Soo Wan Kim MACS 30200 Problem Set 1: Writing a Data Section

Food Inspections Dataset

The Food Inspections Dataset¹ contains information on inspections of food establishments in Chicago from 2010 to the present. Curated by the Chicago Department of Public Health (CDPH), it is downloadable through the City of Chicago's Open Data Portal. As of April 16, 2017, the dataset contained information on 146,897 inspections carried out on 32,042 individual establishments.

The City of Chicago describes the data collection methodology as follows:

"Inspections are performed by staff from the Chicago Department of Public Health's Food Protection Program using a standardized procedure. The results of the inspection are inputted into a database, then reviewed and approved by a State of Illinois Licensed Environmental Health Practitioner (LEHP)."

Studies that have used this dataset include the Food Inspection Forecasting Project² carried out by the City of Chicago's advanced analytics team and the Chicago Department of Public Health to predict critical violations at food establishments, and a CDC report³ examining the outcomes of Foodborne Chicago, an online platform where the public can report restaurant-related food poisoning to the CDPH.

The variables included in the dataset are described in the table on the next page.

¹ Chicago Department of Public Health. 2017. Food Inspections Dataset. Accessed April 16, 2017. https://data.cityofchicago.org/Health-Human-Services/Food-Inspections/4ijn-s7e5

² City Of Chicago. Food Inspection Forecasting. Accessed April 19, 2017. https://chicago.github.io/food-inspections-evaluation/.

³ [3] Harris, Jenine K., Raed Mansour, Bechara Choucair, Joe Olson, Cory Nissen, and Jay Bhatt. "Health Department Use of Social Media to Identify Foodborne Illness - Chicago, Illinois, 2013-2014." Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, August 15, 2014. https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6332a1.htm.

Table 1: Description of Variables

Variable	Description*	# Unique Values** (% Total Obs.)	# Missing Values (% Total Obs.)
DBA/'Doing business as'	Legal name of establishment	23,325	0
AKA/'Also known as'	Name the public would know the establishment as	23,082	2,698 (< 2%)
License number	Unique ID assigned to each establishment by the Department of Business Affairs and Consumer Protection	32,042	14 (< 1%)
Type of facility	Type of facility	371	4540 (3 %)
Risk category of facility	Category of risk of adversely affecting the public's health, 1 being the highest and 3 the lowest. Establishments at higher risk categories are inspected more frequently.	4: - High (70%) - Medium (21%) - Low (10%) - All (< 1%)	66 (< 1%)
Street address	Street address of establishment	16,811	0
City	City	56	150 (1%)
State	State	1: <i>IL</i>	8 (< 1%)
Zip	Zip code of establishment	100	98 (< 1%)
Inspection date	Date of inspection	1,850	0
Inspection type	Inspection type	90	1 (< 1%)
Inspection result	Inspection result	7: - Pass (59%) - Fail (19%) - Pass with conditions (9%) - Out of business (9%) - No entry (3%) - Not ready (< 1%) - Business not located (< 1%)	0
Violations	Violations noted during inspection, and for each violation, the requirement the establishment must meet to not receive it. Description of conditions at the establishment relevant to each violation.	116,346	11,678 (8%, excluding cases where inspection result is not "Pass" or "Fail")

^{*}Adapted from the official description of the data, available at: https://data.cityofchicago.org/api/assets/BAD5301B-681A-4202-9D25-51B2CAE672FF

^{**}After standardization


Table 2: The thirty most common facility types by risk level


Facility Type	Average Risk Level	# Obs.
DAVCARE	(1 = High, 2 = Medium, 3 = Low)	F4
DAYCARE (2.VEARS)	1.000000	51
DAYCARE (2 YEARS)	1.000000	50
DAYCARE (UNDER 2 YEARS)	1.000000	193
GROCERY/RESTAURANT	1.000000	50
HOSPITAL	1.000000	360
LONG TERM CARE	1.000000	867
DAYCARE ABOVE AND UNDER 2 YEARS	1.008594	1629
CHILDREN'S SERVICES FACILITY	1.012539	1595
DAYCARE COMBO 1586	1.015727	763
DAYCARE (2 - 6 YEARS)	1.021524	2323
CATERING	1.032825	853
GOLDEN DINER	1.038929	411
SHARED KITCHEN	1.127273	55
BANQUET HALL	1.129310	232
SHELTER	1.130952	84
SCHOOL	1.150599	9263
RESTAURANT	1.218461	96249
SPECIAL EVENT	1.534161	161
SHARED KITCHEN USER (LONG TERM)	1.616279	172
BAKERY	1.621985	2156
MOBILE FOOD PREPARER	1.857143	322
GROCERY STORE	2.076791	19821
KIOSK	2.078431	102
WHOLESALE	2.328155	515
CONVENIENCE STORE	2.767857	56
GAS STATION	2.833333	114
MOBILE FOOD DISPENSER	2.859626	749
LIQUOR	2.929395	694
CONVENIENCE	2.944444	54
TAVERN	2.969957	233

Table 3: Businesses that failed the most inspections (top 30)

Business	% Inspections Failed	# Obs.
LEONA'S RESTAURANT	41.66667	48
EL TACONAZO RESTAURANT	39.21569	51
FOREVER YOGURT	34.37500	64
CITGO	29.89418	378
PAPA JOHN'S PIZZA	29.80769	104
MARATHON	28.94737	76
TREASURE ISLAND FOODS	28.04878	82
LAS ISLAS MARIAS	26.59574	94
HAROLD'S CHICKEN	22.07084	367
ARAMARK	19.44444	108
SEE THRU CHINESE KITCHEN	18.69919	246
PIZZA HUT	18.26087	115
SHARKS FISH & CHICKEN	18.18182	121
MCDONALD'S	17.82662	1638
POTBELLY SANDWICH WORKS	17.54757	473
DUNKIN DONUTS/BASKIN ROBBINS	17.42081	442
CHIPOTLE	17.35751	386
J & J FISH & CHICKEN	17.07317	164
DOMINO'S PIZZA	16.46341	164
KENTUCKY FRIED CHICKEN	16.23932	234
7-ELEVEN	15.94982	1116
DUNKIN DONUTS	15.50604	1077
POPEYE'S	15.30815	503
WHOLE FOODS MARKET	14.90683	161
FRESHII	13.98601	143
JIMMY JOHN'S	13.87665	454
AU BON PAIN	13.63636	198
SUBWAY	12.65782	3089
STARBUCKS	11.20000	1000
CORNER BAKERY CAFE	10.43956	182

Figure 1: Where inspections take place





Source: Food Inspections, Chicago Department of Public Health

Figure 2: Distribution of inspection failure rate, by zip code

Histogram of inspection failure rate by zip





Figure 3: Clustering of inspection locations by zip code and average failure rate

Food Inspections by Zip Code Cluster

Based on average inspection failure rate



Avg. failure rate by zip <16% >22% In betw Source: Food Inspections, Chicago Department of Public Health

(Blue: <16%, Red: >22%, Green: In between)