## King's Digital Lab

# Reimagining Digital Accessibility: From Compliance to Collective Responsibility at King's Digital Lab

Contributors: **Tiffany Ong, Alessandra Esposito, Geoffroy Noël** Speaker: **Paul Caton** 

King's Digital Lab, King's College London

# Visit us at the poster session

Contact us if you would like to collaborate, download our process or share your feedback





kdl.kcl.ac.uk



kdl-info@kcl.ac.uk



bsky.app/profile/kingsdigitallab.bsky.social



### Reimagining Digital Accessibility: From Compliance to Collective Responsibility at King's Digital Lab

Tiffany Ong, Alessandra Esposito, Geoffroy Noël Institution

#### BACKGROUND

Our digital accessibility approach started as a compliance task and shifted to a collaborative, sustainable practice embedded across our Software Development LIF Gycle (SDLC). Developed through years of Interation and feedback, the process supports team-wide participation, alignia; inclusive practices with team dynamics and project phases. We aim to foster accessible outputs through methods that are practical, scatable, and inclusive.

#### EVOLVING PRACTICE



#### IMPACT

#### Increased Process Visibility & Accountability

Digital Accessibility is now considered from the start of projects, with regular tracking through team-wide check-ins and shared task ownership, improving transparency and follow-through.

#### Stronger Team Dynamics & Fairer Workload Distribution

Clearer expectations around roles and responsibilities have streamlined collaboration and created a more balanced workload across the team.

#### Knowledge Growth & Consistent Outcomes

Holistic support for accessibility has elevated team expertise, leading to better targeted issues, fewer gaps, and more consistent, sustainable outputs.

### TEAM RESPONSIBILITIES — 1 Lead 2 Collaborat 3 Consult

Ensure accurate level of accessibility in requirements, process and deliverable

Code and test with WCAG accessibility standar

Research team [project partners] RT identify accessibility considerations for users and requirements, ensure content is accessible.

Support infrastructure that enables accessible

Project Manager PM Planaccessibility in timelines, ensure

accessibility is tracked and re

#### Moscow requirements

How we prioritise the digital accessibility requirement for a project.

|   | Must (M)   | $\mathbf{Should}(\mathbb{S})$  | Could (C)   | Won't (W)   |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| More than 5 users<br>(e.g. Public resource) | ✓  | <b>√</b>   | <b>√</b>  | ×   |
| Using only own platforms or stacks          | ✓  | ✓  | ×   | ×   |
| Basic components                            | <b>√</b>   | ×  | ×   | ×   |
|   | Meet WGB A/A criteria for the majority of components (few/none will not meet) by performing accessibility assessments during evolutionary development and preducing a public accessibility statement and update it at each deployment. | Meet WUAGA/AA criteria<br>for some components<br>(some might not meet) by<br>performing accessibility<br>assessments during<br>evolutionary development<br>and producing a public<br>accessibility statement<br>and update it at each<br>deployment. | Integrate WCAB A criteria<br>for some components<br>by performing essential<br>accessibility assessments<br>into the evolving solution<br>and producing a public<br>accessibility statement<br>and update it at each<br>deployment. | Integrate WCAGA criteria for seme components by performing essentia sociessibility assessments into the evolving solution but not producing a public accessibility statement But scoping still needed |

#### RESOURCES

Contact us if you would like to collaborate, download our process or share your feedback.





#### **ACCESSIBILTY STEPS**





