Homework 8

CMPSC 360

Kinner Parikh March 21, 2022

Question 1: For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$: $3 \mid 2^{2n} - 1$

Proof:

We proceed by induction on the variable n.

Let P(n) hold the property of the statement for n.

Base Case (n = 1):

We need to prove $3|2^{2(1)} - 1$

$$2^2 - 1 = 4 - 1 = 3$$

Since 3 | 3, the base case is proved.

Inductive Hypothesis (n = k):

For any arbitrary natural number n = k, assume that P(k) is true.

That means $3 \mid 2^{2k} - 1$

Using the definition of divides, we get $2^{2k} - 1 = 3q$ where $q \in \mathbb{Z}$

Inductive Step (n = k + 1):

We have to show that P(k+1) is true, which means $3 \mid 2^{2k+2} - 1$

Expanding the expression, we get:

$$\begin{split} 2^{2k+2}-1 &= 4 \cdot 2^{2k}-1 \\ &= 4 \cdot (2^{2k}-1)+3 \\ &= 4 \cdot 3q+3 \qquad \text{[inductive hypothesis]} \\ &= 3(4q+1) \qquad \text{[factoring out 3]} \\ &= 3t \qquad \qquad \text{such that } t \in \mathbb{N} \text{ where } t = 4q+1 \end{split}$$

Therefore, by definition of divides, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 3 \mid 2^{2n} - 1$. \square

Question 2: Show that $n! > 3^n$ for $n \ge 7$

Proof:

We proceed by induction on the variable n.

Base Case (n = 7):

We need to prove $7! > 3^7$

The left hand side of the equation is 5040 and the right hand side is 2187. Since 5040 > 2187, the base case is proved.

Inductive Hypothesis (n = k):

For any arbitrary natural number n = k where $k \ge 7$, we assume that $k! > 3^k$

Inductive Step (n = k + 1):

We have to show that $(k+1)! > 3^{k+1}$

To show this, let's explore both sides of the equation

Expanding both sides we get: $(k+1) \cdot k! > 3 \cdot 3^k$

From the inductive hypothesis, we know that $k! > 3^k$.

We also know that k+1>3 because of the restriction on k that states $k\geq 7$.

So, we can conclude that $(k+1) \cdot k! > 3 \cdot 3^k$, which means $(k+1)! > 3^{k+1}$ is true.

Therefore, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, k! > 3^k$. \square

Question 3: For any positive integer $n, 5 \mid 6^n - 1$

Proof:

We proceed by induction on the variable n.

Let P(n) hold the property of the statement for n.

Base Case (n = 1):

P(1) asserts that $5 \mid 6^1 - 1$.

By the definition of divides, $(6^1 - 1) = 5a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$

We get, $5 = 5 \cdot 1 = 5$.

The base case is proved.

Inductive Hypothesis (n = k):

For any arbitrary integer n = k where $k \ge 1$, assume that P(k) is true.

That means $5 \mid 6^k - 1$

Using the definition of divides, we get $6^k - 1 = 5q$ where $q \in \mathbb{Z}$

Inductive Step (n = k + 1):

We have to show that P(k+1) is true, which means $5 \mid 6^{k+1} - 1$.

Expanding the expression, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 6^{k+1} - 1 &= 6 \cdot 6^k - 1 \\ &= 6 \cdot (6^k - 1) + 5 \\ &= 6 \cdot 5q + 5 & \text{[inductive step]} \\ &= 5 \cdot (6q + 1) & \text{[factoring 5 out]} \\ &= 5t & \text{for some } t \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ where } t = 6q + 1 \end{aligned}$$

We have $6^{k+1} - 1 = 5t$. By definition of divides we get $5 \mid 6^{k+1} - 1$.

Therefore, it is true that $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, 5 \mid 6^n - 1. \square$

Question 4: For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, prove that $1 + a + a^2 + a^3 + ... + a^n = \frac{a^{n+1}-1}{a-1}$

We proceed by induction on the variable n.

Let P(n) hold the property of the statement for n.

Base Case (n = 1):

P(1) asserts that $1 + a = \frac{a^{1+1}-1}{a-1}$

Taking the right hand side:

$$\frac{a^{1+1} - 1}{a - 1} = \frac{a^2 - 1}{a - 1}$$

$$= \frac{(a + 1)(a - 1)}{a - 1} \text{ [factoring]}$$

$$= a + 1 \text{ [divide]}$$

The base case is proved.

Inductive Hypothesis (n = k):

For an arbitrary natural number n = k, we assume that $\sum_{i=0}^{k} a^i = \frac{a^{k+1}-1}{a-1}$

Inductive Step (n = k + 1):

We have to show that $\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} a^i = \frac{a^{k+2}-1}{a-1}$ To show this, let's explore the left hand side of the equations:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} a^i &= \sum_{i=0}^k a^i + a^{k+1} \\ &= \frac{a^{k+1} - 1}{a - 1} + a^{k+1} \qquad \text{[inductive hypothesis]} \\ &= \frac{a^{k+1} - 1 + a^{k+1} \cdot (a - 1)}{a - 1} \\ &= \frac{a^{k+1} - 1 + a^{k+2} - a^{k+1}}{a - 1} \\ &= \frac{a^{k+2} - 1}{a - 1} \qquad \text{[subtraction]} \end{split}$$

Therefore, it is true that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall a \in \mathbb{R} \ 1 + a + a^2 + a^3 + \dots + a^n = \frac{a^{n+1}-1}{a-1}$. \square

Question 5: Prove that $1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + ... + n^3 = (\frac{n(n+1)}{2})^2$

Proof:

We proceed by induction on the variable n.

Let P(n) hold the property of the statement for n.

Base Case (n = 1):

P(1) asserts that $1^3 = (\frac{1(1+1)}{2})^2$

Taking the right hand side: $(\frac{1(1+1)}{2})^2 = (\frac{1\cdot 2}{2})^2 = (\frac{2}{2})^2 = 1^2 = 1$

The base case is proved.

Inductive Hypothesis (n = k):

For an arbitrary natural number n = k, we assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} i^3 = (\frac{k(k+1)}{2})^2$

Inductive Step (n = k + 1): We have to show that $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i^3 = (\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2})^2$ To show this, let's explore the left hand side of the equation:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i^3 = \sum_{i=1}^k i^3 + (k+1)^3$$
 [by making next-to-last term explicit]
$$= \left(\frac{k(k+1)}{2}\right)^2 + (k+1)^3$$
 [by inductive hypothesis]
$$= \frac{k^2(k+1)^2}{4} + (k+1)^3$$

$$= \frac{k^2(k+1)^2 + 4(k+1)^3}{4}$$

$$= \frac{k^2(k+1)^2 + (k+1)^2 \cdot 4(k+1)}{4}$$

$$= \frac{(k+1)^2 \cdot (k^2 + 4(k+1))}{4}$$
 [factoring]
$$= \frac{(k+1)^2 \cdot (k^2 + 4k + 4)}{4}$$

$$= \frac{(k+1)^2 \cdot (k+2)^2}{4}$$

$$= \left(\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}\right)^2$$

Therefore, it is true that $1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + ... + n^3 = (\frac{n(n+1)}{2})^2$. \square

Question 6:

a)
$$F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + \dots + F_n = F_{n+2} - 1$$

Proof:

We proceed by induction on n.

Let $P(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} F_i$, where $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ for all n > 1 and $F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1$.

Defined recursively, $P(n) = P(n-1) + F_n$.

We must prove that $P(n) = F_{n+2} - 1$

Base Case (n = 0, 1, 2):

For n = 0, we know that P(0) = 0, and $F_2 - 1 = (1 + 0) - 1 = 0$. Therefore, P(0) is proved For n = 1, we know that P(1) = 1, and $F_3 - 1 = (1 + 1) - 1 = 1$. Therefore, P(1) is proved

For n=2, we calculate that P(2)=2, and $F_4-1=(2+1)-1=2$. Therefore, P(2) is proved

Inductive Hypothesis (n = k):

Let k be any arbitrary natural number and k > 1. We assume that $P(k) = F_{k+2} - 1$ for all natural numbers i from 1 through k.

Inductive Step (n = k + 1):

We need to show that $\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} F_i = F_{k+3} - 1$

Taking the left side:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} F_i = \sum_{i=0}^{k} F_i + F_{k+1}$$

$$= F_{k+2} - 1 + F_{k+1} \quad \text{[inductive hypothesis]}$$

$$= (F_{k+2} + F_{k+1}) - 1$$

$$= F_{k+3} - 1 \quad \text{[from the definition of } F_n \text{]}$$

Therefore, it is true that $F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + ... + F_n = F_{n+2} - 1$. \square

b)
$$F_2 + F_4 + F_6 + \dots + F_{2n} = F_{2n+1} - 1$$

We proceed by induction on n.

Let $P(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} F_{2i}$, where $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ for all n > 1 and $F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1$. Defined recursively, $P(n) = P(n-1) + F_{2n}$.

We must prove that $P(n) = F_{2n+1} - 1$

Base Case (n = 1):

For n = 1, we calculate that P(1) = 1, and $F_3 - 1 = (1 + 1) - 1 = 1$. Therefore, P(1) is proven.

Inductive Hypothesis (n = k):

Let k be any arbitrary natural number and k > 1. We assume that $P(k) = F_{2k+1} - 1$ for all natural numbers i from 1 through k.

Inductive Step (n = k + 1): We need to show that $\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} F_{2i} = F_{2k+3} - 1$

Taking the left side:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} F_{2i} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} F_{2i} + F_{2k+2}$$

$$= F_{2k+1} - 1 + F_{2k+2} \quad [\text{inductive hypothesis}]$$

$$= (F_{2k+2} + F_{2k+1}) - 1$$

$$= F_{2k+3} - 1 \quad [\text{from the definition of } F_n]$$

Therefore, it is true that $F_2 + F_4 + F_6 + ... + F_{2n} = F_{2n+1} - 1$. \square

Question 7: $a_n = 3 \cdot 2^{n-1} + 2(-1)^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $a_n = a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2}, a_1 = 1, a_2 = 8, n \ge 3$

Proof:

We proceed by induction on n

Let P(n) hold the property of the statement for n.

Base Case (n = 1, 2, 3)

For n = 1, we know that $a_1 = 1$, and $P(1) = 3 \cdot 2^0 + 2(-1)^1 = 1$. Hence, P(1) is proven For n = 2, we know that $a_2 = 8$, and $P(2) = 3 \cdot 2^1 + 2(-1)^2 = 8$. Hence, P(2) is proven

For n = 3, we calculate $a_3 = 8 + 2(1) = 10$, and $P(3) = 3 \cdot 2^2 + 2(-1)^3 = 10$. P(3) is proven

Inductive Hypothesis (n = k):

Let k be an arbitrary natural number greater than 3. We assume that $P(k) = 3 \cdot 2^{n-1} + 2(-1)^n$ for all natural numbers i from 1 to k.

Inductive Step (n = k + 1):

From the recursive definition of the function, we get that $a_{k+1} = a_k + 2a_{k-1}$

We need to show that $a_k + 2a_{k-1} = 3 \cdot 2^k + 2(-1)^{k+1}$

Taking the left side:

$$\begin{aligned} a_k + 2a_{k-1} &= 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} + 2(-1)^k + 2(3 \cdot 2^{k-2} + 2(-1)^{k-1}) \text{ [inductive hypothesis]} \\ &= 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} + 2(-1)^k + 6 \cdot 2^{k-2} + 4(-1)^{k-1} \\ &= 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} + 2(-1)^k + 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} - 4(-1)^k \\ &= 6 \cdot 2^{k-1} - 2(-1)^k \end{aligned} \qquad \text{[addition and subtraction]} \\ &= 3 \cdot 2^k + 2(-1)^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is true that $a_n = 3 \cdot 2^{n-1} + 2(-1)^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $a_n = a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2}$, $a_1 = 1, a_2 = 8, n \ge 3.$

Question 8: Use strong induction to show that every positive integer n can be written as a sum of distinct powers of two, that is, as a sum of a subset of the integers $2^0 = 1, 2^1 = 2, 2^2 = 4, ...$

Proof:

We proceed by strong induction on n.

Let P(n) be the proposition that n can be written as a sum of distinct powers of two.

We will prove P(n) for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Base Case (n = 1):

P(1) holds the property of the statement because $2^0 = 1$.

The base case is proved.

Inductive Hypothesis (n = k):

Suppose k is an arbitrary integer greater than 0. Assume that P(i) is true for all $1 \le i \le k$ for some integer k.

Inductive Step (n = k + 1):

We have to show that P(k+1) is even or odd.

Case 1: k + 1 is even.

Since it is even, we can say that $\frac{k+1}{2}$ is an integer. We know that $1 \leq \frac{k+1}{2} \leq k$

Based on this, from the inductive hypothesis, we can say that $P(\frac{k+1}{2})$ is true.

So this means that k+1 can be written as $2 \cdot \frac{k+1}{2}$.

Since we know that $P(\frac{k+1}{2})$ can be written as a sum of distinct powers of two, we can say that all the powers of two in $2 \cdot \frac{k+1}{2}$ are distinct too.

Case 2: k+1 is odd.

When k + 1 is odd, we know that k is even.

From the inductive hypothesis, we know that P(k) holds.

Since k is even, the sum cannot include 1, or 2^0 .

Thus, k+1 can be written as $P(k+1) = 2^0 + P(k)$.

So we can say that P(k+1) can be written as a sum of distinct powers of two.

Therefore, P(k+1) is true for all positive integers. \square

Question 9: Assume we know that for each natural number n > 1, there is a prime number p such that n . We call such a prime number a pseudo-prime number. Prove that everynatural number n > 2 can be written as the summation of distinct pseudo-prime numbers.

Proof:

We proceed by strong induction on n.

Let P(n) be the proposition that n can be written as a sum of distinct pseudo-prime numbers.

Let Q(n) = t such that n < t < 2n where $t \in \mathbb{N}, t \neq 1, t \neq n$, and $t \nmid n$

We will prove P(n) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 3$.

Base Case (n=3):

We know that Q(2) = 3.

This means that P(3) holds because 3 = Q(2), which means 3 can be written as a sum of distinct pseudo-prime numbers. The base case is proved.

Inductive Hypothesis (n = k):

For any integer $k \geq 3$ assume that all natural numbers from 3 through k can be written as a sum of distinct prime numbers.

This means, we assume that any integer $k \geq 3$, P(i) is true for all natural numbers $3 \leq i \leq k$. Inductive Step (n = k + 1):

We need to show that P(k+1) is true. That means k+1 can be written as a sum of distinct pseudo-prime numbers.

There are two cases: k + 1 is a prime itself, or k + 1 is a composite number.

Case 1: k+1 is a prime number.

When k+1 is a prime number, then P(k+1) will be true.

Case 2: k + 1 is a composite number.

We can say that $Q(\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor)$ is less than k+1 So, $k+1-Q(\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor) < k$

From the inductive hypothesis we know that $P(k+1-Q(\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor))$ holds true

This means that $P(k+1) = P(k+1-Q(\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor)) + Q(\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor)$

Since $Q(\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor)$ cannot exist in $P(k+1-Q(\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor))$, we know that all primes are distinct.

So, when k+1 is a composite number, P(k+1) will be true.

Therefore, we know that k+1 can be written as a sum of distinct pseudo-prime numbers. \square