

# Homework 1

---

**1.** Prove that  $\mathbb{E}[\langle \mu, x^k \rangle^2] - (\mathbb{E} \langle \mu, x^k \rangle)^2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

---

As we did in the calculation of  $\mathbb{E} \langle \mu, x^k \rangle$ , we can rephrase  $\mathbb{E}[\langle \mu, x^k \rangle^2] - (\mathbb{E} \langle \mu, x^k \rangle)^2$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} [\langle \mu, x^k \rangle^2] - (\mathbb{E} \langle \mu, x^k \rangle)^2 \\
 &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j^k \right)^2 \right] - \left( \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j^k \right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{N^2} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j^k \right)^2 \right] - \left( \mathbb{E} \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j^k \right)^2 \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{N^2} \left( \mathbb{E} [(\text{tr } H^k)^2] - \left( \mathbb{E} [\text{tr } H^k] \right)^2 \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{N^2} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^N \sum_{i'_1, \dots, i'_k=1}^N H_{i_1, i_2} \cdots H_{i_k, i_1} H_{i'_1, i'_2} \cdots H_{i'_k, i'_1} \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \left( \mathbb{E} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^N H_{i_1, i_2} \cdots H_{i_k, i_1} \right)^2 \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}' \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}^k} (\mathbb{E} [T_{\mathbf{i}} T_{\mathbf{i}'}] - \mathbb{E} T_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbb{E} T_{\mathbf{i}'})
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $T_{(i_1, \dots, i_k)} = H_{i_1, i_2} H_{i_2, i_3} \cdots H_{i_k, i_1}$ .

Like a way we defined a graph for each  $\mathbf{i} \in \{1, \dots, N\}^k$ , we may associate a pair  $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}')$  to a graph as follows:

Let  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_k), \mathbf{i}' = (i'_1, \dots, i'_k) \in \{1, \dots, N\}^k$ . Define the graph  $G_{\mathbf{i}} = (V_{\mathbf{i}}, E_{\mathbf{i}})$  associated with  $\mathbf{i}$  where  $V_{\mathbf{i}} = \{i_j : j \in \{1, \dots, k\}\}$  and  $E_{\mathbf{i}} = \{\{i_j, i_{j+1}\} : j \in \{1, \dots, k\}\}$  with  $i_{k+1} := i_1$ . Also, define the graph  $G_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'} = (V_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}, E_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'})$  associated with  $\{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'\}$  where  $V_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'} = V_{\mathbf{i}} \cup V_{\mathbf{i}'}$

and  $E_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'} = E_{\mathbf{i}} \cup E_{\mathbf{i}'}$ .

Traversing the graph  $G_{\mathbf{i}}$  or  $G_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}$ , let  $N_{\mathbf{i}}$  or  $N_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}(e)$  ( $e \in E_{\mathbf{i}}$  or  $e \in E_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}$ ) be the number of times the traverse passes  $e$  (in any direction), respectively.

With these definitions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[T_{\mathbf{i}} T_{\mathbf{i}'}] &= \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'} \\ e \text{ is a loop}}} \mathbb{E}[H_e^{N_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}(e)}] \cdot \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'} \\ e \text{ is a non-loop}}} \mathbb{E}[H_e^{N_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}(e)}] \\ &= \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'} \\ e \text{ is a loop}}} \mathbb{E}[H_{11}^{N_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}(e)}] \cdot \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'} \\ e \text{ is a non-loop}}} \mathbb{E}[H_{12}^{N_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}(e)}], \end{aligned}$$

where  $H_e = H_{ij}$  if  $e = \{i, j\}$ , due to the identical distribution conditions. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[T_{\mathbf{i}}] &= \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}} \\ e \text{ is a loop}}} \mathbb{E}[H_e^{N_{\mathbf{i}}(e)}] \cdot \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}} \\ e \text{ is a non-loop}}} \mathbb{E}[H_e^{N_{\mathbf{i}}(e)}] \\ &= \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}} \\ e \text{ is a loop}}} \mathbb{E}[H_{11}^{N_{\mathbf{i}}(e)}] \cdot \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}} \\ e \text{ is a non-loop}}} \mathbb{E}[H_{12}^{N_{\mathbf{i}}(e)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $\mathbb{E}H_{11} = \mathbb{E}H_{12} = 0$ , unless  $N_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}(e) = N_{\mathbf{i}}(e) + N_{\mathbf{i}'}(e) \geq 2$  for all  $e \in E_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}[T_{\mathbf{i}} T_{\mathbf{i}'}] - \mathbb{E}T_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbb{E}T_{\mathbf{i}'} = 0$ . Also when  $E_{\mathbf{i}} \cap E_{\mathbf{i}'} = \emptyset$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}[T_{\mathbf{i}} T_{\mathbf{i}'}] = \mathbb{E}[T_{\mathbf{i}}] \mathbb{E}[T_{\mathbf{i}'}]$  due to the independence conditions. Moreover, when there is a bijection on  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  which maps  $\mathbf{i}$  to  $\mathbf{j}$  and  $\mathbf{i}'$  to  $\mathbf{j}'$ , then we have

$$\mathbb{E}[T_{\mathbf{i}} T_{\mathbf{i}'}] - \mathbb{E}T_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbb{E}T_{\mathbf{i}'} = \mathbb{E}[T_{\mathbf{j}} T_{\mathbf{j}'}] - \mathbb{E}T_{\mathbf{j}} \mathbb{E}T_{\mathbf{j}'}$$

due to the identical distribution (by applying the bijection on the product). So, this defines an equivalence relation on  $\left(\{1, \dots, N\}^k\right)^2$ .

Now, we will count those equivalence classes (of  $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}')$ 's) by  $|V_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}| (\leq 2k)$ . Let us  $\mathcal{G}_v$  denote the set of all representatives for equivalence classes of  $a_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}$ 's (defined by the bijection on  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ ) with  $|V_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}| = v$ ,  $N_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}(e) \geq 2$  for every  $e \in E_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}$ , and  $E_{\mathbf{i}} \cap E_{\mathbf{i}'} \neq \emptyset$ . Note that the cardinality of an equivalence class is exactly  $v! \binom{N}{v}$ , if  $N$  is sufficiently large, that is,  $N \geq v$ .

Using this observation, we have (when  $N \geq 2k$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle \mu, x^k \rangle^2 \right] - \left( \mathbb{E} \langle \mu, x^k \rangle \right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}' \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}^k} (\mathbb{E} [T_{\mathbf{i}} T_{\mathbf{i}'}] - \mathbb{E} T_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbb{E} T_{\mathbf{i}'}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}' \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}^k} (\mathbb{E} [T_{\mathbf{i}} T_{\mathbf{i}'}] - \mathbb{E} T_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbb{E} T_{\mathbf{i}'}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{v=1}^{2k} v! \binom{N}{v} \sum_{(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}') \in \mathcal{G}_v} (\mathbb{E} [T_{\mathbf{i}} T_{\mathbf{i}'}] - \mathbb{E} T_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbb{E} T_{\mathbf{i}'}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{v=1}^{2k} v! \binom{N}{v} \sum_{(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}') \in \mathcal{G}_v} \left( \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'} \\ e \text{ is a loop}}} \mathbb{E} [H_{11}^{N_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}(e)}] \cdot \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'} \\ e \text{ is a non-loop}}} \mathbb{E} [H_{12}^{N_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}(e)}] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}} \\ e \text{ is a loop}}} \mathbb{E} [H_{11}^{N_{\mathbf{i}}(e)}] \cdot \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}} \\ e \text{ is a non-loop}}} \mathbb{E} [H_{12}^{N_{\mathbf{i}}(e)}] \cdot \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}'} \\ e \text{ is a loop}}} \mathbb{E} [H_{11}^{N_{\mathbf{i}'}(e)}] \cdot \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}'} \\ e \text{ is a non-loop}}} \mathbb{E} [H_{12}^{N_{\mathbf{i}'}(e)}] \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{N^{k+2}} \sum_{v=1}^{2k} v! \binom{N}{v} \sum_{(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}') \in \mathcal{G}_v} \left( \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'} \\ e \text{ is a loop}}} \mathbb{E} [\hat{H}_{11}^{N_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}(e)}] \cdot \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'} \\ e \text{ is a non-loop}}} \mathbb{E} [\hat{H}_{12}^{N_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}(e)}] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}} \\ e \text{ is a loop}}} \mathbb{E} [\hat{H}_{11}^{N_{\mathbf{i}}(e)}] \cdot \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}} \\ e \text{ is a non-loop}}} \mathbb{E} [\hat{H}_{12}^{N_{\mathbf{i}}(e)}] \cdot \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}'} \\ e \text{ is a loop}}} \mathbb{E} [\hat{H}_{11}^{N_{\mathbf{i}'}(e)}] \cdot \prod_{\substack{e \in E_{\mathbf{i}'} \\ e \text{ is a non-loop}}} \mathbb{E} [\hat{H}_{12}^{N_{\mathbf{i}'}(e)}] \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{H}_{ij} := N^{-1/2} H_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . Since any  $k$ -th moment of a standard normal random variable are finitely well-defined,  $\sum_{(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}') \in \mathcal{G}_v} (\dots)$  in the last line of the equation above does not depend on  $N$ . Denoting those terms (independent of  $N$ ) as  $C_v$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \langle \mu, x^k \rangle^2 \right] - \left( \mathbb{E} \langle \mu, x^k \rangle \right)^2 = \sum_{v=1}^{2k} C_v \cdot v! \cdot N^{-(k+2)} \binom{N}{v}.$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{G}_v = \emptyset$  so that  $C_v = 0$  for  $v \geq k+2$ , since other (lower degree) terms disappears as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $\binom{N}{v} \sim N^v$ .

Suppose  $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}') \in \mathcal{G}_v$ . Since  $E_{\mathbf{i}} \cap E_{\mathbf{i}'} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $G_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}$  is connected, with  $v$  vertices and  $\leq k$  edges, as every edge should be passed more than once during traverse. Since  $v = |V(G_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'})| \leq |E(G_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'})| + 1 \leq k + 1$  for a connected graph  $G_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'}$ , we have  $\mathcal{G}_v = \emptyset$  when  $v \geq k + 2$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$