# Package 'semTools'

March 1, 2016

```
Title Useful Tools for Structural Equation Modeling
Version 0.4-11
Description Provides useful tools for structural equation modeling packages.
Depends R(>=3.0), methods, lavaan(>=0.5-20), utils, stats, graphics
Suggests MASS, parallel, Amelia, mice, foreign, OpenMx(>= 2.0.0),
      GPArotation, mnormt, boot
License GPL (>= 2)
LazyData yes
LazyLoad yes
URL https://github.com/simsem/semTools/wiki
Author Sunthud Pornprasertmanit [aut, cre],
      Patrick Miller [aut],
      Alexander Schoemann [aut],
      Yves Rosseel [aut],
      Corbin Quick [ctb],
      Mauricio Garnier-Villarreal [ctb],
      James Selig [ctb],
      Aaron Boulton [ctb],
      Kristopher Preacher [ctb],
      Donna Coffman [ctb],
      Mijke Rhemtulla [ctb],
      Alexander Robitzsch [ctb],
      Craig Enders [ctb],
      Ruber Arslan [ctb],
      Bell Clinton [ctb],
      Pavel Panko [ctb],
      Edgar Merkle [ctb],
      Terry Jorgensen [ctb],
      Steven Chesnut [ctb],
      Jarrett Byrnes [ctb],
      Jason Rights [ctb]
Maintainer Sunthud Pornprasertmanit <psunthud@gmail.com>
```

**Date/Publication** 2016-03-01 10:43:20

# **NeedsCompilation** no **Repository** CRAN

# $\mathsf{R}$ topics documented:

auxiliary
BootMiss-class
boreal
bsBootMiss
ci.reliability
clipboard_saveFile
combinequark
compareFit
dat2way
dat3way
datCat
EFA-class
efaUnrotate
exLong
findRMSEApower
findRMSEApowernested
findRMSEAsamplesize
findRMSEAsamplesizenested
FitDiff-class
fitMeasuresMx
fmi
impliedFactorStat
imposeStart
indProd
kd
kurtosis
lavaanStar-class
lisrel2lavaan
loadingFromAlpha
longInvariance
mardiaKurtosis
mardiaSkew
maximalRelia
measurementInvariance
measurementInvarianceCat
miPowerFit
monteCarloMed
moreFitIndices
mvrnonnorm
net
Net-class
nullMx

auxiliary 3

nullRMSEA			 													. 67
parcelAllocation			 													. 68
partialInvariance .			 													. 70
PAVranking			 													. 75
permuteMeasEq			 													. 79
permuteMeasEq-clas																
plotProbe			 													. 85
plotRMSEAdist			 													. 87
plotRMSEApower			 													. 89
plotRMSEApowerne																
probe2WayMC			 													. 92
probe2WayRC			 													. 94
probe3WayMC																
probe3WayRC			 													. 100
quark			 													. 103
reliability			 													. 105
reliabilityL2			 													. 108
residualCovariate .			 													. 110
rotate			 													. 111
runMI			 													. 112
saturateMx			 													. 115
simParcel			 													. 116
singleParamTest			 													. 117
skew			 													. 119
spatialCorrect			 													. 120
splitSample			 													. 121
SSpower			 													. 123
standardizeMx			 													. 124
tukeySEM			 													. 126
wald			 													. 128
Index																130
auxiliary	Analyz iary va	_	with j	full-i	nfori	natio	on n	ıaxiı	num	like	liho	od	wi	th (	<u></u>	xil-

# **Description**

Analyzing data with full-information maximum likelihood with auxiliary variables. The techniques used to account for auxiliary variables are both extra-dependent-variables and saturated-correlates approaches (Enders, 2008). The extra-dependent-variables approach is used for all single variables in the model (such as covariates or single-indicator dependent variable) For variables that are belong to a multiple-indicator factor, the saturated-correlates approach is used. Note that all covariates are treated as endogenous variables in this model (fixed.x = FALSE) so multivariate normality is assumed for the covariates. CAUTION: (1) this function will automatically change the missing data handling method to full-information maximum likelihood and (2) this function is still not applicable

4 auxiliary

for categorical variables (because the maximum likelhood method is not available in lavaan for estimating models with categorical variables currently).

#### Usage

```
auxiliary(model, aux, fun, ...)
cfa.auxiliary(model, aux, ...)
sem.auxiliary(model, aux, ...)
growth.auxiliary(model, aux, ...)
lavaan.auxiliary(model, aux, ...)
```

#### **Arguments**

model	The lavaan object, the parameter table, or lavaan script. If the lavaan object is provided, the lavaan object must be evaluated with mean structure.
aux	The list of auxiliary variable
fun	The character of the function name used in running lavaan model ("cfa", "sem", "growth", "lavaan").
	The additional arguments in the lavaan function.

#### Value

The lavaanStar object which contains the original lavaan object and the additional values of the null model, which need to be adjusted to account for auxiliary variables.

# Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

# References

Enders, C. K. (2008). A note of the use of missing auxiliary variables in full information maximum likelihood-based structural equation models. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 15, 434-448.

#### See Also

lavaanStar

# **Examples**

auxiliary 5

```
fitaux <- cfa.auxiliary(fit, aux="z", data=dat) # Use lavaan output</pre>
# Example of multiple groups confirmatory factor analysis
fitgroup <- cfa(HS.model, data=dat, group="school", meanstructure=TRUE)</pre>
fitgroupaux <- cfa.auxiliary(fitgroup, aux="z", data=dat, group="school")</pre>
## Not run:
# Example of path analysis
mod <- ' x5 \sim x4
x4 ~ x3
x3 \sim x1 + x2'
fitpath <- sem(mod, data=dat, fixed.x=FALSE, meanstructure=TRUE) # fixed.x must be FALSE
fitpathaux <- sem.auxiliary(fitpath, aux="z", data=dat)</pre>
# Example of full structural equation modeling
dat2 <- data.frame(PoliticalDemocracy, z=rnorm(nrow(PoliticalDemocracy), 0, 1))</pre>
model <- '
     ind60 = x1 + x2 + x3
     dem60 = y1 + a*y2 + b*y3 + c*y4
     dem65 = y5 + a*y6 + b*y7 + c*y8
    dem60 \sim ind60
    dem65 \sim ind60 + dem60
    y1 ~~ y5
    y2 ~~ y4 + y6
    y3 ~~ y7
    y4 ~~ y8
    y6 ~~ y8
fitsem <- sem(model, data=dat2, meanstructure=TRUE)</pre>
fitsemaux <- sem.auxiliary(fitsem, aux="z", data=dat2, meanstructure=TRUE)</pre>
# Example of covariate at the factor level
HS.model.cov \leftarrow 'visual = x1 + x2 + x3
              textual =^{\sim} x4 + x5 + x6
             speed = ^{\sim} x7 + x8 + x9
  visual ~ sex
  textual ~ sex
  speed ~ sex'
fitcov <- cfa(HS.model.cov, data=dat, fixed.x=FALSE, meanstructure=TRUE)</pre>
fitcovaux <- cfa.auxiliary(fitcov, aux="z", data=dat)</pre>
# Example of Endogenous variable with single indicator
HS.model.cov2 \leftarrow 'visual = x1 + x2 + x3
              textual = x4 + x5 + x6
              x7 ~ visual + textual'
```

6 BootMiss-class

BootMiss-class

Class For the Results of Bollen-Stine Bootstrap with Incomplete Data

# **Description**

This class contains the results of Bollen-Stine bootstrap with missing data.

#### **Objects from the Class**

Objects can be created via the bsBootMiss function.

#### Slots

time: A list containing 2 difftime objects (transform and fit), indicating the time elapsed for data transformation and for fitting the model to bootstrap data sets, respectively.

transData: Transformed data

bootDist: The vector of chi-square values from Bootstrap data sets fitted by the target model

origChi: The chi-square value from the original data set

df: The degree of freedom of the model

bootP: The p-value comparing the original chi-square with the bootstrap distribution

#### methods

**show** signature(object = "BootMiss"): The show function is used to display the results of the Bollen-Stine bootstrap.

summary signature(object = "BootMiss"): The summary function prints the same information from the show method, but also provides information about the time elapsed, as well as the expected (theoretical) and observed (bootstrap) mean and variance of the chi-squared distribution.

hist signature(x = "BootMiss", ..., alpha = .05, nd = 2, printLegend = TRUE, legendArgs = list(x = "top." The hist function provides a histogram for the bootstrap distribution of chi-squared, including observed and critical values from the specified alpha level. The user can also specify additional graphical parameters to hist via ..., as well as pass a list of arguments to an optional legend via legendArgs. If the user wants more control over customization, hist returns a list of length == 2, containing the arguments for the call to hist and the arguments to the call for legend, respectively.

boreal 7

#### Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

#### See Also

bsBootMiss

#### **Examples**

# See the example from the bsBootMiss function

boreal

The Boreal Vegetation Dataset

# **Description**

A part of the Boreal Vegetation dataset from Zuur et al. (2009)

# Usage

data(boreal)

# **Format**

A data frame with 533 observations of 7 variables.

point Point ID

- x X-coordinate
- y Y-coordinate

nTot species richness

NDVI The normalized difference vegetation index from satellite data

T61 climate

Wet Wetness

#### References

Zuur, A., Ieno, E. N., Walker, N., Saveliev, A. A., & Smith, G. M. (2009). *Mixed effects models and extensions in ecology with R*. New York: Springer.

# Examples

head(boreal)

8 bsBootMiss

bsBootMiss

Bollen-Stine Bootstrap with the Existence of Missing Data

#### **Description**

Implement the Bollen and Stine's (1992) Bootstrap when missing observations exist. The implemented method is proposed by Savalei and Yuan (2009). This can be used in two ways. The first and easiest option is to fit the model to incomplete data in lavaan using the FIML estimator, then pass that lavaan object to bsBootMis.

The second is designed for users of other software packages (e.g., LISREL, EQS, Amos, or Mplus). Users can import their data, chi-squared value, and model-implied moments from another package, and they have the option of saving (or writing to a file) either the transformed data or bootstrapped samples of that data, which can be analyzed in other programs. In order to analyze the bootstrapped samples and return a p value, users of other programs must still specify their model using lavaan syntax.

#### Usage

```
bsBootMiss(x, transformation = 2, nBoot = 500, model, rawData,
Sigma, Mu, group, ChiSquared, EMcov,
writeTransData = FALSE, transDataOnly = FALSE,
writeBootData = FALSE, bootSamplesOnly = FALSE,
writeArgs, seed = NULL, suppressWarn = TRUE,
showProgress = TRUE, ...)
```

#### **Arguments**

X	A target lavaar	object used in	the Bollen-	Stine bootstrap

transformation The transformation methods in Savalei and Yuan (2009). There are three meth-

ods in the article, but only the first two are currently implemented here. Use transformation = 1 when there are few missing data patterns, each of which has a large size, such as in a planned-missing-data design. Use transformation = 2 when there are more missing data patterns. The currently unavailable transformation = 3 would be used when several missing data patterns have n = 1.

nBoot The number of bootstrap samples.

model Optional. The target model if x is not provided.

rawData Optional. The target raw data set if x is not provided.

Sigma Optional. The model-implied covariance matrix if x is not provided.

Mu Optional. The model-implied mean vector if x is not provided.

group Optional character string specifying the name of the grouping variable in rawData

if x is not provided.

ChiSquared Optional. The model-implied mean vector if x is not provided.

EMcov Optional, if x is not provided. The EM (or Two-Stage ML) estimated covariance

matrix used to speed up Transformation 2 algorithm.

bsBootMiss 9

transDataOnly Logical. If TRUE, the result will provide the transformed data only.

writeTransData Logical. If TRUE, the transformed data set is written to a text file, transDataOnly

is set to TRUE, and the transformed data is returned invisibly.

bootSamplesOnly

Logical. If TRUE, the result will provide bootstrap data sets only.

writeBootData Logical. If TRUE, the stacked bootstrap data sets are written to a text file, bootSamplesOnly

is set to TRUE, and the list of bootstrap data sets are returned invisibly.

writeArgs Optional list. If writeBootData = TRUE or writeBootData = TRUE, user

can pass arguments to the write.table function as a list. Some default values are provided: file = "bootstrappedSamples.dat", row.names = FALSE, and na = "-999", but the user can override all of these by providing other values for those

arguments in the writeArgs list.

seed The seed number used in randomly drawing bootstrap samples.

suppressWarn Logical. If TRUE, warnings from lavaan function will be suppressed when fitting

the model to each bootstrap sample.

showProgress Logical. Indicating whether to display a progress bar while fitting models to

bootstrap samples.

. . . The additional arguments in the lavaan function.

#### Value

As a default, this function returns a BootMiss object containing the results of the bootstrap samples. Use show, summary, or hist to examine the results. Optionally, the transformed data set is returned if transDataOnly = TRUE. Optionally, the bootstrap data sets are returned if bootSamplesOnly = TRUE.

#### Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

#### References

Bollen, K. A., \& Stine, R. A. (1992). Bootstrapping goodness-of-fit measures in structural equation models. *Sociological Methods* \& *Research*, 21, 205-229. doi:10.1177/0049124192021002004

Savalei, V., \& Yuan, K.-H. (2009). On the model-based bootstrap with missing data: obtaining a p-value for a test of exact fit. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 44, 741-763. doi:10.1080/00273170903333590

#### See Also

**BootMiss** 

# **Examples**

```
## Not run:
dat1 <- HolzingerSwineford1939
dat1$x5 <- ifelse(dat1$x1 <= quantile(dat1$x1, .3), NA, dat1$x5)
dat1$x9 <- ifelse(is.na(dat1$x5), NA, dat1$x9)</pre>
```

```
targetModel <- "
visual = x1 + x2 + x3
textual =^{\sim} x4 + x5 + x6
speed = ^{\sim} x7 + x8 + x9
targetFit <- sem(targetModel, dat1, meanstructure = TRUE, std.lv = TRUE,</pre>
                 missing = "fiml", group = "school")
summary(targetFit, fit = TRUE, standardized = TRUE)
# The number of bootstrap samples should be much higher.
temp <- bsBootMiss(targetFit, transformation = 1, nBoot = 10, seed = 31415)</pre>
temp
summary(temp)
hist(temp)
hist(temp, printLegend = FALSE) # suppress the legend
## user can specify alpha level (default: alpha = 0.05), and the number of
## digits to display (default: nd = 2). Pass other arguments to hist(...),
## or a list of arguments to legend() via "legendArgs"
hist(temp, alpha = .01, nd = 3, xlab = "something else", breaks = 25,
     legendArgs = list("bottomleft", box.lty = 2))
## End(Not run)
```

ci.reliability

Confidence Interval for a Reliability Coefficient

# **Description**

A function to calculate the confidence interval for a reliability coefficient: coefficient alpha or coefficient omega.

#### Usage

```
ci.reliability(data = NULL, S = NULL, N = NULL, aux = NULL,
type = NULL, inttype = NULL, B = 1000, conf.level = 0.95)
```

#### **Arguments**

data	The dataset that the reliability coefficient is obtained from. Real data set is required for categorical omega. Also, real data set is required for bootstrap confidence intervals or asymptotic distribution free confidence interval
S	Symmetric covariance matrix. Correlation matrix can be specified here but not recommended because, in the function, Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) is analyzed based on covariance matrix.
N	The total sample size. Sample size is needed only that S is specified.

aux

The names of auxiliary variables. Auxiliary variables will not be used as a composite but they will use to handle missing observations. Note that full information maximum likelihood is used if auxiliary variables are specified. See auxiliary for further details.

type

The type of reliability coefficient to be calculated: "alpha" or 1 for coefficient alpha analyzed by the formula proposed by Cronbach (1951), "alpha-cfa" or 2 for coefficient alpha analyzed by CFA with tau-equivalence (method of estimator depending on confidence interval method but none of them is unweighted least square so technically the result is not equal to the formula from Cronbach), "omega" for coefficient omega, "hierarchical" for hierarchical omega, "categorical" for categorical omega. The default is to use hierarchical omega for continuous items and categorical omega for categorical items.

inttype

There are 13 options for the methods. See details below. The default is to not provide any interval estimates. Based on our simulation studies (Kelley and Pornprasertmanit, in press), bias corrected and accelerated bootstrap, "bca", is recommended for categorical omega. Any bootstrap approaches (e.g., "bca" or "perc") are recommended for hierarchical omega, coefficient omega, and coefficient alpha.

В

the number of bootstrap replications

conf.level

the confidence level (i.e., 1-Type I error rate)

#### **Details**

When the coefficient alpha is used, the measurement model is assumed to be true-score equivalent (or tau equivalent) model such that factor loadings are equal across items. When the coefficient omega, hierarchical omega, and categorical omega are used, the measurement model is assumed to be congeneric model (similar to one-factor confirmatory factor analysis model). Coefficient omega assumes that a model fits data perfectly so the variance of the composite scores is calculated from model-implied covariance matrix. However, hierarchical omega allows a model to not fit data perfectly (Kelley and Pornprasertmanit, in press). Categorical omega is a method to calculate coefficient omega for categorical items (Green and Yang, 2009). That is, categorical omega is estimated by the parameter estimates from CFA for categorical items. If coefficient omega or hierarchical omega is used, CFA for continuous items is used, which is not appropriate for categorical items.

If researchers wish to make the measurement model with all parallel items (equal factor loadings and equal error variances), users can specify it by setting inttype = "parallel" and type = "alpha" or type = "alpha-cfa". See McDonald (1999) for the assumptions of each of these models.

The list below shows all methods to find the confidence interval of reliability.

- 1. "none" or 0 to not find any confidence interval
- 2. "parallel" or 11 to assume that the items are parallel and analyze confidence interval based on wald confidence interval (see van Zyl, Neudecker, & Nel, 2000, Equation 22; also referred as the asymptotic method of Koning & Franses, 2003).
- 3. "feldt" or 12 is based on that  $\frac{1-\alpha}{1-al\hat{p}ha}$  is distributed as F distribution with the degree of freedoms of N-1 and  $(N-1)\times(p-1)$  (Feldt, 1965).
- 4. "siotani" or 13 is the same as the "feldt" method but using the degree of freedoms of N and  $N \times (p-1)$  (Siotani, Hayakawa, & Fujikoshi, 1985; van Zyl et al., 2000, Equations 7 and 8; also referred as the exact method of Koning & Franses, 2003).

5. "fisher" or 21 for the Fisher's z transformation on the correlation coefficient approach,  $z=0.5 \times \log \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}$ , directly on the coefficient alpha and find confidence interval of transformed scale (Fisher, 1950). The variance of the z is  $\frac{1}{N-3}$  where N is the total sample size.

- 6. "bonett" or 22 for the Fisher's z transformation on the intraclass correlation approach with the variance of  $\frac{2p}{(N-2)(p-1)}$  (Bonett, 2002, Equation 6).
- 7. "hakstian" or 23 uses the cube root transformation and assumes normal distribution on the cube root transformation (Hakstian & Whalen, 1976). The variance of the transformed reliability is based on the degrees of freedom in the "feldt" method.
- 8. "hakstianbarchard" or 24 uses a correction of the violation of compound symmetry of covariance matrix by adjusting the degrees of freedom in the "hakstian". This correction is used for the inference in type 12 sampling (both persons and items are sampled from the population of persons and items) See Hakstian and Barchard (2000) for further details.
- 9. "icc" or 25 for the Fisher's z transformation on the intraclass correlation approach,  $z = \log 1 \alpha$ . The variance of the z is  $\frac{2p}{N(p-1)}$  where p is the number of items (Fisher, 1991, p. 221; van Zyl et al., 2000, p. 277).
- 10. "ml" or 31 to analyze the confidence interval based on normal-theory approach (or multivariate delta method). See van Zyl, Neudecker, & Nel (2000, Equation 21) for the confidence interval of coefficient alpha (also be referred as Iacobucci & Duhachek's, 2003, method). See Raykov (2002) for details for coefficient omega. If users use analytic.type="cfa", the sem package will be used to obtain parameter estimates and standard errors used for the formula proposed by Raykov (2002)
- 11. "ml1" or 32 to analyze the confidence interval based on normal-theory approach as above. However, the point estimate and standard error were used to build confidence interval using logistic transformation as the note below.
- 12. "mlr" or 33 to analyze the confidence interval based on normal-theory approach (or multivariate delta method). However, the estimation method is to use robust standard error (Satorra and Bentler, 2000).
- 13. "mlrl" or 34 to analyze he confidence interval based on normal-theory approach using robust standard error and logistic transformation (see below).
- 14. "adf" or 35 for asymtotic distribution-free method (see Maydeu-Olivares, Coffman, & Hartman, 2007 for further details for coefficient omega; we use phantom variable approach, Cheung, 2009, and "WLS" estimator for coefficient omega, Browne, 1984, in the lavaan package, Rosseel, 2012).
- 15. "adf1" or 36 to use asymptotic distribution-free method to derive standard error and parameter estimate. Then, logistic transformation is used to build confidence interval (see below).
- 16. "11" or 37 for profile likelihood-based confidence interval of both reliability coefficients (Cheung, 2009) analyzed by the OpenMx package (Boker et al., 2011)
- 17. "bsi" or 41 for standard bootstrap confidence interval which find the standard deviation across the bootstrap estimates, multiply the standard deviation by critical value, and add and subtract from the reliability estimate.
- 18. "bsi1" or 42 to use standard bootstrap confidence interval. However, logistic transformation is used to build confidence interval.
- 19. "perc" or 43 for percentile bootstrap confidence interval.
- 20. "bca" or 44 for bias-corrected and accelerated bootstrap confidence interval.

The logistic transformation (Browne, 1982) is applicable for "ml", "mlr", "adf", and "bsi" as "mll", "mlrl", "adfl", and "bsil". The logistic transformation does not assume that the sampling distribution of reliability is symmetric. It acknowledges the fact that reliability ranges from 0 and 1. Logistic transformation is applied to the reliability estimates. Confidence interval is established for the transformed value. The lower and upper bounds of the transformed value is translated back to the reliability estimates. See Browne (1982) or Kelley and Pornprasertmanit (in press) for further details.

Note that not all confidence interval methods are available for all types of reliability and all types of input. For example, bootstrap confidence intervals are not available for covariance matrix input. Parallel confidence intervals are not available for hierarchical omega. We provided appropriate error messages for all impossible combinations.

#### Value

est	The estimated reliability coefficient
se	The standard error of the reliability coefficient. If the bootstrap methods are used, this value represents the standard deviation across bootstrap estimates.
ci.lower	The lower bound of the computed confidence interval
ci.upper	The upper bound of the computed confidence interval
conf.Level	The confidence level (i.e., 1 - Type I error rate)
type	The type of estimated reliability coefficient (alpha or omega)
inttype	The method used to find confidence interval

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>). The previous version was written by Keke Lai (University of California, Merced; <klai25@ucmerced.edu>), Leann J. Terry, and Ken Kelley (University of Notre Dame; <kkelley@nd.edu>);

#### References

Boker, S., M., N., Maes, H., Wilde, M., Spiegel, M., Brick, T., et al. (2011). OpenMx: An open source extended structural equation modeling framework. *Psychometrika*, 76, 306-317.

Bonett, D. G. (2002). Sample size requirements for testing and estimating coefficient alpha. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 27, 335-340.

Browne, M. W. (1982). Covariance structures. In D. M. Hawkins (Ed.), *Topics in applied multivariate analysis* (pp. 72-141). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Browne, M. W. (1984). Asymptotic distribution free methods in the analysis of covariance structures. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 24, 445-455.

Cheung, M. W.-L. (2009). Constructing approximate confidence intervals for parameters with structural constructing approximate confidence intervals for parameters with structural equation models. *Structural Equation Modeling*, *16*, 267-294.

Feldt, L.S. (1965). The approximate sampling distribution of Kuder-Richardson reliability coefficient twenty. *Psychometrika*, *30*, 357-370.

Fisher, R. A. (1950). Statistical methods for research workers. Edinburgh, UK: Oliver & Boyd.

Fisher, R. A. (1991). Statistical methods for research workers. In J.H. Bennett (Ed.), *Statistical methods, experimental design, and scientific inference*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Green, S. B., & Yang, Y. (2009). Reliability of summed item scores using structural equation modeling: An alternative to coefficient alpha. *Psychometrika*, 74, 155-167.

Hakstian, A. R., & Whalen, T. E. (1976). A k-sample significance test for independent alpha coefficients. *Psychometrika*, 41, 219-231.

Iacobucci, D., & Duhachek, A. (2003). Advancing alpha: measuring reliability with confidence. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 13, 478-487.

Kelley, K. & Pornprasertmanit, P. (in press). Confidence intervals for population reliability coefficients: Evaluation of methods, recommendations, and software for homogeneous composite measures. *Psychological Methods*.

Koning, A. J., & Franses, P. H. (2003). *Confidence intervals for Cronbach`s coefficient alpha values* (ERIM Report Series Ref. No. ERS-2003-041-MKT). Rotterdam, The Netherlands: Erasmus Research Institute of Management.

Maydeu-Olivares, A., Coffman, D. L., & Hartmann, W. M. (2007). Asymptotically distribution-free (ADF) interval estimation of coefficient alpha. *Psychological Methods*, *12*, 157-176.

McDonald, R. P. (1999). *Test theory: A unified approach*. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.

Raykov, T. (2002). Analytic estimation of standard error and confidence interval for scale reliability. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *37*, 89-103.

Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 48, 1-36.

Satorra, A. & Bentler, P. M. (2001). A scaled difference chi-square test statistic for moment structure analysis. *Psychometrika*, 66, 507-514.

Siotani, M., Hayakawa, T., & Fujikoshi, Y. (1985). *Modem multivariate statistical analysis: A graduate course and handbook*. Columbus, Ohio: American Sciences Press.

van Zyl, J. M., Neudecker, H., & Nel, D. G. (2000) On the distribution of the maximum likelihood estimator of Cronbach's alpha. *Psychometrika*, 65 (3), 271-280.

Yuan, K. & Bentler, P. M. (2002) On robustness of the normal-theory based asymptotic distributions of three reliability coefficient estimates. *Psychometrika*, 67 (2), 251-259.

#### **Examples**

```
# Use this function for the attitude dataset (ignoring the overall rating variable)
ci.reliability(data=attitude[,-1], type = "omega", inttype = "mlrl", B = 100)

## Forming a hypothetical population covariance matrix
Pop.Cov.Mat <- matrix(.3, 9, 9)
diag(Pop.Cov.Mat) <- 1
ci.reliability(S=Pop.Cov.Mat, N=50, type="alpha", inttype = "bonett")</pre>
```

clipboard\_saveFile 15

clipboard_saveFile	Copy or save the result of lavaan or FitDiff objects into a clipboard
	or a file

#### **Description**

Copy or save the result of lavaan or FitDiff object into a clipboard or a file. From the clipboard, users may paste the result into the Microsoft Excel or spreadsheet application to create a table of the output.

# Usage

```
clipboard(object, what="summary", ...)
saveFile(object, file, what="summary", tableFormat=FALSE, ...)
```

#### **Arguments**

_	
object	The lavaan or FitDiff object
what	The attributes of the lavaan object to be copied in the clipboard. "summary" is to copy the screen provided from the summary function. "mifit" is to copy the result from the miPowerFit function. Other attributes listed in the inspect method in the lavaan-class could also be used, such as "coef", "se", "fit", "samp", and so on. For the The FitDiff object, this argument is not active yet.
file	A file name used for saving the result
tableFormat	If TRUE, save the result in the table format using tabs for seperation. Otherwise, save the result as the output screen printed in the R console.
	Additional argument listed in the miPowerFit function (for lavaan object only).

# Value

The resulting output will be saved into a clipboard or a file. If using the clipboard function, users may paste it in the other applications.

# Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

# **Examples**

16 combinequark

```
# Copy the summary of the lavaan object
clipboard(fit)
# Copy the modification indices and the model fit from the miPowerFit function
clipboard(fit, "mifit")
# Copy the parameter estimates
clipboard(fit, "coef")
# Copy the standard errors
clipboard(fit, "se")
# Copy the sample statistics
clipboard(fit, "samp")
# Copy the fit measures
clipboard(fit, "fit")
# Save the summary of the lavaan object
saveFile(fit, "out.txt")
# Save the modification indices and the model fit from the miPowerFit function
saveFile(fit, "out.txt", "mifit")
# Save the parameter estimates
saveFile(fit, "out.txt", "coef")
# Save the standard errors
saveFile(fit, "out.txt", "se")
# Save the sample statistics
saveFile(fit, "out.txt", "samp")
# Save the fit measures
saveFile(fit, "out.txt", "fit")
## End(Not run)
```

combinequark

Combine the results from the quark function

# **Description**

This function builds upon the quark function to provide a final dataset comprised of the original dataset provided to quark and enough principal components to be able to account for a certain level of variance in the data.

# Usage

```
combinequark(quark, percent)
```

compareFit 17

# **Arguments**

quark Provide the quark object that was returned. It should be a list of objects. Make

sure to include it in its entirety.

percent Provide a percentage of variance that you would like to have explained. That

many components (columns) will be extracted and kept with the output dataset.

Enter this variable as a number WITHOUT a percentage sign.

#### Value

The output of this function is the original dataset used in quark combined with enough principal component scores to be able to account for the amount of variance that was requested.

#### Author(s)

Steven R. Chesnut (University of Southern Mississippi <Steven. Chesnut@usm. edu>)

#### See Also

quark

#### **Examples**

```
set.seed(123321)
dat <- HolzingerSwineford1939[,7:15]
misspat <- matrix(runif(nrow(dat) * 9) < 0.3, nrow(dat))
dat[misspat] <- NA
dat <- cbind(HolzingerSwineford1939[,1:3], dat)

quark.list <- quark(data = dat, id = c(1, 2))
final.data <- combinequark(quark = quark.list, percent = 80)</pre>
```

compareFit

Build an object summarizing fit indices across multiple models

#### **Description**

This function will create the template that compare fit indices across multiple lavaan outputs. The results can be exported to a clipboard or a file later.

# Usage

```
compareFit(..., nested = TRUE)
```

#### **Arguments**

lavaan outputs or lists of lavaan outputsnestedLogical whether the specified models are nested

18 dat2way

# Value

A FitDiff object that saves model fit comparisons across multiple models. If the output is not assigned as an object, the output is printed in two parts: 1) nested model comparison (if models are nested) and 2) fit indices summaries. In the fit indices summaries, daggers are tagged to the model with the best fit for each fit index.

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

# See Also

```
FitDiff, clipboard
```

# **Examples**

out <- measurementInvariance(HW.model, data=HolzingerSwineford1939, group="school", quiet=TRUE)
compareFit(out)</pre>

dat2way

Simulated Dataset to Demonstrate Two-way Latent Interaction

# **Description**

A simulated data set with 2 independent factors and 1 dependent factor where each factor has three indicators

# Usage

```
data(dat2way)
```

dat3way 19

#### **Format**

A data frame with 500 observations of 9 variables.

- **x1** The first indicator of the first independent factor
- x2 The second indicator of the first independent factor
- x3 The third indicator of the first independent factor
- x4 The first indicator of the second independent factor
- x5 The second indicator of the second independent factor
- x6 The third indicator of the second independent factor
- x7 The first indicator of the dependent factor
- x8 The second indicator of the dependent factor
- x9 The third indicator of the dependent factor

#### **Source**

Data was generated by the mvrnorm function in the MASS package.

#### **Examples**

head(dat2way)

dat3way

Simulated Dataset to Demonstrate Three-way Latent Interaction

#### **Description**

A simulated data set with 3 independent factors and 1 dependent factor where each factor has three indicators

# Usage

data(dat3way)

#### **Format**

A data frame with 500 observations of 12 variables.

- **x1** The first indicator of the first independent factor
- x2 The second indicator of the first independent factor
- x3 The third indicator of the first independent factor
- **x4** The first indicator of the second independent factor
- x5 The second indicator of the second independent factor
- x6 The third indicator of the second independent factor
- x7 The first indicator of the third independent factor

20 datCat

- x8 The second indicator of the third independent factor
- x9 The third indicator of the third independent factor
- x10 The first indicator of the dependent factor
- x11 The second indicator of the dependent factor
- **x12** The third indicator of the dependent factor

# Source

Data was generated by the mvrnorm function in the MASS package.

#### **Examples**

```
head(dat3way)
```

datCat

Simulated Data set to Demonstrate Categorical Measurement Invariance

# Description

A simulated data set with 2 factors with 4 indicators each separated into two groups

#### Usage

```
data(datCat)
```

#### **Format**

A data frame with 200 observations of 9 variables.

- g Sex of respondents
- u1 Indicator 1
- u2 Indicator 2
- u3 Indicator 3
- u4 Indicator 4
- u5 Indicator 5
- **u6** Indicator 6
- u7 Indicator 7
- u8 Indicator 8

#### **Source**

Data was generated using the lavaan package.

#### **Examples**

head(datCat)

EFA-class 21

EFA-class

Class For Rotated Results from EFA

#### **Description**

This class contains the results of rotated exploratory factor analysis

# **Objects from the Class**

Objects can be created via the orthRotate or oblqRotate function.

#### Slots

```
loading: Rotated standardized factor loading matrix rotate: Rotation matrix gradRotate: The gradient of the objective function at the rotated loadings convergence: Convergence status phi: Factor correlation. Will be an identity matrix if orthogonal rotation is used. se: Standard errors of the rotated standardized factor loading matrix method: Method of rotation call: The command used to generate this object
```

#### methods

• summary The summary function shows the detailed results of the rotated solution. This function has two arguments: suppress and sort. The suppress argument is used to not show the standardized loading values that less than the specified value. The default is 0.1. The sort is used to sort the factor loadings by the sizes of factor loadings in each factor. The default is TRUE.

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### See Also

```
efaUnrotate; orthRotate; oblqRotate
```

#### **Examples**

```
library(lavaan)
unrotated <- efaUnrotate(HolzingerSwineford1939, nf=3, varList=paste0("x", 1:9), estimator="mlr")
summary(unrotated, std=TRUE)
inspect(unrotated, "std")

# Rotated by Quartimin
rotated <- oblqRotate(unrotated, method="quartimin")
summary(rotated)</pre>
```

22 efaUnrotate

efaUnrotate	Analyze Unrotated Exploratory Factor Analysis Model	
-------------	---	--

# Description

This function will analyze unrotated exploratory factor analysis model. The unrotated solution can be rotated by the orthRotate and oblqRotate functions.

# Usage

```
efaUnrotate(data, nf, varList=NULL, start=TRUE, aux=NULL, ...)
```

#### Arguments

data	A target data frame.
nf	The desired number of factors
varList	Target observed variables. If not specified, all variables in the target data frame will be used.
start	Use starting values in the analysis from the factanal function. If FALSE, the starting values from the lavaan package will be used.
aux	The list of auxiliary variables. These variables will be included in the model by the saturated-correlates approach to account for missing information.
	Other arguments in the cfa function in the lavaan package, such as ordered, se, or estimator

#### **Details**

This function will generate a lavaan script for unrotated exploratory factor analysis model such that 1) all factor loadings are estimated, 2) factor variances are fixed to 1, 3) factor covariances are fixed to 0, and 4) the dot products of any pairs of columns in the factor loading matrix are fixed to zero (Johnson and Wichern, 2002). The reason for creating this function in addition to the factanal function is that users can enjoy some advanced features from the lavaan package such as scaled chi-square, diagonal weighted least square for ordinal indicators, or full-information maximum likelihood.

#### Value

A lavaan output of unrotated exploratory factor analysis solution.

# Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

exLong 23

#### **Examples**

```
unrotated <- efaUnrotate(HolzingerSwineford1939, nf=3, varList=paste0("x", 1:9), estimator="mlr")
summary(unrotated, std=TRUE)
inspect(unrotated, "std")

dat <- data.frame(HolzingerSwineford1939, z=rnorm(nrow(HolzingerSwineford1939), 0, 1))
unrotated2 <- efaUnrotate(dat, nf=2, varList=paste0("x", 1:9), aux="z")</pre>
```

exLong

Simulated Data set to Demonstrate Longitudinal Measurement Invariance

#### **Description**

A simulated data set with 1 factors with 3 indicators in three timepoints

# Usage

```
data(exLong)
```

#### **Format**

A data frame with 200 observations of 10 variables.

```
sex Sex of respondents
y1t1 Indicator 1 in Time 1
y2t1 Indicator 2 in Time 1
y3t1 Indicator 3 in Time 1
y1t2 Indicator 1 in Time 2
y2t2 Indicator 2 in Time 2
y3t2 Indicator 3 in Time 2
y1t3 Indicator 1 in Time 3
y2t3 Indicator 2 in Time 3
y2t3 Indicator 3 in Time 3
y3t3 Indicator 3 in Time 3
```

#### **Source**

Data was generated using the simsem package.

# **Examples**

```
head(exLong)
```

24 findRMSEApower

findRMSEApower

Find the statistical power based on population RMSEA

#### **Description**

Find the proportion of the samples from the sampling distribution of RMSEA in the alternative hypothesis rejected by the cutoff dervied from the sampling distribution of RMSEA in the null hypothesis. This function can be applied for both test of close fit and test of not-close fit (MacCallum, Browne, & Suguwara, 1996)

# Usage

```
findRMSEApower(rmsea0, rmseaA, df, n, alpha=.05, group=1)
```

# **Arguments**

rmsea0	Null RMSEA
rmseaA	Alternative RMSEA
df	Model degrees of freedom
n	Sample size of a dataset
alpha	Alpha level used in power calculations
group	The number of group that is used to calculate RMSEA.

#### **Details**

This function find the proportion of sampling distribution derived from the alternative RMSEA that is in the critical region derived from the sampling distribution of the null RMSEA. If rmseaA is greater than rmsea0, the test of close fit is used and the critical region is in the right hand side of the null sampling distribution. On the other hand, if rmseaA is less than rmsea0, the test of not-close fit is used and the critical region is in the left hand side of the null sampling distribution (MacCallum, Browne, & Suguwara, 1996).

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

MacCallum, R. C., Browne, M. W., & Sugawara, H. M. (1996). Power analysis and determination of sample size for covariance structure modeling. *Psychological Methods*, *1*, 130-149.

#### See Also

- plotRMSEApower to plot the statistical power based on population RMSEA given the sample size
- plotRMSEAdist to visualize the RMSEA distributions
- findRMSEAsamplesize to find the minium sample size for a given statistical power based on population RMSEA

#### **Examples**

```
findRMSEApower(rmsea0=.05, rmseaA=.08, df=20, n=200)
```

findRMSEApowernested Find power given a sample size in nested model comparison

# Description

Find the sample size that the power in rejection the samples from the alternative pair of RMSEA is just over the specified power.

# Usage

```
findRMSEApowernested(rmsea0A = NULL, rmsea0B = NULL,
rmsea1A, rmsea1B = NULL, dfA, dfB, n, alpha=.05,
group=1)
```

# **Arguments**

rmsea0A	The H0 baseline RMSEA.
rmsea0B	The H0 alternative RMSEA (trivial misfit).
rmsea1A	The H1 baseline RMSEA.
rmsea1B	The H1 alternative RMSEA (target misfit to be rejected).
dfA	degree of freedom of the more-restricted model.
dfB	degree of freedom of the less-restricted model.
n	Sample size.
alpha	The alpha level.
group	The number of group in calculating RMSEA.

#### Author(s)

Bell Clinton; Pavel Panko (Texas Tech University; <pavel.panko@ttu.edu>); Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

MacCallum, R. C., Browne, M. W., & Cai, L. (2006). Testing differences between nested covariance structure models: Power analysis and null hypotheses. *Psychological Methods*, *11*, 19-35.

#### See Also

- plotRMSEApowernested to plot the statistical power for nested model comparison based on population RMSEA given the sample size
- findRMSEAsamplesizenested to find the minium sample size for a given statistical power in nested model comparison based on population RMSEA

# **Examples**

```
findRMSEApowernested(rmsea0A = 0.06, rmsea0B = 0.05, rmsea1A = 0.08, rmsea1B = 0.05, dfA = 22, dfB = 20, n = 200, alpha = 0.05, group = 1)
```

findRMSEA sample size

Find the minimum sample size for a given statistical power based on population RMSEA

#### **Description**

Find the minimum sample size for a specified statistical power based on population RMSEA. This function can be applied for both test of close fit and test of not-close fit (MacCallum, Browne, & Suguwara, 1996)

# Usage

```
findRMSEAsamplesize(rmsea0, rmseaA, df, power=0.80, alpha=.05, group=1)
```

#### **Arguments**

rmsea0	Null RMSEA
rmseaA	Alternative RMSEA
df	Model degrees of freedom
power	Desired statistical power to reject misspecified model (test of close fit) or retain good model (test of not-close fit)
alpha	Alpha level used in power calculations
group	The number of group that is used to calculate RMSEA.

#### **Details**

This function find the minimum sample size for a specified power based on an iterative routine. The sample size keep increasing until the calculated power from findRMSEApower function is just over the specified power. If group is greater than 1, the resulting sample size is the sample size per group.

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

MacCallum, R. C., Browne, M. W., & Sugawara, H. M. (1996). Power analysis and determination of sample size for covariance structure modeling. *Psychological Methods*, *1*, 130-149.

#### See Also

- plotRMSEApower to plot the statistical power based on population RMSEA given the sample size
- plotRMSEAdist to visualize the RMSEA distributions
- findRMSEApower to find the statistical power based on population RMSEA given a sample size

#### **Examples**

```
findRMSEAsamplesize(rmsea0=.05, rmseaA=.08, df=20, power=0.80)
```

findRMSEAsamplesizenested

Find sample size given a power in nested model comparison

#### **Description**

Find the sample size that the power in rejection the samples from the alternative pair of RMSEA is just over the specified power.

#### Usage

```
findRMSEAsamplesizenested(rmsea0A = NULL, rmsea0B = NULL, rmsea1A,
rmsea1B = NULL, dfA, dfB, power=0.80, alpha=.05, group=1)
```

# Arguments

rmsea0A	The H0 baseline RMSEA.
rmsea0B	The H0 alternative RMSEA (trivial misfit).
rmsea1A	The H1 baseline RMSEA.
rmsea1B	The H1 alternative RMSEA (target misfit to be rejected).
dfA	degree of freedom of the more-restricted model.
dfB	degree of freedom of the less-restricted model.
power	The desired statistical power.
alpha	The alpha level.
group	The number of group in calculating RMSEA.

28 FitDiff-class

#### Author(s)

Bell Clinton; Pavel Panko (Texas Tech University; <pavel.panko@ttu.edu>); Sunthud Porn-prasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

MacCallum, R. C., Browne, M. W., & Cai, L. (2006). Testing differences between nested covariance structure models: Power analysis and null hypotheses. *Psychological Methods*, *11*, 19-35.

#### See Also

- plotRMSEApowernested to plot the statistical power for nested model comparison based on population RMSEA given the sample size
- findRMSEApowernested to find the power for a given sample size in nested model comparison based on population RMSEA

#### **Examples**

```
findRMSEAsamplesizenested(rmsea0A = 0, rmsea0B = 0, rmsea1A = 0.06,
rmsea1B = 0.05, dfA = 22, dfB = 20, power=0.80, alpha=.05, group=1)
```

FitDiff-class

Class For Representing A Template of Model Fit Comparisons

#### Description

This class contains model fit measures and model fit comparisons among multiple models

#### **Objects from the Class**

Objects can be created via the compareFit function.

#### **Slots**

name: The name of each model

nested: Model fit comparisons between adjacent nested models that are ordered based on their degrees of freedom

ordernested: The order of nested models regarding to their degrees of freedom

fit: Fit measures of all models specified in the name slot

#### methods

• summary The summary function is used to provide the nested model comparison results and the summary of the fit indices across models. This function has one argument: fit.measures. If "default" is specified, chi-square values, degree of freedom, p value, CFI, TLI, RM-SEA, SRMR, AIC, and BIC are provided. If "all" is specified, all information given in the fitMeasures function is provided. Users may specify a vector of the name of fit indices that they wish.

fitMeasuresMx 29

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### See Also

```
compareFit; clipboard
```

#### **Examples**

fitMeasuresMx

Find fit measures from an MxModel result

# Description

Find fit measures from MxModel result. The saturate and null models are analyzed in the function and fit measures are calculated based on the comparison with the null and saturate models. The function is adjusted from the fitMeasures function in the lavaan package.

# Usage

```
fitMeasuresMx(object, fit.measures="all")
```

# **Arguments**

```
object The target MxModel object fit.measures Target fit measures
```

#### Value

A vector of fit measures

30 fmi

#### Author(s)

The original function is the fitMeasures function written by Yves Rosseel in the lavaan package. The function is adjusted for an MxModel object by Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### See Also

nullMx, saturateMx, standardizeMx

#### **Examples**

```
## Not run:
library(OpenMx)
data(demoOneFactor)
manifests <- names(demoOneFactor)</pre>
latents <- c("G")</pre>
factorModel <- mxModel("One Factor",</pre>
    type="RAM",
    manifestVars=manifests,
    latentVars=latents,
    mxPath(from=latents, to=manifests),
    mxPath(from=manifests, arrows=2),
    mxPath(from=latents, arrows=2, free=FALSE, values=1.0),
    mxData(observed=cov(demoOneFactor), type="cov", numObs=500)
factorFit <- mxRun(factorModel)</pre>
round(fitMeasuresMx(factorFit), 3)
# Compare with lavaan
library(lavaan)
script <- "f1 =~ x1 + x2 + x3 + x4 + x5"
fitMeasures(cfa(script, sample.cov = cov(demoOneFactor), sample.nobs = 500, std.lv = TRUE))
## End(Not run)
```

fmi

Fraction of Missing Information.

#### **Description**

This function takes a list of imputed data sets and estimates the Fraction of Missing Information of the Variances and Means for each variable.

#### Usage

```
\label{lem:midat.imp, method="saturated", varnames=NULL, group=NULL, exclude=NULL, digits=3)} \\
```

fmi 31

#### **Arguments**

dat.imp List of imputed data sets, the function only accept a list of data frames.

Method Specified the model used to estimated the variances and means. Can be one of the following: "saturated" ("sat") or "null", the default is "saturated". See Details for more information.

Varnames A vector of variables names. This argument allow the user to get the fmi of a subset of variables. The function by default will estimate the fmi for all the variables.

group A variable name defining the groups. This will give the fmi for each group.

exclude A vector of variables names. These variables will be excluded from the analysis.

digits Number of decimals to print in the results.

#### **Details**

The function estimates a variance/covariance model for each data set using lavaan. If method = "saturated" the function will estimate all the variances and covariances, if method = "null" the function will only estimate the variances. The saturated model gives more reliable estimates. With big data sets using the saturated model could take a lot of time. In the case of having problems with big data sets it is helpful to select a subset of variables with varnames and/or use the "null" model. The function does not accept character variables.

#### Value

fmi returns a list with the Fraction of Missing Information of the Variances and Means for each variable in the data set.

Variances The estimated variance for each variable, and the respective standard error. Two

estimates Fraction of Missing Information of the Variances. The first estimate of fmi (fmi.1) is asymptotic fmi and the second estimate of fmi (fmi.2) is corrected

for small numbers of imputations

Means The estimated mean for each variable, and the respective standard error. Two

estimates Fraction of Missing Information of the Means. The first estimate of fmi (fmi.1) is asymptotic fmi and the second estimate of fmi (fmi.2) is corrected

for small numbers of imputations

#### Author(s)

Mauricio Garnier Villarreal (University of Kansas; <mgv@ku.edu>)

#### References

Rubin, D.B. (1987) Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys. J. Wiley & Sons, New York.

Savalei, V. & Rhemtulla, M. (2012) On Obtaining Estimates of the Fraction of Missing Information From Full Information Maximum Likelihood, *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 19:3, 477-494.

Wagner, J. (2010) The Fraction of Missing Information as a Tool for Monitoring the Quality of Survey Data, *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 74:2, 223-243.

32 impliedFactorStat

#### **Examples**

```
library(Amelia)
library(lavaan)
modsim <- '
f1 =~ 0.7*y1+0.7*y2+0.7*y3
f2 = 0.7*y4+0.7*y5+0.7*y6
f3 = 0.7*y7+0.7*y8+0.7*y9'
datsim <- simulateData(modsim,model.type="cfa", meanstructure=TRUE,</pre>
                         std.lv=TRUE, sample.nobs=c(200,200))
randomMiss2 <- rbinom(prod(dim(datsim)), 1, 0.1)</pre>
randomMiss2 <- matrix(as.logical(randomMiss2), nrow=nrow(datsim))</pre>
randomMiss2[,10] <- FALSE</pre>
datsim[randomMiss2] <- NA</pre>
datsimMI <- amelia(datsim,m=3,idvars="group")</pre>
out1 <- fmi(datsimMI$imputations, exclude="group")</pre>
out1
out2 <- fmi(datsimMI$imputations, exclude="group", method="null")</pre>
out2
out3 <- fmi(datsimMI$imputations, varnames=c("y1","y2","y3","y4"))</pre>
out3
out4 <- fmi(datsimMI$imputations, group="group")</pre>
out4
```

impliedFactorStat

Calculate the model-implied factor means and covariance matrix.

# Description

Calculate reliability values of factors by coefficient omega

# Usage

```
impliedFactorStat(object)
impliedFactorMean(object)
impliedFactorCov(object)
```

# Arguments

object

The lavaan model object provided after running the cfa, sem, growth, or lavaan functions.

imposeStart 33

#### **Details**

The impliedFactorMean function is used to calculated model-implied factor means:

$$\mu = (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{B})^{-1} \alpha,$$

where  $\mu$  is the model-implied factor mean, I is an identity matrix, B is an regression coefficient matrix, and  $\alpha$  is a vector of factor intercepts.

The impliedFactorCov function is used to calculated model-implied covariance matrix:

$$\Phi = (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{B})^{-1} \Psi (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{B})^{-1\prime},$$

where  $\Phi$  is the model-implied factor covariance matrix,  $\Psi$  is the residual factor covariance matrix.

The impliedFactorStat function is used to provide both model-implied means (if the mean structure is estimated) and covariance matrix.

#### Value

Model-implied factor means or model-implied factor covariance matrix, or both

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### **Examples**

imposeStart

Specify starting values from a lavaan output

#### **Description**

This function will save the parameter estimates of a lavaan output and impose those parameter estimates as starting values for another analysis model. The free parameters with the same names or the same labels across two models will be imposed the new starting values. This function may help to increase the chance of convergence in a complex model (e.g., multitrait-multimethod model or complex longitudinal invariance model).

# Usage

```
imposeStart(out, expr, silent = TRUE)
```

34 imposeStart

#### **Arguments**

out The lavaan output that users wish to use the parameter estimates as staring values for an analysis model

expr The original code that users use to run a lavaan model

silent Logical to print the parameter table with new starting values

#### Value

A fitted lavaan model

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

# **Examples**

```
# The following example show that the longitudinal weak invariance model
# using effect coding was not convergent with three time points but convergent
# with two time points. Thus, the parameter estimates from the model with
# two time points are used as starting values of the three time points.
# The model with new starting values is convergent properly.
weak2time <- '</pre>
# Loadings
f1t1 =~ LOAD1*y1t1 + LOAD2*y2t1 + LOAD3*y3t1
   f1t2 = LOAD1*y1t2 + LOAD2*y2t2 + LOAD3*y3t2
# Factor Variances
f1t1 ~~ f1t1
f1t2 ~~ f1t2
# Factor Covariances
f1t1 ~~ f1t2
# Error Variances
y1t1 ~~ y1t1
y2t1 ~~ y2t1
y3t1 ~~ y3t1
y1t2 ~~ y1t2
y2t2 ~~ y2t2
y3t2 ~~ y3t2
# Error Covariances
y1t1 ~~ y1t2
y2t1 ~~ y2t2
y3t1 ~~ y3t2
# Factor Means
f1t1 ~ NA*1
f1t2 ~ NA*1
```

imposeStart 35

```
# Measurement Intercepts
y1t1 ~ INT1*1
y2t1 ~ INT2*1
y3t1 ~ INT3*1
y1t2 ~ INT4*1
y2t2 ~ INT5*1
y3t2 ~ INT6*1
# Constraints for Effect-coding Identification
LOAD1 == 3 - LOAD2 - LOAD3
INT1 == 0 - INT2 - INT3
INT4 == 0 - INT5 - INT6
model2time <- lavaan(weak2time, data = exLong)</pre>
weak3time <- '
# Loadings
f1t1 = LOAD1*y1t1 + LOAD2*y2t1 + LOAD3*y3t1
   f1t2 =~ LOAD1*y1t2 + LOAD2*y2t2 + LOAD3*y3t2
    f1t3 =~ LOAD1*y1t3 + LOAD2*y2t3 + LOAD3*y3t3
# Factor Variances
f1t1 ~~ f1t1
f1t2 ~~ f1t2
f1t3 ~~ f1t3
# Factor Covariances
f1t1 ~~ f1t2 + f1t3
f1t2 ~~ f1t3
# Error Variances
y1t1 ~~ y1t1
y2t1 ~~ y2t1
y3t1 ~~ y3t1
y1t2 ~~ y1t2
y2t2 ~~ y2t2
y3t2 ~~ y3t2
y1t3 ~~ y1t3
y2t3 ~~ y2t3
y3t3 ~~ y3t3
# Error Covariances
y1t1 ~~ y1t2
y2t1 ~~ y2t2
y3t1 ~~ y3t2
y1t1 ~~ y1t3
y2t1 ~~ y2t3
y3t1 ~~ y3t3
y1t2 ~~ y1t3
y2t2 ~~ y2t3
y3t2 ~~ y3t3
# Factor Means
```

36 indProd

```
f1t1 ~ NA*1
f1t2 ~ NA*1
f1t3 ~ NA*1
# Measurement Intercepts
y1t1 ~ INT1*1
y2t1 ~ INT2*1
y3t1 ~ INT3*1
y1t2 ~ INT4*1
y2t2 ~ INT5*1
y3t2 ~ INT6*1
y1t3 ~ INT7*1
y2t3 ~ INT8*1
y3t3 ~ INT9*1
# Constraints for Effect-coding Identification
LOAD1 == 3 - LOAD2 - LOAD3
INT1 == 0 - INT2 - INT3
INT4 == 0 - INT5 - INT6
INT7 == 0 - INT8 - INT9
### The following command does not provide convergent result
# model3time <- lavaan(weak3time, data = exLong)</pre>
### Use starting values from the model with two time points
model3time <- imposeStart(model2time, lavaan(weak3time, data = exLong))</pre>
summary(model3time)
```

indProd

Make products of indicators using no centering, mean centering, double-mean centering, or residual centering

# **Description**

The indProd function will make products of indicators using no centering, mean centering, double-mean centering, or residual centering. The orthogonalize function is the shortcut of the indProd function to make the residual-centered indicators products.

#### Usage

```
indProd(data, var1, var2, var3=NULL, match = TRUE, meanC = TRUE,
residualC = FALSE, doubleMC = TRUE, namesProd = NULL)
orthogonalize(data, var1, var2, var3=NULL, match=TRUE, namesProd=NULL)
```

# Arguments

data	The desired data to be transformed.
var1	Names or indices of the variables loaded on the first factor
var2	Names or indices of the variables loaded on the second factor

indProd 37

var3	Names or indices of the variables loaded on the third factor (for three-way interaction)
match	Specify TRUE to use match-paired approach (Marsh, Wen, & Hau, 2004). If FALSE, the resulting products are all possible products.
meanC	Specify TRUE for mean centering the main effect indicator before making the products
residualC	Specify TRUE for residual centering the products by the main effect indicators (Little, Bovaird, & Widaman, 2006).
doubleMC	Specify TRUE for centering the resulting products (Lin et. al., 2010)
namesProd	The names of resulting products

#### Value

The original data attached with the products.

# Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>) Alexander Schoemann (East Carolina University; <schoemanna@ecu.edu>)

#### References

Marsh, H. W., Wen, Z. & Hau, K. T. (2004). Structural equation models of latent interactions: Evaluation of alternative estimation strategies and indicator construction. *Psychological Methods*, *9*, 275-300.

Lin, G. C., Wen, Z., Marsh, H. W., & Lin, H. S. (2010). Structural equation models of latent interactions: Clarification of orthogonalizing and double-mean-centering strategies. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 17, 374-391.

Little, T. D., Bovaird, J. A., & Widaman, K. F. (2006). On the merits of orthogonalizing powered and product terms: Implications for modeling interactions among latent variables. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 13, 497-519.

### See Also

- probe2WayMC For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering.
- probe3WayMC For probing the three-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering.
- probe2WayRC For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.
- probe3WayRC For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.
- plotProbe Plot the simple intercepts and slopes of the latent interaction.

38 kd

### **Examples**

```
# Mean centering / two-way interaction / match-paired
dat <- indProd(attitude[,-1], var1=1:3, var2=4:6)</pre>
# Residual centering / two-way interaction / match-paired
dat2 <- indProd(attitude[,-1], var1=1:3, var2=4:6, match=FALSE, meanC=FALSE,</pre>
residualC=TRUE, doubleMC=FALSE)
# Double-mean centering / two-way interaction / match-paired
dat3 <- indProd(attitude[,-1], var1=1:3, var2=4:6, match=FALSE, meanC=TRUE,</pre>
residualC=FALSE, doubleMC=TRUE)
# Mean centering / three-way interaction / match-paired
dat4 <- indProd(attitude[,-1], var1=1:2, var2=3:4, var3=5:6)</pre>
# Residual centering / three-way interaction / match-paired
dat5 <- indProd(attitude[,-1], var1=1:2, var2=3:4, var3=5:6, match=FALSE, meanC=FALSE,
residualC=TRUE, doubleMC=FALSE)
# Double-mean centering / three-way interaction / match-paired
dat6 <- indProd(attitude[,-1], var1=1:2, var2=3:4, var3=5:6, match=FALSE, meanC=TRUE,
residualC=TRUE, doubleMC=TRUE)
```

kd

Generate data via the Kaiser-Dickman (1962) algorithm.

# **Description**

Given a covariance matrix and sample size, generate raw data that correspond to the covariance matrix. Data can be generated to match the covariance matrix exactly, or to be a sample from the population covariance matrix.

#### Usage

```
kd(covmat, n, type = c("exact", "sample"))
```

# **Arguments**

covmat a symmetric, positive definite covariance matrix
n the sample size for the data that will be generated

type type of data generation. exact generates data that exactly correspond to covmat.

sample treats covmat as a poulation covariance matrix, generating a sample of

size n.

### **Details**

By default, R's cov() function divides by n-1. The data generated by this algorithm result in a covariance matrix that matches covmat, but you must divide by n instead of n-1.

kd 39

#### Value

kd returns a data matrix of dimension n by nrow(covmat).

### Author(s)

Ed Merkle (University of Missouri; <merklee@missouri.edu>)

#### References

Kaiser, H. F. and Dickman, K. (1962). Sample and population score matrices and sample correlation matrices from an arbitrary population correlation matrix. *Psychometrika*, 27, 179-182.

## **Examples**

```
#### First Example
## Get data
dat <- HolzingerSwineford1939[,7:15]</pre>
hs.n <- nrow(dat)
## Covariance matrix divided by n
hscov \leftarrow ((hs.n-1)/hs.n) * cov(dat)
## Generate new, raw data corresponding to hscov
newdat <- kd(hscov, hs.n)</pre>
## Difference between new covariance matrix and hscov is minimal
newcov <- (hs.n-1)/hs.n * cov(newdat)</pre>
summary(as.numeric(hscov - newcov))
## Generate sample data, treating hscov as population matrix
newdat2 <- kd(hscov, hs.n, type="sample")</pre>
#### Another example
## Define a covariance matrix
covmat <- matrix(0, 3, 3); diag(covmat) <- 1.5; covmat[2:3,1] <- c(1.3, 1.7); covmat[3,2] <- 2.1</pre>
covmat <- covmat + t(covmat)</pre>
## Generate data of size 300 that have this covariance matrix
rawdat <- kd(covmat, 300)</pre>
## Covariances are exact if we compute sample covariance matrix by
## dividing by n (vs by n-1)
summary(as.numeric((299/300)*cov(rawdat) - covmat))
## Generate data of size 300 where covmat is the population covariance matrix
rawdat2 <- kd(covmat, 300)</pre>
```

40 kurtosis

kurtosis

Finding excessive kurtosis

# **Description**

Finding excessive kurtosis (g2) of an object

# Usage

kurtosis(object, population=FALSE)

# Arguments

object A vector used to find a excessive kurtosis

population TRUE to compute the parameter formula. FALSE to compute the sample statistic

formula.

# **Details**

The excessive kurtosis computed is g2. The parameter excessive kurtosis  $\gamma_2$  formula is

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{\mu_4}{\mu_2^2} - 3,$$

where  $\mu_i$  denotes the i order central moment.

The excessive kurtosis formula for sample statistic  $g_2$  is

$$g_2 = \frac{k_4}{k_2^2},$$

where  $k_i$  are the i order k-statistic.

The standard error of the excessive kurtosis is

$$Var(\hat{g}_2) = \frac{24}{N}$$

where N is the sample size.

# Value

A value of an excessive kurtosis with a test statistic if the population is specified as FALSE

# Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

lavaanStar-class 41

#### References

Weisstein, Eric W. (n.d.). *Kurtosis*. Retrived from MathWorld-A Wolfram Web Resource http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Kurtosis.html

#### See Also

- skew Find the univariate skewness of a variable
- mardiaSkew Find the Mardia's multivariate skewness of a set of variables
- mardiaKurtosis Find the Mardia's multivariate kurtosis of a set of variables

### **Examples**

kurtosis(1:5)

lavaanStar-class

Class For Representing A (Fitted) Latent Variable Model with Additional Elements

### **Description**

This is the lavaan class that contains additional information about the fit values from the null model. Some functions are adjusted according to the change.

### **Objects from the Class**

Objects can be created via the auxiliary function or runMI.

#### Slots

call: The function call as returned by match.called().

timing: The elapsed time (user+system) for various parts of the program as a list, including the total time

Options: Named list of options that were provided by the user, or filled-in automatically.

ParTable: Named list describing the model parameters. Can be coerced to a data.frame. In the documentation, this is called the 'parameter table'.

Data: Object of internal class "Data": information about the data.

SampleStats: Object of internal class "SampleStats": sample statistics

Model: Object of internal class "Model": the internal (matrix) representation of the model

Fit: Object of internal class "Fit": the results of fitting the model

nullfit: The fit-indices information from the null model

imputed: The list of information from running multiple imputation. The first element is the convergence rate of the target and null models. The second element is the fraction missing information. The first estimate of FMI (FMI.1) is asymptotic FMI and the second estimate of FMI (FMI.2) is corrected for small numbers of imputation. The third element is the fit values of the target model by the specified chi-squared methods. The fourth element is the fit values of the null model by the specified chi-square methods.

auxNames: The list of auxiliary variables in the analysis.

42 lisrel2lavaan

### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

```
see lavaan
```

#### See Also

```
auxiliary; runMI
```

# **Examples**

lisrel2lavaan

Latent variable modeling in lavaan using LISREL syntax

## **Description**

This function can be used to estimate a structural equation model in lavaan using LISREL syntax. Data are automatically imported from the LISREL syntax file, or, if data files names are provided within LISREL syntax, from the same directory as the syntax itself, as per standard LISREL data importation.

# Usage

```
lisrel2lavaan(filename = NULL, analyze = TRUE, silent = FALSE, ...)
```

# Arguments

filename	Filename of the LISREL syntax file. If the filename arguement is not specified, the user will be prompted with a file browser with which LISREL syntax file can be selected (recommended).
analyze	Logical. If analyze==TRUE (default), data will be automatically imported and analyzed; lavaan summary output displayed and fit object will be returned silently. If analyze==FALSE, data will not be imported or analyzed; instead, a lavaan parameter table containing the model specifications will be returned.
silent	Logical. If false (default) the data will be analyzed and output displayed. If true, a fit object will be returned and summary output will not be displayed.
	Additional arguments to be passed to lavaan.

lisrel2lavaan 43

#### Value

Output summary is printed to screen and lavaan fit object is returned.

#### Note

lisrel2lavaan is still in development, and not all LISREL commands are currently functional. A number of known limitations are outlined below. If an error is encountered that is not listed, please contact <corbing@ku.edu>.

- data importation lisrel2lavaan currently supports .csv, .dat, and most other delimited data formats. However, formats that are specific to LISREL or PRELIS (e.g., the .PSF file format) cannot be imported. lisrel2lavaan supports raw data, covariance matrices, and correlation matrices (accompanied by a variance vector). Symmetric matrices can either contain lower triangle or full matrix. For MACS structure models, either raw data or summary statistics (that include a mean vector) are supported.
- 2. variable labels Certain variable labels that are permitted in LISREL cannot be supported in lisrel2lavaan. duplicate labels Most importantly, no two variables of any kind (including phantom variables) should be given the same label when using lisrel2lavaan. If multiple variables are given the same label, lavaan will estimate an incorrect model. numeric character labels All variable labels are recommended to include non-numeric characters. In addition, the first character in each variable label is recommended to be non-numeric. labels not specified If variable labels are not provided by the user, names will be generated reflecting variable assignment (e.g. 'etal', 'ksil'); manifest variables will be in lower case and latent variables in upper case.
- 3. OU paragraph Not all commands in the OU paragraph are presently supported in lisrel2lavaan. The ME command can be used to specify estimation method; however, not all estimations available in LISREL are currently supported by lavaan. If the specified ME is unsupported, lisrel2lavaan will revert to default estimation. The AD, EP, IT, ND and NP keywords will be ignored. Requests for text files containing starting values (e.g., OU BE) will also be ignored.
- 4. starting values Certain functionalities related to starting values in LISREL are not yet operational in lisrel2lavaan. Note that due to differences in estimation, starting values are not as important in lavaan model estimation as in LISREL text file output Requests for text files containing starting values for individual matrices in the in the OU command (e.g., OU BE) are not currently supported. These requests will be ignored.
  - MA paragraph Specification of matrix starting values using the MA command is permitted by providing starting values within syntax directly. However, lisrel2lavaan has sometimes encountered problems with importation when files are specified following the MA paragraph.

## Author(s)

Corbin Quick (University of Michigan; <corbinq@umich.edu>)

# Examples

```
## Not run:
## calling lisrel2lavaan without specifying the filename argument will
## open a file browser window with which LISREL syntax can be selected.
```

44 loadingFromAlpha

```
## any additional arguments to be passed to lavaan for data analysis can
## be specified normally.

lisrel2lavaan(se="standard")
## lavaan output summary printed to screen
## lavaan fit object returned silently

## manual file specification

lisrel2lavaan(filename="myFile.LS8", se="standard")
## lavaan output summary printed to screen
## lavaan fit object returned silently

## End(Not run)
```

loadingFromAlpha

Find standardized factor loading from coefficient alpha

### **Description**

Find standardized factor loading from coefficient alpha assuming that all items have equal loadings.

## Usage

```
loadingFromAlpha(alpha, ni)
```

# Arguments

alpha A desired coefficient alpha value.

ni A desired number of items.

#### Value

result The standardized factor loadings that make desired coefficient alpha with speci-

fied number of items.

# Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

# **Examples**

```
loadingFromAlpha(0.8, 4)
```

longInvariance 45

Innginy	ariance
TOUGLIN	ai Tailee

Measurement Invariance Tests Within Person

### **Description**

Testing measurement invariance across timepoints (longitudinal) or any context involving the use of the same scale in one case (e.g., a dyad case with husband and wife answering the same scale). The measurement invariance uses a typical sequence of model comparison tests. This function currently works with only one scale.

# Usage

```
longInvariance(model, varList, auto = "all", constrainAuto = FALSE,
fixed.x = TRUE, std.lv = FALSE, group=NULL, group.equal="",
group.partial="", warn=TRUE, debug=FALSE, strict = FALSE, quiet = FALSE,
fit.measures = "default", method = "satorra.bentler.2001", ...)
```

### **Arguments**

model	lavaan svntax	x or parameter table

varList A list containing indicator names of factors used in the invariance testing, such

as the list that the first element is the vector of indicator names in the first timepoint and the second element is the vector of indicator names in the second timepoint. The order of indicator names should be the same (but measured in

different times or different units).

auto The order of autocorrelation on the measurement errors on the similar items

across factor (e.g., Item 1 in Time 1 and Time 2). If 0 is specified, the autocorrelation will be not imposed. If 1 is specified, the autocorrelation will imposed for the adjacent factor listed in varList. The maximum number can be specified is the number of factors specified minus 1. If "all" is specified, the maximum

number of order will be used.

constrainAuto If TRUE, the function will equate the auto-covariance to be equal within the same

item across factors. For example, the covariance of item 1 in time 1 and time 2

is equal to the covariance of item 1 in time 2 and time 3.

fixed.x See lavaan.
std.lv See lavaan.
group See lavaan.
group.equal See lavaan.
group.partial See lavaan.
warn See lavaan.
debug See lavaan.

strict If TRUE, the sequence requires 'strict' invariance. See details for more informa-

tion.

46 longInvariance

quiet If TRUE, a summary is printed out containing an overview of the different models

that are fitted, together with some model comparison tests.

fit.measures Fit measures used to calculate the differences between nested models.

method The method used to calculate likelihood ratio test. See lavTestLRT for available

options

... Additional arguments in the lavaan function.

#### **Details**

If strict = FALSE, the following four models are tested in order:

1. Model 1: configural invariance. The same factor structure is imposed on all units.

- 2. Model 2: weak invariance. The factor loadings are constrained to be equal across units.
- 3. Model 3: strong invariance. The factor loadings and intercepts are constrained to be equal across units.
- 4. Model 4: The factor loadings, intercepts and means are constrained to be equal across units.

Each time a more restricted model is fitted, a chi-square difference test is reported, comparing the current model with the previous one, and comparing the current model to the baseline model (Model 1). In addition, the difference in cfi is also reported (delta.cfi).

If strict = TRUE, the following five models are tested in order:

- 1. Model 1: configural invariance. The same factor structure is imposed on all units.
- 2. Model 2: weak invariance. The factor loadings are constrained to be equal across units.
- 3. Model 3: strong invariance. The factor loadings and intercepts are constrained to be equal across units.
- 4. Model 4: strict invariance. The factor loadings, intercepts and residual variances are constrained to be equal across units.
- 5. Model 5: The factor loadings, intercepts, residual variances and means are constrained to be equal across units.

Note that if the chi-square test statistic is scaled (eg. a Satorra-Bentler or Yuan-Bentler test statistic), a special version of the chi-square difference test is used as described in http://www.statmodel.com/chidiff.shtml

### Value

Invisibly, all model fits in the sequence are returned as a list.

### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>); Yves Rosseel (Ghent University; <Yves.Rosseel@UGent.be>)

# References

Vandenberg, R. J., and Lance, C. E. (2000). A review and synthesis of the measurement invariance literature: Suggestions, practices, and recommendations for organizational research. *Organizational Research Methods*, *3*, 4-70.

mardiaKurtosis 47

## See Also

measurement invariance For the measurement invariance test between groups

#### **Examples**

mardiaKurtosis

Finding Mardia's multivariate kurtosis

# Description

Finding Mardia's multivariate kurtosis of multiple variables

### Usage

```
mardiaKurtosis(dat)
```

# **Arguments**

dat

The target matrix or data frame with multiple variables

#### **Details**

The Mardia's multivariate kurtosis formula (Mardia, 1970) is

$$b_{2,d} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ (X_i - \bar{X})' S^{-1} (X_i - \bar{X}) \right]^2,$$

where d is the number of variables, X is the target dataset with multiple variables, n is the sample size, S is the sample covariance matrix of the target dataset, and  $\bar{X}$  is the mean vectors of the target dataset binded in n rows. When the population multivariate kurtosis is normal, the  $b_{2,d}$  is asymptotically distributed as normal distribution with the mean of d(d+2) and variance of 8d(d+2)/n.

48 mardiaSkew

#### Value

A value of a Mardia's multivariate kurtosis with a test statistic

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

Mardia, K. V. (1970). Measures of multivariate skewness and kurtosis with applications. *Biometrika*, 57, 519-530.

#### See Also

- skew Find the univariate skewness of a variable
- kurtosis Find the univariate excessive kurtosis of a variable
- mardiaSkew Find the Mardia's multivariate skewness of a set of variables

## **Examples**

```
library(lavaan)
mardiaKurtosis(HolzingerSwineford1939[,paste("x", 1:9, sep="")])
```

mardiaSkew

Finding Mardia's multivariate skewness

# Description

Finding Mardia's multivariate skewness of multiple variables

### Usage

mardiaSkew(dat)

#### **Arguments**

dat

The target matrix or data frame with multiple variables

### **Details**

The Mardia's multivariate skewness formula (Mardia, 1970) is

$$b_{1,d} = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \left( X_i - \bar{X} \right)' S^{-1} \left( X_j - \bar{X} \right) \right]^3,$$

where d is the number of variables, X is the target dataset with multiple variables, n is the sample size, S is the sample covariance matrix of the target dataset, and  $\bar{X}$  is the mean vectors of the target dataset binded in n rows. When the population multivariate skewness is normal, the  $\frac{n}{6}b_{1,d}$  is asymptotically distributed as chi-square distribution with d(d+1)(d+2)/6 degrees of freedom.

maximalRelia 49

# Value

A value of a Mardia's multivariate skewness with a test statistic

### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

Mardia, K. V. (1970). Measures of multivariate skewness and kurtosis with applications. *Biometrika*, 57, 519-530.

### See Also

- skew Find the univariate skewness of a variable
- kurtosis Find the univariate excessive kurtosis of a variable
- mardiaKurtosis Find the Mardia's multivariate kurtosis of a set of variables

# **Examples**

```
library(lavaan)
mardiaSkew(HolzingerSwineford1939[,paste("x", 1:9, sep="")])
```

maximalRelia

Calculate maximal reliability

# **Description**

Calculate maximal reliability of a scale

# Usage

```
maximalRelia(object)
```

# Arguments

object

The lavaan model object provided after running the cfa, sem, growth, or lavaan functions.

50 maximalRelia

### **Details**

Given that a composite score (W) is a weighted sum of item scores:

$$W = \boldsymbol{w}' \boldsymbol{x},$$

where  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is a  $k \times 1$  vector of the scores of each item,  $\boldsymbol{w}$  is a  $k \times 1$  weight vector of each item, and k represents the number of items. Then, maximal reliability is obtained by finding  $\boldsymbol{w}$  such that reliability attains its maximum (Li, 1997; Raykov, 2012). Note that the reliability can be obtained by

$$\rho = \frac{\boldsymbol{w}' \boldsymbol{S}_T \boldsymbol{w}}{\boldsymbol{w}' \boldsymbol{S}_X \boldsymbol{w}}$$

where  $S_T$  is the covariance matrix explained by true scores and  $S_X$  is the observed covariance matrix. Numerical method is used to find w in this function.

For continuous items,  $S_T$  can be calculated by

$$S_T = \Lambda \Psi \Lambda'$$
,

where  $\Lambda$  is the factor loading matrix and  $\Psi$  is the covariance matrix among factors.  $S_X$  is directly obtained by covariance among items.

For categorical items, Green and Yang's (2009) method is used for calculating  $S_T$  and  $S_X$ . The element i and j of  $S_T$  can be calculated by

$$\left[ \boldsymbol{S}_T \right]_{ij} = \sum_{c_i=1}^{C_i-1} \sum_{c_j-1}^{C_j-1} \Phi_2 \left( \tau_{x_{c_i}}, \tau_{x_{c_j}}, \left[ \Lambda \Psi \Lambda' \right]_{ij} \right) - \sum_{c_i=1}^{C_i-1} \Phi_1 (\tau_{x_{c_i}}) \sum_{c_j-1}^{C_j-1} \Phi_1 (\tau_{x_{c_j}}),$$

where  $C_i$  and  $C_j$  represents the number of thresholds in Items i and j,  $\tau_{x_{c_i}}$  represents the threshold  $c_i$  of Item i,  $\tau_{x_{c_i}}$  represents the threshold  $c_i$  of Item j,  $\Phi_1(\tau_{x_{c_i}})$  is the cumulative probability of  $\tau_{x_{c_i}}$  given a univariate standard normal cumulative distribution and  $\Phi_2\left(\tau_{x_{c_i}},\tau_{x_{c_j}},\rho\right)$  is the joint cumulative probability of  $\tau_{x_{c_i}}$  and  $\tau_{x_{c_j}}$  given a bivariate standard normal cumulative distribution with a correlation of  $\rho$ 

Each element of  $S_X$  can be calculated by

$$[S_T]_{ij} = \sum_{c_i=1}^{C_i-1} \sum_{c_j-1}^{C_j-1} \Phi_2\left(\tau_{V_{c_i}}, \tau_{V_{c_j}}, \rho_{ij}^*\right) - \sum_{c_i=1}^{C_i-1} \Phi_1(\tau_{V_{c_i}}) \sum_{c_j-1}^{C_j-1} \Phi_1(\tau_{V_{c_j}}),$$

where  $\rho_{ij}^*$  is a polychoric correlation between Items i and j.

#### Value

Maximal reliability values of each group. The maximal-reliability weights are also provided. Users may extracted the weighted by the attr function (see example below).

measurementInvariance 51

### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

Li, H. (1997). A unifying expression for the maximal reliability of a linear composite. *Psychometrika*, 62, 245-249.

Raykov, T. (2012). Scale construction and development using structural equation modeling. In R. H. Hoyle (Ed.), *Handbook of structural equation modeling* (pp. 472-494). New York: Guilford.

#### See Also

reliability for reliability of an unweighted composite score

### **Examples**

```
total <- 'f =~ x1 + x2 + x3 + x4 + x5 + x6 + x7 + x8 + x9 '
fit <- cfa(total, data=HolzingerSwineford1939)
maximalRelia(fit)

# Extract the weight
mr <- maximalRelia(fit)
attr(mr, "weight")</pre>
```

measurementInvariance MeasurementInvariance Tests

# Description

Testing measurement invariance across groups using a typical sequence of model comparison tests.

### Usage

```
measurementInvariance(..., std.lv = FALSE, strict = FALSE, quiet = FALSE,
fit.measures = "default", method = "satorra.bentler.2001")
```

### **Arguments**

	The same arguments as for any lavaan model. See cfa for more information.
std.lv	If TRUE, the fixed-factor method of scale identification is used. If FALSE, the first variable for each factor is used as marker variable.
strict	If TRUE, the sequence requires 'strict' invariance. See details for more information.
quiet	If TRUE, a summary is printed out containing an overview of the different models that are fitted, together with some model comparison tests.
fit.measures	Fit measures used to calculate the differences between nested models.
method	The method used to calculate likelihood ratio test. See lavTestLRT for available options

52 measurementInvariance

#### **Details**

If strict = FALSE, the following four models are tested in order:

- 1. Model 1: configural invariance. The same factor structure is imposed on all groups.
- 2. Model 2: weak invariance. The factor loadings are constrained to be equal across groups.
- 3. Model 3: strong invariance. The factor loadings and intercepts are constrained to be equal across groups.
- 4. Model 4: The factor loadings, intercepts and means are constrained to be equal across groups.

Each time a more restricted model is fitted, a chi-square difference test is reported, comparing the current model with the previous one, and comparing the current model to the baseline model (Model 1). In addition, the difference in cfi is also reported (delta.cfi).

If strict = TRUE, the following five models are tested in order:

- 1. Model 1: configural invariance. The same factor structure is imposed on all groups.
- 2. Model 2: weak invariance. The factor loadings are constrained to be equal across groups.
- 3. Model 3: strong invariance. The factor loadings and intercepts are constrained to be equal across groups.
- 4. Model 4: strict invariance. The factor loadings, intercepts and residual variances are constrained to be equal across groups.
- 5. Model 5: The factor loadings, intercepts, residual variances and means are constrained to be equal across groups.

Note that if the chi-square test statistic is scaled (eg. a Satorra-Bentler or Yuan-Bentler test statistic), a special version of the chi-square difference test is used as described in http://www.statmodel.com/chidiff.shtml

# Value

Invisibly, all model fits in the sequence are returned as a list.

# Author(s)

Yves Rosseel (Ghent University; < Yves. Rosseel@UGent.be>); Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (< psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

Vandenberg, R. J., and Lance, C. E. (2000). A review and synthesis of the measurement invariance literature: Suggestions, practices, and recommendations for organizational research. *Organizational Research Methods*, *3*, 4-70.

# See Also

longInvariance for the measurement invariance test within person; partialInvariance for the automated function for finding partial invariance models

measurementInvarianceCat 53

## **Examples**

```
HW.model <- ' visual =~ x1 + x2 + x3
textual =~ x4 + x5 + x6
speed =~ x7 + x8 + x9 '
```

measurementInvariance(HW.model, data=HolzingerSwineford1939, group="school")

measurementInvarianceCat

Measurement Invariance Tests for Categorical Items

## **Description**

Testing measurement invariance across groups using a typical sequence of model comparison tests.

# Usage

```
measurementInvarianceCat(..., std.lv = FALSE, strict = FALSE, quiet = FALSE,
fit.measures = "default", method = "satorra.bentler.2001")
```

# **Arguments**

	The same arguments as for any lavaan model. See cfa for more information.
std.lv	If TRUE, the fixed-factor method of scale identification is used. If FALSE, the first variable for each factor is used as marker variable.
strict	If TRUE, the sequence requires 'strict' invariance. See details for more information.
quiet	If TRUE, a summary is printed out containing an overview of the different models that are fitted, together with some model comparison tests.
fit.measures	Fit measures used to calculate the differences between nested models.
method	The method used to calculate likelihood ratio test. See <code>lavTestLRT</code> for available options

#### **Details**

Theta parameterization is used to represent SEM for categorical items. That is, residual variances are modeled instead of the total variance of underlying normal variate for each item. Five models can be tested based on different constraints across groups.

- 1. Model 1: configural invariance. The same factor structure is imposed on all groups.
- 2. Model 2: weak invariance. The factor loadings are constrained to be equal across groups.
- 3. Model 3: strong invariance. The factor loadings and thresholds are constrained to be equal across groups.
- 4. Model 4: strict invariance. The factor loadings, thresholds and residual variances are constrained to be equal across groups. For categorical variables, all residual variances are fixed as 1.

54 miPowerFit

Model 5: The factor loadings, threshoulds, residual variances and means are constrained to be equal across groups.

However, if all items have two items (dichotomous), scalar invariance and weak invariance cannot be separated because thresholds need to be equal across groups for scale identification. Users can specify strict option to include the strict invariance model for the invariance testing. See the further details of scale identification and different parameterization in Millsap and Yun-Tein (2004).

#### Value

Invisibly, all model fits in the sequence are returned as a list.

# Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>) Yves Rosseel (Ghent University; <Yves.Rosseel@UGent.be>)

### References

Millsap, R. E., & Yun-Tein, J. (2004). Assessing factorial invariance in ordered-categorical measures. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *39*, 479-515.

#### See Also

measurementInvariance for measurement invariance for continuous variables; longInvariance For the measurement invariance test within person with continuous variables; partialInvariance for the automated function for finding partial invariance models

# **Examples**

miPowerFit

Modification indices and their power approach for model fit evaluation

# Description

The model fit evaluation approach using modification indices and expected parameter changes.

### Usage

```
miPowerFit(lavaanObj, stdLoad=0.4, cor=0.1, stdBeta=0.1, intcept=0.2, stdDelta=NULL,
delta=NULL, cilevel = 0.90)
```

miPowerFit 55

### **Arguments**

lavaan0bj The lavaan model object used to evaluate model fit stdLoad The amount of standardized factor loading that one would like to be detected (rejected). The default value is 0.4, which is suggested by Saris and colleagues (2009, p. 571). The amount of factor or error correlations that one would like to be detected cor (rejected). The default value is 0.1, which is suggested by Saris and colleagues (2009, p. 571). stdBeta The amount of standardized regression coefficients that one would like to be detected (rejected). The default value is 0.1, which is suggested by Saris and colleagues (2009, p. 571). intcept The amount of standardized intercept (similar to Cohen's d that one would like to be detected (rejected). The default value is 0.2, which is equivalent to a low effect size proposed by Cohen (1988, 1992). stdDelta The vector of the standardized parameters that one would like to be detected (rejected). If this argument is specified, the value here will overwrite the other arguments above. The order of the vector must be the same as the row order from modification indices from the lavaan object. If a single value is specified, the value will be applied to all parameters. delta The vector of the unstandardized parameters that one would like to be detected (rejected). If this argument is specified, the value here will overwrite the other arguments above. The order of the vector must be the same as the row order from modification indices from the lavaan object. If a single value is specified, the value will be applied to all parameters. cilevel The confidence level of the confidence interval of expected parameter changes. The confidence intervals are used in the equivalence testing.

# Details

In the lavaan object, one can inspect the modification indices and expected parameter changes. Those values can be used to evaluate model fit by two methods.

First, Saris, Satorra, and van der Veld (2009, pp. 570-573) used the power to detect modification indices and expected parameter changes to evaluate model fit. First, one should evaluate whether the modification index of each parameter is significant. Second, one should evaluate whether the power to detect a target expected parameter change is high enough. If the modification index is not significant and the power is high, there is no misspecification. If the modification index is significant and the power is low, the fixed parameter is misspecified. If the modification index is significant and the power is high, the expected parameter change is investigated. If the expected parameter change is large (greater than the the target expected parameter change), the parameter is misspecified. If the expected parameter change is low (lower than the target expected parameter change), the parameter is not misspecificied. If the modification index is not significant and the power is low, the decision is inconclusive.

Second, the confidence intervals of the expected parameter changes are formed. These confidence intervals are compared with the range of trivial misspecification, which could be (-delta, delta) or (0, delta) for nonnegative parameters. If the confidence intervals are outside of the range of

56 miPowerFit

trivial misspecification, the fixed parameters are severely misspecified. If the confidence intervals are inside the range of trivial misspecification, the fixed parameters are trivially misspecified. If confidence intervals are overlapped the range of trivial misspecification, the decision is inconclusive.

#### Value

A data frame with these variables:

- 1. Ihs The left-hand side variable (with respect to the lavaan operator)
- 2. op The lavaan syntax operator: "~~" represents covariance, "=~" represents factor loading, "~" represents regression, and "~1" represents intercept.
- 3. rhs The right-hand side variable (with respect to the lavaan operator)
- 4. group The group of the parameter
- 5. mi The modification index of the fixed parameter
- 6. epc The expected parameter change if the parameter is freely estimated
- 7. target.epc The target expected parameter change that represents the minimum size of misspecification that one would like to be detected by the test with a high power
- 8. std.epc The standardized expected parameter change if the parameter is freely estimated
- 9. std.target.epc The standardized target expected parameter change
- 10. significant.mi Represents whether the modification index value is significant
- 11. high.power Represents whether the power is enough to detect the target expected parameter change
- 12. decision.pow The decision whether the parameter is misspecified or not based on Saris et al's method: "M" represents the parameter is misspecified, "NM" represents the parameter is not misspecified, "EPC:M" represents the parameter is misspecified decided by checking the expected parameter change value, "EPC:NM" represents the parameter is not misspecified decided by checking the expected parameter change value, and "I" represents the decision is inconclusive.
- 13. se.epc The standard errors of the expected parameter changes.
- 14. lower.epc The lower bound of the confidence interval of expected parameter changes.
- 15. upper.epc The upper bound of the confidence interval of expected parameter changes.
- 16. lower.std.epc The lower bound of the confidence interval of standardized expected parameter changes.
- 17. upper.std.epc The upper bound of the confidence interval of standardized expected parameter changes.
- 18. decision.ci The decision whether the parameter is misspecified or not based on the confidence interval method: "M" represents the parameter is misspecified, "NM" represents the parameter is not misspecified, and "I" represents the decision is inconclusive.

The row numbers matches with the results obtained from the inspect(object, "mi") function.

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

monteCarloMed 57

#### References

Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. Psychological Bulletin, 112, 155-159.

Saris, W. E., Satorra, A., & van der Veld, W. M. (2009). Testing structural equation models or detection of misspecifications? *Structural Equation Modeling*, *16*, 561-582.

### See Also

moreFitIndices For the additional fit indices information

## **Examples**

```
library(lavaan)
HS.model \leftarrow 'visual = x1 + x2 + x3
              textual = x4 + x5 + x6
              speed = ^{\sim} x7 + x8 + x9 '
fit <- cfa(HS.model, data=HolzingerSwineford1939, group="sex", meanstructure=TRUE)</pre>
miPowerFit(fit)
model <- '
  # latent variable definitions
     ind60 = x1 + x2 + x3
     dem60 = y1 + a*y2 + b*y3 + c*y4
     dem65 = y5 + a*y6 + b*y7 + c*y8
  # regressions
    dem60 \sim ind60
    dem65 \sim ind60 + dem60
  # residual correlations
    y1 ~~ y5
    y2 ~~ y4 + y6
    y3 ~~ y7
    y4 ~~ y8
    y6 ~~ y8
fit2 <- sem(model, data=PoliticalDemocracy, meanstructure=TRUE)</pre>
miPowerFit(fit2, stdLoad=0.3, cor=0.2, stdBeta=0.2, intcept=0.5)
```

monteCarloMed

Monte Carlo Confidence Intervals to Test Complex Indirect Effects

# Description

This function takes an expression for an indirect effect, the parameters and standard errors associated with the expression and returns a confidence interval based on a Monte Carlo test of mediation (MacKinnon, Lockwood, & Williams, 2004).

58 monteCarloMed

### Usage

monteCarloMed(expression, ..., ACM=NULL, object=NULL, rep=20000, CI=95, plot=FALSE, outputValues=FALSE)

# **Arguments**

expression	A character scalar representing the computation of an indirect effect. Different parameters in the expression should have different alphanumeric values. Expressions can use either addition (+) or multiplication (*) operators.
	Parameter estimates for all parameters named in expression. The order of parameters should follow from expression (the first parameter named in expression should be the first parameter listed in). Alternatively can be a vector of parameter estimates.
ACM	A matrix representing the asymptotic covariance matrix of the parameters described in expression. This matrix should be a symetric matrix with dimensions equal to the number of parameters names in expression. Information on finding the ACOV is popular SEM software is described below.)
object	A lavaan model object fitted after running the running the cfa, sem, growth, or lavaan functions. The model must have parameters labelled with the same labels used in expression. When using this option do not specify values for or ACM
rep	The number of replications to compute. Many thousand are reccomended.
CI	Width of the confidence interval computed.
plot	Should the function output a plot of simulated values of the indirect effect?
outputValues	Should the function output all simulated values of the indirect effect?

# Details

This function implements the Monte Carlo test of mediation first described in MacKinnon, Lockwood, & Williams (2004) and extends it to complex cases where the indirect effect is more than a function of two parameters. The function takes an expression for the indirect effect, randomly simulated values of the indirect effect based on the values of the parameters (and the associated standard errors) comprising the indirect effect, and outputs a confidence interval of the indirect effect based on the simulated values. For further information on the Monte Carlo test of mediation see MacKinnon, Lockwood, & Williams (2004), Preacher & Selig (in press), and Selig & Preacher (2008). For a Monte Carlo test of mediation with a random effects model see Selig & Preacher (2010).

The asymptotic covariance matrix can be easily found in many popular SEM software applications.

- LISRELIncluding the EC option on the OU line will print the ACM to a seperate file. The file contains the lower triangular elements of the ACM in free format and scientific notation
- MplusInclude the command TECH3; in the OUTPUT section. The ACM will be printed in the output.
- lavaan Use the command vcov on the fitted lavaan object to print the ACM to the screen

monteCarloMed 59

#### Value

A list with two elements. The first element is the point estimate for the indirect effect. The second element is a matrix with values for the upper and lower limits of the confidence interval generated from the Monte Carlo test of mediation. If outputValues=TRUE, output will be a list with a list with the point estimate and values for the upper and lower limits of the confidence interval as the first element and a vector of simulated values of the indirect effect as the second element.

### Author(s)

Corbin Quick (University of Michigan; <corbinq@umich.edu>) Alexander M. Schoemann (East Carolina University; <schoemanna@ecu.edu>) James P. Selig (University of New Mexico; <selig@unm.edu>)

#### References

Preacher, K. J., & Selig, J. P. (2010, July). Monte Carlo method for assessing multilevel mediation: An interactive tool for creating confidence intervals for indirect effects in 1-1-1 multilevel models [Computer software]. Available from http://quantpsy.org/.

Preacher, K. J., & Selig, J. P. (2012). Advantages of Monte Carlo confidence intervals for indirect effects. *Communication Methods and Measures*, 6, 77-98.

Selig, J. P., & Preacher, K. J. (2008, June). Monte Carlo method for assessing mediation: An interactive tool for creating confidence intervals for indirect effects [Computer software]. Available from http://quantpsy.org/.

### **Examples**

```
#Simple two path mediation
#Write expression of indirect effect
med <- 'a*b'
#Paramter values from analyses
aparam <- 1
bparam<-2
#Asymptotic covariance matrix from analyses
AC \leftarrow matrix(c(.01,.00002,
               .00002,.02), nrow=2, byrow=TRUE)
#Compute CI, include a plot
monteCarloMed(med, coef1=aparam, coef2=bparam, outputValues=FALSE, plot=TRUE, ACM=AC)
#Use a vector of parameter estimates as input
aparam<-c(1,2)
monteCarloMed(med, coef1=aparam, outputValues=FALSE, plot=TRUE, ACM=AC)
#Complex mediation with two paths for the indirect effect
#Write expression of indirect effect
med <- 'a1*b1 + a1*b2'
#Paramter values and standard errors from analyses
aparam <- 1
b1param<-2
b2param<-1
```

60 moreFitIndices

moreFitIndices

Calculate more fit indices

# Description

Calculate more fit indices that are not already provided in lavaan.

### Usage

```
moreFitIndices(object, fit.measures = "all", nPrior = 1)
```

### **Arguments**

object The lavaan model object provided after running the cfa, sem, growth, or lavaan

functions.

fit.measures Additional fit measures to be calculated. All additional fit measures are calcu-

lated by default

nPrior The sample size on which prior is based. This argument is used to compute

BIC\*.

### **Details**

Gamma Hat (gammaHat; West, Taylor, & Wu, 2012) is a global fit index which can be computed by

$$gammaHat = \frac{p}{p + 2 \times \frac{\chi_k^2 - df_k}{N - 1}},$$

where p is the number of variables in the model,  $\chi_k^2$  is the chi-square test statistic value of the target model,  $df_k$  is the degree of freedom when fitting the target model, and N is the sample size. This formula assumes equal number of indicators across groups.

Adjusted Gamma Hat (adjGammaHat; West, Taylor, & Wu, 2012) is a global fit index which can be computed by

$$adjGammaHat = \left(1 - \frac{K \times p \times (p+1)}{2 \times df_k}\right) \times \left(1 - gammaHat\right),$$

where K is the number of groups (please refer to Dudgeon, 2004 for the multiple-group adjustment for agfi\*).

moreFitIndices 61

Corrected Akaike Information Criterion (aic.smallN; Burnham & Anderson, 2003) is the corrected version of aic for small sample size:

$$aic.small N = f + \frac{2k(k+1)}{N-k-1},$$

where f is the minimized discrepancy function, which is the product of the log likelihood and -2, and k is the number of parameters in the target model.

Corrected Bayesian Information Criterion (bic.priorN; Kuha, 2004) is similar to bic but explicitly specifying the sample size on which the prior is based  $(N_{prior})$ .

$$bic.priorN = f + k \log (1 + N/N_{prior}),$$

Stochastic information criterion (sic; Preacher, 2006) is similar to aic or bic. This index will account for model complexity in the model's function form, in addition to the number of free parameters. This index will be provided only when the chi-squared value is not scaled. The sic can be computed by

$$sic = \frac{1}{2} \left( f - \log \det I(\hat{\theta}) \right),$$

where  $I(\hat{\theta})$  is the information matrix of the parameters.

Hannan-Quinn Information Criterion (hqc; Hannan & Quinn, 1979) is used for model selection similar to aic or bic.

$$hqc = f + 2k \log(\log N),$$

Note that if Satorra-Bentler or Yuan-Bentler's method is used, the fit indices using the scaled chisquare values are also provided.

See nullRMSEA for the further details of the computation of RMSEA of the null model.

## Value

- 1. gammaHat Gamma Hat
- 2. adjGammaHat Adjusted Gamma Hat
- 3. baseline.rmsea RMSEA of the Baseline (Null) Model
- 4. aic.smallN Corrected (for small sample size) Akaike Information Criterion
- 5. bic.priorN Bayesian Information Criterion with specifying the prior sample size
- 6. sic Stochastic Information Criterion
- 7. hqc Hannan-Quinn Information Criterion
- 8. gammaHat.scaled Gamma Hat using Scaled Chi-square
- 9. adjGammaHat.scaled Adjusted Gamma Hat using Scaled Chi-square
- 10. baseline.rmsea.scaled RMSEA of the Baseline (Null) Model using Scaled Chi-square

62 mvrnonnorm

### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>) Terrence Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>) Aaron Boulton (University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill; <aboulton@email.unc.edu>) Ruben Arslan (Humboldt-University of Berlin, <rubenarslan@gmail.com>) Yves Rosseel (Ghent University; <Yves.Rosseel@UGent.be>)

#### References

Burnham, K., & Anderson, D. (2003). *Model selection and multimodel inference: A practical-theoretic approach*. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag.

Dudgeon, P. (2004). A note on extending Steiger's (1998) multiple sample RMSEA adjustment to other noncentrality parameter-based statistic. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 11, 305-319.

Kuha, J. (2004). AIC and BIC: Comparisons of assumptions and performance. *Sociological Methods Research*, *33*, 188-229.

Preacher, K. J. (2006). Quantifying parsimony in structural equation modeling. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 43, 227-259.

West, S. G., Taylor, A. B., & Wu, W. (2012). Model fit and model selection in structural equation modeling. In R. H. Hoyle (Ed.), *Handbook of Structural Equation Modeling*. New York: Guilford.

#### See Also

- miPowerFit For the modification indices and their power approach for model fit evaluation
- nullRMSEA For RMSEA of the null model

### **Examples**

mvrnonnorm

Generate Non-normal Data using Vale and Maurelli (1983) method

# Description

Generate Non-normal Data using Vale and Maurelli (1983) method. The function is designed to be as similar as the popular myrnorm function in the MASS package. The codes are copied from myrnorm function in the MASS package for argument checking and lavaan package for data generation using Vale and Maurelli (1983) method.

net 63

# Usage

```
mvrnonnorm(n, mu, Sigma, skewness = NULL, kurtosis = NULL, empirical = FALSE)
```

### **Arguments**

n	Sample size
mu	A mean vector
Sigma	A positive-definite symmetric matrix specifying the covariance matrix of the variables
skewness	A vector of skewness of the variables
kurtosis	A vector of excessive kurtosis of the variables

empirical If TRUE, mu and Sigma specify the empirical not population mean and covariance

matrix

#### Value

A data matrix

#### Author(s)

The original function is the simulateData function written by Yves Rosseel in the lavaan package. The function is adjusted for a convenient usage by Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

# References

Vale, C. D. & Maurelli, V. A. (1983) Simulating multivariate nonormal distributions. *Psychometrika*, 48, 465-471.

# **Examples**

```
mvrnonnorm(100, c(1, 2), matrix(c(10, 2, 2, 5), 2, 2), skewness = c(5, 2), kurtosis = c(3, 3))
```

net

Nesting and Equivalence Testing

### **Description**

This test examines whether models are nested or equivalent based on Bentler and Satorra's (2010) procedure.

# Usage

```
net(..., crit = .0001)
```

64 net

### **Arguments**

... The lavaan objects used for test of nesting and equivalence

The upper-bound criterion for testing the equivalence of models. Models are considered nested (or equivalent) if the difference between their chi-squared fit

statistics is less than this criterion.

#### **Details**

The concept of nesting/equivalence should be the same regardless of estimation method. However, the particular method of testing nesting/equivalence (as described in Bentler & Satorra, 2010) employed by the net function is based on a limited-information estimator (analyzing model-implied means and covariance matrices, not raw data). In the case of robust methods like MLR, the raw data is only utilized for the robust adjustment to SE and chi-sq, and the net function only checks the unadjusted chi-sq for the purposes of testing nesting/equivalence. This method does not apply to models that estimate thresholds for categorical data, so an error message will be issued if such a model is provided.

#### Value

The Net object representing the outputs for nesting and equivalent testing, including a logical matrix of test results and a vector of degrees of freedom for each model.

#### Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

### References

Bentler, P. M., & Satorra, A. (2010). Testing model nesting and equivalence. *Psychological Methods*, *15*, 111-123. doi:10.1037/a0019625

## **Examples**

```
m1 <- ' visual =~ x1 + x2 + x3

textual =~ x4 + x5 + x6

speed =~ x7 + x8 + x9 '

m2 <- ' f1 =~ x1 + x2 + x3 + x4

f2 =~ x5 + x6 + x7 + x8 + x9 '

m3 <- ' visual =~ x1 + x2 + x3

textual =~ eq*x4 + eq*x5 + eq*x6

speed =~ x7 + x8 + x9 '

fit1 <- cfa(m1, data = HolzingerSwineford1939)

fit1a <- cfa(m1, data = HolzingerSwineford1939) # Not equivalent to fit1

fit2 <- cfa(m2, data = HolzingerSwineford1939) # Not equivalent to or nested in fit1

fit3 <- cfa(m3, data = HolzingerSwineford1939) # Nested in fit1 and fit1a
```

Net-class 65

```
tests <- net(fit1, fit1a, fit2, fit3)
tests
summary(tests)</pre>
```

Net-class

Class For the Result of Nesting and Equivalence Testing

# Description

This class contains the results of nesting and equivalence testing among multiple models

# **Objects from the Class**

Objects can be created via the net function.

# **Slots**

test: Logical matrix of results of nesting and equivalence testing across models df: The degrees of freedom of tested models

# methods

• summary The summary function is used to provide the results in narrative.

# Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

# See Also

net

# **Examples**

# See the example in the net function.

66 nullMx

nullMx

Analyzing data using a null model

# Description

Analyzing data using a null model by full-information maximum likelihood. In the null model, all means and covariances are free if items are continuous. All covariances are fixed to 0. For ordinal variables, their means are fixed as 0 and their variances are fixed as 1 where their thresholds are estimated. In multiple-group model, all means are variances are separately estimated.

### Usage

```
nullMx(data, groupLab = NULL)
```

# **Arguments**

data The target data frame

groupLab The name of grouping variable

# Value

The MxModel object which contains the analysis result of the null model.

# Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### See Also

```
saturateMx, fitMeasuresMx, standardizeMx
```

# **Examples**

```
## Not run:
library(OpenMx)
data(demoOneFactor)
nullModel <- nullMx(demoOneFactor)
## End(Not run)</pre>
```

nullRMSEA 67

nullRMSEA	Calculate the RMSEA of the null model
-----------	---------------------------------------

## **Description**

Calculate the RMSEA of the null (baseline) model

## Usage

```
nullRMSEA(object, scaled = FALSE, silent=FALSE)
```

### **Arguments**

object	The lavaan model object provided after running the cfa, sem, growth, or lavaan functions.
scaled	If TRUE, calculate the null model from the scaled test.
silent	If TRUE, do not print anything on the screen.

# **Details**

RMSEA of the null model is calculated similar to the formula provided in the lavaan package. The standard formula of RMSEA is

$$RMSEA = \sqrt{\frac{\chi^2}{N \times df} - \frac{1}{N}} \times \sqrt{G}$$

where  $\chi^2$  is the chi-square test statistic value of the target model, N is the total sample size, df is the degree of freedom of the hypothesized model, G is the number of groups. Kenny proposed in his website that

"A reasonable rule of thumb is to examine the RMSEA for the null model and make sure that is no smaller than 0.158. An RMSEA for the model of 0.05 and a TLI of .90, implies that the RMSEA of the null model is 0.158. If the RMSEA for the null model is less than 0.158, an incremental measure of fit may not be that informative."

See http://davidakenny.net/cm/fit.htm.

### Value

A value of RMSEA of the null model. This value is hidden. Users may be assigned the output of this function to any object for further usage.

# Author(s)

Ruben Arslan (Humboldt-University of Berlin, <rubenarslan@gmail.com>)

68 parcelAllocation

### References

Kenny, D. A., Kaniskan, B., & McCoach, D. B. (2011). *The performance of RMSEA in models with small degrees of freedom.* Unpublished paper, University of Connecticut.

### See Also

- miPowerFit For the modification indices and their power approach for model fit evaluation
- moreFitIndices For other fit indices

# **Examples**

parcelAllocation

Random Allocation of Items to Parcels in a Structural Equation Model

# **Description**

This function generates a given number of randomly generated item-to-parcel allocations, fits a model to each allocation, and provides averaged results over all allocations.

# Usage

```
parcelAllocation(nPerPar, facPlc, nAlloc=100, syntax, dataset, names='default',
leaveout=0, ...)
```

### **Arguments**

nPerPar	A list in which each element is a vector corresponding to each factor indicating sizes of parcels. If variables are left out of parceling, they should not be accounted for here (there should NOT be parcels of size "1").
facPlc	A list of vectors, each corresponding to a factor, specifying the variables in that factor (whether included in parceling or not). Either variable names or column numbers. Variables not listed will not be modeled or included in output datasets.
nAlloc	The number of random allocations of items to parcels to generate.
syntax	lavaan syntax. If substituted with a file name, parcelAllocation will print output data sets to a specified folder rather than analyzing using lavaan (note for Windows users: file path must be specified using forward slashes).
dataset	Data set. Can be file path or R object (matrix or dataframe). If the data has missing values multiple imputation before parceling is recommended.
names	(Optional) A character vector containing the names of parceled variables.

parcelAllocation 69

leaveout A vector of variables to be left out of randomized parceling. Either variable

names or column numbers are allowed.

... Additional arguments to be passed to lavaan

#### **Details**

This function implements the random item to parcel allocation procedure described in Sterba (2011) and Sterba and MccCallum (2010). The function takes a single data set with item level data, randomly assigns items to parcels, fits a structural equation model to the parceled data (using lavaan), and repeats this process for a user specified number of random allocations. Results from all fitted models are summarized and output. For further details on the benefits of the random allocation of itesm to parcels see Sterba (2011) and Sterba and MccCallum (2010).

#### Value

Estimates A data frame containing results related to parameter estimates with columns cor-

responding to parameter names, average parameter estimates across allocations, the standard deviation of parameter estimates across allocations, the minimum parameter estimate across allocations, the maximum parameter estimate across allocations, the range of parameter estimates across allocations, and the propor-

tions of allocations in which the parameter estimate is significant.

SE A data frame containing results related to standard errors with columns corre-

sponding to parameter names, average standard errors across allocations, the standard deviation of standard errors across allocations, the minimum standard error across allocations, the maximum standard error across allocations, and the

range of standard errors across allocations.

Fit A data frame containing results related to model fit with columns corresponding

to fit index names, the average of each index across allocations, the standard deviation of each fit index across allocations, the minimum of each fit index across allocations, the maximum of each fit index across allocations, and the

range of each fit index across allocations.

#### Author(s)

Corbin Quick (University of Michigan; <corbinq@umich.edu>) Alexander M. Schoemann (East Carolina University; <schoemanna@ecu.edu>)

# References

Sterba, S.K. (2011). Implications of parcel-allocation variability for comparing fit of item-solutions and parcel-solutions. *Structural Equation Modeling*, *18*, 554-577.

Sterba, S.K. & MacCallum, R.C. (2010). Variability in parameter estimates and model fit across random allocations of items to parcels. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *45*, 322-358.

### **Examples**

#Fit 3 factor CFA to simulated data. #Each factor has 9 indicators that are randomly parceled into 3 parcels #Lavaan syntax for the model to be fit to parceled data 70 partialInvariance

partialInvariance

Partial Measurement Invariance Testing Across Groups

### **Description**

This test will provide partial invariance testing by (a) freeing a parameter one-by-one from nested model and compare with the original nested model or (b) fixing (or constraining) a parameter one-by-one from the parent model and compare with the original parent model. This function only works with congeneric models. The partialInvariance is used for continuous variable. The partialInvarianceCat is used for categorical variables.

### Usage

```
partialInvariance(fit, type, free = NULL, fix = NULL, refgroup = 1,
    poolvar = TRUE, p.adjust = "none", fbound = 2, return.fit = FALSE,
method = "satorra.bentler.2001")
partialInvarianceCat(fit, type, free = NULL, fix = NULL, refgroup = 1,
    poolvar = TRUE, p.adjust = "none", return.fit = FALSE,
method = "satorra.bentler.2001")
```

## **Arguments**

fit	A list of models for invariance testing. Each model should be assigned by appropriate names (see details). The result from measurementInvariance or measurementInvarianceCat could be used in this argument directly.
type	The types of invariance testing: "metric", "scalar", "strict", or "means"
free	A vector of variable names that are free across groups in advance. If partial mean invariance is tested, this argument represents a vector of factor names that are free across groups.
fix	A vector of variable names that are constrained to be equal across groups in advance. If partial mean invariance is tested, this argument represents a vector of factor names that are fixed across groups.
refgroup	The reference group used to make the effect size comparison with the other groups.
poolvar	If TRUE, the variances are pooled across group for standardization. Otherwise, the variances of the reference group are used for standardization.

partialInvariance 71

p. adjust The method used to adjust p values. See p. adjust for the options for adjusting

p values. The default is to not use any corrections.

fbound The z-scores of factor that is used to calculate the effect size of the loading

difference proposed by Millsap and Olivera-Aguilar (2012).

return.fit Return the submodels fitted by this function

method The method used to calculate likelihood ratio test. See lavTestLRT for available

options

### **Details**

There are four types of partial invariance testing:

• Partial weak invariance. The model named 'fit.configural' from the list of models is compared with the model named 'fit.loadings'. Each loading will be freed or fixed from the metric and configural invariance models respectively. The modified models are compared with the original model. Note that the objects in the list of models must have the names of "fit.configural" and "fit.loadings". Users may use "metric", "weak", "loading", or "loadings" in the type argument. Note that, for testing invariance on marker variables, other variables will be assigned as marker variables automatically.

- Partial strong invariance. The model named 'fit.loadings' from the list of models is compared with the model named either 'fit.intercepts' or 'fit.thresholds'. Each intercept will be freed or fixed from the scalar and metric invariance models respectively. The modified models are compared with the original model. Note that the objects in the list of models must have the names of "fit.loadings" and either "fit.intercepts" or "fit.thresholds". Users may use "scalar", "strong", "intercept", "intercepts", "threshold", or "thresholds" in the type argument. Note that, for testing invariance on marker variables, other variables will be assigned as marker variables automatically. Note that if all variables are dichotomous, scalar invariance testing is not available.
- Partial strict invariance. The model named either 'fit.intercepts' or 'fit.thresholds' (or 'fit.loadings') from the list of models is compared with the model named 'fit.residuals'. Each residual variance will be freed or fixed from the strict and scalar (or metric) invariance models respectively. The modified models are compared with the original model. Note that the objects in the list of models must have the names of "fit.residuals" and either "fit.intercepts", "fit.thresholds", or "fit.loadings". Users may use "strict", "residual", "residuals", "error", or "errors" in the type argument.
- Partial mean invariance. The model named either 'fit.intercepts' or 'fit.thresholds' (or 'fit.residuals' or 'fit.loadings') from the list of models is compared with the model named 'fit.means'. Each factor mean will be freed or fixed from the means and scalar (or strict or metric) invariance models respectively. The modified models are compared with the original model. Note that the objects in the list of models must have the names of "fit.means" and either "fit.residuals", "fit.intercepts", "fit.thresholds", or "fit.loadings". Users may use "means" or "mean" in the type argument.

Two types of comparisons are used in this function:

 free: The nested model is used as a template. Then, one parameter indicating the differences between two models is free. The new model is compared with the nested model. This process is repeated for all differences between two models. The likelihood-ratio test and the difference in CFI are provided. 72 partialInvariance

2. fix: The parent model is used as a template. Then, one parameter indicating the differences between two models is fixed or constrained to be equal to other parameters. The new model is then compared with the parent model. This process is repeated for all differences between two models. The likelihood-ratio test and the difference in CFI are provided.

3. wald: This method is similar to the fix method. However, instead of building a new model and compare them with likelihood-ratio test, multivariate wald test is used to compare equality between parameter estimates. See wald for further details. Note that if any rows of the contrast cannot be summed to 0, the Wald test is not provided, such as comparing two means where one of the means is fixed as 0. This test statistic is not as accurate as likelihood-ratio test provided in fix. I provide it here in case that likelihood-ratio test fails to converge.

Note that this function does not adjust for the inflated Type I error rate from multiple tests. The degree of freedom of all tests would be the number of groups minus 1.

The details of standardized estimates and the effect size used for each parameters are provided in the vignettes by running vignette("partialInvariance").

#### Value

A list of results are provided. The list will consists of at least two elements:

- 1. estimates: The results of parameter estimates including pooled estimates (poolest), the estimates for each group, standardized estimates for each group (std), the difference in standardized values, and the effect size statistic (q for factor loading difference and h for error variance difference). See the details of this effect size statistic by running vignette ("partialInvariance"). In the partialInvariance function, the additional effect statistics proposed by Millsap and Olivera-Aguilar (2012) are provided. For factor loading, the additional outputs are the observed mean difference (diff\_mean), the mean difference if factor scores are low (low\_fscore), and the mean difference if factor scores are high (high\_fscore). The low factor score is calculated by (a) finding the factor scores that its z-score equals -bound (the default is -2) from all groups and (b) picking the minimum value among the factor scores. The high factor score is calculated by (a) finding the factor scores that its z-score equals bound (the default is 2) from all groups and (b) picking the maximum value among the factor scores. For measurement intercepts, the additional outputs are the observed means difference (diff\_mean) and the proportion of the differences in the intercepts over the observed means differences (propdiff). For error variances, the additional outputs are the proportion of the difference in error variances over the difference in observed variances (propdiff).
- 2. results: Statistical tests as well as the change in CFI are provided. Chi-square and p-value are provided for all methods.
- 3. models: The submodels used in the free and fix methods, as well as the nested and parent models. The nested and parent models will be changed from the original models if free or fit arguments are specified.

### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

partialInvariance 73

### References

Millsap, R. E., & Olivera-Aguilar, M. (2012). Investigating measurement invariance using confirmatory factor analysis. In R. H. Hoyle (Ed.), *Handbook of structural equation modeling* (pp. 380-392). New York: Guilford.

#### See Also

measurementInvariance for measurement invariance for continuous variables; measurementInvarianceCat for measurement invariance for categorical variables; wald for multivariate Wald test

```
# Conduct weak invariance testing manually by using fixed-factor
# method of scale identification
library(lavaan)
conf <- "
f1 = NA \times x1 + x2 + x3
f2 = NA*x4 + x5 + x6
f1 ~~ c(1, 1)*f1
f2 ~~ c(1, 1)*f2
weak <- "
f1 = NA \times x1 + x2 + x3
f2 = NA \times x4 + x5 + x6
f1 ~~ c(1, NA)*f1
f2 ~~ c(1, NA)*f2
configural <- cfa(conf, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, std.lv = TRUE, group="school")</pre>
weak <- cfa(weak, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, group="school", group.equal="loadings")</pre>
models <- list(fit.configural = configural, fit.loadings = weak)</pre>
partialInvariance(models, "metric")
## Not run:
partialInvariance(models, "metric", free = "x5") # "x5" is free across groups in advance
partialInvariance(models, "metric", fix = "x4") # "x4" is fixed across groups in advance
# Use the result from the measurementInvariance function
HW.model \leftarrow 'visual = x1 + x2 + x3
              textual = \sim x4 + x5 + x6
              speed =~ x7 + x8 + x9 '
models2 <- measurementInvariance(HW.model, data=HolzingerSwineford1939, group="school")</pre>
partialInvariance(models2, "scalar")
# Conduct weak invariance testing manually by using fixed-factor
# method of scale identification for dichotomous variables
f <- rnorm(1000, 0, 1)
```

74 partialInvariance

```
u1 <- 0.9*f + rnorm(1000, 1, sqrt(0.19))
u2 <- 0.8*f + rnorm(1000, 1, sqrt(0.36))
u3 < -0.6*f + rnorm(1000, 1, sqrt(0.64))
u4 < -0.7*f + rnorm(1000, 1, sqrt(0.51))
u1 <- as.numeric(cut(u1, breaks = c(-Inf, 0, Inf)))</pre>
u2 <- as.numeric(cut(u2, breaks = c(-Inf, 0.5, Inf)))</pre>
u3 <- as.numeric(cut(u3, breaks = c(-Inf, 0, Inf)))
u4 <- as.numeric(cut(u4, breaks = c(-Inf, -0.5, Inf)))
g \leftarrow rep(c(1, 2), 500)
dat2 <- data.frame(u1, u2, u3, u4, g)</pre>
configural2 <- "
f1 = NA*u1 + u2 + u3 + u4
u1 | c(t11, t11)*t1
u2 | c(t21, t21)*t1
u3 | c(t31, t31)*t1
u4 | c(t41, t41)*t1
f1 ~~ c(1, 1)*f1
f1 \sim c(0, NA)*1
u1 ~~ c(1, 1)*u1
u2 ~~ c(1, NA)*u2
u3 ~~ c(1, NA)*u3
u4 ~~ c(1, NA)*u4
outConfigural2 <- cfa(configural2, data = dat2, group = "g", parameterization="theta",
estimator="wlsmv", ordered = c("u1", "u2", "u3", "u4"))
weak2 <- "
f1 = NA*u1 + c(f11, f11)*u1 + c(f21, f21)*u2 + c(f31, f31)*u3 + c(f41, f41)*u4
u1 | c(t11, t11)*t1
u2 | c(t21, t21)*t1
u3 | c(t31, t31)*t1
u4 | c(t41, t41)*t1
f1 ~~ c(1, NA)*f1
f1 \sim c(0, NA)*1
u1 ~~ c(1, 1)*u1
u2 \sim c(1, NA)*u2
u3 ~~ c(1, NA)*u3
u4 ~~ c(1, NA)*u4
outWeak2 <- cfa(weak2, data = dat2, group = "g", parameterization="theta", estimator="wlsmv",
ordered = c("u1", "u2", "u3", "u4"))
modelsCat <- list(configural = outConfigural2, metric = outWeak2)</pre>
partialInvarianceCat(modelsCat, type = "metric")
partialInvarianceCat(modelsCat, type = "metric", free = "u2")
partialInvarianceCat(modelsCat, type = "metric", fix = "u3")
# Use the result from the measurementInvarianceCat function
```

**PAVranking** 

Parcel-Allocation Variability in Model Ranking

### **Description**

This function quantifies and assesses the consequences of parcel-allocation variability for model ranking of structural equation models (SEMs) that differ in their structural specification but share the same parcel-level measurement specification (see Sterba & Rights, 2016). This function is a modified version of parcelAllocation which can be used with only one SEM in isolation. The PAVranking function repeatedly generates a specified number of random item-to-parcel allocations, and then fits two models to each allocation. Output includes summary information about the distribution of model selection results (including plots) and the distribution of results for each model individually, across allocations within-sample. Note that this function can be used when selecting among more than two competing structural models as well (see instructions below involving seed).

### Usage

```
PAVranking(nPerPar, facPlc, nAlloc=100, parceloutput = 0,
    syntaxA, syntaxB, dataset, names = NULL,
    leaveout=0, seed=NA, ...)
```

## **Arguments**

nPerPar	A list in which each element is a vector, corresponding to each factor, indicating sizes of parcels. If variables are left out of parceling, they should not be accounted for here (i.e., there should not be parcels of size "1").
facPlc	A list of vectors, each corresponding to a factor, specifying the item indicators of that factor (whether included in parceling or not). Either variable names or column numbers. Variables not listed will not be modeled or included in output datasets.
nAlloc	The number of random allocations of items to parcels to generate.
syntaxA	lavaan syntax for Model A. Note that, for likelihood ratio test (LRT) results to be interpreted, Model A should be nested within Model B (though the function will still provide results when Models A and B are nonnested).
syntaxB	lavaan syntax for Model B. Note that, for likelihood ratio test (LRT) results to be appropriate, Model A should be nested within Model B (though the function will still provide results when Models A and B are nonnested).

dataset Item-level dataset

parceloutput folder where parceled data sets will be outputted (note for Windows users: file

path must specified using forward slashes).

seed (Optional) Random seed used for parceling items. When the same random seed

is specified and the program is re-run, the same allocations will be generated. The seed argument can be used to assess parcel-allocation variability in model ranking when considering more than two models. For each pair of models under comparison, the program should be rerun using the same random seed. Doing so ensures that multiple model comparisons will employ the same set of parcel

datasets.

names (Optional) A character vector containing the names of parceled variables.

leaveout (Optional) A vector of variables to be left out of randomized parceling. Either

variable names or column numbers are allowed.

... Additional arguments to be passed to lavaan

#### **Details**

This is a modified version of parcelAllocation which was, in turn, based on the SAS macro ParcelAlloc (Sterba & MacCallum, 2010). The PAVranking function produces results discussed in Sterba and Rights (2016) relevant to the assessment of parcel-allocation variability in model selection and model ranking. Specifically, the PAVranking function first uses a modified version of parcelAllocation to generate a given number (nAlloc) of item-to-parcel allocations. Then, PAVranking provides the following new developments: specifying more than one SEM and producing results for Model A and Model B separately that summarize parcel allocation variability in estimates, standard errors, and fit indices. PAVranking also newly produces results summarizing parcel allocation variability in model selection index values and model ranking between Models A and B. Additionally, PAVranking newly allows for nonconverged solutions and outputs the proportion of allocations that converged as well as the proportion of proper solutions (results are summarized for converged and proper allocations only).

For further details on the benefits of the random allocation of items to parcels, see Sterba (2011) and Sterba and MacCallum (2010).

NOTE: This function requires the lavaan package. Missing data code needs to be NA. If function returns "Error in plot.new(): figure margins too large," user may need to increase size of the plot window and rerun.

# Value

Estimates\_A, Estimates\_B

A table containing results related to parameter estimates (in table Estimates\_A for Model A and in table Estimates\_B for Model B) with columns corresponding to parameter name, average parameter estimate across allocations, standard deviation of parameter estimate across allocations, the maximum parameter estimate across allocations, the range of parameter estimates across allocations, and the percent of allocations in which the parameter estimate is significant.

SE\_A, SE\_B A table containing results related to standard errors (in table SE\_A for Model A and in table SE\_B for Model B) with columns corresponding to parameter

name, average standard error across allocations, the standard deviation of standard errors across allocations, the maximum standard error across allocations, the minimum standard error across allocations, and the range of standard errors across allocations.

Fit\_A, Fit\_B

A table containing results related to model fit (in table Fit\_A for Model A and in table Fit\_B for Model B) with columns corresponding to fit index name, the average of the fit index across allocations, the standard deviation of the fit index across allocations, the maximum of the fit index across allocations, the minimum of the fit index across allocations, the range of the fit index across allocations, and the percent of allocations where the chi-square test of absolute fit was significant.

LRT Summary, Model A vs. Model B

A table with columns corresponding to: average likelihood ratio test (LRT) statistic for comparing Model A vs. Model B (null hypothesis is no difference in fit between Models A and B in the population), degrees of freedom (i.e. difference in the number of free parameters between Models A and B), as well as the standard deviation, maximum, and minimum of LRT statistics across allocations, and the percent of allocations where the LRT was significant (indicating preference for the more complex Model B).

LRT Summary, Model A vs. Model B

A table with columns corresponding to: average likelihood ratio test (LRT) statistic for comparing Model A vs. Model B (null hypothesis is no difference in fit between Models A and B in the population), degrees of freedom (i.e. difference in the number of free parameters between Models A and B), as well as the standard deviation, maximum, and minimum of LRT statistics across allocations, and the percent of allocations where the LRT was significant (indicating preference for the more complex Model B).

Fit index differences

A table containing percentage of allocations where Model A is preferred over Model B according to BIC, AIC, RMSEA, CFI, TLI and SRMR and where Model B is preferred over Model A according to the same indices. Also includes the average amount by which the given model is preferred (calculated only using allocations where it was preferred).

Fit index difference histograms

Histograms are automatically outputted showing the distribution of the differences (Model A - Model B) for each fit index and for the p-value of the likelihood ratio difference test.

Percent of Allocations with | BIC Diff | > 10

A table containing the percentage of allocations with (BIC for Model A) - (BIC for Model B) < -10, indicating "very strong evidence" to prefer Model A over Model B and the percentage of allocations with (BIC for Model A) - (BIC for Model B) > 10, indicating "very strong evidence" to prefer Model B over Model A (Raftery, 1995).

Converged and proper

A table containing the proportion of allocations that converged for Model A, Model B, and both models, and the proportion of allocations with converged and proper solutions for Model A, Model B, and both models.

### Author(s)

Jason D. Rights (Vanderbilt University; <jason.d.rights@vanderbilt.edu>)

The author would also like to credit Corbin Quick and Alexander Schoemann for providing the original parcelAllocation function on which this function is based.

#### References

Raftery, A. E. (1995). Bayesian model selection in social research. *Sociological Methodology*, 25, 111-163.

Sterba, S. K. (2011). Implications of parcel-allocation variability for comparing fit of item-solutions and parcel-solutions. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 18(4), 554-577.

Sterba, S. K., & MacCallum, R. C. (2010). Variability in parameter estimates and model fit across repeated allocations of items to parcels. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 45(2), 322-358.

"Sterba, S. K., & Rights, J. D. (2016). Effects of parceling on model selection: Parcel-allocation variability in model ranking. *Psychological Methods*. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/met0000067

#### See Also

```
parcelAllocation
```

```
## Not run:
## Lavaan syntax for Model A: a 2 Uncorrelated
## factor CFA model to be fit to parceled data
parmodelA <- '
  f1 = NA*p1f1 + p2f1 + p3f1
  f2 = NA*p1f2 + p2f2 + p3f2
  p1f1 ~ 1
  p2f1 ~ 1
  p3f1 ~ 1
  p1f2 \sim 1
  p2f2 \sim 1
  p3f2 ~ 1
  p1f1 ~~ p1f1
  p2f1 ~~ p2f1
  p3f1 ~~ p3f1
  p1f2 ~~ p1f2
  p2f2 ~~ p2f2
  p3f2 ~~ p3f2
  f1 ~~ 1*f1
  f2 ~~ 1*f2
  f1 ~~ 0*f2
## Lavaan syntax for Model B: a 2 Correlated
## factor CFA model to be fit to parceled data
parmodelB <- '
```

```
f1 = NA*p1f1 + p2f1 + p3f1
   f2 = NA*p1f2 + p2f2 + p3f2
  p1f1 ~ 1
  p2f1 ~ 1
  p3f1 ~ 1
  p1f2 ~ 1
  p2f2 ~ 1
  p3f2 ~ 1
  p1f1 ~~ p1f1
  p2f1 ~~ p2f1
  p3f1 ~~ p3f1
  p1f2 ~~ p1f2
  p2f2 ~~ p2f2
  p3f2 ~~ p3f2
   f1 ~~ 1*f1
  f2 ~~ 1*f2
   f1 ~~ f2
##specify items for each factor
f1name <- colnames(simParcel)[1:9]</pre>
f2name <- colnames(simParcel)[10:18]
##run function
PAVranking(nPerPar=list(c(3,3,3),c(3,3,3)),
 facPlc=list(f1name,f2name), nAlloc=100,
 parceloutput=0, syntaxA=parmodelA,
  syntaxB=parmodelB, dataset = simParcel,
 names=list("p1f1","p2f1","p3f1","p1f2","p2f2","p3f2"),
 leaveout=0)
## End(Not run)
```

permuteMeasEq

Permutation Randomization Tests of Measurement Equivalence and Differential Item Functioning (DIF)

# Description

The function permuteMeasEq accepts a pair of nested lavaan objects, the less constrained of which (uncon) freely estimates a set of measurement parameters (e.g., factor loadings, intercepts, or thresholds) in all groups, and the more constrained of which (con) constrains those measurement parameters to equality across groups. Group assignment is repeatedly permuted and the model is fit to each permutation, in order to produce an empirical distribution under the null hypothesis of no group differences, both for (a) changes in user-specified fit measures (see AFIs and moreAFIs) and for (b) the maximum modification index among the user-specified parameters (see param). This function is for testing measurement equivalence only across groups, not occasions. Configural invariance can also be tested by providing that fitted lavaan object to con and leaving uncon = NULL, in which case param must be NULL as well.

Modification indices for equality constraints on parameters specified in param are calculated from the constrained model (con) using the function lavTestScore, which can also be used to request expected parameter changes if the user has a need for them.

#### Usage

# **Arguments**

nPermute An integer indicating the number of random permutations of group assignment

used to form empirical distributions under the null hypothesis.

con The constrained lavaan object, in which the parameters specified in param are

constrained to equality across all groups. In the case of testing *configural* invariance, con is the configural model (implicitly, the unconstrained model is the

saturated model, so use the default uncon = NULL).

uncon Optional. The unconstrained lavaan object, in which the parameters specified

in param are freely estimated in all groups. Only in the case of testing *configural* 

invariance should this argument be NULL.

null Optional. A lavaan object, in which an alternative null model is fit (besides

the default independence model specified by lavaan) for the calculation of incremental fit indices. See Widamin & Thompson (2003) for details. If NULL,

lavaan's default independence model is used.

param A character vector indicating which parameters are constrained across groups

in con and are unconstrained in uncon. Parameter names must match those returned by names(coef(uncon)), but omitting any group-specific suffixes (e.g., "f1~1" rather than "f1~1.g2") or user-specified labels. Alternatively, to test an entire set of a certain type of parameter, param may take any one of the following

values: "loadings", "intercepts", "thresholds", or "residuals".

AFIS A character vector indicating which alternative fit indices (or chi-squared itself)

are to be used to test the multiparameter omnibus null hypothesis of no group differences in any parameters specified in param. Any fit measures returned by fitMeasures may be specified (including constants like "df", which would be nonsensical). If both AFIs and moreAFIs are NULL, only "chisq" will be

returned.

moreAFIs Optional. A character vector indicating which (if any) alternative fit indices returned by moreFitIndices are to be used to test the multiparameter omnibus

null hypothesis of no group differences in any parameters specified in param.

maxSparse An integer indicating the maximum number of consecutive times that randomly

permuted group assignment can yield a sample in which at least one category (of an ordered indicator) is unobserved in at least one group, such that the same set of parameters cannot be estimated in each group. If such a sample occurs, group assignment is randomly permuted again, repeatedly until a sample is obtained with all categories observed in all groups. If maxSparse is exceeded, NA will be

returned for that iteration of the permutation distribution.

maxNonconv An integer indicating the maximum number of consecutive times that randomly

permuted group assignment can yield a sample for which the model does not converge on a solution. If such a sample occurs, group assignment is randomly permuted again, repeatedly until a sample is obtained for which the model does converge. If maxNonconv is exceeded, NA will be returned for that iteration of the permutation distribution, and a warning will be printed when using show or

summary.

showProgress Logical. Indicating whether to display a progress bar while permuting.

#### **Details**

The multiparameter omnibus null hypothesis of measurement equivalence/invariance is that there are no group differences in any measurement parameters. This can be tested using the anova method on nested lavaan objects, as seen in the output of measurementInvariance, or by inspecting the change in alternative fit indices (AFIs) such as the CFI. See Cheung & Rensvold (2002) or Meade, Johnson, & Braddy (2008) for details.

If the multiparameter omnibus null hypothesis is rejected, partial invariance can still be established by freeing parameters that differ across groups, while maintaining equality constraints for at least two other indicators per factor. Modification indices can be calculated from the constrained model (con), but multiple testing leads to inflation of Type I error rates. The permutation randomization method employed by permuteMeasEq creates a distribution of the maximum modification index if the null hypothesis is true, which allows the user to control the familywise Type I error rate in a manner similar to Tukey's q (studentized range) distribution for the Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) post hoc test.

### Value

The permuteMeasEq object representing the results of testing measurement equivalence (the multi-parameter omnibus test) and DIF (modification indices).

# Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

#### References

Cheung, G. W., & Rensvold, R. B. (2002). Evaluating goodness-of-fit indexes for testing measurement invariance. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 9(2), 233-255. doi:10.1207/S15328007SEM0902\_5

Meade, A. W., Johnson, E. C., & Braddy, P. W. (2008). Power and sensitivity of alternative fit indices in tests of measurement invariance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93(3), 568-592. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.93.3.568

Widamin, K. F., & Thompson, J. S. (2003). On specifying the null model for incremental fit indices in structural equation modeling. *Psychological Methods*, 8(1), 16-37. doi:10.1037/1082-989X.8.1.16

#### See Also

TukeyHSD, measurementInvariance, measurementInvarianceCat

```
## Not run:
############################
## Traditional Method ##
############################
## create 3-group data in lavaan example(cfa) data
HS <- lavaan::HolzingerSwineford1939
HS$ageGroup <- ifelse(HS$ageyr < 13, "preteen",</pre>
                       ifelse(HS$ageyr > 13, "teen", "thirteen"))
## specify and fit an appropriate null model for incremental fit indices
mod.null \leftarrow c(paste0("x", 1:9, " \sim c(T", 1:9, ", T", 1:9, ", T", 1:9, ")*1"),
              paste0("x", 1:9, " ~~ c(L", 1:9, ", L", 1:9, ", L", 1:9, ")*x", 1:9))
fit.null <- cfa(mod.null, data = HS, group = "ageGroup")</pre>
## fit target model with varying levels of measurement equivalence
mod.config <- '</pre>
visual = x1 + x2 + x3
textual =^{\sim} x4 + x5 + x6
speed = ^{\sim} x7 + x8 + x9
miout <- measurementInvariance(mod.config, data = HS, std.lv = TRUE,</pre>
                                group = "ageGroup")
(fit.config <- miout[["fit.configural"]])</pre>
(fit.metric <- miout[["fit.loadings"]])</pre>
(fit.scalar <- miout[["fit.intercepts"]])</pre>
## Permutation Method ##
#############################
## fit indices of interest for multiparameter omnibus test
myAFIs <- c("chisq","cfi","rmsea","srmr","mfi","aic")</pre>
moreAFIs <- c("gammaHat", "adjGammaHat")</pre>
## Use only 20 permutations for a demo. In practice,
## use > 1000 to reduce sampling variability of estimated p values
## test configural invariance
set.seed(123)
out.config <- permuteMeasEq(nPermute = 20, con = fit.config)</pre>
out.config
## test metric equivalence
set.seed(456)
out.metric <- permuteMeasEq(nPermute = 20, uncon = fit.config, con = fit.metric,
                             param = "loadings", AFIs = myAFIs,
                             moreAFIs = moreAFIs, null = fit.null)
summary(out.metric, nd = 4)
```

permuteMeasEq-class 83

```
## test scalar equivalence
set.seed(789)
out.scalar <- permuteMeasEq(nPermute = 20, uncon = fit.metric, con = fit.scalar,</pre>
                             param = "intercepts", AFIs = myAFIs,
                             moreAFIs = moreAFIs, null = fit.null)
summary(out.scalar)
## Not much to see without significant DIF.
## Try using an absurdly high alpha level for illustration.
outsum <- summary(out.scalar, alpha = .50)</pre>
## notice that the returned object is the table of DIF tests
## visualize permutation distribution
hist(out.config, AFI = "chisq")
hist(out.metric, AFI = "chisq", nd = 2, alpha = .01,
     legendArgs = list(x = "topright"))
hist(out.scalar, AFI = "cfi", printLegend = FALSE)
## End(Not run)
```

permuteMeasEq-class

Class for the Results of Permutation Randomization Tests of Measurement Equivalence and DIF

### **Description**

This class contains the results of tests of Measurement Equivalence and Differential Item Functioning (DIF).

### **Objects from the Class**

Objects can be created via the permuteMeasEq function.

# Slots

PT: A data. frame returned by a call to parTable on the constrained model

ANOVA: A vector indicating the results of the observed chi-squared (difference) test, based on the theoretical chi-squared distribution

AFI. obs: A vector of observed changes in user-selected alternative fit indices (AFIs)

AFI.dist: The permutation distribution(s) of AFI(s). A data.frame with nrow == n.Permutations and one column for each AFI.

AFI.pval: A vector of *p* values (one for each AFI in slot AFI.obs) calculated using slot AFI.dist, indicating the probability of observing a change at least as extreme as AFI.obs if the null hypothesis of no group differences were true

- MI.obs: A vector of observed Lagrange Multipliers (modification indices) associated with the equality constraints on the user-specified parameters. This is a subset of the output returned by a call to lavTestScore on the constrained model.
- MI.dist: The permutation distribution of the maximum modification index (among those seen in slot MI.obs) at each permutation of group assignment
- n.Permutations: An integer indicating the number of permutations requested by the user
- n.Converged: An integer indicating the number of permuation iterations which yielded a converged solution
- n.nonConverged: A vector of length n.Permutations indicating how many times group assignment was randomly permuted (at each iteration) before converging on a solution
- n. Sparse: Only relevant with ordered indicators. A vector of length n. Permutations indicating how many times group assignment was randomly permuted (at each iteration) before obtaining a sample with all categories observed in all groups

#### Methods

- **show** signature(object = "permuteMeasEq"): The show function is used to summarize the results of the multiparameter omnibus test of measurement equivalence, using the user-specified AFIs. The parametric chi-squared (difference) test is also displayed.
- **summary** signature(object = "permuteMeasEq", alpha = .05, nd = 3): The summary function prints the same information from the show method, but also provides a table summarizing follow-up tests of DIF using modification indices in slot MI.obs. The user can also specify an alpha level for flagging modification indices as significant, as well as nd (the number of digits displayed). For each modification index, the p value is displayed using the parametric chi-squared distribution with df = 1. Additionally, a p value is displayed using the permutation distribution of the maximum index, which controls the familywise Type I error rate in a manner similar to Tukey's studentized range test. If any indices are flagged as significant (using the tukey.p.value), then a message is displayed for each flagged index. The invisibly returned data. frame is the displayed table of modification indices, unless permuteMeasEq was called with uncon = NULL to test configural invariance, in which case the invisibly returned object is object.
- hist signature(x = "permuteMeasEq", ..., AFI, alpha = .05, nd = 3, printLegend = TRUE, legendArgs = lis
  The hist function provides a histogram for the permutation distribution of the specified AFI,
  including observed and critical values from the specified alpha level. The user can also specify additional graphical parameters to hist via ..., as well as pass a list of arguments to an
  optional legend via legendArgs. If AFI = "chisq", then the probability density and critical
  value from the theoretical chi-squared distribution are also included in the plot. If the user
  wants more control over customization, hist returns a list of length == 2, containing the
  arguments for the call to hist and the arguments to the call for legend, respectively.

## Author(s)

Terrence D. Jorgensen (University of Amsterdam; <TJorgensen314@gmail.com>)

#### See Also

permuteMeasEq

plotProbe 85

# **Examples**

# See the example from the permuteMeasEq function

plotProbe	Plot the graphs for probing latent interaction

# **Description**

This function will plot the line graphs representing the simple effect of the independent variable given the values of the moderator.

### Usage

```
plotProbe(object, xlim, xlab="Indepedent Variable", ylab="Dependent Variable", ...)
```

# Arguments

object	The result of probing latent interaction obtained from probe2WayMC, probe2WayRC, probe3WayMC, or probe3WayRC function.
xlim	The vector of two numbers: the minimum and maximum values of the independent variable
xlab	The label of the x-axis
ylab	The label of the y-axis
	Any addition argument for the plot function

#### Value

None. This function will plot the simple main effect only.

## Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

### See Also

- indProd For creating the indicator products with no centering, mean centering, double-mean centering, or residual centering.
- probe2WayMC For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering.
- probe3WayMC For probing the three-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering.
- probe2WayRC For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.
- probe3WayRC For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.

plotProbe

```
library(lavaan)
dat2wayMC <- indProd(dat2way, 1:3, 4:6)</pre>
model1 <- "
f1 = x1 + x2 + x3
f2 = x4 + x5 + x6
f12 = x1.x4 + x2.x5 + x3.x6
f3 = x7 + x8 + x9
f3 \sim f1 + f2 + f12
f12 ~~0*f1
f12 ~~ 0*f2
x1 ~ 0*1
x4 ~ 0*1
x1.x4 ~ 0*1
x7 ~ 0*1
f1 ~ NA*1
f2 ~ NA*1
f12 ~ NA*1
f3 ~ NA*1
fitMC2way <- sem(model1, data=dat2wayMC, meanstructure=TRUE, std.lv=FALSE)</pre>
result2wayMC <- probe2WayMC(fitMC2way, c("f1", "f2", "f12"), "f3", "f2", c(-1, 0, 1))
plotProbe(result2wayMC, xlim=c(-2, 2))
dat3wayMC <- indProd(dat3way, 1:3, 4:6, 7:9)</pre>
model3 <- "
f1 = x1 + x2 + x3
f2 = x4 + x5 + x6
f3 = x7 + x8 + x9
f12 = x1.x4 + x2.x5 + x3.x6
f13 = x1.x7 + x2.x8 + x3.x9
f23 = x4.x7 + x5.x8 + x6.x9
f123 = x1.x4.x7 + x2.x5.x8 + x3.x6.x9
f4 = x10 + x11 + x12
f4 ~ f1 + f2 + f3 + f12 + f13 + f23 + f123
f1 ~~ 0*f12
f1 ~~ 0*f13
f1 ~~ 0*f123
f2 ~~ 0*f12
f2 ~~ 0*f23
f2 ~~ 0*f123
f3 ~~ 0*f13
f3 ~~ 0*f23
f3 ~~ 0*f123
f12 ~~ 0*f123
f13 ~~ 0*f123
f23 ~~ 0*f123
```

plotRMSEAdist 87

```
x1 ~ 0*1
x4 ~ 0*1
x7 ~ 0*1
x10 ~ 0*1
x1.x4 ~ 0*1
x1.x7 \sim 0*1
x4.x7 \sim 0*1
x1.x4.x7 \sim 0*1
f1 ~ NA*1
f2 ~ NA*1
f3 ~ NA*1
f12 ~ NA*1
f13 ~ NA*1
f23 ~ NA*1
f123 ~ NA*1
f4 ~ NA*1
fitMC3way <- sem(model3, data=dat3wayMC, meanstructure=TRUE, std.lv=FALSE)</pre>
result3wayMC <- probe3WayMC(fitMC3way, c("f1", "f2", "f3", "f12", "f13", "f23", "f123"),
"f4", c("f1", "f2"), c(-1, 0, 1), c(-1, 0, 1))
plotProbe(result3wayMC, xlim=c(-2, 2))
```

plotRMSEAdist

Plot the sampling distributions of RMSEA

# Description

Plots the sampling distributions of RMSEA based on the noncentral chi-square distributions

### Usage

```
plotRMSEAdist(rmsea, n, df, ptile=NULL, caption=NULL, rmseaScale = TRUE, group=1)
```

# **Arguments**

rmsea	The vector of RMSEA values to be plotted
n	Sample size of a dataset
df	Model degrees of freedom
ptile	The percentile rank of the distribution of the first RMSEA that users wish to plot a vertical line in the resulting graph
caption	The name vector of each element of rmsea
rmseaScale	If TRUE, the RMSEA scale is used in the x-axis. If FALSE, the chi-square scale is used in the x-axis.
group	The number of group that is used to calculate RMSEA.

88 plotRMSEAdist

#### **Details**

This function creates overlappling plots of the sampling distribution of RMSEA based on non-central chi-square distribution (MacCallum, Browne, & Suguwara, 1996). First, the noncentrality parameter ( $\lambda$ ) is calculated from RMSEA (Steiger, 1998; Dudgeon, 2004) by

$$\lambda = (N-1)d\varepsilon^2/K,$$

where N is sample size, d is the model degree of freedom, K is the number of groupand  $\varepsilon$  is the population RMSEA. Next, the noncentral chi-square distribution with a specified degree of freedom and noncentrality parameter is plotted. Thus, the x-axis represent the sample chi-square value. The sample chi-square value can be transformed to the sample RMSEA scale  $(\hat{\varepsilon})$  by

$$\hat{\varepsilon} = \sqrt{K} \sqrt{\frac{\chi^2 - d}{(N - 1)d}},$$

where  $\chi^2$  is the chi-square value obtained from the noncentral chi-square distribution.

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

Dudgeon, P. (2004). A note on extending Steiger's (1998) multiple sample RMSEA adjustment to other noncentrality parameter-based statistic. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 11, 305-319.

MacCallum, R. C., Browne, M. W., & Sugawara, H. M. (1996). Power analysis and determination of sample size for covariance structure modeling. *Psychological Methods*, 1, 130-149.

Steiger, J. H. (1998). A note on multiple sample extensions of the RMSEA fit index. *Structural Equation Modeling*, *5*, 411-419.

# See Also

- plotRMSEApower to plot the statistical power based on population RMSEA given the sample size
- findRMSEApower to find the statistical power based on population RMSEA given a sample size
- findRMSEAsamplesize to find the minium sample size for a given statistical power based on population RMSEA

```
plotRMSEAdist(rmsea=c(.05, .08), n=200, df=20, ptile=0.95, rmseaScale = TRUE)
plotRMSEAdist(rmsea=c(.05, .01), n=200, df=20, ptile=0.05, rmseaScale = FALSE)
```

plotRMSEApower 89

plotRMSEApower	Plot power curves for RMSEA	

# **Description**

Plots power of RMSEA over a range of sample sizes

# Usage

```
plotRMSEApower(rmsea0, rmseaA, df, nlow, nhigh, steps=1, alpha=.05, group=1, ...)
```

# Arguments

rmsea0	Null RMSEA
rmseaA	Alternative RMSEA
df	Model degrees of freedom
nlow	Lower sample size
nhigh	Upper sample size
steps	Increase in sample size for each iteration. Smaller values of steps will lead to more precise plots. However, smaller step sizes means a longer run time.
alpha	Alpha level used in power calculations
group	The number of group that is used to calculate RMSEA.
	The additional arguments for the plot function.

### **Details**

This function creates plot of power for RMSEA against a range of sample sizes. The plot places sample size on the horizontal axis and power on the vertical axis. The user should indicate the lower and upper values for sample size and the sample size between each estimate ("step size") We strongly urge the user to read the sources below (see References) before proceeding. A web version of this function is available at: http://quantpsy.org/rmsea/rmseaplot.htm.

## Value

1. plot Plot of power for RMSEA against a range of sample sizes

#### Author(s)

Alexander M. Schoemann (East Carolina University; <schoemanna@ecu.edu>) Kristopher J. Preacher (Vanderbilt University; <kris.preacher@vanderbilt.edu>) Donna L. Coffman (Pennsylvania State University; <dlc30@psu.edu.>)

#### References

MacCallum, R. C., Browne, M. W., & Cai, L. (2006). Testing differences between nested covariance structure models: Power analysis and null hypotheses. *Psychological Methods*, *11*, 19-35.

MacCallum, R. C., Browne, M. W., & Sugawara, H. M. (1996). Power analysis and determination of sample size for covariance structure modeling. *Psychological Methods*, *1*, 130-149.

MacCallum, R. C., Lee, T., & Browne, M. W. (2010). The issue of isopower in power analysis for tests of structural equation models. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 17, 23-41.

Preacher, K. J., Cai, L., & MacCallum, R. C. (2007). Alternatives to traditional model comparison strategies for covariance structure models. In T. D. Little, J. A. Bovaird, & N. A. Card (Eds.), *Modeling contextual effects in longitudinal studies* (pp. 33-62). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Steiger, J. H. (1998). A note on multiple sample extensions of the RMSEA fit index. *Structural Equation Modeling*, *5*, 411-419.

Steiger, J. H., & Lind, J. C. (1980, June). Statistically based tests for the number of factors. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Psychometric Society, Iowa City, IA.

#### See Also

- plotRMSEAdist to visualize the RMSEA distributions
- findRMSEApower to find the statistical power based on population RMSEA given a sample size
- findRMSEAsamplesize to find the minium sample size for a given statistical power based on population RMSEA

## **Examples**

```
plotRMSEApower(.025, .075, 23, 100, 500, 10)
```

plotRMSEApowernested Plot power of nested model RMSEA

# **Description**

Plot power of nested model RMSEA over a range of possible sample sizes.

# Usage

```
plotRMSEApowernested(rmsea0A = NULL, rmsea0B = NULL, rmsea1A, rmsea1B = NULL,
dfA, dfB, nlow, nhigh, steps=1, alpha=.05, group=1, ...)
```

## **Arguments**

rmsea0A	The H0 baseline RMSEA.
rmsea0B	The H0 alternative RMSEA (trivial misfit).
rmsea1A	The H1 baseline RMSEA.
rmsea1B	The H1 alternative RMSEA (target misfit to be rejected).
dfA	degree of freedom of the more-restricted model.
dfB	degree of freedom of the less-restricted model.
nlow	Lower bound of sample size.
nhigh	Upper bound of sample size.
steps	Step size.
alpha	The alpha level.
group	The number of group in calculating RMSEA.
	The additional arguments for the plot function.

#### Author(s)

Bell Clinton; Pavel Panko (Texas Tech University; <pavel.panko@ttu.edu>); Sunthud Porn-prasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

# References

MacCallum, R. C., Browne, M. W., & Cai, L. (2006). Testing differences between nested covariance structure models: Power analysis and null hypotheses. *Psychological Methods*, *11*, 19-35.

### See Also

- findRMSEApowernested to find the power for a given sample size in nested model comparison based on population RMSEA
- findRMSEAsamplesizenested to find the minium sample size for a given statistical power in nested model comparison based on population RMSEA

```
plotRMSEApowernested(rmsea0A = 0, rmsea0B = 0, rmsea1A = 0.06, rmsea1B = 0.05, dfA=22, dfB=20, nlow=50, nhigh=500, steps=1, alpha=.05, group=1)
```

92 probe2WayMC

probe2WayMC	Probing two-way interaction on the no-centered or mean-centered latent interaction

### **Description**

Probing interaction for simple intercept and simple slope for the no-centered or mean-centered latent two-way interaction

### Usage

```
probe2WayMC(fit, nameX, nameY, modVar, valProbe)
```

### **Arguments**

fit	The lavaan model object used to evaluate model fit
nameX	The vector of the factor names used as the predictors. The first-order factor will be listed first. The last name must be the name representing the interaction term.
nameY	The name of factor that is used as the dependent variable.
modVar	The name of factor that is used as a moderator. The effect of the other independent factor on each moderator variable value will be probed.
valProbe	The values of the moderator that will be used to probe the effect of the other independent factor.

#### **Details**

Before using this function, researchers need to make the products of the indicators between the first-order factors using mean centering (Marsh, Wen, & Hau, 2004). Note that the double-mean centering may not be appropriate for probing interaction if researchers are interested in simple intercepts. The mean or double-mean centering can be done by the indProd function. The indicator products can be made for all possible combination or matched-pair approach (Marsh et al., 2004). Next, the hypothesized model with the regression with latent interaction will be used to fit all original indicators and the product terms. See the example for how to fit the product term below. Once the lavaan result is obtained, this function will be used to probe the interaction.

Let that the latent interaction model regressing the dependent variable (Y) on the independent variable (X) and the moderator (Z) be

$$Y = b_0 + b_1 X + b_2 Z + b_3 X Z + r,$$

where  $b_0$  is the estimated intercept or the expected value of Y when both X and Z are 0,  $b_1$  is the effect of X when Z is 0,  $b_2$  is the effect of Z when X is 0,  $b_3$  is the interaction effect between X and Z, and T is the residual term.

For probing two-way interaction, the simple intercept of the independent variable at each value of the moderator (Aiken & West, 1991; Cohen, Cohen, West, & Aiken, 2003; Preacher, Curran, & Bauer, 2006) can be obtained by

$$b_{0|X=0,Z} = b_0 + b_2 Z.$$

probe2WayMC 93

The simple slope of the independent variable at each value of the moderator can be obtained by

$$b_{X|Z} = b_1 + b_3 Z$$
.

The variance of the simple intercept formula is

$$Var(b_{0|X=0,Z}) = Var(b_0) + 2ZCov(b_0, b_2) + Z^2Var(b_2)$$

where Var denotes the variance of a parameter estimate and Cov denotes the covariance of two parameter estimates.

The variance of the simple slope formula is

$$Var\left(b_{X|Z}\right) = Var\left(b_{1}\right) + 2ZCov\left(b_{1}, b_{3}\right) + Z^{2}Var\left(b_{3}\right)$$

Wald statistic is used for test statistic.

#### Value

A list with two elements:

- 1. SimpleIntercept The intercepts given each value of the moderator. This element will be shown only if the factor intercept is estimated (e.g., not fixed as 0).
- 2. SimpleSlope The slopes given each value of the moderator.

In each element, the first column represents the values of the moderators specified in the valProbe argument. The second column is the simple intercept or simple slope. The third column is the standard error of the simple intercept or simple slope. The fourth column is the Wald (z) statistic. The fifth column is the p-value testing whether the simple intercepts or slopes are different from 0.

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

Aiken, L. S., & West, S. G. (1991). Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Cohen, J., Cohen, P., West, S. G., & Aiken, L. S. (2003). Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences (3rd ed.). New York: Routledge.

Marsh, H. W., Wen, Z., & Hau, K. T. (2004). Structural equation models of latent interactions: Evaluation of alternative estimation strategies and indicator construction. *Psychological Methods*, *9*, 275-300.

Preacher, K. J., Curran, P. J., & Bauer, D. J. (2006). Computational tools for probing interactions in multiple linear regression, multilevel modeling, and latent curve analysis. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, *31*, 437-448.

94 probe2WayRC

### See Also

• indProd For creating the indicator products with no centering, mean centering, double-mean centering, or residual centering.

- probe3WayMC For probing the three-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering.
- probe2WayRC For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.
- probe3WayRC For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.
- plotProbe Plot the simple intercepts and slopes of the latent interaction.

### **Examples**

```
library(lavaan)
dat2wayMC <- indProd(dat2way, 1:3, 4:6)</pre>
model1 <- "
f1 = x1 + x2 + x3
f2 = x4 + x5 + x6
f12 = x1.x4 + x2.x5 + x3.x6
f3 = x7 + x8 + x9
f3 \sim f1 + f2 + f12
f12 ~~0*f1
f12 ~~ 0*f2
x1 ~ 0*1
x4 ~ 0*1
x1.x4 ~ 0*1
x7 ~ 0*1
f1 ~ NA*1
f2 ~ NA*1
f12 ~ NA*1
f3 ~ NA*1
fitMC2way <- sem(model1, data=dat2wayMC, meanstructure=TRUE, std.lv=FALSE)</pre>
summary(fitMC2way)
result2wayMC <- probe2WayMC(fitMC2way, c("f1", "f2", "f12"), "f3", "f2", c(-1, 0, 1))
result2wayMC
```

### **Description**

probe2WayRC

Probing interaction for simple intercept and simple slope for the residual-centered latent two-way interaction (Pornprasertmanit, Schoemann, Geldhof, & Little, submitted)

tion

Probing two-way interaction on the residual-centered latent interac-

95 probe2WayRC

# Usage

```
probe2WayRC(fit, nameX, nameY, modVar, valProbe)
```

#### **Arguments**

fit	The lavaan model object used to evaluate model fit
nameX	The vector of the factor names used as the predictors. The first-order factor will be listed first. The last name must be the name representing the interaction term.
nameY	The name of factor that is used as the dependent variable.
modVar	The name of factor that is used as a moderator. The effect of the other independent factor on each moderator variable value will be probed.
valProbe	The values of the moderator that will be used to probe the effect of the other

The values of the moderator that will be used to probe the effect of the other

independent factor.

#### **Details**

Before using this function, researchers need to make the products of the indicators between the firstorder factors and residualize the products by the original indicators (Lance, 1988; Little, Bovaird, & Widaman, 2006). The process can be automated by the indProd function. Note that the indicator products can be made for all possible combination or matched-pair approach (Marsh et al., 2004). Next, the hypothesized model with the regression with latent interaction will be used to fit all original indicators and the product terms. To use this function the model must be fit with a mean structure. See the example for how to fit the product term below. Once the lavaan result is obtained, this function will be used to probe the interaction.

The probing process on residual-centered latent interaction is based on transforming the residualcentered result into the no-centered result. See Pornprasertmanit, Schoemann, Geldhof, and Little (submitted) for further details. Note that this approach based on a strong assumption that the firstorder latent variables are normally distributed. The probing process is applied after the no-centered result (parameter estimates and their covariance matrix among parameter estimates) has been computed. See the probe2WayMC for further details.

#### Value

A list with two elements:

- 1. SimpleIntercept The intercepts given each value of the moderator. This element will be shown only if the factor intercept is estimated (e.g., not fixed as 0).
- 2. SimpleSlope The slopes given each value of the moderator.

In each element, the first column represents the values of the moderators specified in the valProbe argument. The second column is the simple intercept or simple slope. The third column is the standard error of the simple intercept or simple slope. The fourth column is the Wald (z) statistic. The fifth column is the p-value testing whether the simple intercepts or slopes are different from 0.

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

96 probe2WayRC

### References

Lance, C. E. (1988). Residual centering, exploratory and confirmatory moderator analysis, and decomposition of effects in path models containing interactions. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 12, 163-175.

Little, T. D., Bovaird, J. A., & Widaman, K. F. (2006). On the merits of orthogonalizing powered and product terms: Implications for modeling interactions. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 13, 497-519.

Marsh, H. W., Wen, Z., & Hau, K. T. (2004). Structural equation models of latent interactions: Evaluation of alternative estimation strategies and indicator construction. *Psychological Methods*, *9*, 275-300.

Pornprasertmanit, S., Schoemann, A. M., Geldhof, G. J., & Little, T. D. (submitted). *Probing latent interaction estimated with a residual centering approach*.

#### See Also

- indProd For creating the indicator products with no centering, mean centering, double-mean centering, or residual centering.
- probe2WayMC For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering.
- probe3WayMC For probing the three-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering.
- probe3WayRC For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.
- plotProbe Plot the simple intercepts and slopes of the latent interaction.

```
library(lavaan)
dat2wayRC <- orthogonalize(dat2way, 1:3, 4:6)</pre>
model1 <- "
f1 = x1 + x2 + x3
f2 = x4 + x5 + x6
f12 = x1.x4 + x2.x5 + x3.x6
f3 = x7 + x8 + x9
f3 \sim f1 + f2 + f12
f12 ~~0*f1
f12 ~~ 0*f2
x1 ~ 0*1
x4 ~ 0*1
x1.x4 \sim 0*1
x7 ~ 0*1
f1 ~ NA*1
f2 ~ NA*1
f12 ~ NA*1
f3 ~ NA*1
```

probe3WayMC 97

```
fitRC2way <- sem(model1, data=dat2wayRC, meanstructure=TRUE, std.lv=FALSE)
summary(fitRC2way)

result2wayRC <- probe2WayRC(fitRC2way, c("f1", "f2", "f12"), "f3", "f2", c(-1, 0, 1))
result2wayRC</pre>
```

probe3WayMC	Probing two-way interaction on the no-centered or mean-centered la-
	tent interaction

# **Description**

Probing interaction for simple intercept and simple slope for the no-centered or mean-centered latent two-way interaction

# Usage

```
probe3WayMC(fit, nameX, nameY, modVar, valProbe1, valProbe2)
```

# **Arguments**

fit	The lavaan model object used to evaluate model fit
nameX	The vector of the factor names used as the predictors. The three first-order factors will be listed first. Then the second-order factors will be listeed. The last element of the name will represent the three-way interaction. Note that the fourth element must be the interaction between the first and the second variables. The fifth element must be the interaction between the first and the third variables. The sixth element must be the interaction between the second and the third variables.
nameY	The name of factor that is used as the dependent variable.
modVar	The name of two factors that are used as the moderators. The effect of the independent factor on each combination of the moderator variable values will be probed.
valProbe1	The values of the first moderator that will be used to probe the effect of the independent factor.
valProbe2	The values of the second moderator that will be used to probe the effect of the independent factor.

#### **Details**

Before using this function, researchers need to make the products of the indicators between the first-order factors using mean centering (Marsh, Wen, & Hau, 2004). Note that the double-mean centering may not be appropriate for probing interaction if researchers are interested in simple intercepts. The mean or double-mean centering can be done by the indProd function. The indicator products can be made for all possible combination or matched-pair approach (Marsh et al., 2004).

98 probe3WayMC

Next, the hypothesized model with the regression with latent interaction will be used to fit all original indicators and the product terms. See the example for how to fit the product term below. Once the lavaan result is obtained, this function will be used to probe the interaction.

Let that the latent interaction model regressing the dependent variable (Y) on the independent variable (X) and two moderators (Z and W) be

$$Y = b_0 + b_1 X + b_2 Z + b_3 W + b_4 X Z + b_5 X W + b_6 Z W + b_7 X Z W + r$$

where  $b_0$  is the estimated intercept or the expected value of Y when X, Z, and W are 0,  $b_1$  is the effect of X when Z and W are 0,  $b_2$  is the effect of Z when X and W is 0,  $b_3$  is the effect of W when X and Z are 0,  $b_4$  is the interaction effect between X and Z when W is 0,  $b_5$  is the interaction effect between X and W when Z is 0,  $b_6$  is the interaction effect between Z and Z when Z is Z, and Z when Z is Z, and Z when Z is Z, and Z when Z is the residual term.

For probing three-way interaction, the simple intercept of the independent variable at the specific values of the moderators (Aiken & West, 1991) can be obtained by

$$b_{0|X=0,Z,W} = b_0 + b_2 Z + b_3 W + b_6 Z W.$$

The simple slope of the independent variable at the specific values of the moderators can be obtained by

$$b_{X|Z|W} = b_1 + b_3 Z + b_4 W + b_7 Z W.$$

The variance of the simple intercept formula is

$$Var\left(b_{0|X=0,Z,W}\right) = Var\left(b_{0}\right) + Z^{2}Var\left(b_{2}\right) + W^{2}Var\left(b_{3}\right) + Z^{2}W^{2}Var\left(b_{6}\right) + 2ZCov\left(b_{0},b_{2}\right) + 2WCov\left(b_{0},b_{3}\right) + 2ZWCov\left(b_{1},b_{2}\right) + 2WCov\left(b_{1},b_{2}\right) + 2WCov\left(b$$

where Var denotes the variance of a parameter estimate and Cov denotes the covariance of two parameter estimates.

The variance of the simple slope formula is

$$Var\left(b_{X|Z,W}\right) = Var\left(b_{1}\right) + Z^{2}Var\left(b_{4}\right) + W^{2}Var\left(b_{5}\right) + Z^{2}W^{2}Var\left(b_{7}\right) + 2ZCov\left(b_{1},b_{4}\right) + 2WCov\left(b_{1},b_{5}\right) + 2ZWCov\left(b_{1},b_{2}\right) + 2ZW$$

Wald statistic is used for test statistic.

### Value

A list with two elements:

- 1. SimpleIntercept The intercepts given each value of the moderator. This element will be shown only if the factor intercept is estimated (e.g., not fixed as 0).
- 2. SimpleSlope The slopes given each value of the moderator.

In each element, the first column represents the values of the first moderator specified in the valProbe1 argument. The second column represents the values of the second moderator specified in the valProbe2 argument. The third column is the simple intercept or simple slope. The fourth column is the standard error of the simple intercept or simple slope. The fifth column is the Wald (z) statistic. The sixth column is the p-value testing whether the simple intercepts or slopes are different from 0.

probe3WayMC 99

### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

Aiken, L. S., & West, S. G. (1991). Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Marsh, H. W., Wen, Z., & Hau, K. T. (2004). Structural equation models of latent interactions: Evaluation of alternative estimation strategies and indicator construction. *Psychological Methods*, *9*, 275-300.

### See Also

- indProd For creating the indicator products with no centering, mean centering, double-mean centering, or residual centering.
- probe2WayMC For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering.
- probe2WayRC For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.
- probe3WayRC For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.
- plotProbe Plot the simple intercepts and slopes of the latent interaction.

```
library(lavaan)
dat3wayMC <- indProd(dat3way, 1:3, 4:6, 7:9)</pre>
model3 <- "
f1 = x1 + x2 + x3
f2 = x4 + x5 + x6
f3 = x7 + x8 + x9
f12 = x1.x4 + x2.x5 + x3.x6
f13 = x1.x7 + x2.x8 + x3.x9
f23 = x4.x7 + x5.x8 + x6.x9
f123 = x1.x4.x7 + x2.x5.x8 + x3.x6.x9
f4 = x10 + x11 + x12
f4 ~ f1 + f2 + f3 + f12 + f13 + f23 + f123
f1 ~~ 0*f12
f1 ~~ 0*f13
f1 ~~ 0*f123
f2 ~~ 0*f12
f2 ~~ 0*f23
f2 ~~ 0*f123
f3 ~~ 0*f13
f3 ~~ 0*f23
f3 ~~ 0*f123
f12 ~~ 0*f123
```

100 probe3WayRC

```
f13 ~~ 0*f123
f23 ~~ 0*f123
x1 ~ 0*1
x4 ~ 0*1
x7 ~ 0*1
x10 ~ 0*1
x1.x4 \sim 0*1
x1.x7 \sim 0*1
x4.x7 ~ 0*1
x1.x4.x7 \sim 0*1
f1 ~ NA*1
f2 ~ NA*1
f3 ~ NA*1
f12 ~ NA*1
f13 ~ NA*1
f23 ~ NA*1
f123 ~ NA*1
f4 ~ NA*1
fitMC3way <- sem(model3, data=dat3wayMC, meanstructure=TRUE, std.lv=FALSE)
summary(fitMC3way)
result3wayMC <- probe3WayMC(fitMC3way, c("f1", "f2", "f3", "f12", "f13", "f23", "f123"),
"f4", c("f1", "f2"), c(-1, 0, 1), c(-1, 0, 1))
result3wayMC
```

probe3WayRC

Probing three-way interaction on the residual-centered latent interaction

### **Description**

Probing interaction for simple intercept and simple slope for the residual-centered latent three-way interaction (Pornprasertmanit, Schoemann, Geldhof, & Little, submitted)

### Usage

```
probe3WayRC(fit, nameX, nameY, modVar, valProbe1, valProbe2)
```

# **Arguments**

fit

The lavaan model object used to evaluate model fit

nameX

The vector of the factor names used as the predictors. The three first-order factors will be listed first. Then the second-order factors will be listeed. The last element of the name will represent the three-way interaction. Note that the fourth element must be the interaction between the first and the second variables. The fifth element must be the interaction between the first and the third variables. The sixth element must be the interaction between the second and the third variables.

probe3WayRC 101

nameY The name of factor that is used as the dependent variable.

modVar The name of two factors that are used as the moderators. The effect of the

independent factor on each combination of the moderator variable values will

be probed.

valProbe1 The values of the first moderator that will be used to probe the effect of the

independent factor.

valProbe2 The values of the second moderator that will be used to probe the effect of the

independent factor.

### **Details**

Before using this function, researchers need to make the products of the indicators between the first-order factors and residualize the products by the original indicators (Lance, 1988; Little, Bovaird, & Widaman, 2006). The process can be automated by the indProd function. Note that the indicator products can be made for all possible combination or matched-pair approach (Marsh et al., 2004). Next, the hypothesized model with the regression with latent interaction will be used to fit all original indicators and the product terms (Geldhof, Pornprasertmanit, Schoemann, & Little, in press). To use this function the model must be fit with a mean structure. See the example for how to fit the product term below. Once the lavaan result is obtained, this function will be used to probe the interaction.

The probing process on residual-centered latent interaction is based on transforming the residual-centered result into the no-centered result. See Pornprasertmanit, Schoemann, Geldhof, and Little (submitted) for further details. Note that this approach based on a strong assumption that the first-order latent variables are normally distributed. The probing process is applied after the no-centered result (parameter estimates and their covariance matrix among parameter estimates) has been computed See the probe3WayMC for further details.

### Value

A list with two elements:

- 1. SimpleIntercept The intercepts given each value of the moderator. This element will be shown only if the factor intercept is estimated (e.g., not fixed as 0).
- 2. SimpleSlope The slopes given each value of the moderator.

In each element, the first column represents the values of the first moderator specified in the valProbe1 argument. The second column represents the values of the second moderator specified in the valProbe2 argument. The third column is the simple intercept or simple slope. The fourth column is the standard error of the simple intercept or simple slope. The fifth column is the Wald (z) statistic. The sixth column is the p-value testing whether the simple intercepts or slopes are different from 0.

## Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

102 probe3WayRC

#### References

Geldhof, G. J., Pornprasertmanit, S., Schoemann, A., & Little, T. D. (in press). Orthogonalizing through residual centering: Applications and caveats. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*.

Lance, C. E. (1988). Residual centering, exploratory and confirmatory moderator analysis, and decomposition of effects in path models containing interactions. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 12, 163-175.

Little, T. D., Bovaird, J. A., & Widaman, K. F. (2006). On the merits of orthogonalizing powered and product terms: Implications for modeling interactions. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 13, 497-519.

Marsh, H. W., Wen, Z., & Hau, K. T. (2004). Structural equation models of latent interactions: Evaluation of alternative estimation strategies and indicator construction. *Psychological Methods*, *9*, 275-300.

Pornprasertmanit, S., Schoemann, A. M., Geldhof, G. J., & Little, T. D. (submitted). *Probing latent interaction estimated with a residual centering approach*.

### See Also

- indProd For creating the indicator products with no centering, mean centering, double-mean centering, or residual centering.
- probe2WayMC For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering.
- probe3WayMC For probing the three-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from mean-centering, or double-mean centering.
- probe2WayRC For probing the two-way latent interaction when the results are obtained from residual-centering approach.
- plotProbe Plot the simple intercepts and slopes of the latent interaction.

```
library(lavaan)

dat3wayRC <- orthogonalize(dat3way, 1:3, 4:6, 7:9)

model3 <- "
f1 =~ x1 + x2 + x3
f2 =~ x4 + x5 + x6
f3 =~ x7 + x8 + x9
f12 =~ x1.x4 + x2.x5 + x3.x6
f13 =~ x1.x7 + x2.x8 + x3.x9
f23 =~ x4.x7 + x5.x8 + x6.x9
f123 =~ x1.x4.x7 + x2.x5.x8 + x3.x6.x9
f4 =~ x10 + x11 + x12
f4 ~ f1 + f2 + f3 + f12 + f13 + f23 + f123
f1 ~~ 0*f12
f1 ~~ 0*f13
f1 ~~ 0*f123</pre>
```

quark 103

```
f2 ~~ 0*f12
f2 ~~ 0*f23
f2 ~~ 0*f123
f3 ~~ 0*f13
f3 ~~ 0*f23
f3 ~~ 0*f123
f12 ~~ 0*f123
f13 ~~ 0*f123
f23 ~~ 0*f123
x1 ~ 0*1
x4 ~ 0*1
x7 ~ 0*1
x10 ~ 0*1
x1.x4 ~ 0*1
x1.x7 \sim 0*1
x4.x7 \sim 0*1
x1.x4.x7 \sim 0*1
f1 ~ NA*1
f2 ~ NA*1
f3 ~ NA*1
f12 ~ NA*1
f13 ~ NA*1
f23 ~ NA*1
f123 ~ NA*1
f4 ~ NA*1
fitRC3way <- sem(model3, data=dat3wayRC, meanstructure=TRUE, std.lv=FALSE)</pre>
summary(fitRC3way)
result3wayRC <- probe3WayRC(fitRC3way, c("f1", "f2", "f3", "f12", "f13", "f23", "f123"),
"f4", c("f1", "f2"), c(-1, 0, 1), c(-1, 0, 1))
result3wayRC
```

quark

# **Description**

The quark function provides researchers with the ability to calculate and include component scores calculated by taking into account the variance in the original dataset and all of the interaction and polynomial effects of the data in the dataset.

# Usage

```
quark(data, id, order = 1, silent = FALSE)
```

Quark

104 quark

#### **Arguments**

The data frame is a required component for quark. In order for quark to process a data frame, it must not contain any factors or text-based variables. All variables must be in numeric format. Identifiers and dates can be left in the data; however,

they will need to be identified under the id argument.

id Identifiers and dates within the dataset will need to be acknowledged as quark

cannot process these. Be acknowledging the the identifiers and dates as a vector of column numbers or variable names, quark will remove them from the data temporarily to complete its main processes. Among many potential issues of not acknowledging identifiers and dates are issues involved with imputation, product

and polynomial effects, and principal component analysis.

order Order is an optional argument provided by quark that can be used when the im-

putation procedures in mice fails. Under some circumstances, mice cannot calculate missing values due to issues with extreme missingness. Should an error present itself stating a failure due to not having any columns selected, incorporate the argument order=2 into the quark function in order to reorder the imputation method procedure. Otherwise, the order is defaulted to 1. Example to rerun

quark after imputation failure, quark.list <- quark(data=yourdataframe,id=vectorofIDs,order=2).

silent If FALSE, the details of the quark process are printed.

#### **Details**

The quark function calculates these component scores by first filling in the data via means of multiple imputation methods and then expanding the dataset by aggregating the non-overlapping interaction effects between variables by calculating the mean of the interactions and polynomial effects. The multiple imputation methods include one of iterative sampling and group mean substitution and multiple imputation using a polytomous regression algorithm (mice). During the expansion process, the dataset is expanded to three times its normal size (in width). The first third of the dataset contains all of the original data post imputation, the second third contains the means of the polynomial effects (squares and cubes), and the final third contains the means of the non-overlapping interaction effects. A full principal componenent analysis is conducted and the individual components are retained. The subsequent combinequark function provides researchers the control in determining how many components to extract and retain. The function returns the dataset as submitted (with missing values) and the component scores as requested for a more accurate multiple imputation in subsequent steps.

#### Value

The output value from using the quark function is a list. It will return a list with 7 components.

ID Columns Is a vector of the identifier columns entered when running quark.

ID Variables Is a subset of the dataset that contains the identifiers as acknowledged when

running quark.

Used Data

Is a matrix / dataframe of the data provided by user as the basis for quark to

process.

Imputed Data Is a matrix / dataframe of the data after the multiple method imputation process.

Big Matrix Is the expanded product and polynomial matrix.

reliability 105

Principal Components

Is the entire dataframe of principal components for the dataset. This dataset will have the same number of rows of the big matrix, but will have 1 less column (as is the case with principal component analyses).

Percent Variance Explained

Is a vector of the percent variance explained with each column of principal components.

### Author(s)

Steven R. Chesnut (University of Southern Mississippi; <Steven.Chesnut@usm.edu>), Danny Squire (Texas Tech University). The PCA code is copied and modified from the FactoMineR package. The function to print correlation matrix is copied from the psych package.

#### References

Howard, W. J., Little, T. D., & Rhemtulla, M. (in press). Using principal component analysis (PCA) to obtain auxiliary variables for missing data estimation in large data sets. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*.

#### See Also

combinequark

# **Examples**

```
set.seed(123321)
library(lavaan)

dat <- HolzingerSwineford1939[,7:15]
misspat <- matrix(runif(nrow(dat) * 9) < 0.3, nrow(dat))
dat[misspat] <- NA
dat <- cbind(HolzingerSwineford1939[,1:3], dat)

quark.list <- quark(data = dat, id = c(1, 2))

final.data <- combinequark(quark = quark.list, percent = 80)</pre>
```

reliability

Calculate reliability values of factors

# **Description**

Calculate reliability values of factors by coefficient omega

### Usage

```
reliability(object)
```

106 reliability

#### **Arguments**

object

The lavaan model object provided after running the cfa, sem, growth, or lavaan functions.

#### **Details**

The coefficient alpha (Cronbach, 1951) can be calculated by

$$\alpha = \frac{k}{k-1} \left[ 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_{ii}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_{ii} + 2\sum_{i < j} \sigma_{ij}} \right],$$

where k is the number of items in a factor,  $\sigma_{ii}$  is the item i observed variances,  $\sigma_{ij}$  is the observed covariance of items i and j.

The coefficient omega (Raykov, 2001) can be calculated by

$$\omega_{1} = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}\right)^{2} Var\left(\psi\right)}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}\right)^{2} Var\left(\psi\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \theta_{ii} + 2\sum_{i < j} \theta_{ij}},$$

where  $\lambda_i$  is the factor loading of item i,  $\psi$  is the factor variance,  $\theta_{ii}$  is the variance of measurement errors of item i, and  $\theta_{ij}$  is the covariance of measurement errors from item i and j.

The second coefficient omega (Bentler, 1972, 2009) can be calculated by

$$\omega_2 = 1 - \frac{\mathbf{1}'\Theta\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}'\hat{\Sigma}\mathbf{1}},$$

where  $\Theta$  is the measurement error covariance matrix,  $\hat{\Sigma}$  is the model-implied covariance matrix, and 1 is the k-dimensional vector of 1. The first and the second coefficients omega will have different values if there are dual loadings (or the existence of method factors). The first coefficient omega can be viewed as the reliability controlling for the other factors. The second coefficient omega can be viewed as the unconditional reliability.

The third coefficient omega (McDonald, 1999), which is sometimes referred to hierarchical omega, can be calculated by

$$\omega_{3} = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}\right)^{2} Var\left(\psi\right)}{\mathbf{1}' \Sigma \mathbf{1}},$$

where  $\Sigma$  is the observed covariance matrix. If the model fits the data well, the third coefficient omega will be similar to the other two. Note that if there is a directional effect in the model, all coefficients omega will use the total factor variances, which is calculated by the impliedFactorCov function.

In conclusion,  $\omega_1$ ,  $\omega_2$ , and  $\omega_3$  are different in the denominator. The denominator of the first formula assumes that a model is congeneric factor model where measurement errors are not correlated. The second formula is accounted for correlated measurement errors. However, these two formulas

reliability 107

assume that the model-implied covariance matrix explains item relationships perfectly. The residuals are subject to sampling error. The third formula use observed covariance matrix instead of model-implied covariance matrix to calculate the observed total variance. This formula is the most conservative method in calculating coefficient omega.

The average variance extracted (AVE) can be calculated by

$$AVE = \frac{\mathbf{1}' \mathrm{diag} \left( \Lambda \Psi \Lambda' \right) \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}' \mathrm{diag} \left( \hat{\Sigma} \right) \mathbf{1}},$$

Note that this formula is modified from Fornell & Larcker (1981) in the case that factor variances are not 1. The proposed formula from Fornell & Larcker (1981) assumes that the factor variances are 1.

Regarding to categorical items, coefficient alpha and AVE are calculated based on polychoric correlations. The coefficient alpha from this function may be not the same as the standard alpha calculation for categorical items. Researchers may check the alpha function in the psych package for the standard coefficient alpha calculation.

Item thresholds are not accounted for. Coefficient omega for categorical items, however, is calculated by accounting for both item covariances and item thresholds using Green and Yang's (2009, formula 21) approach. Three types of coefficient omega indicate different methods to calculate item total variances. The original formula from Green and Yang is equivalent to  $\omega_3$  in this function.

#### Value

Reliability values (coefficient alpha, coefficients omega, average variance extracted) of each factor in each group

# Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>); Yves Rosseel (Ghent University; <Yves.Rosseel@UGent.be>)

#### References

Bentler, P. M. (1972). A lower-bound method for the dimension-free measurement of internal consistency. *Social Science Research*, *1*, 343-357.

Bentler, P. M. (2009). Alpha, dimension-free, and model-based internal consistency reliability. *Psychometrika*, 74, 137-143.

Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika*, 16, 297-334.

Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement errors. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *18*, 39-50.

Green, S. B., & Yang, Y. (2009). Reliability of summed item scores using structural equation modeling: An alternative to coefficient alpha. *Psychometrika*, 74, 155-167.

McDonald, R. P. (1999). Test theory: A unified treatment. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Raykov, T. (2001). Estimation of congeneric scale reliability using covariance structure analysis with nonlinear constraints *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 54, 315-323.

108 reliabilityL2

### See Also

reliabilityL2 for reliability value of a desired second-order factor, maximalRelia for the maximal reliability of weighted composite

# **Examples**

reliabilityL2

Calculate the reliability values of a second-order factor

# Description

Calculate the reliability values (coefficient omega) of a second-order factor

#### Usage

```
reliabilityL2(object, secondFactor)
```

### **Arguments**

object The lavaan model object provided after running the cfa, sem, growth, or lavaan

functions that has a second-order factor

secondFactor The name of the second-order factor

# **Details**

The first formula of the coefficient omega (in the reliability) will be mainly used in the calculation. The model-implied covariance matrix of a second-order factor model can be separated into three sources: the second-order factor, the uniqueness of the first-order factor, and the measurement error of indicators:

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \Lambda \mathbf{B} \Phi_2 \mathbf{B}' \Lambda' + \Lambda \Psi_u \Lambda' + \Theta,$$

where  $\hat{\Sigma}$  is the model-implied covariance matrix,  $\Lambda$  is the first-order factor loading,  $\boldsymbol{B}$  is the second-order factor loading,  $\Phi_2$  is the covariance matrix of the second-order factors,  $\Psi_u$  is the covariance matrix of the unique scores from first-order factors, and  $\Theta$  is the covariance matrix of the measurement errors from indicators. Thus, the proportion of the second-order factor explaining the total score, or the coefficient omega at Level 1, can be calculated:

reliabilityL2

$$\omega_{L1} = \frac{\mathbf{1}' \Lambda \boldsymbol{B} \Phi_2 \boldsymbol{B}' \Lambda' \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}' \Lambda \boldsymbol{B} \Phi_2 \boldsymbol{B}' \Lambda' \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1}' \Lambda \Psi_u \Lambda' \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1}' \Theta \mathbf{1}},$$

where 1 is the k-dimensional vector of 1 and k is the number of observed variables. When model-implied covariance matrix among first-order factors  $(\Phi_1)$  can be calculated:

$$\Phi_1 = \mathbf{B}\Phi_2\mathbf{B}' + \Psi_u,$$

Thus, the proportion of the second-order factor explaining the varaince at first-order factor level, or the coefficient omega at Level 2, can be calculated:

$$\omega_{L2} = \frac{\mathbf{1}_F' B \Phi_2 B' \mathbf{1}_F}{\mathbf{1}_F' B \Phi_2 B' \mathbf{1}_F + \mathbf{1}_F' \Psi_u \mathbf{1}_F},$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_F$  is the F-dimensional vector of 1 and F is the number of first-order factors.

The partial coefficient omega at Level 1, or the proportion of observed variance explained by the second-order factor after partialling the uniqueness from the first-order factor, can be calculated:

$$\omega_{L1} = \frac{\mathbf{1}' \Lambda \boldsymbol{B} \Phi_2 \boldsymbol{B}' \Lambda' \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}' \Lambda \boldsymbol{B} \Phi_2 \boldsymbol{B}' \Lambda' \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1}' \Theta \mathbf{1}},$$

Note that if the second-order factor has a direct factor loading on some observed variables, the observed variables will be counted as first-order factors.

#### Value

Reliability values at Levels 1 and 2 of the second-order factor, as well as the partial reliability value at Level 1

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

## See Also

reliability for the reliability of the first-order factors.

```
library(lavaan)
```

110 residualCovariate

residualCovariate	Residual centered all target indicators by covariates
-------------------	---

## **Description**

This function will regress target variables on the covariate and replace the target variables by the residual of the regression analysis. This procedure is useful to control the covariate from the analysis model (Geldhof, Pornprasertmanit, Schoemann, & Little, in press).

## Usage

```
residualCovariate(data, targetVar, covVar)
```

## **Arguments**

data The desired data to be transformed.

targetVar Varible names or the position of indicators that users wish to be residual centered

(as dependent variables)

covVar Covariate names or the position of the covariates using for residual centering (as

independent variables) onto target variables

#### Value

The data that the target variables replaced by the residuals

## Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

Geldhof, G. J., Pornprasertmanit, S., Schoemann, A. M., & Little, T. D. (2013). Orthogonalizing through residual centering: Applications and caveats. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 73, 27-46.

## See Also

indProd For creating the indicator products with no centering, mean centering, double-mean centering, or residual centering.

```
dat <- residualCovariate(attitude, 2:7, 1)</pre>
```

rotate 111

rotate	
--------	--

Implement orthogonal or oblique rotation

# Description

These functions will implement orthogonal or oblique rotation on standardized factor loadings from a lavaan output.

## Usage

```
orthRotate(object, method="varimax", ...)
oblqRotate(object, method="quartimin", ...)
funRotate(object, fun, ...)
```

## **Arguments**

object	A lavaan output
method	The method of rotations, such as "varimax", "quartimax", "geomin", "oblimin" or any gradient projection algorithms listed in the GPA function in the GPArotation package.
fun	The name of the function that users wish to rotate the standardized solution. The functions must take the first argument as the standardized loading matrix and return the GPArotation object. Check this page for available functions: rotations.
•••	Additional arguments for the GPForth function (for orthRotate), the GPFoblq function (for oblqRotate), or the function that users provide in the fun argument.

## **Details**

These functions will rotate the unrotated standardized factor loadings by orthogonal rotation using the GPForth function or oblique rotation using the GPFoblq function the GPArotation package. The resulting rotation matrix will be used to calculate standard errors of the rotated standardized factor loading by delta method by numerically computing the Jacobian matrix by the lavJacobianD function in the lavaan package.

## Value

An linkS4class{EFA} object that saves the rotated EFA solution.

## Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

112 runMI

## **Examples**

```
library(lavaan)
unrotated <- efaUnrotate(HolzingerSwineford1939, nf=3, varList=paste0("x", 1:9), estimator="mlr")
# Orthogonal varimax
out.varimax <- orthRotate(unrotated, method="varimax")</pre>
summary(out.varimax, sort=FALSE, suppress=0.3)
# Orthogonal Quartimin
orthRotate(unrotated, method="quartimin")
# Oblique Quartimin
oblqRotate(unrotated, method="quartimin")
# Geomin
oblgRotate(unrotated, method="geomin")
## Not run:
# Target rotation
library(GPArotation)
target <- matrix(0, 9, 3)</pre>
target[1:3, 1] <- NA
target[4:6, 2] <- NA
target[7:9, 3] <- NA
colnames(target) <- c("factor1", "factor2", "factor3")</pre>
# This function works with GPArotation version 2012.3-1
funRotate(unrotated, fun="targetQ", Target=target)
## End(Not run)
```

runMI

Multiply impute and analyze data using lavaan

## **Description**

This function takes data with missing observations, multiple imputes the data, runs a SEM using lavaan and combines the results using Rubin's rules. Note that parameter estimates and standard errors are pooled by the Rubin's (1987) rule. The chi-square statistics and the related fit indices are pooled by the method described in "chi" argument. SRMR is calculated based on the average model-implied means and covariance matrices across imputations.

```
runMI(model, data, m, miArgs=list(), chi="all", miPackage="Amelia",
seed=12345, fun, nullModel = NULL, ...)
cfa.mi(model, data, m, miArgs=list(), miPackage="Amelia", chi="all",
seed=12345, nullModel = NULL, ...)
sem.mi(model, data, m, miArgs=list(), miPackage="Amelia", chi="all",
```

runMI 113

```
seed=12345, nullModel = NULL, ...)
growth.mi(model, data, m, miArgs=list(), miPackage="Amelia", chi="all",
seed=12345, nullModel = NULL, ...)
lavaan.mi(model, data, m, miArgs=list(), miPackage="Amelia", chi="all",
seed=12345, nullModel = NULL, ...)
```

#### **Arguments**

mode1 lavaan syntax for the model to be analyzed. data Data frame with missing observations or a list of data frames where each data frame is one imputed data set (for imputed data generated outside of the function). If a list of data frames is supplied, then other options can be left at the default. Number of imputations wanted. m Addition arguments for the multiple-imputation function. The arguments should miArgs be put in a list (see example below). miPackage Package to be used for imputation. Currently these functions only support "Amelia" or "mice" for imputation. chi The method to combine the chi-square. Can be one of the following: "mr" for the method proposed for Meng & Rubin (1992), "mplus" for the method used in Mplus (Asparouhov & Muthen, 2010), "1mrr" for the method proposed by Li, Meng, Raghunathan, & Rubin (1991), "all" to show the three methods in the output, and "none" to not pool any chi-square values. The default is "all". Random number seed to be used in imputations. seed nullModel lavaan syntax for the null model. If not specified, the default null model from lavaan is used. fun The character of the function name used in running lavaan model ("cfa", "sem", "growth", "lavaan").

## Value

The lavaanStar object which contains the original lavaan object (where the appropriate parameter estimates, appropriate standard errors, and chi-squares are filled), the additional fit-index values of the null model, which need to be adjusted to multiple datasets, and the information from pooling multiple results.

"growth", "lavaan").

#### Author(s)

Other arguments to be passed to the specified lavaan function ("cfa", "sem",

114 runMI

#### References

Asparouhov T. & Muthen B. (2010). *Chi-Square Statistics with Multiple Imputation*. Technical Report. www.statmodel.com.

Li, K.H., Meng, X.-L., Raghunathan, T.E. and Rubin, D.B. (1991). Significance Levels From Repeated p-values with Multiply-Imputed Data. *Statistica Sinica*, *1*, 65-92.

Meng, X.L. & Rubin, D.B. (1992). Performing likelihood ratio tests with multiply-imputed data sets. *Biometrika*, 79, 103 - 111.

Rubin, D.B. (1987) Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys. J. Wiley & Sons, New York.

```
library(lavaan)
HS.model \leftarrow 'visual = x1 + x2 + x3
               textual =^{\sim} x4 + x5 + x6
               speed = ^{\sim} x7 + x8 + x9 '
HSMiss <- HolzingerSwineford1939[,paste("x", 1:9, sep="")]</pre>
randomMiss <- rbinom(prod(dim(HSMiss)), 1, 0.1)</pre>
randomMiss <- matrix(as.logical(randomMiss), nrow=nrow(HSMiss))</pre>
HSMiss[randomMiss] <- NA</pre>
out <- cfa.mi(HS.model, data=HSMiss, m = 3, chi="all")</pre>
summary(out)
inspect(out, "fit")
inspect(out, "impute")
## Not run:
##Multiple group example
HSMiss2 <- cbind(HSMiss, school = HolzingerSwineford1939[,"school"])</pre>
out2 <- cfa.mi(HS.model, data=HSMiss2, m = 3, miArgs=list(noms="school"), chi="MR", group="school")
summary(out2)
inspect(out2, "fit")
inspect(out2, "impute")
##Example using previously imputed data with runMI
library(Amelia)
modsim <- '
f1 = 0.7*y1+0.7*y2+0.7*y3
f2 = 0.7*y4+0.7*y5+0.7*y6
f3 = 0.7*y7+0.7*y8+0.7*y9'
mod <- '
f1 = y1+y2+y3
f2 = ~y4+y5+y6
f3 = y7 + y8 + y9'
datsim <- simulateData(modsim, model.type="cfa", meanstructure=TRUE,</pre>
std.lv=TRUE, sample.nobs=c(200,200))
randomMiss2 <- rbinom(prod(dim(datsim)), 1, 0.1)</pre>
```

saturateMx 115

```
randomMiss2 <- matrix(as.logical(randomMiss2), nrow=nrow(datsim))</pre>
datsim[randomMiss2] <- NA</pre>
datsimMI <- amelia(datsim,m=3, noms="group")</pre>
out3 <- runMI(mod, data=datsimMI$imputations, chi="LMRR", group="group", fun="cfa")</pre>
summary(out3)
inspect(out3, "fit")
inspect(out3, "impute")
# Categorical variables
popModel <- "</pre>
f1 = 0.6*y1 + 0.6*y2 + 0.6*y3 + 0.6*y4
y1 ~*~ 1*y1
y2 ~*~ 1*y2
y3 ~*~ 1*y3
y4 ~*~ 1*y4
f1 ~~ 1*f1
y1 | 0.5*t1
y2 | 0.25*t1
y3 | 0*t1
y4 | -0.5*t1
analyzeModel <- "</pre>
f1 = y1 + y2 + y3 + y4
y1 ~*~ 1*y1
y2 ~*~ 1*y2
y3 ~*~ 1*y3
y4 ~*~ 1*y4
dat <- simulateData(popModel, sample.nobs = 200L)</pre>
miss.pat <- matrix(as.logical(rbinom(prod(dim(dat)), 1, 0.2)), nrow(dat), ncol(dat))</pre>
dat[miss.pat] <- NA</pre>
out5 <- cfa.mi(analyzeModel, data=dat, ordered=paste0("y", 1:4), m = 3,
miArgs=list(ords = c("y1", "y2", "y3", "y4")))
summary(out5)
inspect(out5, "fit")
inspect(out5, "impute")
## End(Not run)
```

saturateMx

Analyzing data using a saturate model

# Description

Analyzing data using a saturate model by full-information maximum likelihood. In the saturate model, all means and covariances are free if items are continuous. For ordinal variables, their means are fixed as 0 and their variances are fixed as 1–their covariances and thresholds are estimated. In multiple-group model, all means are variances are separately estimated.

116 simParcel

## Usage

```
saturateMx(data, groupLab = NULL)
```

## **Arguments**

data The target data frame

groupLab The name of grouping variable

## Value

The MxModel object which contains the analysis result of the saturate model.

## Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

## See Also

```
nullMx, fitMeasuresMx, standardizeMx
```

## **Examples**

```
## Not run:
library(OpenMx)
data(demoOneFactor)
satModel <- saturateMx(demoOneFactor)
## End(Not run)</pre>
```

simParcel

Simulated Data set to Demonstrate Random Allocations of Parcels

## **Description**

A simulated data set with 2 factors with 9 indicators for each factor

# Usage

```
data(simParcel)
```

## **Format**

A data frame with 800 observations of 18 variables.

```
f1item1 Item 1 loading on factor 1f1item2 Item 2 loading on factor 1f1item3 Item 3 loading on factor 1
```

singleParamTest 117

```
flitem4 Item 4 loading on factor 1
flitem5 Item 5 loading on factor 1
flitem6 Item 6 loading on factor 1
flitem7 Item 7 loading on factor 1
flitem8 Item 8 loading on factor 1
flitem9 Item 9 loading on factor 1
flitem1 Item 1 loading on factor 2
flitem2 Item 2 loading on factor 2
flitem3 Item 3 loading on factor 2
flitem4 Item 4 loading on factor 2
flitem5 Item 5 loading on factor 2
flitem6 Item 6 loading on factor 2
flitem7 Item 7 loading on factor 2
flitem8 Item 8 loading on factor 2
flitem8 Item 8 loading on factor 2
flitem9 Item 9 loading on factor 2
```

## **Source**

Data was generated using the simsem package.

## **Examples**

head(simParcel)

singleParamTest

Single Parameter Test Divided from Nested Model Comparison

## **Description**

In comparing two nested models, chi-square test may indicate that two models are different. However, like other omnibus tests, researchers do not know which fixed parameters or constraints make these two models different. This function will help researchers identify the significant parameter.

```
singleParamTest(model1, model2, return.fit = FALSE,
  method = "satorra.bentler.2001")
```

118 singleParamTest

# Arguments

model1	Model 1.
model2	Model 2. Note that two models must be nested models. Further, the order of parameters in their parameter tables are the same. That is, nested models with different scale identifications may not be able to test by this function.
return.fit	Return the submodels fitted by this function
method	The method used to calculate likelihood ratio test. See lavTestLRT for available options

#### **Details**

This function first identify the differences between these two models. The model with more free parameters is referred to as parent model and the model with less free parameters is referred to as nested model. Three tests are implemented here:

- 1. free: The nested model is used as a template. Then, one parameter indicating the differences between two models is free. The new model is compared with the nested model. This process is repeated for all differences between two models.
- 2. fix: The parent model is used as a template. Then, one parameter indicating the differences between two models is fixed or constrained to be equal to other parameters. The new model is then compared with the parent model. This process is repeated for all differences between two models.
- 3. mi: No longer available because the test of modification indices is not consistent. For example, two parameters are equally constrained. The modification index from the first parameter is not equal to the second parameter.

Note that this function does not adjust for the inflated Type I error rate from multiple tests.

#### Value

If return.fit = FALSE, the result tables are provided. Chi-square and p-value are provided for all methods. Note that the chi-square is all based on 1 degree of freedom. Expected parameter changes and their standardized forms are also provided.

If return.fit = TRUE, a list with two elements are provided. The first element is the tabular result. The second element is the submodels used in the free and fix methods.

## Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

skew 119

```
m1 <- cfa(HS.model1, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, std.lv=TRUE, estimator="MLR") m2 <- cfa(HS.model2, data = HolzingerSwineford1939, std.lv=TRUE, estimator="MLR")
```

anova(m1, m2)
singleParamTest(m1, m2)

# Nested model comparison from the measurementInvariance function

textual =  $^{\circ}$  b\*x4 + b\*x5 + b\*x6'

HW.model <- ' visual =~ x1 + x2 + x3textual =~ x4 + x5 + x6speed =~ x7 + x8 + x9 '

models <- measurementInvariance(HW.model, data=HolzingerSwineford1939, group="school")
singleParamTest(models[[1]], models[[2]])</pre>

# Note that the comparison between weak (Model 2) and scalar invariance (Model 3) cannot be done

- # by this function # because the weak invariance model fixes factor means as 0 in Group 2 but
- # the strong invariance model frees the factor means in Group 2. Users may try to compare
- # strong (Model 3) and means invariance models by this function.

skew

Finding skewness

## **Description**

Finding skewness (g1) of an object

## Usage

skew(object, population=FALSE)

## **Arguments**

object A vector used to find a skewness

population TRUE to compute the parameter formula. FALSE to compute the sample statistic

formula.

#### Details

The skewness computed is g1. The parameter skewness  $\gamma_2$  formula is

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{\mu_3}{\mu_2^{3/2}},$$

where  $\mu_i$  denotes the *i* order central moment.

The excessive kurtosis formula for sample statistic  $g_2$  is

$$g_2 = \frac{k_3}{k_2^2},$$

120 spatialCorrect

where  $k_i$  are the i order k-statistic.

The standard error of the skewness is

$$Var(\hat{g}_2) = \frac{6}{N}$$

where N is the sample size.

## Value

A value of a skewness with a test statistic if the population is specified as FALSE

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### References

Weisstein, Eric W. (n.d.). *Skewness*. Retrived from MathWorld–A Wolfram Web Resource http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Skewness.html

## See Also

- kurtosis Find the univariate excessive kurtosis of a variable
- mardiaSkew Find the Mardia's multivariate skewness of a set of variables
- mardiaKurtosis Find the Mardia's multivariate kurtosis of a set of variables

## **Examples**

skew(1:5)

spatialCorrect

Calculate reliability values of factors

# Description

Correcting sample sizes and standard errors in the presence of spatial autocorrelation in Structural Equation Models with with spatial structure in the autocorrelation of endogenous variables.

```
spatialCorrect(obj, xvar, yvar, alpha=0.05)
```

splitSample 121

## **Arguments**

obj	The lavaan model object provided after running the cfa, sem, growth, or lavaan functions.
xvar	X-coordinate.
yvar	Y-coordinate.
alpha	The alpha level used to decide whether the effective sample size is used. If Moran's I is not significant, the original sample size is used for test statistic. Otherwise, the calculated effective sample size is used.

#### **Details**

This function implements the standard error correction for all endogenous variables, using Moran's I and an approximation of an effective sample size for large sample sizes. This function does not support the data with missing observations.

#### Value

- Morans\_I Moran's I statistics and effective sample sizes for all endogeneous variables.
- parameters Adjusted standard errors and test statistics for each parameter estimate for each endogeneous variables.

## Author(s)

Jarrett Byrnes (University of Massachusetts, Boston; <Jarrett.Byrnes@umb.edu>)

## **Examples**

```
library(lavaan)
borModel <- '
   NDVI ~ nTot + T61 + Wet
   nTot ~ T61
'
#note meanstructure = TRUE to obtain intercepts
borFit <- sem(borModel, data = boreal, meanstructure = TRUE)
spatialCorrect(borFit, boreal$x, boreal$y)</pre>
```

splitSample

Randomly Split a Data Set into Halves

## **Description**

This function randomly splits a data set into two halves, and saves the resulting data sets to the same folder as the original.

```
splitSample(dataset,path="default", div=2, type="default", name="splitSample")
```

122 splitSample

## **Arguments**

dataset The original data set to be divided. Can be a file path to a .csv or .dat file (headers

will automatically be detected) or an R object (matrix or dataframe). (Windows

users: file path must be specified using FORWARD SLASHES ONLY.)

path File path to folder for output data sets. NOT REQUIRED if dataset is a filename.

Specify ONLY if dataset is an R object, or desired output folder is not that of original data set. If path is specified as "object", output data sets will be returned

as a list, and not saved to hard drive.

div Number of output data sets. NOT REQUIRED if default, 2 halves.

type Output file format ("dat" or "csv"). NOT REQUIRED unless desired output

formatting differs from that of input, or dataset is an R object and csv formatting

is desired.

name Output file name. NOT REQUIRED unless desired output name differs from

that of input, or input dataset is an R object. (If input is an R object and name is

not specified, name will be "splitSample".)

#### **Details**

This function randomly orders the rows of a data set, divides the data set into two halves, and saves the halves to the same folder as the original data set, preserving the original formatting. Data set type (.csv or .dat) and formatting (headers) are automatically detected, and output data sets will preserve input type and formatting unless specified otherwise. Input can be in the form of a file path (.dat or .csv), or an R object (matrix or dataframe). If input is an R object and path is default, output data sets will be returned as a list object.

#### Value

dataL List of output data sets. ONLY IF dataset is an R object and path is default.

Otherwise, output will saved to hard drive with the same formatting as input.

#### Author(s)

Corbin Quick (University of Michigan; <corbing@umich.edu>)

```
#### Input is .dat file
#splitSample("C:/Users/Default/Desktop/MYDATA.dat")
#### Output saved to "C:/Users/Default/Desktop/" in .dat format
#### Names are "MYDATA_s1.dat" and "MYDATA_s2.dat"

#### Input is R object
##Split C02 dataset from the datasets package
library(datasets)
splitMyData <- splitSample(CO2, path="object")
summary(splitMyData[[1]])
summary(splitMyData[[2]])
#### Output object splitMyData becomes list of output data sets</pre>
```

SSpower 123

```
#### Input is .dat file in "C:/" folder
#splitSample("C:/testdata.dat", path = "C:/Users/Default/Desktop/", type = "csv")
#### Output saved to "C:/Users/Default/Desktop/" in .csv format
#### Names are "testdata_s1.csv" and "testdata_s2.csv"

#### Input is R object
#splitSample(myData, path = "C:/Users/Default/Desktop/", name = "splitdata")
#### Output saved to "C:/Users/Default/Desktop/" in .dat format
#### Names are "splitdata_s1.dat" and "splitdata_s2.dat"
```

SSpower

Power for model parameters

## **Description**

Determines power for model parameters using the Satorra & Sarris (1985) method

## Usage

```
SSpower(popModel, n, powerModel, fun = "cfa", nparam = 1, alpha = .05, ...)
```

# Arguments

popModel	lavaan syntax for the population model. This model should specify population values for all paramters in the model.
n	Sample size used in power calculation
powerModel	lavaan syntax for the model to be analyzed. This syntax should have the parameter(s) of interest fixed to 0 (or some other number).
fun	The character of the function name used in running lavaan model ("cfa", "sem", "growth", "lavaan").
nparam	The number of parameters one is constrained in powerModel.
alpha	The Type I error rate used to assess power
	Other arguments to be passed to the specified lavaan function ("cfa", "sem", "growth", "lavaan").

## Author(s)

Alexander M. Schoemann (East Carolina University; <schoemanna@ecu.edu>)

## References

Satorra, A., & Saris, W. E. (1985). Power of the likelihood ratio test in covariance structure analysis. *Psychometrika*, *50*, 83-90.

124 standardizeMx

```
library(lavaan)
#Specify population values. Note every paramter has a fixed value
modelP <- '
         f1 = .7*V1 + .7*V2 + .7*V3 + .7*V4
         f2 = .7*V5 + .7*V6 + .7*V7 + .7*V8
         f1 ~~ .3*f2
         f1 ~~ 1*f1
         f2 ~~ 1*f2
         V1 ~~ .51*V1
         V2 ~~ .51*V2
        V3 ~~ .51*V3
         V4 ~~ .51*V4
         V5 ~~ .51*V5
         V6 ~~ .51*V6
         V7 ~~ .51*V7
         V8 ~~ .51*V8
#Specify model to be analyzed. Note parameter of interest f1\sim f2 is fixed to 0.
modelA <- '
         f1 = V1 + V2 + V3 + V4
         f2 = V5 + V6 + V7 + V8
         f1 ~~ 0*f2
SSpower(modelP, 150, modelA, std.lv=TRUE)
##Get power for a range of values
Ns <- seq(100, 500, 40)
powVals <- rep(NA, length(Ns))</pre>
for(i in 1:length(Ns)){
powVals[i] <- SSpower(modelP, Ns[i], modelA)</pre>
plot(Ns, powVals, type = 'l')
```

standardizeMx 125

## **Description**

Find standardized estimates for OpenMx output. This function is applicable for the MxRAMObjective only.

## Usage

```
standardizeMx(object, free = TRUE)
```

# **Arguments**

object Target OpenMx output using MxRAMObjective

free If TRUE, the function will show only standardized values of free parameters. If

FALSE, the function will show the results for fixed and free parameters.

## Value

A vector of standardized estimates

#### Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

#### See Also

```
saturateMx, nullMx, fitMeasuresMx
```

```
## Not run:
library(OpenMx)
data(myFADataRaw)
myFADataRaw <- myFADataRaw[,c("x1","x2","x3","x4","x5","x6")]</pre>
oneFactorModel <- mxModel("Common Factor Model Path Specification",</pre>
type="RAM",
mxData(
observed=myFADataRaw,
type="raw"
),
manifestVars=c("x1","x2","x3","x4","x5","x6"),
latentVars="F1",
mxPath(from=c("x1", "x2", "x3", "x4", "x5", "x6"),
arrows=2,
free=TRUE,
values=c(1,1,1,1,1,1),
labels=c("e1","e2","e3","e4","e5","e6")
# residual variances
mxPath(from="F1",
arrows=2,
free=TRUE,
```

126 tukeySEM

```
values=1,
labels ="varF1"
# latent variance
mxPath(from="F1",
to=c("x1","x2","x3","x4","x5","x6"),
arrows=1,
free=c(FALSE,TRUE,TRUE,TRUE,TRUE,TRUE),
values=c(1,1,1,1,1,1),
labels =c("11","12","13","14","15","16")
# factor loadings
mxPath(from="one",
to=c("x1","x2","x3","x4","x5","x6","F1"),
arrows=1,
free=c(TRUE,TRUE,TRUE,TRUE,TRUE,TRUE,FALSE),
values=c(1,1,1,1,1,1,0),
labels =c("meanx1", "meanx2", "meanx3", "meanx4", "meanx5", "meanx6", NA)
# means
) # close model
# Create an MxModel object
# ------
oneFactorFit <- mxRun(oneFactorModel)</pre>
standardizeMx(oneFactorFit)
# Compare with lavaan
library(lavaan)
script <- "f1 =~ x1 + x2 + x3 + x4 + x5 + x6"
fit <- cfa(script, data=myFADataRaw, meanstructure=TRUE)</pre>
standardizedSolution(fit)
## End(Not run)
```

tukeySEM

Tukey's WSD post-hoc test of means for unequal variance and sample size

## **Description**

This function computes Tukey's WSD post-hoc test of means when variances and sample sizes are not equal across groups. It can be used as a post-hoc test when comparing latent means in multiple group SEM.

```
tukeySEM(m1, m2, var1, var2, n1, n2, ng)
```

tukeySEM 127

# **Arguments**

m1	Mean of group 1.
m2	Mean of group 2.
var1	Variance of group 1.
var2	Variance of group 2.
n1	Sample size of group 1.
n2	Sample size of group 2.
ng	Total number of groups to be compared (i.e., the number of groups compared in the omnibus test).

#### **Details**

After conducting an omnibus test of means across three of more groups, researchers often wish to know which sets of means differ at a particular Type I error rate. Tukey's WSD test holds the error rate stable across multiple comparisons of means. This function implements an adaptation of Tukey's WSD test from Maxwell & Delaney (2004), that allows variances and sample sizes to differ across groups.

# Value

A vector with three elements:

- 1. q The q statistic
- 2. df The degrees of freedom for the q statistic
- 3. p A p value based on the q statistic, degrees of freedom and the total number of groups to be compared

#### Author(s)

Alexander M. Schoemann (East Carolina University; <schoemanna@ecu.edu>)

## References

Maxwell, S. E., & Delaney, H. D. (2004). *Designing experiments and analyzing data: A model comparison perspective* (2nd ed.). Mahwah, NJ.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

```
##For a case where three groups have been compared:
##Group 1: mean = 3.91, var = 0.46, n = 246
##Group 2: mean = 3.96, var = 0.62, n = 465
##Group 3: mean = 2.94, var = 1.07, n = 64

#compare group 1 and group 2
tukeySEM(3.91, 3.96, 0.46, 0.62, 246, 425, 3)

#compare group 1 and group 3
tukeySEM(3.91, 2.94, 0.46, 1.07, 246, 64, 3)
```

128 wald

```
#compare group 2 and group 3
tukeySEM(3.96, 2.94, 0.62, 1.07, 465, 64, 3)
```

wald

Calculate multivariate Wald statistics

# Description

Calculate multivariate Wald statistics based on linear combinations of model parameters

# Usage

```
wald(object, syntax)
```

## **Arguments**

object

An output from lavaan

syntax

Syntax that each line represents one linear constraint. A plus or minus sign is used to separate between each coefficient. An asterisk is used to separate between coefficients and parameters. The coefficient can have a forward slash to represent a division. The parameter names must be matched with the names of lavaan parameters investigated by running the coef function on a lavaan output. Lines can be separated by semi-colon. A pound sign is allowed for comments. Note that the defined parameters (created by ":=") do not work with this function

tion.

## **Details**

The formula for multivariate Wald test is

$$\chi^2 = \left(C\hat{b}\right)' \left[C\hat{V}C'\right]^{-1} \left(C\hat{b}\right),\,$$

where C is the contrast matrix,  $\hat{b}$  is the estimated fixed effect,  $\hat{V}$  is the asymptotic covariance matrix among fixed effects.

## Value

Chi-square value with p value.

## Author(s)

Sunthud Pornprasertmanit (<psunthud@gmail.com>)

wald 129

```
# Test the difference in factor loadings
library(lavaan)
HS.model \leftarrow 'visual = x1 + con1*x2 + con1*x3
              textual = \sim x4 + x5 + x6
              speed =~ x7 + con2*x8 + con2*x9 '
fit <- cfa(HS.model, data=HolzingerSwineford1939)</pre>
wald(fit, "con2 - con1")
# Simultaneously test the difference in the influences
# of x1 and x2 on intercept and slope
model.syntax <- '</pre>
   i =~ 1*t1 + 1*t2 + 1*t3 + 1*t4
    s = 0*t1 + 1*t2 + 2*t3 + 3*t4
   i \sim x1 + x2
    s \sim x1 + x2
    t1 ~ c1
    t2 ~ c2
    t3 ~ c3
    t4 ~ c4
fit2 <- growth(model.syntax, data=Demo.growth)</pre>
wald.syntax <- '
i~x1 - i~x2
1/2*s~x1 - 1/2*s~x2
wald(fit2, wald.syntax)
# Mplus example of MODEL TEST
model3 <- ' f1 =^  x1 + p2*x2 + p3*x3 + p4*x4 + p5*x5 + p6*x6
p4 == 2*p2'
fit3 <- cfa(model3, data=HolzingerSwineford1939)</pre>
wald(fit3, "p3; p6 - 0.5*p5")
```

# **Index**

auxiliary, 3, 11, 41, 42	growth.mi(runMI), 112
${\tt BootMiss}, 9$	hist, <i>6</i> , <i>84</i>
BootMiss-class, 6	hist, BootMiss-method (BootMiss-class), 6
boreal, 7	hist,permuteMeasEq-method
bsBootMiss, 6, 7, 8	(permuteMeasEq-class), 83
cfa, 22, 51, 53	impliedFactorCov, 106
cfa.auxiliary(auxiliary),3	<pre>impliedFactorCov (impliedFactorStat), 32</pre>
cfa.mi(runMI), 112	$implied Factor Mean \ (implied Factor Stat),$
ci.reliability, 10	32
clipboard, <i>18</i> , <i>29</i>	<pre>impliedFactorStat, 32</pre>
<pre>clipboard(clipboard_saveFile), 15</pre>	<pre>imposeStart, 33</pre>
clipboard_saveFile, 15	indProd, 36, 85, 92, 94–97, 99, 101, 102, 110
combinequark, 16, <i>104</i> , <i>105</i>	inspect,lavaanStar-method
compareFit, 17, 28, 29	(lavaanStar-class), 41
dat2way, 18	kd, 38
dat3way, 19	kurtosis, 40, <i>48</i> , <i>49</i> , <i>120</i>
datCat, 20	
	lavaan, 4, 9, 42, 43, 45, 46, 68, 69, 76
EFA-class, 21	lavaan-class, 15
efaUnrotate, 21, 22	lavaan.auxiliary(auxiliary),3
exLong, 23	lavaan.mi(runMI), 112
	lavaanStar, <i>4</i> , <i>113</i>
factanal, 22	lavaanStar-class, 41
findRMSEApower, 24, 26, 27, 88, 90	lavTestLRT, 46, 51, 53, 71, 118
findRMSEApowernested, 25, 28, 91	lavTestScore, 80, 84
findRMSEAsamplesize, 25, 26, 88, 90	legend, $6,84$
findRMSEAsamplesizenested, 26, 27, 91	lisrel2lavaan, 42
FitDiff, 15, 18	loadingFromAlpha, 44
FitDiff-class, 28	longInvariance, 45, <i>52</i> , <i>54</i>
fitMeasures, 28, 80	mandiakuntasia 41 47 40 120
fitMeasuresMx, 29, 66, 116, 125	mardiaKurtosis, 41, 47, 49, 120
fmi, 30	mardiaSkew, 41, 48, 48, 120
funRotate (rotate), 111	maximalRelia, 49, 108
CDA 111	measurementInvariance, 51, 54, 70, 73, 81
GPA, 111	measurementinvariance, 47
GPFoblq, ///	measurementinvariance
GPForth, 111	(measurementInvariance), 51
<pre>growth.auxiliary(auxiliary), 3</pre>	measurementInvarianceCat, 53, 70, 73, 81

INDEX 131

miPowerFit, 15, 54, 62, 68	show, BootMiss-method (BootMiss-class), 6
monteCarloMed, 57	show, EFA-method (EFA-class), 21
moreFitIndices, 57, 60, 68, 80	show, FitDiff-method (FitDiff-class), 28
mvrnonnorm, 62	show, Net-method (Net-class), 65
mvrnorm, 19, 20	show,permuteMeasEq-method
Net, 64	(permuteMeasEq-class), 83 simParcel, 116
net, 63, 65	singleParamTest, 117
Net-class, 65	skew, 41, 48, 49, 119
nullMx, 30, 66, 116, 125	spatialCorrect, 120
nullRMSEA, 61, 62, 67	splitSample, 121
	SSpower, 123
oblqRotate, <i>21</i> , <i>22</i>	standardizeMx, 30, 66, 116, 124
oblqRotate (rotate), 111	summary, BootMiss-method
orthogonalize (indProd), 36	(BootMiss-class), 6
orthRotate, 21, 22	summary, EFA-method (EFA-class), 21
orthRotate (rotate), 111	summary, FitDiff-method (FitDiff-class),
	28
p.adjust, <i>71</i>	summary,lavaanStar-method
parcelAllocation, 68, 75, 76, 78	(lavaanStar-class), 41
parTable, 83	**
partialInvariance, 70	summary, Net-method (Net-class), 65
partialInvarianceCat	summary, permuteMeasEq-method
(partialInvariance), $70$	(permuteMeasEq-class), 83
PAVranking, 75	TukeyHSD, 81
permuteMeasEq, 79, 81, 83, 84	tukeySEM, 126
permuteMeasEq-class, 83	tukey5E11, 120
plot, 85	wald, 72, 73, 128
plotProbe, 37, 85, 94, 96, 99, 102	write.table, 9
plotRMSEAdist, 25, 27, 87, 90	m rec. caste, >
plotRMSEApower, 25, 27, 88, 89	
plotRMSEApowernested, 26, 28, 90	
probe2WayMC, 37, 85, 92, 95, 96, 99, 102	
probe2WayRC, 37, 85, 94, 94, 99, 102	
probe3WayMC, 37, 85, 94, 96, 97, 101, 102	
probe3WayRC, <i>37</i> , <i>85</i> , <i>94</i> , <i>96</i> , <i>99</i> , 100	
quark, 16, 17, 103	
reliability, 51, 105, 108, 109	
reliabilityL2, <i>108</i> , 108	
residualCovariate, 110	
rotate, 111	
rotations, 111	
runMI, <i>41</i> , <i>42</i> , 112	
saturateMx, 30, 66, 115, 125	
saveFile (clipboard_saveFile), 15	
sem.auxiliary (auxiliary), 3	
sem.mi(runMI), 112	