

A Synthetic Aperture Focusing Algorithm for Ultrasonic Imaging

Let $a(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}_s)$ be an A-scan waveform of time t measured at \mathbf{x} when the incident wave is excited by a source point at \mathbf{x}_s . Suppose that a set of A-scans

$$\mathcal{D} = \{a(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}_s) | \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}, t > 0\} \quad (1)$$

are measured over a receiver aperture \mathcal{R} , and consider synthesizing an image of the scattering objects from the given dataset \mathcal{D} . A synthetic aperture algorithm is used for this purpose. In developing the synthetic aperture imaging method, it is assumed that the waveform $a(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}_s)$ can be decomposed into the incident and scattered components as

$$a(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}_s) = a^{in}(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}_s) + a^{sc}(\mathbf{x}, t; \mathbf{x}_s). \quad (2)$$

As in eq.(2), quantities associated with the incident and scattered wave components are and will be denoted by the superscripts "in" and "sc", respectively.

The imaging algorithm is divided into two parts. The first part is the delay-and-sum operation on the A-scans in \mathcal{D} . The second part is the sampling of a wave amplitude from the summed A-scan. The laws of the delay-and-sum and that of sampling are both based on the flight time. It is hence convenient to introduce a time-of-flight function T_f . The function $T_f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ returns a time τ required for the ultrasonic wave to travel from a source (\mathbf{y}) to an observation point(\mathbf{x}), and written as

$$\tau = T_f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}). \quad (3)$$

If $T_f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is considered as a function of \mathbf{x} , it reduces to a travel time curve. Returning to the decomposition of eq.(2), it is natural to define the flight time of the incident wave as

$$t^{in}(\mathbf{x}) = T_f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_s), (\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}). \quad (4)$$

If $t^{in}(\mathbf{x})$ is given for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}$, the incident wave pulse in each A-scan can be aligned at the origin of coordinate $t = 0$ by translating $a(x, t)$ by $T(x, x_s)$ as $a(x, t + T(x, x_s))$. If the translated A-scans are superimposed as

$$\bar{a}^{in}(t) = \int_{\mathcal{R}} a(x, t + T(x, x_s)) dx, \quad (5)$$

then the incident wave packets are interfered constructively and amplified in $\bar{a}^{in}(t)$ at $t = 0$. Equation(5) is an example of delay and sum operation, in which the waveform $a(x, t)$ is delayed by $-T_f(x, x_s)$ and summed over \mathcal{R} . The summed A-scan similar to eq.(5) can be defined for the scattered waveform $a^{sc}(x, t)$. However, defining the flight time for the scattered wave component is not straightforward. For the scattering object of a general shape, it is not possible to define a unique flight time as every part of the scattering object could generate a scattered waves. A simple trick to circumvent this difficulty is to consider the scattering object as a collection of small, point-like scatterer distributed over the surface of the object. Then the scattered wave flight time can be clearly defined for the constituent point scatterers. This approach may be formulated more rigorously if an integral representation of the scattered field, which is beyond the scope of this article. When a point scatterer is located at a pixel point \mathbf{x}_P , the scattered wave flight time is given by

$$t_P^{sc}(\mathbf{x}) = T_f(\mathbf{x}_P, \mathbf{x}_s) + T_f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_P) \quad (6)$$

where the subscript P is there as a reminder that the flight time depend on the pixel point \mathbf{x}_P . Once \mathbf{x}_P is specified, it is ready to align the scattered wave packet at the origin of the

coordinate and sum them up so that the wave packets be amplified in the summed A-scan. The delay-and-sum operation may be written as follows.

$$\bar{a}_P^{sc}(t) = \int_{\mathcal{R}} a^{sc}(\mathbf{x}, t - t_P^{sc}(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x} \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, if there is no scattering object at \mathbf{x}_P , then there is no wave packet in $a^{sc}(x, t)$ to be amplified. Thus the summed A-scan would be rather quiet having a small norm. This means the summed A-scan \bar{a}_P^{sc} can be used as a measure of scattering intensity at \mathbf{x}_P . If the intensity is measured by a scalar quantity by sampling or a projection, then we may synthesize a map of scattered intensity by

$$I(\mathbf{x}_P) = \mathcal{S} [\bar{a}_P^{sc}(t)] \quad (8)$$

where \mathcal{S} denote the sampling(projection) operator from a space of A-scan waveforms to the non-negative real coordinate R^+ . The simplest choice for \mathcal{S} is a sampling by Dirac's delta function

$$\mathcal{S} [\bar{a}_P^{sc}(t)] = \langle \delta(t), \bar{a}_P^{sc} \rangle = \bar{a}_P^{sc}(0) \quad (9)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ means the dot product defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(t)g(t)dt. \quad (10)$$

This is reasonable because the \bar{a}_P^{sc} is constructed so that the wave packet is amplified at $t = 0$. However, this is not often a good choice as the real A-scan is not an ideal pulse, and hence the peak amplitude always comes after the theoretically estimated flight time. A better alternative to automatically account such delay is to take a cross correlation

$$\mathcal{S} [\bar{a}_P^{sc}(t)] = \frac{\langle \bar{a}^{in}(t), \bar{a}_P^{sc}(t) \rangle}{\langle \bar{a}^{in}(t), \bar{a}^{in}(t) \rangle} \quad (11)$$

where the uncertainty regarding the delay is compensated by the uncertainty of summed incident wave pulse shape. We can further generalize the projection \mathcal{S} , e.g. as

$$\mathcal{S} [\bar{a}_P^{sc}(t)] = \left\| a^{in}(t) * a^{sc}(t) \right\|_p \quad (12)$$

where $*$ denotes a convolution product and $\|\cdot\|_p$ means the p -norm. However, we're not going to elaborate the projection, but rather, investigate how a relatively simple projection (11) works with the A-scans measured with an LDV.