# Grid Plan Validation Checklist (Geometry-Style Coordinates, 4-Neighbor Adjacency)

#### Variables & Contract (fixed for the sandbox)

- Grid: width W, height H, nodes  $N = W \cdot H$ .
- Coordinates: origin at bottom-left, (x, y) with  $x \to \text{right}$ ,  $y \to \text{up}$ .
- Node ID:  $id = y \cdot W + x$  (row-major with upward y).
- Adjacency: **4-neighbor** (Manhattan distance = 1), no wrap.
- Districts: K, tolerance  $\varepsilon$  (e.g., 0.05), ideal population  $P^* = (\sum population)/K$ .
- Files to validate: ...\_nodes.csv, ...\_edges\_grid.csv, ...\_partition\_seeded.csv.

## A) Preflight Invariants (lattice must be valid)

#### A1. Nodes table integrity $\Box$ PASS $\Box$ FAIL

- 1. Count is exactly N.
- 2. id covers  $0, \dots, N-1$  with no gaps or duplicates.
- 3. (x,y) are in bounds:  $0 \le x < W$ ,  $0 \le y < H$ , and are unique per id.
- 4. Spot-check the mapping: for several rows, confirm id = yW + x.

## A2. Grid edges integrity $\Box$ PASS $\Box$ FAIL

- 1. Each edge (u, v) satisfies  $u \neq v$  and endpoints are in [0, N-1].
- 2. Edges are only 4-neighbor pairs: letting  $(x_u, y_u)$  and  $(x_v, y_v)$  be coordinates of  $u, v, y_v$

$$|x_u - x_v| + |y_u - y_v| = 1.$$

- 3. No duplicate undirected pairs; store one row with u < v.
- 4. Sanity edge count matches

$$E_4 = H(W-1) + W(H-1).$$

If A1 or A2 fails: stop and fix the lattice before checking any partition.

B) Partition File Integrity (hard checks)
B3. Coverage & uniqueness $\square$ PASS $\square$ FAIL
Every id appears exactly once inpartition_seeded.csv, with district $\in \{0, \dots, K-1\}$ (no -1, no out-of-range).
B4. Non-empty districts $\square$ PASS $\square$ FAIL
For each district $d$ , the set $V_d = \{ id : district(id) = d \}$ satisfies $ V_d  \ge 1$ .
C) Contiguity (hard check)
C5. One connected component per district $\Box$ PASS $\Box$ FAIL
For each $d$ , consider the subgraph induced by $V_d$ on the $grid$ edges; require the number of connected components to be $components_d = 1  \forall d \in \{0, \dots, K-1\}.$
Any components $_d>1$ indicates an $island\Rightarrow$ invalid plan.
D) Population Balance (hard check)
D6. Deviation vs. ideal $\square$ PASS $\square$ FAIL
For each $d$ , compute $\operatorname{Pop}_d = \sum_{v \in V_d} \operatorname{population}(v)$ and
$\operatorname{dev}_d = \frac{ \operatorname{Pop}_d - P^* }{P^*}.$
Require $\max_d \operatorname{dev}_d \leq \varepsilon$ and verify $\sum_d \operatorname{Pop}_d = \sum \operatorname{population}$ .

# E) Cross-Edges & Perimeter (consistency & diagnostics)

## E7. Cut-edge accounting (consistency) $\Box$ PASS $\Box$ FAIL

Let Cut be the number of grid edges whose endpoints lie in different districts. For each district d, let  $B_d$  be the number of its incident grid edges that cross to another district. Check the invariant:

$$\sum_{d=0}^{K-1} B_d = 2 \operatorname{Cut}.$$

A mismatch indicates boundary accounting bugs.

#### E8. Perimeter (diagnostic)

Optionally compute  $\operatorname{Perimeter}_d = B_d + (\# \text{ outer-border edges touching } d)$  as a compactness proxy; record values but do not gate on them here.

F) Hole (Enclave) Test (policy choice)
F9. No holes inside a district $\Box$ PASS $\Box$ WARN/ ALLOW
Flood-fill the complement of $V_d$ from the rectangle boundary; if the complement has $> 1$ component district $d$ contains an enclave (a "hole"). Policy (choose one and document):
• Strict: forbid holes $\Rightarrow$ any hole $\Rightarrow$ FAIL.
• Lenient: allow holes $\Rightarrow$ WARN only (common in research).
G) Determinism & Reproducibility (pipeline hard check)
G10. Deterministic rebuild $\square$ PASS $\square$ FAIL
Re-run the same seed-and-grow procedure with the same RNG seed and tie-break rule (e.g., smaller $y$ then $x$ ). Require the $exact$ same assignment vector. Optionally compute a plan hash (e.g., SHA-256 of the district label vector) and compare.
H) Visual QA (human-in-the-loop, but mandatory)
H11. Two plots $\Box$ PASS $\Box$ FAIL
• District map: color by district, overlay grid boundaries; verify no islands/stray cells.
• Opinion heatmap: underlying opinion field looks plausible (gradients/blocs); borders not obviously broken.
I) Acceptance Summary
A plan is <b>ACCEPTED</b> if and only if:
• A1–A2 PASS (lattice integrity),
• B3–B4 PASS (coverage & non-empty),
• C5 PASS (contiguity),
• D6 PASS (population balance),
• E7 invariant holds (boundary consistency),
• G10 PASS (determinism),
• F9 satisfies your declared policy,
• H11 looks sane to a human inspector.

Record on acceptance (attach to plan):

Metric Value

 $\operatorname{Max} \, \operatorname{deviation} \, \operatorname{max}_d \operatorname{dev}_d$ 

Total cut edges Cut

Boundary counts  $\{B_d\}$ 

Perimeters  $\{Perimeter_d\}$ 

District populations  $\{Pop_d\}$ 

Plan hash (e.g., SHA-256)

Notes / anomalies