Homework 8

1. (a)

- (i) $||A||_F \ge 0$ since it is the 1/2-th power of a sum of squares, a nonnegative number.
- (ii) $||A||_F = 0$ iff $\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} |a_{ij}|^2 = 0$, which is equivalent to $a_{ij} = 0$ for every i and j, i.e., A is the zero matrix.

(iii)
$$\|\alpha A\|_F = \left(\sum_{1 \le i, j \le n} |\alpha a_{ij}|^2\right)^{1/2} = \left(|\alpha|^2 \sum_{1 \le i, j \le n} |a_{ij}|^2\right)^{1/2} = |\alpha| \|A\|_F.$$

(iv) Let A and B be two $n \times n$ matrices. Transforming each matrix A (and B) into an n^2 -dimensional vector \mathbf{a} (and \mathbf{b}), $||A||_F = ||\mathbf{a}||_2$ (the ℓ^2 -norm) and similar results hold for B and A + B. Consequently,

$$||A + B||_F = ||\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}||_2 \le ||\mathbf{a}||_2 + ||\mathbf{b}||_2 = ||A||_F + ||B||_F$$

by the triangle inequality.

For a direct proof,

$$||A + B||_F^2 = \sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} |a_{ij} + b_{ij}|^2$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} |a_{ij}|^2 + \sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} |b_{ij}|^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} |a_{ij}b_{ij}|$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} |a_{ij}|^2 + \sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} |b_{ij}|^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \le i,j,i',j' \le n} |a_{ij}b_{i'j'}| \delta_{ii'}\delta_{jj'}$$

$$\leq \sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} |a_{ij}|^2 + \sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} |b_{ij}|^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \le i,j,i',j' \le n} |a_{ij}b_{i'j'}|$$

$$\leq \sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} |a_{ij}|^2 + \sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} |b_{ij}|^2 + 2 \left(\sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} |a_{ij}|^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} |b_{ij}|^2\right)^{1/2}$$

$$(Cauchy-Schwarz)$$

$$= (||A||_F + ||B||_F)^2.$$

(v)

$$||AB||_F^2 = \sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} \left| \sum_{1 \le k \le n} a_{ik} b_{kj} \right|^2$$

$$\le \sum_{1 \le i,j \le n} \left(\sum_{1 \le k \le n} |a_{ik}|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{1 \le k' \le n} |b_{k'j}|^2 \right)$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le i,j,k,k' \le n} |a_{ik}|^2 |b_{k'j}|^2$$

$$= \left(\sum_{1 \le i,k \le n} |a_{ik}|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{1 \le k',j \le n} |b_{k'j}|^2 \right)$$

$$= ||A||_F^2 ||B||_F^2.$$
(Cauchy–Schwarz)

(b) When $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = 1$,

$$||A\mathbf{x}||_{2}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{ij} x_{j} \right)^{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |A_{ij}|^{2} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}|^{2} \right)$$
(Cauchy–Schwarz)
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |A_{ij}|^{2} \right)$$

$$= ||A||_{F}^{2}.$$

Therefore, $||A||_2 = \max_{||\mathbf{x}||_2=1} ||A\mathbf{x}||_2 \le ||A||_F$.

2. (a) $||A||_2 = \rho(A^t A)^{1/2} = \rho(A^2)^{1/2}$ since $A = A^t$ by Theorem 5(1) in the lecture note. Here, when λ is an eigenvalue of A with corresponding eigenvector \mathbf{v} , we have $A^2\mathbf{v} = \lambda^2\mathbf{v}$ so that A^2 has λ^2 as an eigenvalue. By counting them, we notice that every eigenvalue of A^2 is of such form, considering the multiplicities. (For example, if A has ± 3 as eigenvalues, then A^2 has 9 as an eigenvalue of multiplicity 2.) Therefore,

$$\rho(A^2) = \max_{\lambda: \text{ eigenvalue of } A^2} |\lambda| = \max_{\lambda: \text{ eigenvalue of } A} |\lambda^2| = \rho(A)^2.$$

Consequently, we have $||A||_2 = \rho(A)$.

(b) First, $\rho(A) \leq ||A||$, Theorem 5(2) in the lecture note, implies the second inequality.

Now, observe that when λ is an eigenvalue of A with corresponding eigenvector \mathbf{v} , we have $A^{-1}\mathbf{v} = \lambda^{-1}A^{-1}\lambda\mathbf{v} = \lambda^{-1}A^{-1}A\mathbf{v} = \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{v}$ so that A^{-1} has λ^{-1} as an eigenvalue. Note that the first inequality is equivalent to that $|\lambda|^{-1} \leq \|A^{-1}\|$ for any eigenvalue λ of A by the observation above, which is again equivalent to that $\rho(A^{-1}) \leq \|A^{-1}\|$. Therefore, Theorem 5(2) in the lecture note again proves this.