

Homework 3.

Amath 383

Introduction to Continuous Mathematical Modeling

© Ryan Creedon, University of Washington

Due: 10/25/22 at 11:59pm to Gradescope

Directions:

Complete all exercises as neatly as possible. Up to 3 points may be deducted for homework that is illegible and/or poorly organized. You are encouraged to type homework solutions, and a half bonus point will be awarded to students who use \LaTeX . (Check out my \LaTeX beginner document and overleaf.com if you are new to \LaTeX .) If you prefer not to type homeworks, I ask that **homeworks be scanned.** (I will not accept physical copies.) In addition, **homeworks must be in .pdf format.**

Pro-Tips:

- You have access to the textbook, which inspired some of these exercises. You may find that the textbook offers alternative explanations that can help you solve these exercises.
- If a result was already derived in class, no need to rederive it here. Just make sure you cite where in the lecture notes the result your using can be found.
- Teamwork makes the dream work, but please indicate at the top of your assignment who your collaborators are.
- Don't wait until the last minute. ☺

Exercise 1. (Unit 1.5)

Consider the model equation

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = 1 - rP + P^2. \quad (1)$$

- a. For what value(s) of r does (1) have two fixed points? How about one fixed point? No fixed points?
- b. Show, using the appropriate conditions, that this model equation has two saddle-node bifurcations. What are the bifurcation points?
- c. Using software of your choice, plot a bifurcation diagram for $-5 \leq r \leq 5$. Be sure to identify the stable and unstable branches in your plot.

Now consider the model equation

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = P(r - e^P). \quad (2)$$

- d. For what value(s) of r does (2) have two fixed points? How about one fixed point? No fixed points?
- e. Show, using the appropriate conditions, that this model equation has a transcritical bifurcation. What is the bifurcation point?
- f. Using software of your choice, plot a bifurcation diagram for $-1.5 \leq r \leq 3$. Be sure to identify the stable and unstable branches in your plot.

Exercise 2. (Units 1.5-1.6)

The FitzHugh-Nagumo equations were proposed independently by Richard FitzHugh in 1961 and Jin-ichi Nagumo in 1962 as a model for the firing of individual nerve cells, such as neurons in the brain. The (dimensionless) model equations are as follows:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = V - \frac{1}{3}V^3 - W + I, \quad (3a)$$

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = \frac{1}{T_R} \left(V - \frac{W}{T_F} \right), \quad (3b)$$

where V represents the voltage potential across the membrane of the cell, I represents the net current due to ions flowing into and out of the cell, W is an artificial relaxation variable that controls how V relaxes after the cell fires, $T_R > 0$ is a constant that controls the time scale of the relaxation period between cell firings, and $T_F > 0$ is a constant that controls the time scale for the decay of the relaxation variable, allowing the cell to unrelax and refire.

- a. Suppose $T_R \gg 1$, meaning $\frac{dW}{dt}$ is effectively zero. In this case, what is W as a function of V and T_F ?
- b. Show that, when $T_R \gg 1$ and there is no net current flowing across the cell membrane, we have

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = V \left(1 - T_F - \frac{1}{3}V^2 \right). \quad (4)$$

- c. Show, using the appropriate conditions, that (4) has a Pitchfork bifurcation with respect to the parameter T_F . Sketch the bifurcation diagram. Is this Pitchfork bifurcation sub- or super-critical?
- d. Suppose initially $V(0) = 1$. Solve (4) with $T_F = 0.5$ by the following numerical methods:
 - Euler's method,
 - The midpoint method,
 - The Runge-Kutta method,
 - MATLAB's ode45 method.

Use $N = 20$ time steps over the interval $0 \leq t \leq 10$. The code for these methods can be found on the Homework 3 Canvas page. Superimpose the graphs of each numerical solution onto one graph and attach this graph to your homework assignment. *Include a legend on your graph to distinguish each of the numerical solutions.*

- e. Repeat the analysis in (d) but with $T_F = 1.5$. How are your plots qualitatively different than those in (d)? How can your analysis in (c) account for this difference?

Remark: As you have seen, T_F must be sufficiently small in order for the cell to unrelax and build up voltage potential. (Otherwise, the cell continues to relax.) This makes sense, since a small T_F means that W decays quickly, allowing V to increase more rapidly.

Exercise 3. (Unit 1.6)

Consider the logistic equation with initial growth rate $r = 1$ and carrying capacity $K = 1$:

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = P(1 - P).$$

- a. Find the exact solution of this equation satisfying the initial condition $P(0) = 1/2$.
- b. Find the solution of this equation satisfying the initial condition $P(0) = 1/2$ by the following numerical methods:

- Euler's method,
- The midpoint method,
- The Runge-Kutta method.

Use $N = 20$ time steps over the interval $0 \leq t \leq 10$. Superimpose the graph of the exact solution and the graphs of each of the numerical solutions onto one graph and attach your graph to your homework assignment. *Include a legend on your graph to distinguish each of the solutions.*

Define the error of each method as the maximum difference in absolute value between the exact solution and the numerical solution over $0 \leq t \leq 10$:

$$\mathcal{E} = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 10} |P_{\text{exact}}(t) - P_{\text{numerical}}(t)|.$$

What is the error of each numerical method?

Hint: You may find MATLAB's built-in max function useful.

- Obtain the errors for each numerical method using $N = 200$ time steps. Have the errors improved?
- According to theory, the error \mathcal{E} of a finite-difference method is approximately given by

$$\mathcal{E} = Ch^p,$$

where h is the step size used for the method, p is the order of the method, and C is a constant of proportionality. Given two errors \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 for two different step sizes h_1 and h_2 , show that

$$p = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_2}{\mathcal{E}_1}\right)}{\ln\left(\frac{h_2}{h_1}\right)}.$$

- Using the errors computed in (b) and (c) and the formula derived in (d), estimate the order of Euler's method, the midpoint method, and the Runge-Kutta method. Do these orders match what you expect?