

COMP 3522

Object Oriented Programming in C++
Week 9

Agenda

1. Measuring elapsed time
2. Function objects
3. Lambda
4. Template Programming AKA C++ generics

COMP

3522

LAST WEEKS

- Functors, Lambda, Templates
- Design week!
 - Design patterns
 - Design idioms
- Lvalue, rvalue, move, smart pointers
- Review

What if we want to measure duration?

- Developers often want to measure how long something takes
- There is a lo-rez and a high-rez way to do this
- We will try to use the high-rez where possible.

Measure duration – Low rez

```
std::clock_t c_start = std::clock();  
//do something  
std::clock_t c_end = std::clock();  
std::cout << c_end-c_start << std::endl;
```

- $c_end - c_start$ = time in milliseconds
- $1000.0 * (c_end - c_start) / \text{CLOCKS_PER_SEC}$ – clock ticks
may be system dependent

Measure duration – Low rez

- `std::clock` time may advance faster or slower than the wall clock
- if CPU is shared by other processes, `std::clock` time may advance slower than wall clock
- if current process is multithreaded and more than one execution core is available, `std::clock` time may advance faster than wall clock

Measure duration – High rez

```
auto t_start = std::chrono::high_resolution_clock::now();  
//do something  
auto t_end = std::chrono::high_resolution_clock::now();  
  
std::chrono::duration<double, std::milli> dur = t_end-t_start;  
std::cout << dur.count() << std::endl;
```

Check out [time.cpp](#)

FUNCTION OBJECTS

Regular member function call

```
class Greeter {  
    public:  
        // prints hello, returns length  
        int sayHello(const std::string& name)  
        {  
            cout << "Hello " << name << endl;  
            return name.length();  
        }  
};  
Greeter hello;  
int a = hello.sayHello("world");
```

Overloading function call operator

```
class Greeter {  
    public:  
        // Overloaded call operator  
        int operator() (const std::string& name)  
        {  
            cout << "Hello " << name << endl;  
            return name.length();  
        }  
};  
Greeter hello;  
int a = hello("world");
```

The C++ function object

- It is a generalization of a function
- Useful as predicates or comparison function (STL algorithms)
- Often called a **functor**
- It is an **object that acts like a function**
- **Accomplished by overloading the parentheses operator**

```
F f;  
f(1); // like f.operator()(1);
```

A simple example from cplusplus.com

```
struct myclass {  
    int operator()(int a) { return a;}  
} myobject;  
  
// Looks like a function call, so much neat!  
int x = myobject(0);
```

Why use Functors?

- Let's compare functions and functors
- Functions don't maintain internal state
 - Ie: After calling a function, any local variables inside of the function are gone
- Functors are actual objects, meaning they contain state
 - Can have member variables that exist beyond the scope of member functions

Why use Functors?

```
struct myFunctor {  
    int sum;  
    void operator()(int x) {  
        sum += x;  
    }  
};
```

```
myFunctor addNum, addNum2;
```

```
addNum(5);  
addNum(10);  
//addNum sum = 15
```

```
addNum2(100);  
addNum2(200);  
//addNum2 sum = 300
```

Review – Fibonacci sequence

- Series of numbers where the next number is found by adding the previous two numbers
- Start with: 0, 1
- 0, 1, 1
- 0, 1, 1, 2
- 0, 1, 1, 2, 3
- 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5
- ...
- 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21...

Let's convert a function to a functor

```
int fib() {  
    static int a = 0, b = 1;  
    int c = a + b;  
    a = b;  
    b = c;  
    return a;  
}  
int main() {  
    std::cout << fib() << std::endl;  
    std::cout << fib() << std::endl;  
    std::cout << fib() << std::endl;  
}
```


Analysis

- **Observation:** The function can only track one sequence
- **Question:** What if we want more than one sequence?
- **Solution: create a struct!**

Our next step: a struct

```
struct Fib{  
    int a, b;  
    Fib(): a{0}, b{1} {}  
    int next() {  
        int c = a + b;  
        a = b;  
        b = c;  
        return a;  
    }  
};
```

Using our functor

```
Fib f1, f2;  
std::cout << f1.next() << std::endl; // 1  
std::cout << f1.next() << std::endl; // 1  
std::cout << f1.next() << std::endl; // 2  
std::cout << f2.next() << std::endl; // 1
```

Next Problem: I don't want to type `f1.next()`, I just want to type `f1()`

Final step: our function object aka functor

```
class Fibonacci{  
private:  
    int a, b;  
public:  
    Fibonacci(): a{0}, b{1} { }  
    int operator() () {  
        int c = a + b;  
        a = b;  
        b = c;  
        return a;  
    }  
};
```

Using our functor

```
Fibonacci final1;  
std::cout << final1() << std::endl; // 1  
std::cout << final1() << std::endl; // 1  
std::cout << final1() << std::endl; // 2  
std::cout << final1() << std::endl; // 3  
std::cout << final1() << std::endl; // 5  
  
// Don't do this - this declares a function  
Fibonacci f();
```

A neat example

```
struct is_divisible_by{  
    int divisor;  
    is_divisible_by(int d): divisor{d} {}  
    // const no change to divisor  
    bool operator()(int number) const {  
        return number % divisor == 0;  
    }  
}  
  
is_divisible_by div5(5); // function object  
div5(5); // returns true  
div5(11); // returns false
```

Even neater

- We can do something like this, too!

```
list<int>::iterator it =  
    find_if(list.begin(), list.end(), div5);
```

LAMBDA I, II

What is a lambda expression?

- An **unnamed function object** (functor)
- A form of “**nested function**”
- You should use lambda expressions when the “function” is used a limited number of times
- Java lingo reminder: anonymous method

The general form of a lambda expression

```
[capture clause] (parameters)<specifiers> -> <return type>  
{  
    body  
}
```

Capture clause

- Used to pass variables from the surrounding scope into the lambda expression:
1. **[]** empty there is no capturing
 2. **[=]** outside variables are captured by value and cannot be modified inside the lambda expression
 3. **[&]** outside variables are captured by reference
 4. **[variable_name]** only variable_name is captured by value and cannot be modified inside the lambda
 5. **[&variable_name]** only variable_name is captured by reference

Examples 1, 2, 3: capturing vs not capturing

Let's look at **lambda.cpp** together:

1. Compare **lambda 1** and **lambda 2**
2. Uncomment **lambda 3** and try to compile
3. Can you understand the **crazy compiler** message(s)?

Example 4: capturing by value with [=]

4. Look at **lambda 4** that uses [=] to capture all the variables by value

Example 5: capturing by value won't change

5. Look at **lambda 5** that uses [=] to capture all the variables by value
6. Note that when we uncomment it, we get a compiler error.
7. We cannot change a variable captured by value

Example 6: capturing by reference with [&]

8. Note that **lambda 6** does compile
9. It uses [&] to capture all variables by reference

Example 7: capturing some variables by value

10. Look at **lambda 7** that captures one variable by value

11. We have access to the specified variable

12. We cannot access any other variable outside the lambda

Example 8: capturing some variables by ref

13. Note that **lambda 8** captures one variable by ref

14. We can change that variable (and only that variable)

Example 9: we can mix our capture types

- 15. Look at **lambda 9** that captures one variable by value and one by reference
- 16. We **can** change the variable captured by reference
- 17. We **cannot** change the variable captured by value

What about parameters?

[capture clause] (**parameters**)<specifiers> → <return type>
{
 body
}

- **Optional** (obviously)
- **It is bad practice to omit the empty parentheses if there are no parameters.**

Example 10: adding two values

18.No captured variables, but there are two parameters

19.We can access the lambda just like a function

Example 11: sorting (hint, hint!)

20.Here's a great use case for the lambda: sorting!

21.Check out **lambda 11** where we sort a `std::vector`

What about specifiers?

```
[capture clause] (parameters) <specifiers> → <return type>
{
    body
}
```

- Optional
- Use “mutable” to permit a parameter captured by copy to be modified

Example 12: using the mutable specifier

22. Check out **lambda 12** which demonstrates the use of the mutable specifier to modify captured variables

And finally, what about the return type?

- Optional!
- If you don't specify it, the compiler will deduce it
- **Use auto!**

Advantages and disadvantages

- **Advantages**

1. No functor inexplicably filling a namespace scope
2. Define a function where we need it
3. Inlined by the compiler (probably)
4. Quick to write a simple function:

```
auto max = [](double a, double b) { return a > b ? a : b; };
```

- **Disadvantages**

1. “Kinda” hard to debug
2. Hard to find in our code
3. May generate code duplication
4. Low reusability

When should we lambda?

Lambdas are great for:

1. Functions passed to STL containers, i.e., something to compare elements in a queue
2. Short one-lined functions
3. Functions that are used in just one place.

Compare functor (function objects) vs lambda

```
struct some functor {  
    void operator()(int i) {  
        std::cout << i << '\n';  
    }  
};  
std::for_each( begin, end, some functor() );  
  
std::for_each(  
    begin, end, [](int i){std::cout << i << '\n';} );
```

When to use capture clause vs parameters?

- Think of **parameters in capture clause** as analogous to member variables in a class
 - Member variables maintain state
- **Input arguments** are analogous to input arguments to a function
 - Input arguments in function do not maintain state. Lost value when leaving scope

```
int x;
```

```
auto myLambda = [&x] (int y) {x += y; return x;};
```

When to use capture clause vs parameters?

```
struct myFunctor {  
    int x;  
    myFunctor(int x) : x(x) {}  
    int operator()(int y) {  
        x += y;  
        return x;  
    }  
};
```

```
int x;  
auto myLambda = [&x](int y){x += y; return x;};
```


TEMPLATE PROGRAMMING

STOP! WHAT'S A TEMPLATE?

- We're talking about the Standard **Template** Library
- What's a template?
- It's like a Java generic.
- It's that easy.

The C++ template is like Java's generic

Our function is prefaced with either:

```
template <typename T>
```

or:

```
template <class T>
```

These are equivalent **template parameters**.

This works very nicely with functions!

```
template <typename T>
```

```
T get_max(T a, T b)
```

```
{
```

```
    return (a > b ? a : b);
```

```
}
```

```
// Suppose we have two doubles first and second
```

```
double maximum = get_max<double>(first, second);
```

But what actually happens?

1. The compiler uses the template to generate a new function
 2. Each template parameter is replaced with the type passed as the actual template parameter
 3. The function is called.
- This is automatic and invisible
 - The compiler will often be able to determine the correct instantiation
 - “Compiled on demand”

But what actually happens?

```
template <typename T>
T myMax(T x, T y)
{
    return (x > y)? x: y;
}
```

```
int main()
{
    cout << myMax<int>(3, 7) << endl;
    cout << myMax<char>('g', 'e') << endl;
    return 0;
}
```

Compiler internally generates and adds below code

```
int myMax(int x, int y)
{
    return (x > y)? x: y;
}
```

Compiler internally generates and adds below code.

```
char myMax(char x, char y)
{
    return (x > y)? x: y;
}
```

What about types?

Will this compile? What is the output?

```
int first{1};  
double second{3.14};  
double maximum = get_max(first, second);  
// auto maximum = get_max(first, second);
```

templateFun.cpp

How about this?

```
int first{1};
```

```
double second{3.14};
```

```
double maximum = get_max<double>(first, second);
```


What about this?

```
int first{1};
```

```
double second{3.14};
```

```
int maximum = get_max<int>(first, second);
```

We can write class templates too!

- We can define class with generic types, too!
- Same syntax as a regular class, except that it is preceded by the **template** keyword and a series of template parameters enclosed in angle brackets
- It makes no difference whether the generic type is specified with keyword **class** or keyword **typename** in the template argument list (they are 100% synonyms in template declarations).

An important note

- **The entire template must be in the header file**
- We cannot separate the interface (header file) from the implementation (source file)
- This is because the templates are **compiled as required**

Multiple typename identifiers

```
template <typename K, typename V>
class Entry{
    K key;
    V value;
public:
    Entry(K key, V value) :
        key{key}, value{value} {}
};
```

Specific typename identifiers

```
template <typename T, int N>
class MySet{
    T set [N];
public:
    void set_member(int index, T member);
    T get_member(int index);
};
```

```
template <typename T, int N>
void MySet<T, N>::set_member(int index, T member)
{
    set[index] = member;
}
```

Default typename identifiers

```
template <typename T = string, int N = 25>
class MySet{
    T set [N];
public:
    void set_member(int index, T member);
    T get_member(int index);
};
```

```
template <typename T, int N>
void MySet<T, N>::set_member(int index, T member)
{
    set[index] = member;
}
```

Let's develop a generic printing template

```
// print.h
#include <iostream>

template <typename C>
void print(const C& c)
{
    for (typename C::const_iterator it = c.begin();
         it != c.end(); ++it)
    {
        std::cout << *it << std::endl;
    }
}
```

Think about printing

- Let's use templates in an interesting way
- Use abstraction
- Printing is like copying
- Copying is more abstract than printing
- We can develop an algorithm to copy things from one location to another
- We can even think of printing as copying a range defined by some iterators to some ostream

A copy algorithm (step by step!)

```
void copy(int a[], size_t n, int b[])
{
    size_t i;
    for (i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
        b[i] = a[i];
    }
}
```

A copy algorithm (step 2)

```
void copy(int a[], size_t n, int b[])
{
    size_t i;
    //start at the beginning
    //while we're not at end
    for (i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
        //do copy, increment next index
        b[i] = a[i];
    }
}
```

Our copy algorithm (step 3)

```
void copy(int* first, int* last, int* result)
{
    while (first != last) {
        *result = *first;
        result++;
        first++;
    }
}

// int a [] = { 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 };
// int b [5];
// copy(a, a + 5, b);
```

Our generic copy template (final step)

```
template <typename InputIterator, typename OutputIterator>
OutputIterator copy(InputIterator first, InputIterator last,
                    OutputIterator result)
{
    while (first != last) {
        *result = *first;
        result++;
        first++;
    }
    return result;
}
```

Our generic copy template (final step+)

```
template <typename InputIterator, typename OutputIterator>
OutputIterator copy(InputIterator first, InputIterator last,
                    OutputIterator result)
{
    while (first != last) {
        *result++ = *first++;
    }
    return result;
}
```

Checking if a list is in ascending order

- What if we want to ensure a list is in ascending order
- **What a great opportunity for a template!**
- Check out **ascending.cpp**

Remember functors

```
struct is_divisible_by{  
    int divisor;  
    is_divisible_by(int d) : divisor{d} {}  
    // const no change to divisor  
    bool operator() (int number) const {  
        return number % divisor == 0;  
    }  
}  
  
is_divisible_by div5(5); // function object  
div5(5); // returns true  
div5(11); // returns false
```

Let's convert it to a template

```
template<class T>  
struct is_div_by{  
    T divisor;  
    is_div_by(T d) : divisor{d} {}  
    bool operator() (T n) const {  
        return n % divisor == 0;  
    }  
};  
  
is_div_by<int>div10(10);  
std::cout << div10(10) << std::endl;
```

[functor_fun.cpp](#)
[templateStatic.cpp](#)
[templateFunctionStatic.cpp](#)

IN CLASS ACTIVITY

1. The Learning Hub -> Content -> Activities
“Templates In Class Activity”