Picking the Pivot

- How would you pick the pivot?
- Strategy 1: Pick the first or last element in S
 - Good for a randomly populated array
 - What if input S is sorted, or even mostly sorted?
 - All the remaining elements would go into either S1 or S2!
- Strategy 2: Pick the pivot randomly
 - Good in practice if "truly random"
 - Still possible to get some bad choices
 - Requires execution of random number generator

Picking the Pivot (Cont.)

- Strategy 3: Median-of-three Partitioning
 - Ideally, the pivot should be the median of input array S
 - Median = element in the middle of the sorted sequence
 - Would divide the input into two almost equal partitions
 - Unfortunately, its hard to calculate median quickly, without sorting first!
 - So find the approximate median
 - Pivot = median of the left-most, right-most and center element of the array S
 - Solves the problem of sorted input

Picking the Pivot (Cont.)

- Example: Median-of-three Partitioning
 - Let input S = {6, 1, 4, 9, 0, 3, 5, 2, 7, 8}
 - left=0 and S[left] = 6
 - right=9 and S[right] = 8
 - center = (left+right)/2 = 4 and S[center] = 0
 - Pivot
 - = Median of S[left], S[right], and S[center]
 - = median of 6, 8, and 0
 - = S[left] = 6

Quick Sort vs. Insertion Sort

- For small arrays (N ≤ 20),
 - Insertion sort is faster than quicksort
- Quicksort is recursive
 - So it can spend a lot of time sorting small arrays
- Hybrid algorithm:
 - Switch to using insertion sort when problem size is small (say for N < 20)

QuickSort vs. MergeSort

- Main problem with quicksort:
 - QuickSort may end up dividing the input array into subproblems of size 1 and n-1 in the worst case, at every recursive step (unlike merge sort which always divides into two halves)
 - When can this happen?
 - Leading to O(n²) performance

Need to choose pivot wisely (but efficiently)

- ExergeSort is typically implemented using a temporary array (for merge step) "not in place"
 - QuickSort can partition the array "in place"

 When an algorithm has an average case performance and worst case performance that are very different, we can try to minimize the odds of encountering the worst case.

- Idea: Partition around a random element.
- Running time is independent of the input order.
- No assumptions need to be made about the input distribution.
- The worst case is determined only by the output of a random-number generator.

- Randomizing the input
 - With a given set of input numbers, there are very few permutations that produce the worst case performance in Quicksort.
 - But if we pick our pivot randomly, we will rarely get a bad pivot.
 - So, randomly choose a pivot element in A[p..r].
 - For Quicksort, add an initial step to randomize the input array.
 - Running time is now independent of input ordering.

Randomized Partition

RANDOMIZED-PARTITION (A, p, r)

- 1 $i \leftarrow RANDOM(p, r)$
- 2 exchange $A[r] \leftrightarrow A[i]$
- 3 return PARTITION (A, p, r)

```
RANDOMIZED-QUICKSORT (A, p, r)

1 if p < r

2 then q \leftarrow RANDOMIZED-PARTITION (A, p, r)

RANDOMIZED-QUICKSORT (A, p, q-1)
```

RANDOMIZED-QUICKSORT (A, q+1, r)

Conclusion

- Quicksort is Divide-and-Conquer algorithm.
- In-place sorting
- Quicksort is typically over twice as fast as merge sort.
- Quicksort runs $O(n \log n)$ in the best and average case, but $O(n^2)$ in the worst case.
- Worst case scenarios for Quicksort occur when the array is already sorted, in either ascending or descending order.
- Several strategies to pick the pivot
- We can increase the probability of obtaining average-case performance from Quicksort by using Randomized-partition.