



THE UNIVERSITY  
of ADELAIDE



CRICOS PROVIDER 00123M

School of Computer Science

# COMP SCI 1103/2103 Algorithm Design & Data Structure

Searching + more complexity examples

[adelaide.edu.au](http://adelaide.edu.au)

*seek* LIGHT

# Overview

- Analysis of recursive algorithms: simple methods in this course
- Examples for finding Complexity
  - GCD
  - Binary Search

# Euclid's Algorithm

- Computing the greatest common divisor

```
int recursiveGCD(int a, int b) {  
    if (b==0) return a;  
    return gcd(b, a%b);  
}
```

```
int gcd(int a, int b){  
    while(b != 0){  
        int remainder = a % b;  
        a = b;  
        b = remainder;  
    }  
    return a;  
}
```

# GCD

```
int gcd(int a, int b){  
    while(b != 0){  
        int remainder = a % b;  
        a = b;  
        b = remainder;  
    }  
    return a;  
}
```

- The number of iterations depends on the values of  $a$  and  $b$ .
- Values of  $a$  and  $b$  are monotonically decreasing.
- After 1 iteration we have  $a = \min\{a, b\}$ .
- We can prove that after two iterations, the value of  $a$  is at most half of what it has been before.
  - Therefore, the complexity is  $O(\log \min\{a, b\})$ .

# GCD

**Theorem:** Let  $a$  and  $b$ ,  $a \geq b$ , be inputs to  $\text{gcd}(\text{int } a, \text{int } b)$ . Then after at most two iterations of the while-loop we obtain  $a^*$  where  $a^* \leq a/2$ .

Sketch of proof by case distinction:

- Value of  $a$  is monotonically decreasing and we always have  $a \geq b$ .
- Assume that  $b > a/2$  then  $b' = a \% b \leq a/2$  and  $a' = b$  holds in the next iteration, and  $a^* = a' \% b' = b \% b' \leq a/2$  after two iterations due to  $\%$  operation.
- Assume  $b \leq a/2$  then  $a^* = b \leq a/2$  after one iteration.



# Searching an array

- Array access is  $O(1)$
- But if we search for an element in an array
  - what's the worst case?
  - what's the best case?
- What are your assumptions?
- Do these assumptions matter?
- What's the big-O for searching an array, if we can make some assumptions about its contents?

# Searching an array

- If we know that the data is sorted then we can make assumptions about where the thing that we're searching for is.
- I have an integer array of unique integers 1,2,3,4,...,10, inserted into locations 0..9 in order.
- What can I say about all the elements from location 0 to location 4?
- What if they weren't in order?

# Binary Search

- In binary search, we locate the middle element in our structure or nearest to middle element and look at it.
- Is it what we're looking for? Yes, stop.
- Is it less than what we're looking for? Yes, look at the elements larger than this one.
- Is it greater? Yes, look at the smaller elements.
- Have we run out of elements? Yes, stop!



# Binary Search

```
bool binarySearch(int arr[], int obj, int start, int end){  
    while (start <= end){  
        int middle = (start+end)/2;  
        if(arr[middle] == obj)  
            return true;  
        else if(arr[middle] > obj)  
            end = middle-1;  
        else  
            start = middle +1;  
    }  
    return false  
}
```

# Binary Search

- Benefits:
  - We halve the search space each time. Locating the middle element in an array is an  $O(1)$  operation, so it doesn't add complexity.
  - We know if the element isn't there without having to search everything.
- What complexity is binary search?

# Binary Search

- In binary search we:
  - halve the search space every time
  - don't have to search every element
- Intuitively, this is better than  $O(n)$ . But what is it?
- We keep halving the search space - so it's better than  $O(n/2)$ ...  $O(\log_2 n) = O(\log n)$ , usually we drop the 2
- Remember logarithm rule to change basis from  $b$  to  $c$ :
$$\log_c(n) = \log_c(b) * \log_b(n)$$
  - Example  $\log_2(n) = \log_2(10) * \log_{10}(n)$
- This is for worst case! Average case is roughly the same.



THE UNIVERSITY  
*of* ADELAIDE

