sim, \quad (a, b) \sim (p, q) \iff aq = bp$$

and write $\frac{a}{b} = [(a, b)]_\sim$. There is a canonical inclusion

$$\iota : R \hookrightarrow \text{Quot}(R), \quad x \mapsto \frac{x}{1}$$

- $\text{Quot}(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Q}$
- Because $i^2, \sqrt{2}^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\text{Quot}(\mathbb{Z}[i]) = \text{Quot}(\mathbb{Z})[i]$, $\text{Quot}(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]) = \text{Quot}(\mathbb{Z})[\sqrt{2}]$

Definition

For a commutative ring R , the **polynomial ring** (with variable X) is the collection of finite power series

$$R[X] := \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_k X^k \mid a_k \in R, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

with coefficient-wise addition and Cauchy-multiplication

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k X^k \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^m b_k X^k \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+m} c_k X^k, \quad c_k = \sum_{i+j=k} a_i b_j$$

To construct this ring, we start with the set of all sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in R^{\mathbb{N}}$ and identify $(0, 1, 0, \dots) =: X$.

Every polynomial $f \in R[X]$ induces a function $f : R \rightarrow R, x \mapsto f(x)$, but the mapping

$$R[X] \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{Set}}(R), f \mapsto (x \mapsto f(x))$$

is not injective. (i.e. $X^2 + X \in \mathbb{F}_2[X]$)

The ring of formal power series is denoted by $R[[X]]$

Definition

For $f \in R[X]$ define its **degree**

$$\deg(f) = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_n = 0\}$$

in particular $\deg(0) = -\infty$.

Proposition

If R is an integral domain, then so is $R[X]$ and

- $\deg(fg) = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$
- $\deg(f + g) \leq \max\{\deg(f), \deg(g)\}$
- $(R[X])^\times = R^\times$. (In general, only $R^\times \subseteq R[X]^\times$, For example $2X + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}[X]$ is invertible.)

Definition

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the polynomial ring in n -variables inductively as

$$R[X_1, \dots, X_n] = \begin{cases} R & n = 0 \\ R[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}][X_n] & n > 0 \end{cases}$$

This ring has multiple degree functions, $\deg_{X_1}, \dots, \deg_{X_n}$ or \deg_{tot} .

For a field K , define the field of **rational functions** in n -variables as

$$\begin{aligned} K(X_1, \dots, X_n) &:= \text{Quot}(K[X_1, \dots, X_n]) \\ &= \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \mid f, g \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n], g \neq 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

For the canonical inclusion $\iota : R \rightarrow R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, n -elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$, any ringhomomorphism $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ induces a unique ringhomomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : R[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow S$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S \\ & \searrow \iota & \uparrow \exists! \bar{\varphi} \\ & R[X_1, \dots, X_n] & \end{array}$$

and $\bar{\varphi}(X_i) = x_i$.

This ringhomomorphism is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\varphi} \left(\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n=0}^m a_{k_1, \dots, k_n} X_1^{k_1} \dots X_n^{k_n} \right) \\ = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n=0}^m \varphi(a_{k_1, \dots, k_n}) x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n} \in S \end{aligned}$$

2 Ideals

Definition

Let R be a commutative ring. A subset $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ is called an **ideal** if

- (a) $\mathfrak{a} \neq 0$
- (b) $\forall a, b \in \mathfrak{a} : a + b \in \mathfrak{a}$
- (c) $\forall a \in \mathfrak{a}, r \in R : ra \in \mathfrak{a}$

Trivially, R itself and $\{0\}$ are ideals. The kernel of a ring homomorphism is an ideal.

Definition

For a commutative ring R and elements a_1, \dots, a_n , define the **ideal generated by** a_1, \dots, a_n as

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n a_i x_i \mid x_i \in R \right\}$$

An ideal \mathfrak{a} is called a **principal ideal** (Hauptideal), if it can be generated by a single element $\mathfrak{a} = (a)$. If every ideal in R is a principal ideal, then R is called a **principal ideal domain** (PID).

A non-principal ideal is $(X, Y) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$

Definition

For ideals $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ and an element $r \in R$ define

- (a) $r \cdot \mathfrak{a} := \{ra \mid a \in \mathfrak{a}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$
- (b) $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b} := \{a + b \mid a \in \mathfrak{a}, b \in \mathfrak{b}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$
- (c) $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} := \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k \mid a_k \in \mathfrak{a}, b_k \in \mathfrak{b} \right\} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$.

Theorem

The relation $a \sim b \iff a - b \in \mathfrak{a}$ defines an equivalence relation on R and we write $a \equiv b \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}$. The quotient R/\mathfrak{a} is called the **factor ring** (Fak-

torring) “ R modulo \mathfrak{a} ” with induced addition and multiplication. It allows a surjective ring homomorphism called the canonical projection

$$\rho : R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{a}, \quad x \mapsto x + \mathfrak{a}$$

Lemma

Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \subseteq R$ be ideals in a commutative ring. Then

- (a) $I = R \iff 1 \in I \iff I \cap R^\times \neq \emptyset$
- (b) $(a) \subseteq (b) \iff b|a$

Proposition

Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \text{Ker } \varphi$ an ideal.

This induces a ring homomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : R/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow S$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S \\ & \searrow \rho \quad \nearrow \bar{\varphi} & \\ & R/\mathfrak{a} & \end{array}$$

and if $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Ker } \varphi$, $\bar{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism.

For example, the map

$$\varphi : \mathbb{R}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, X \mapsto i$$

has kernel $(X^2 + 1)$ and gives us the isomorphism $\mathbb{R}/(X^2 + 1) \cong \mathbb{C}$.

Definition

An ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ is called a **prime ideal**, if $\mathfrak{p} \neq R$ and for all $a, b \in R$ we have

$$ab \in \mathfrak{p} \implies a \in \mathfrak{p} \text{ or } b \in \mathfrak{p}$$

. An ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$ is a **maximal ideal**, if $\mathfrak{m} \neq R$ and any other ideal containing \mathfrak{m} is either \mathfrak{m} or R . Equivalently, we have

- (a) \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal if and only if R/\mathfrak{p} is an integral domain.
- (b) \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal if and only if R/\mathfrak{m} is a field.

- (a) $\mathbb{Z}/(0)$ is a prime ideal, but not a maximal ideal.

- (b) For $R = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^2)$ we have

$$R/(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^2, X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

so $(X) \subseteq R$ is a prime ideal.

Proposition

Let $\mathfrak{a}_0 \subseteq R$ be an ideal. There exists a correspondence between ideals that contain \mathfrak{a}_0 and ideals in R/\mathfrak{a}_0 given by

$$\mathfrak{a}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \subseteq R \iff \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{a}_0 \subseteq R/\mathfrak{a}_0$$

Theorem Krull's theorem

Assuming Zorn's lemma, for every ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subsetneq R$, there exists a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$. In particular, every non-trivial ring has a maximal ideal.

Proposition Meta-Proposition

Every rule about matrices over a field k we know from LinAlg that only uses $+, -, \cdot, 0, 1$ also apply for matrices over a commutative ring R .

The proof of this is non-trivial, we will make use of the following lemma.

Lemma

If a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ vanishes on \mathbb{R}^n , then $f = 0$.

Proof. Let $f = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n} a_{k_1, \dots, k_n} X_1^{k_1} \dots X_n^{k_n}$. If the polynomial vanishes everywhere, then so do its derivatives.

If the polynomial vanishes everywhere, then so do its derivatives. So to eliminate the coefficient a_{k_1, \dots, k_n} , all we have to do is to take the derivative with the same multi-index and evaluate at $X = 0$:

$$\partial_{k_1} \dots \partial_{k_n} f(0) = k_1! \dots k_n! a_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$$

□

The meta-proposition follows in that every “calculation rule” (for example $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$ etc.) can be written as a collection of polynomial equations with integer coefficients!

Definition

A ring R is a **noetherian ring**, if for every sequence of ideals $\mathfrak{a}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_1 \subseteq \dots$ there exists a n_0 such that

$$n \geq n_0 \implies \mathfrak{a}_n = \mathfrak{a}_{n_0}.$$

Theorem

Let R be a PID.

- (a) R is noetherian
- (b) For $a \in R \setminus (R^\times \setminus \{0\})$, there exists a prime p with $p|a$.

Definition

In a UFD R , a collection $P \subseteq R$ of prime elements is called a **representation set**, if for every prime $q \in R$ there exists a unique $p \in P$ with $q \sim p$.

- Using the axiom of choice, every UFD has a representation set.
- In $R = K[X]$, the following is a representation set
 $P = \{f \in K[X] \mid f \text{ irreducible with leading coefficient } 1\}$

2.1 Factorisation

For this section, let R be an integral domain.

Definition

An element $p \in R \setminus \{0\}$ is **irreducible**, if $p \notin R^\times$ and for all $a, b \in R$

$$p = ab \implies a \in R^\times \text{ or } b \in R^\times$$

We say $p \in R \setminus \{0\}$ is **prime**, if (p) is a prime ideal. Equivalently, if $p \notin R^\times$ and for all $a, b \in R$

$$p|ab \implies p|a \text{ or } p|b$$

- Every prime $p \in R$ is also irreducible.
- $2 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is not irreducible because $2 = (1+i)(1-i)$.
- $2 \in \mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}]$ is irreducible, but not prime because $2|6$ but $6 = (1+i\sqrt{5})(1-i\sqrt{5})$.

Definition

An integral domain R is called a **unique factorisation domain** (UFD) (Faktorieller Ring), if every element $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ can be written as a product of a unit and finitely many prime elements of R .

$$a = up_1 \dots p_n \quad \text{for } u \in R^\times, p_1, \dots, p_n \text{ prime}$$

- Every PID is a UFD
- The factorisation is unique up association and permutation of prime elements.
- In a UFD, p prime $\iff p$ irreducible.
- $\mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{5}]$ is an integral domain, but not a UFD.

Theorem

Let R be a UFD and $P \subseteq R$ a representation set. Then every element $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ has a unique prime factorisation of the form

$$a = u \prod_{p \in P} p^{\mu_p}, \quad u \in R^\times$$

where μ_p is non-zero for only finitely many $p \in P$. If $a = u \prod_{p \in P} p^{\mu_p}$ and $b = v \prod_{p \in P} p^{\nu_p}$, then

$$a|b \iff \mu_p \leq \nu_p \quad \forall p \in P$$

Definition

Let R be a UFD and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$.

- $b \in R$ is called a **common divisor** of a_1, \dots, a_n , if $b|a_i$.
- b is called a **greatest common divisor** (gcd, ggT) of a_1, \dots, a_n , if for all other common divisors b' we have $b'|b$.
- We say that a_1, \dots, a_n are **coprime**, if the gcd is associated to 1.
- Two ideals $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ are **coprime**, if $I + J = R$, i.e. $\exists a \in \mathfrak{a}, b \in \mathfrak{b}$ with $a + b = 1$.

Proposition

Let R be a UFD with representation set P . If $a = u \prod_{p \in P} p^{\mu_p}$ and $b = v \prod_{p \in P} p^{\nu_p}$, then a gcd exists and one of them has the form

$$\gcd(a, b) = \prod_{p \in P} p^{\min(\mu_p, \nu_p)}$$

The gcd is unique up to a unit.

Proposition

Let R be a UFD and $K = \text{Quot}(R)$ its quotient field.
Then every $x \in K$ has a representation $x = \frac{a}{b}$ with a, b coprime. of the form

$$x = u \prod_{p \in P} p^{\mu_p}$$

Proposition

In a PID R with elements a_1, \dots, a_n we have

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n))$$

in particular, there exists a linear combination

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_i \sim \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

Theorem Chinese Remainder Theorem

Let $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n$ be pairwise coprime ideals. Then the ringhomomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : R &\rightarrow R/\mathfrak{a}_1 \times \dots \times R/\mathfrak{a}_n \\ x &\mapsto (x + \mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, x + \mathfrak{a}_n) \end{aligned}$$

is surjective and $\text{Ker } \varphi = \mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{a}_n$.

Proposition Simplified Chinese Remainder Theorem

Let R be a PID, $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ pairwise coprime. Then the map

$$\begin{aligned} R/(a_1 \dots a_n) &\rightarrow R/(a_1) \times \dots \times R/(a_n) \\ x + (a_1 \dots a_n) &\mapsto (x + (a_1), \dots, x + (a_n)) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism.

Definition

An integral domain R is called a **euclidean ring**, if there exists a function $N : R \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

- (a) **Degree inequality:** $N(f) \leq N(fg)$ for all $f, g \in R \setminus \{0\}$.
- (b) **Division with rest:** For $f, g \in R$ with $g \neq 0$ there exist $q, r \in R$ such that $f = qg + r$ with

either $r = 0$ or $N(r) < N(g)$. We call q the **quotient** and r the **rest** of the division.

- Any field is a euclidean ring.
- For a field K , $K[X]$ with $N = \deg$ is a euclidean ring.
- $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ with $N(a + ib) = a^2 + b^2$ is a euclidean ring.
- $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ with $N(a + \sqrt{2}b) = |a^2 - 2b^2|$ (same with $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$)
- $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{i+\sqrt{19}}{2}]$ is a PID but not a euclidean ring.

Theorem Euclidean Algorithm

Let $a_0, a_1 \in R$.

- If $a_n = 0$, we are finished.
- After division with rest, obtain the next element with $a_n = q_n a_{n-1} + a_{n+1}$.
- Repeat. If $a_m = 0$ for the first time, then $\gcd(a_0, a_1) = a_{m-1}$.

2.2 Polynomial Rings II

Let R be a factorial ring and $K = \text{Quot}(R)$ its quotient field. Then

$$f, g \in K, f \sim_R g \iff \frac{f}{g} \in R^\times$$

Definition

Let R be a UFD and $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i \in R[X] \setminus \{0\}$. The **content** (Inhalt) of f is defined as

$$I(f) := \gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

we say f is **primitive**, if $I(f) \in R^\times$.

Lemma

For $f \in K[X] \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a $d \in K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $f = df^*$ for $f^* \in R[X]$ primitive. We call d the **content** of f .

Furthermore

- (a) $I(af) \sim aI(f)$
- (b) $I(fg) \sim I(f)I(g)$

(c) $I(f) \in R \iff f \in R[X]$.

Theorem Gauss

Let R be a UFD. Then $R[X]$ is a UFD and $R[X]$ has exactly two types of prime elements.

- $f = p \in R$ prime
- $f \in R[X]$ primitive such that f is irreducible as an element of $K[X]$.
- Let $f \in R[X]$ primitive. Then f is irreducible in $R[X]$ if and only if it is irreducible in $K[X]$.

Let R be a UFD and p prime. The inclusion $\iota : R \rightarrow R/(p), a \mapsto \bar{a} = a + (p)$ induces a ringhomomorphism

$$R[X] \rightarrow R/(p)[X], \quad f = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k X^k \mapsto \bar{f} = \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{a}_k X^k$$

Proposition

If $f \in R[X] \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies $\deg(f) = \deg(\bar{f})$ and $\bar{f} \in R/(p)[X]$ is irreducible, then f is irreducible.

Theorem Eisenstein Criterion

Let R be a UFD and $p \in R$ prime, $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X^i$ primitive such that

$$p \nmid a_n, p \mid a_i, 0 \leq i < n, p^2 \nmid a_0$$

then f is irreducible.

Proof. Let $f = gh$ be a non-trivial decomposition. Since f is primitive and $I(gh) \sim I(g)I(h)$ both g and h must be primitive.

Take the equation $f = gh$ modulo p . Because all non-leading coefficients of f vanish, we are left with

$$\bar{f} = \bar{g}\bar{h} = a_n X^n$$

so \bar{g}, \bar{h} must be of the form

$$\bar{g} = b_k X^k, \quad \bar{h} = c_l X^l$$

with $k, l > 0$. Because the constant terms of g, h vanished, it means that p must divide both b_0, c_0 . But $a_0 = b_0 c_0$, which contradicts $p^2 \nmid a_0$. \square

A common trick is to take a polynomial $f(X)$ and use the substitution $Y = X + 1$ and look at $f(Y)$.

This trick is commonly used with the Eisenstein criterion to show irreducibility.

3 Modules

Modules are to ring what vector spaces are to fields.

Definition

For a ring R , an **R -module** M is an abelian group with scalar multiplication

$$R \times M \rightarrow M, \quad (a, m) \mapsto a \cdot m$$

For an index set I , we define the **free R -module**

$$R^{(I)} := \{x : I \rightarrow R \mid x_i = 0 \text{ for almost all } i\}$$

Any free module is isomorphic to $R^{(I)}$ for some set I .

For R -modules M, N , **module homomorphism** over R is a group homomorphism $\Phi : M \rightarrow N$ that satisfies

$$\Phi(am) = a\Phi(m) \quad \forall a \in R, m \in M$$

Definition

Let M be an R -module. An element $m \in M$ is called a **torsion element** of M , if there exists an $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ with $a \cdot m = 0$.

Write M_{tor} for the set of torsion elements of M .

We say that M is a **torsion-module**, if $M_{\text{tor}} = M$ and we say that M is **torsion-free**, if $M_{\text{tor}} = \{0\}$.

- Every ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ is an R -module.
- If R is a PID, then \mathfrak{a} is a free R -module.
- An abelian group is a \mathbb{Z} -module with $n \cdot g = g^n$. Taking $a = \text{ord}(g)$, we see that G is a torsion-module.
- $M = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is a torsion module over \mathbb{Z} .
- If R is an integral domain and M is a free R -module, then M is torsion-free.

Theorem Classification theorem

Let R be a PID and M a finitely generated R -module.

Then there exist $d_1 \mid d_2 \mid \dots \mid d_n \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$M \cong R^r \times R/(d_1) \times \dots \times R/(d_n)$$

alternatively, we can write

$$M \cong R^r \times \prod_{j=1}^n M_{\text{tors}}^{(p_i)}$$

where p_1, \dots, p_n are non-conjugate primes in R and

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\text{tors}}^{(p_i)} &:= \{m \in M_{\text{tors}} \mid \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } p_i^k m = 0\} \\ &\cong R / (p_j^{n_j,1} \times \dots \times R / (p_j^{n_j,k})) \end{aligned}$$

is the subgroup

$$[G, G] := \langle \{[g, h] \mid g, h \in G\} \rangle$$

4 Groups

Notation

- $G \cong H$: G is isomorphic to H
- $H < G$: H is a subgroup of G .

Examples of groups

- $\text{GL}(n, K), \text{SL}(n, K), \text{O}(n), \text{SO}(n), \text{U}(n), \text{SU}(n), \text{SP}(2n)$
- S_n , Dihedral group D_{2n} of order $2n$
- $\text{Aut}(k), \text{Aut}(G), \text{Bij}(X)$.
- Vector spaces, $R^\times, \pi_1(X, x_0)$.

Example Dihedral group

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the dihedral group D_{2n} (in physics D_n) is the symmetry group of a regular n -gon embedded in \mathbb{R}^2 and has order $2n$.

If R is rotation with angle $\frac{2\pi}{n}$ and T is mirroring around the x -axis, the dihedral group can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} D_{2n} &= \{1, R, R^2, \dots, R^{n-1}, T, RT, R^2T, \dots, R^{n-1}T\} \\ &= \langle R, T \mid T^2 = 1, R^n = 1, RT = R^{-1} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Definition

Let G be a group and $A \subseteq G$ a subset. The **subgroup generated by A** is the smallest subgroup that contains A :

$$\langle A \rangle := \bigcap_{X \subseteq H < G} H$$

It can alternatively be written as the set

$$\langle A \rangle = \{a_1^{k_1} \dots a_n^{k_n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, a_1, \dots, a_n \in A, k_i = \pm 1\}$$

Definition

The **commutator** of two elements $g, h \in G$ is $[g, h] := ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$. The **commutator group** of G

Definition

For every $g \in G$, the mapping

$$\gamma_g : G \rightarrow G, \quad x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$$

is an automorphism, called a **inner automorphism**.

This induces a mapping

$$\Phi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G), \quad g \mapsto \gamma_g$$

The kernel of Φ is called the **center**

$$Z(G) = \{g \in G \mid \forall x \in G : [x, g] = 1\}$$

We say that two elements $x, y \in G$ are **conjugate**, if there exists a $g \in G$ such that $\gamma_g(x) = gxg^{-1} = y$.

- The center is obviously commutative, and the commutator group is not.
- Two matrices are conjugate, if and only if they have the same normal form.
- If the group is abelian, then every inner automorphism is trivially the identity id_G .

Definition

Let $X, Y \subseteq G$ be subsets and $g \in G$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} XY &= \{xy \mid x \in X, y \in Y\} \\ gX &= \{gx \mid x \in X\} \\ Xg &= \{xg \mid x \in X\} \\ X_g &= \{\gamma_g(x) \mid x \in X\} \\ g_X &= \{\gamma_x(g) \mid x \in X\} \\ X^{-1} &= \{x^{-1} \mid x \in X\} \end{aligned}$$

For a subgroup $H < G$, we define the set of **left-subclasses** (Linksnebenklassen)

$$G/H := \{gH \mid g \in G\}$$

and analogously the right-subclasses $H \backslash G$.

The **index** of the subgroup is

$$[G : H] := |G/H| = |H \backslash G|$$

Proposition

Let $g, g' \in G$, $H < G$. Then

$$gH = g'H \iff gH \cap g'H \neq \emptyset \iff g \in g'H$$

Theorem Lagrange

If $|G| < \infty$, then $|G| = |G/H| \cdot |H|$.

Proof sketch. Show that the map

$$\Phi : G/H \times H \rightarrow G, \quad (xH, h) \mapsto xh$$

is bijective. \square

As a corollary, the index of every subgroup is a divisor of the order of the group.

4.1 Normal divisors

The set of left-subclasses is not always a group. For example in $G = D_{2,3}$, we have $R\langle T \rangle R\langle T \rangle \neq R^2\langle T \rangle$.

Definition

A subgroup $H < G$ is called a **normal divisor** (write $H \triangleleft G$) if

$$\pi : G \rightarrow G/H, \quad g \mapsto gH$$

is a group homomorphism.

We call G simple, if only $\{e\}$ and G itself are the only normal divisors of G .

- Every subgroup of an abelian group is normal.
- Every subgroup of index 2 is normal.

Theorem

Let $N < G$ be a subgroup. Then the following are equivalent

- $N \triangleleft G$
- $xN = Nx$ for all $x \in G$
- There exists a group homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow S$ with $\text{Ker } \varphi = N$
- $(xH)(yH) = (xy)H$ for all $x, y \in G$

Proposition Universal property of Normal divisors

Let $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ and $N \triangleleft G$ with $N \subseteq \text{Ker } \varphi$. Then there exists a unique group homomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : G/N \rightarrow H$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & H \\ \pi \searrow & \exists! \bar{\varphi} \nearrow & \\ & G/N & \end{array}$$

Theorem First isomorphism Theorem

Let $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ be a group homomorphism. Then φ induces an isomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : G/\text{Ker } \varphi \rightarrow \text{Im } \varphi$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & H \\ \pi \downarrow & & \uparrow \iota \\ G/\text{Ker } \varphi & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & \text{Im } \varphi < H \end{array}$$

where π is the canonical projection and ι is the inclusion mapping.

Proposition Second Isomorphism Theorem

Let $N \triangleleft G$ and $H < N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} N \cap H &\triangleleft H, \quad N \triangleleft HN \\ H/(N \cap H) &\cong HN/N = NH/N < G \end{aligned}$$

And in particular, $N \triangleleft G$, $N < H < G \implies N \triangleleft H$.

Proposition Third Isomorphism Theorem

Let $N \triangleleft G$. Then there exists a correspondence between subgroups that contain N and subgroups of H/N .

For such subgroups $N < H < G$

$$H/N \triangleleft G/N \iff H \triangleleft G$$

and we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} G/N / H/N &\cong G/H \\ (gN)(H/N) &\longleftrightarrow gH \end{aligned}$$

This corollary mirrors the one for ideals in a ring.

Proposition

Let $N \triangleleft G$. For any other group H , there exists a

natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}(G/N, H) \cong \{\varphi \in \text{Hom}(G, H) \mid \varphi|_N = e_H\}$$

- The action is called **transitive**, if for every pair $x, y \in X$ there exists a $g \in G$ such that $g \cdot x = y$ and it's called **sharply transitive**, if such a g is uniquely determined.

4.2 Group actions

Definition

Let G be a group and X a set. A **group action** (or left action) of G on X is a map

$$\cdot : G \times X \rightarrow X, \quad (g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x$$

that is compatible with the group structure on G , i.e. such that for all $x \in X, g, g' \in G$

$$e \cdot x = x, \quad g \cdot (g' \cdot x) = (gg') \cdot x$$

We call X a G -set.

Equivalently, a group action corresponds to a group homomorphism

$$\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Bij}(X), \quad g \mapsto (\rho(g) : x \mapsto g \cdot_\rho x)$$

, where $\text{Bij}(X)$ is the group of bijective maps $X \rightarrow X$ called the **permutation group** of X .

Analogously, we can define a right action $\tilde{\cdot} : X \times G \rightarrow X$ which corresponds to a left action

$$x \tilde{\cdot} g = g^{-1} \cdot x$$

Definition

Let X, Y be G -sets.

- A **G -morphism** is a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$f(g \cdot x) = g \cdot f(x) \quad \forall g \in G, x \in X$$

- A subset $A \subseteq X$ is called an **invariant** of the action, if $g \cdot A = A$ for all $g \in G$. Likewise, an element $x \in X$ is called a **fixpoint**, if $g \cdot x = x \forall g \in G$.

- For $x \in X$, denote its **orbit** by

$$Gx = \mathcal{O}_G(x) := \{g \cdot x \mid g \in G\} \subseteq X$$

and its **stabilizer** by

$$\text{Stab}_G(x) := \{g \in G \mid gx = x\} \subseteq G$$

Write $G \backslash X$ for the set of orbits.

- If the group action $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Bij}(X)$ is injective, the group action is called **faithful**.

Theorem Orbit Stabilizer Theorem

Let X be a G -set, $x_0 \in X$. Then $\text{Stab}_G(x_0) \triangleleft G$ and $\mathcal{O}_G(x_0)$ are invariant under the action and the map

$$G/\text{Stab}_G(x_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G(x_0), \quad g\text{Stab}_G(x_0) \mapsto g\mathcal{O}_G(x_0)$$

is an isomorphism of G -sets.

- If $|G| < \infty$, then

$$|G| = |\mathcal{O}_G(x_0)| \cdot |\text{Stab}_G(x_0)|$$

Proposition

Let X be a finite G -set. Then

$$|X| = |\text{Fix}_G(X)| + \sum_{|\mathcal{O}_G(x)| > 1} [G : \text{Stab}_G(x)]$$

4.3 Symmetric groups

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let S_n denote the symmetric group of permutations of n -elements.

$$S_0 = S_1 = \{\text{id}\}, S_2 \cong C_2, S_3 \cong D_{2,3}, |S_n| = n!$$

Definition

For $\sigma \in S_n$, the number of pairs (i, j) with $i < j$ and $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$ is called the number of inversions (**Fehlstände**) of σ .

This defines a homomorphism

$$\text{sgn} : S_n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}, \quad \sigma \mapsto (-1)^{\# \text{ of inversions}}$$

In particular, $\text{sgn}(\sigma\tau) = \text{sgn}(\sigma)\text{sgn}(\tau)$ and its kernel is the **alternating group** A_n .

$$A_1 \cong A_2 \cong \{e\}, A_3 \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$$

Theorem Cayley

Every finite group is isomorphic to a subgroup of S_n for some n .

Proof Sketch. Let $|G| = n$. Enumerate the elements of G , then left-multiplication with $g \in G$ corresponds to a permutation of the n -elements in G . This gives us a map

$$G \rightarrow S_n, \quad g \mapsto \text{left multiplication with } g$$

which has kernel $\{1\}$ and so is injective. \square

Proposition

Two permutations are conjugates in S_n if and only if they have the same cycle structure.

Theorem

For $n \geq 4$, A_n and S_n are resolvable and A_n is simple for $n \geq 5$.

Proposition

- (a) Disjoint cycles commute.
- (b) For every cycle, $(i_1 \dots i_k)^{-1} = (i_k \dots i_1)$.
- (c) For $\sigma \in S_n$, conjugation of cycles is given by

$$\sigma(i_1 \dots i_k)\sigma^{-1} = (\sigma(i_1) \dots \sigma(i_k))$$

- (d) $\text{sgn}(i_1 \dots i_k) = (-1)^{k-1}$.

4.4 Nilpotent and resolvable groups

Definition

A group is called **nilpotent** of **order 1**, if G is abelian.

We say G is nilpotent of order $n + 1$, if $G/Z(G)$ is nilpotent of order n .

Definition

Let G be a group and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ prime. We say G is a **p -group** if $|G| = p^k$ for some $k \geq 0 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition

Every p -group is nilpotent.

Proof Sketch. Under conjugation, G admits a group action on itself. Then the center $Z(G)$ is exactly the fixpoints of the group action. With

$$|G| = |\text{Fix}_G(G)| + \sum_{\text{non-trivial orbits}} [G : \text{Stab}_G(x)]$$

since non-trivial orbits divide $|G| = p^k$ and have index > 1 , when factoring out the center, the group $G/Z(G)$ becomes strictly smaller. \square

Definition

A sequence of chains of normal subgroups

$$\{e\} = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft G_2 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft G_n = G$$

is called a **subnormal series** (Subnormalreihe).

A group G is called **resolvable** (auflösbar), if there exists a subnormal series such that the G_{k+1}/G_k are abelian groups.

- The dihedral group D_{2n} is resolvable with $\{e\} \triangleleft \langle R \rangle \triangleleft D_{2n}$.
- The affine group $A_k = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in R^\times, b \in R \right\}$ is resolvable and is not nilpotent if $|R^\times| > 1$.
- S_4 is resolvable with $\{1\} \triangleleft \langle (12)(34), (13)(24) \rangle \triangleleft A_4 \triangleleft S_4$.

Proposition

Let G be a group. Then $[G, G] \triangleleft G$ and $G/[G, G]$ is abelian.

Moreover, it is the “largest” abelian factor group: If H is an abelian group and $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ is a group homomorphism, then $[G, G] \subseteq \text{Ker } \varphi$ and there exists a group homomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : G/[G, G] \rightarrow H$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & H \\ \downarrow \pi & \searrow \bar{\varphi} & \\ G/[G, G] & & \end{array}$$

Proposition

A group G is resolvable if and only if the series

$$G^{(0)} := G, \quad G^{(n+1)} := [G^{(n)}, G^{(n)}]$$

reaches the trivial subgroup $\{e\}$.

Proposition Lego property

Let $N \triangleleft G$ be a normal subgroup. Then G is resolvable if and only if N and G/N are resolvable.

Proof sketch. By the third isomorphism theorem, there is a correspondence between subgroups of G/N and subgroups that contain N .

So we get subnormal series

$$\begin{aligned} \{e\} \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N \\ \{e\} \triangleleft H_1/N \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft G/N \end{aligned}$$

which we can combine to get a subnormal series for G . \square

4.5 Sylow's Theorem

Definition

For a finite group, p prime and p, m coprime, write $|G| = p^k m$. A subgroup of order p^l is called a **p -subgroup**.

Theorem Sylow's Theorem

Let G be a finite group of order $|G| = p^k m$ as above.

- (a) There exists a maximal p -subgroup H_p of order $|H_p| = p^k$. We call H_p a **Sylow p -subgroup**.
- (b) Every p -subgroup is contained in a Sylow p -subgroup.
- (c) Any two Sylow p -subgroups are conjugates.

Proof Sketch. Set $T = \{A \subseteq G \mid |A| = p^k\}$. Then T is a G -set with left multiplication and $|T| = \binom{n}{p^k} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. With

$$|T| = |\text{Fix}_G(T)| + \sum_{\text{non-trivial orbits}} [G : \text{Stab}_G(A)]$$

Unless $p^k < |G|$, then there are no fixpoints of the group action. \square

Proposition

Let G be a group and p prime with $p \mid |G|$. Then there exists an element $g \in G$ of order $\text{ord}(g) = p$.

Proof. Chose a Sylow p -subgroup H_p and an element $g \in H_p \setminus \{e\}$ \square

Proposition

The group A_n is simple for $n \geq 5$. In particular, A_n, S_n are resolvable if and only if $n \leq 4$.

Proposition

Groups of order pq, p^2q, pqr for p, q, r prime are resolvable.

Lemma

A non-trivial simple group has no subgroup of index ≤ 4 .
If it has a subgroup of index 5, it is isomorphic to A_5 .

Theorem Classification theorem

Let G be a group of order $n = |G| \leq 100$. Then either G is resolvable or $G \cong A_5$ (and $|G| = 60$)

4.6 Free groups

Definition

For $n \geq 1$, let \mathbb{Z}^n denote the **free abelian group** with n generators

$$b_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, b_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$$

Lemma

For every free abelian group G with elements a_1, \dots, a_n there exists a unique group homomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow G, \quad \varphi(b_i) = a_i$$

Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and b_1, \dots, b_n pairwise disjoint. A finite list of entries from $b_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, b_n^{\pm 1}$ is called a **word**.

A word is said to be **reduced**, if a b_i is never followed by a b_i^{-1} or vice versa.

The **free group** F_n generated by b_1, \dots, b_n is the set

$$F_n = \{\text{reduced words in } b_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, b_n^{\pm 1}\}$$

Composition of words given by concatenation and

reduction of the lists defines a group structure on F_n .
The neutral element of F_n is the empty word.

Theorem

The free group has the universal property that for every group with elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in G$, there exists a unique group homomorphism

$$\varphi : F_n \rightarrow G \quad \varphi(b_i) = a_i$$

in particular if $G = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$, then $G \cong F_n / \text{Ker } \varphi$.

Definition

Let F_n be the free group with n generators. For $W \subseteq F$ let

$$N = \langle gwg^{-1} \mid g \in F_n, w \in W \rangle$$

be the normal divisor of F_n generated by W .
 F_n/N is called the group with generators b_1, \dots, b_n and relations $w \in W$ and is written as

$$\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \mid w = e \text{ for } w \in W \rangle := F_n / N$$

- $\mathbb{Z}^2 \cong \langle a, b \mid ab = ba \rangle$ uses the relation $W = \{aba^{-1}b^{-1}\}$.
- $D_n \cong \langle R, T \mid R^n = e, T^2 = e, RT = TR^{-1} \rangle$ uses $W = \{R^n, T^2, RTRT\}$.

Lemma Multiplicity of degree

Let $F/L/K$ be finite field extensions. Then

$$[F : K] = [F : L][L : K]$$

Proof sketch. If $x_1, \dots, x_m \in F$ are a basis of F over L and $y_1, \dots, y_n \in L$ are a basis of L over K , then the products $x_i y_j \in F$ are a basis of F over k . \square

Definition

Every field k contains a smallest subfield called the **prime field** of k . It is either isomorphic to \mathbb{Q} or \mathbb{F}_p for some prime p .

The **characteristic** of a field k is define as

$$\text{char } k := \begin{cases} p & \text{if its prime field is } \mathbb{F}_p \\ 0 & \text{if its prime field is } \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

Proposition

A ring homomorphism between two fields is always injective and only exists if the two fields have the same characteristic.

That is because the kernel is an ideal and the only ideals are K and $\{0\}$.

Definition

Let L/k and $A \subseteq L$. Write $k(A)$ for the smallest intermediate field between k and L that contains A . If $A = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, write $K(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

5 Fields

Instead of understanding fields intrinsically by studying their elements, it is often better to understand fields extrinsically through their relations with other fields.

Definition

Let L be a field and k a subring that is also a field. Then say that k is a **subfield** of L and we call L a **field extension** of k and write L/k to denote this fact.

Because L is also a k -vector space, write $[L : K] := \dim_k L$ for its **degree**.

If $[L : K] < \infty$, we say that L is a **finite** field extension of k .

5.1 Polynomial rings over fields

Definition

Let L/k . For $\alpha \in L$ let

$$\text{ev}_\alpha : K[X] \rightarrow L, \quad f \mapsto f(\alpha)$$

be the evaluation mapping. $\alpha \in L$ is called

- **transcendent** over k , if ev_α is injective.
- **algebraic** over k , otherwise. The kernel $\text{Ker } \varphi_\alpha$ is an ideal. Since $K[T]$ is a PID, it has a unique normed (leading coefficient = 1) generator which we call the **minimal polynomial** of α

$$m_\alpha = \text{irr}(\alpha, k) \in K[X] \quad \text{with} \quad \text{Ker } \varphi_\alpha = (\text{irr}(\alpha, k))$$

in particular, if a polynomial $f(X)$ has $f(\alpha) = 0$, then the minimal polynomial divides f .

- $e, \pi \in \mathbb{R}$ are transcendent over \mathbb{Q} .
- $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ is algebraic with minimal polynomial $\text{irr}(\sqrt{2}, \mathbb{Q}) = X^2 - 2$.

If α is algebraic, then $\text{irr}(\alpha, k)$ is irreducible and the ideal $(\text{irr}(\alpha, k)) = \text{Ker } \text{ev}_\alpha$ is maximal. Therefore, $k[X]/(\text{irr}(\alpha, k))$ is a field and ev_α induces a field isomorphism

$$\overline{\text{ev}_\alpha} : k[X]/\text{ev}_\alpha \rightarrow k(\alpha)$$

- $\mathbb{Q}(i) = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\} \cong \mathbb{Q}[X]/(X^2 + 1) = \{aX + b \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$.
- $\mathbb{Q}(e) = \{ \frac{f(e)}{g(e)} \mid f, g \in \mathbb{Q}[X], g(e) \neq 0 \}$.

Proposition Wantzel

With ruler and compass, neither $\sqrt[3]{2}$ nor an angle $\frac{\pi}{9}$ can be constructed. If $p \in \mathbb{N}$ is an odd prime number and the regular p -gon is constructable with ruler and compass, then p must be of the form $p = 2^{2^n} + 1$.

Proof Sketch. Start at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then the set of constructable points is a field. Let k_n be the field generated by the points obtained after n steps. Then $[k_{n+1} : k_n] \leq 2$. So $[k_n : 1]$ must be a power of 2. But $[Q(\sqrt[3]{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$. Moreover, $\cos(\frac{\pi}{9})$ has a minimal polynomial that is not 2^k . \square

Definition

A field extension L/k is called **algebraic**, if every $\alpha \in L$ is algebraic over k

If L/k is a finite field extension of degree n , then the $n+1$ elements $1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n$ are linearly dependent. So every finite field extension is algebraic.

Proposition

If in a field extension L/k , $x, y \in L$ algebraic over k , then $x + y, x - y, xy, \frac{x}{y}$ are algebraic over k .

Proposition

Let $F/L/k$ be field extensions. Then

$$F/k \text{ algebraic} \iff F/L \text{ and } L/k \text{ are algebraic}$$

Theorem Kronecker

Let k be a field, $f \in K[X]$ with $n = \deg f > 0$. Then there exists a field extension L/k such that

$$f(X) = a \prod_{i=1}^n (X - \alpha_i)$$

where $a \in K^\times, \alpha_i \in L$.

Proof Sketch. Let p be an irreducible divisor of f . By induction over n , define

$$K_1 = \frac{K[X_1]}{p(X_1)}$$

then $f(X)$ has a root $\alpha_1 := X_1 + (p(X_1)) \in K_1$. Divide f by α_1 which has smaller degree and keep going until $f_n \in K^\times$. \square

- $f = X^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ has the extension \mathbb{C}
- For $f = X^3 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ we have the extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}})$.

6 Appendix

Fields	Euclidean Ring	PID	UFD
$\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}, K[X], \mathbb{Z}[i], \mathbb{Z}[i\sqrt{2}], \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$	$\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+i\sqrt{19}}{2}]$	$\mathbb{Z}[X, Y],$ prime \Leftarrow

Table 1: Example of rings. The inclusion goes from left to right.