$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_4 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_N \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \times_{i}$$

$$\therefore n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \times_{i}$$

$$\therefore X^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_N \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X^{T}X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_{1} & x_{2} & \dots & x_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{1} \\ 1 & x_{2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 + 1 \times 1 + \dots + 1 \times 1 & x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n \\ x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n & x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and
$$n\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

$$\therefore X^{T}X = \begin{bmatrix} n & n\bar{x} \\ n\bar{x} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

(i) We have:

My det
$$(X^TX) = \begin{bmatrix} n & n\overline{x} \\ n\overline{x} & \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= n \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2 - n^2(n\overline{x})(n\overline{x})$$

$$= n \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2 - n^2\overline{x}^2$$

$$= n \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2 - n\overline{x}^2\right)$$
and $S_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2 - n\overline{x}^2$

$$= det (\overline{x}^TX)$$

$$\therefore dd(\vec{x}^TX) = nS_{XX}$$

- Θ . Blass According to Lemma 6, if X (2n×2) is a matrix with linearly independent columns then the symmetric, 2×2 matrix X^TX is invertible.
 - . By the wead We have :

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ which }$$

and for X^TX in to be invertible, $\begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} x_1\\x_2\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix}$ are linearly independent

. Hence, we can not express
$$\begin{pmatrix} x_4 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix}$$
 in form of $\begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$

- Therefore, there has to be at least one of x_i 's (for i=1,2,...n) that is different from the remaining remaining.
- O. We have

and
$$m n \bar{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i$$

$$\therefore X^{T} y = \begin{bmatrix} n \bar{y} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore (X^{T}X)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} - n^{2}\bar{x}^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} & -h\bar{x} \\ -n\bar{x} & n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{nS_{xx}} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} & -n\bar{x} \\ -n\bar{x} & n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= (\text{from } \textcircled{n})$$

$$(X^{T}X)^{-1} X^{T}y = \frac{1}{n S_{XY}} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} & -n\bar{x} \\ -n\bar{x} & n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n\bar{y} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}y_{i} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n S_{XX}} \begin{bmatrix} n\bar{y} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} & -n\bar{x} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}y_{i} \\ -n^{2}\bar{x}\bar{y} & +n\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}y_{i} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{n S_{XX}} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} & -\bar{x} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}y_{i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}y_{i} & -n\bar{x}\bar{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

and
$$S_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - n \bar{x}_{i}^2$$
, $S_{xy} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i - n \bar{x}_{i}^2$

$$\therefore \bar{y} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - \bar{x} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i = \bar{y} S_{xx} - \bar{x} S_{xy}$$
 (2)

.From (1) and (2).

$$\therefore (x^{T}x)^{-1}X^{T}y = \frac{1}{s_{xy}} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y} s_{xx} - \bar{x} s_{xy} \\ s_{xy} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y} - \frac{s_{xy}}{s_{xx}} \bar{x} \\ \frac{s_{xy}}{s_{xy}} \end{bmatrix}$$

@. We have .

.
$$H = \sqrt{n-3} \left(z - \operatorname{corctanh}(p)\right)$$

. $E[H] = E\left[\sqrt{n-3} \left(z - \operatorname{arctanh}(p)\right)\right]$
 $= \sqrt{n-3} E\left[z - \operatorname{arctanh}(p)\right]$
 $= \sqrt{n-3} \left(E[z] - E\left[\operatorname{arctanh}(p)\right]\right)$
and $z \sim N\left(\operatorname{arctanh}(p), \frac{1}{n-3}\right)$

$$\therefore E[H] = \sqrt{n-3} \left(\operatorname{anctanh}(p) - \operatorname{anctanh}(p) \right)$$

. Var (H) = Var (
$$\sqrt{n-3}$$
 (z - arctanh (p))
= ($n-3$) Var (z - arctanh (p))
= ($n-3$) ($\sqrt{n-3}$ + $\sqrt{n-3}$)
= $\frac{n-3}{n-3}$ = 1.

. Since 44 ~ ADDONN that $H \sim N(O_1 A)$, which is a known distrubution that does not depend on p, and $H = \sqrt{n-3} (z - \operatorname{arctanh}(p))$ which is a known distrubution that does not depend \therefore H is a pivotal quantity for p

6. We have:

The symmetric (1-d) 100% confidence interval for
$$p$$
:

$$(1-d)100\% = P(L \le p \le U)$$

$$= P(\arctan (L) \le \arctan (p) \le NM) \arctan (U)$$

$$= P(MM nz - \arctan (L) > z - \arctan (p) > z - \arctan (U))$$

$$= P(\sqrt{n-3}(z - \arctan (L)) > \sqrt{n-3}(z - \arctan (p)) > \sqrt{n-3}(z - \arctan (U)))$$

$$= P(\sqrt{n-3}(z - \arctan (L)) > H > \sqrt{n-3}(z - \arctan (U)))$$
where $H \sim N(0,1)$

. We want a symmetric confidence interval:

$$P(p \boxtimes L) = \frac{1}{2}$$
 and $P(p \boxtimes U) = \frac{1}{2}$

. And we know:

$$P(H \gg Z_{A/z}) = \frac{\alpha}{2}$$
 and $P(H \leq Z_{A/z}) = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ where $H \sim N(O_{1})$

. This implies :

$$\therefore L = \tanh \left(z - \frac{z_{\omega z}}{\sqrt{n-3}} \right)$$

$$\therefore U = \tanh \left(2 + \frac{Z_{4/2}}{(n-3)} \right)$$

5.

i. The symmetric (1-x) 100% confidence interval for p:
$$\left(\tanh\left(z-\frac{212}{10-3}\right), \tanh\left(z+\frac{212}{10-3}\right)\right)$$

©. We would be ased on the given dataset, we can calculate 95% confidence interval for the true correlation p between X and Y (using R code)

Brown data

data < rend. csv ("bivariate_normal_data.csv")

$$S_X = Sd(dafa $X) \approx 0.994$$

$$\therefore \ \, n = \frac{9 \, \text{Mg}}{9 \, \text{Mg}} = \frac{\text{MMGMV} \ \, 0.522}{(0.994)(\text{M}.044)} \approx \text{ MG } 0.503$$

:
$$z = anctonh(n) = \frac{1}{2} log \left(\frac{1+n}{1-n} \right) = \frac{1}{2} log \left(\frac{1+0.503}{1-0.503} \right) \approx 0.553$$

. ZAMENTE Since it is a 95% confidence interval, the confidence level is 5% :. d = 0.05

$$Z_{d/2} = Z_{0.05/2} = Z_{0.025} = q_{nohm} (0.025, lower.tail = FALSE) = 1.960$$
 and n = 100

1

@. We have:

$$H_0: \rho = \frac{1}{2}$$
 str
and $L = 0.05$ limb

- . Since $p=\frac{1}{2}$ is in the 95% confidence interval for p(0.340,0.636), there is insufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis at the 5% level . For context, this suppoint is unaconstantly to assume
- In context, we do not have sufficient evidence to say that the true connection of P between \times and Y is the not equal to $\frac{1}{7}$