Homework 10

CMPSC 360

Kinner Parikh April 14, 2022

Question 1: Solve the congruence $8x \equiv 13 \mod 29$

Finding c^{-1} :

$$29 = 8 \cdot 3 + 5$$

$$8 = 5 \cdot 1 + 3$$

$$5 = 3 \cdot 1 + 2$$

$$3 = 2 \cdot 1 + 1$$

$$2 = 1 \cdot 2$$

$$1 = 3 - 2 \cdot 1$$

$$= 3 - (5 - 3)$$

$$= -5 + 3 \cdot 2$$

$$= -5 + (8 - 5) \cdot 2$$

$$= 8 \cdot 2 - 5 \cdot 3$$

$$= 8 \cdot 2 - (29 - 8 \cdot 3) \cdot 3$$

So, $c^{-1} = 11$

Multiplying both sides of congruence by c^{-1} :

$$8 \cdot 11x \equiv 13 \cdot 11 \mod 29$$

$$x \equiv 143 \mod 29 \qquad [\text{since } 8 \cdot 11 \mod 29 = 1]$$

$$x \equiv 143 = 27 \mod 29 \text{ [since } 143 \mod 29 = 27]$$

 $=29 \cdot (-3) + 8 \cdot 11$

So a possible value for x is 27.

Question 2: Solve the congruence $55x = 34 \pmod{89}$ and find all possible values of x

Finding the inverse 55 mod 89:

$$89 = 55 \cdot 1 + 34$$

$$55 = 34 \cdot 1 + 21$$

$$34 = 21 \cdot 1 + 13$$

$$21 = 13 \cdot 1 + 8$$

$$13 = 8 \cdot 1 + 5$$

$$8 = 5 \cdot 1 + 3$$

$$5 = 3 \cdot 1 + 2$$

$$3 = 2 \cdot 1 + 1$$

$$2 = 1 \cdot 2$$

$$1 = 3 - 2$$

$$= 3 - (5 - 3)$$

$$= -5 + 3 \cdot 2$$

$$= -5 + (8 - 5) \cdot 2$$

$$= 8 \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot (-3)$$

$$= 8 \cdot 2 + (13 - 8) \cdot (-3)$$

$$= 13 \cdot (-3) + 8 \cdot 5$$

$$= 13 \cdot (-3) + (21 - 13) \cdot 5$$

$$= 21 \cdot 5 + 13 \cdot (-8)$$

$$= 21 \cdot 5 + (34 - 21) \cdot (-8)$$

$$= 34 \cdot (-8) + (55 - 34) \cdot 13$$

$$= 55 \cdot 13 + 34 \cdot (-21)$$

So $c^{-1} = 34$ Multiplying both sides of congruence by c^{-1} :

$$55 \cdot 34x \equiv 34 \cdot 34 \mod 89$$
 [since $55 \cdot 34 \mod 89 = 1$] $x \equiv 1156 = 88 \mod 89$ [since $143 \mod 89 = 27$]

 $= 55 \cdot 13 + (89 - 55) \cdot (-21)$

 $= 89 \cdot (-21) + 55 \cdot 34$

So, x = 88 + 89k where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies the congruence form: $55x = 34 \pmod{89}$

Question 3:

```
\begin{aligned} z_2 &= 105/7 = 15 \\ y_2 \cdot 15 &= 1 \mod 7 \to y_2 = 1 \\ (7 \cdot 11 \cdot 7) + (4 \cdot 10 \cdot 15) + (6 \cdot 9 \cdot 9) = 1625 \\ x &= 1625 \mod 105 = 50 \end{aligned}
```

Question 4: Using Fermat's Little Theorem find $3^{2003} \mod 455$

```
The prime factorization of 455 is 5, 7, 13
Part 1: 3<sup>2003</sup> mod 5
   We know that 3^4 \equiv 1 \mod 5
   2003 = 4 \cdot 500 + 3
   3^{2003} \bmod 5 = 3^{4 \cdot 500} \cdot 3^3 \bmod 5
   1 \cdot 3^3 \mod 5 = 27 \mod 5 = 2 \mod 5
Part 2: 3^{2003} \mod 7
   We know that 3^6 \equiv 1 \mod 7
   2003 = 333 \cdot 6 + 5
   3^{2003} \mod 7 = 3^{6 \cdot 333} \cdot 3^5 \mod 7
   1 \cdot 3^5 \mod 7 = 243 \mod 7 = 5 \mod 7
Part 3: 3<sup>2003</sup> mod 13
   We know that 3^3 \equiv 1 \mod 13
   2003 = 667 \cdot 3 + 2
   3^{2003} \mod 7 = 3^{3 \cdot 667} \cdot 3^2 \mod 13
   1 \cdot 3^2 \mod 13 = 9 \mod 13
x = 2 \mod 5
x = 5 \mod 7
x = 9 \mod 13
Applying the Chinese Remainder Theorem:
a_1 = 2, a_2 = 5, a_3 = 9 and m_1 = 5, m_2 = 7, m_3 = 13
So, M = 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 = 455
Thus, z_1 = 91, z_2 = 65, z_3 = 35
y_1 \cdot 91 = 1 \mod 5; so y_1 = 1
y_2 \cdot 65 = 1 \mod 7; so y_2 = 4
y_3 \cdot 35 = 1 \mod 13; so y_3 = 3
We get x = (2 \cdot 91 \cdot 1) + (5 \cdot 65 \cdot 4) + (9 \cdot 35 \cdot 3) = 2427
And 2427 \mod 455 = 152
Thus, 3^{2003} \mod 455 = 152
```

Question 5:

TIME FOR FUN

Question 6: We chose two prime numbers p = 17, q = 11, and e = 7. Calculate d and show the public and private keys.

$$n = pq = 17 \cdot 11 = 187$$

$$k = (p-1)(q-1) = 16 \cdot 10 = 160$$

$$de \equiv 1 \pmod{160}, \text{ so } d \cdot 7 \equiv 1 \pmod{160}$$

$$160 = 7 \cdot 22 + 6$$

$$7 = 6 \cdot 1 + 1$$

$$6 = 1 \cdot 6$$

$$1 = 7 - 6$$

$$= 7 - (160 - 7 \cdot 22)$$

$$= -160 + 7 \cdot 23$$

So, we know that d = 23The public key is: (187, 7) The private key is: (187, 23) **Question 7**: Given p = 37 and q = 43, can we choose d = 71? If yes, justify your answer, otherwise suggest one value for d. Then compute the public and the private keys.

$$n=pq=37\cdot 43=1591 \\ k=(p-1)(q-1)=36\cdot 42=1512 \\ \text{Finding the inverse of 71 mod 1512:}$$

$$1512 = 71 \cdot 21 + 21$$

$$71 = 21 \cdot 3 + 8$$

$$21 = 8 \cdot 2 + 5$$

$$8 = 5 \cdot 1 + 3$$

$$5 = 3 \cdot 1 + 2$$

$$3 = 2 \cdot 1 + 1$$

$$2 = 1 \cdot 2$$

$$1 = 3 - 2$$

$$= 3 - (5 - 3)$$

$$= -5 + 3 \cdot 2$$

$$= -5 + (8 - 5) \cdot 2$$

$$= 8 \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot (-3)$$

$$= 8 \cdot 2 + (21 - 8 \cdot 2) \cdot (-3)$$

$$= 21 \cdot (-3) + 8 \cdot 8$$

$$= 21 \cdot (-3) + (71 - 21 \cdot 3) \cdot 8$$

$$= 71 \cdot 8 + 21 \cdot (-27)$$

$$= 71 \cdot 8 + (1512 - 71 \cdot 21) \cdot (-27)$$

$$= 1512 \cdot (-27) + 71 \cdot 575$$

The inverse of 71 mod 1512 is 575. So e = 575 We must calculate gcd(575, 1512)

$$1512 = 575 \cdot 2 + 362$$

$$575 = 362 \cdot 1 + 213$$

$$362 = 213 \cdot 1 + 149$$

$$213 = 149 \cdot 1 + 64$$

$$149 = 64 \cdot 2 + 21$$

$$64 = 21 \cdot 3 + 1$$

$$21 = 1 \cdot 21$$

So gcd(575, 1512) = 1, which means we can choose d = 71

Public key: (1591, 575) Private key: (1591, 71)

Question 8:

```
2x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}
Applying the backwards pass of Euclid division, we know that 2 inverse of mod 7 is 4.
Multiplying both sides of congruence:
2(4)x \equiv 5(4) \pmod{7}
x \equiv 20 \pmod{7}; Since 2 \cdot 4 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}
So, x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}
4x \equiv 2 \pmod{6}
Dividing congruence by 2, we get 2x \equiv 1 \pmod{3}
Applying the backwards pass of Euclid division, we know that 2 inverse of mod 3 is 2.
2(2)x \equiv 1(2) \pmod{3}
x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; Since 2 \cdot 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}
So, x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}
x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}
x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}
x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}
Applying the Chinese Remainder Theorem:
a_1 = 2, a_2 = 3, a_3 = 6 and m_1 = 3, m_2 = 5, m_3 = 7
So, M = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105
Thus, z_1 = 35, z_2 = 21, z_3 = 15
y_1 \cdot 35 = 1 \mod 3; so y_1 = 2
y_2 \cdot 21 = 1 \mod 5; so y_2 = 1
y_3 \cdot 15 = 1 \mod 7; so y_3 = 1
We get x = (2 \cdot 35 \cdot 2) + (3 \cdot 21 \cdot 1) + (6 \cdot 15 \cdot 1) = 293
And 293 \mod 105 = 83
```

Thus, the lowest possible simultaneous solution is x = 83

Question 9a:

```
Proof:
We have to find that for every polynomial of degree n with integer coefficients f(x),
we have f(b_1) \equiv f(b_2) \mod p.
We must prove that for every term like a_k x^k in the polynomial f(x) this property holds
Assume b_1 \equiv b_2 \mod p such that b_1, b_2, p \in \mathbb{Z}
This means that, from the definition of congruence modulo, p \mid (b_1 - b_2)
Case 1: b_1 + c \equiv b_2 + c \mod p for arbitrary integer c
    From the definition of congruence modulo, p \mid [b_1 + c - (b_2 + c)] = p \mid (b_1 - b_2)
    So taking the reverse of the definition of congruence modulo, we get b_1 \equiv b_2 \mod p
   Thus, b_1 \equiv b_2 \mod p \Rightarrow b_1 + c \equiv b_2 + c \mod p for arbitrary integer c
Case 2: c \cdot b_1 \equiv c \cdot b_2 \mod p for arbitrary integer c
    From the definition of congruence modulo, p \mid (c \cdot b_1 - c \cdot b_2) = p \mid c \cdot (b_1 - b_2)
    We know that as a property of division, if a \mid b, then a \mid bt. Similarly, we already know that
   p \mid (b_1 - b_2), so we can say that p \mid c \cdot (b_1 - b_2)
   Thus, we know that p \mid c \cdot (b_1 - b_2) = p \mid (b_1 - b_2)
    So taking the reverse of the definition of congruence modulo, we get b_1 \equiv b_2 \mod p
   Thus, b_1 \equiv b_2 \mod p \Rightarrow c \cdot b_1 \equiv c \cdot b_2 \mod p for arbitrary integer c
Case 3: b_1^k \equiv b_2^k \mod p for a positive integer k.
    From the definition of congruence modulo, p \mid (b_1^k - b_2^k)
    We proceed by induction on k
    Base Case: (k = 1)
        So b_1 \equiv b_2 \mod p, which we already know is true.
       The base case is proven
   Inductive Hypothesis: (k = n)
        For an arbitrary positive integer n, assume that b_1^n \equiv b_2^n \mod p
   Inductive Step: (k = n + 1)
We have to show that b_1^{n+1} \equiv b_2^{n+1} \mod p
       Expanding both sides: b_1 \cdot b_1^n \equiv b_2 \cdot b_2^n \mod p
                                    b_1 \cdot b_1^{\ n} \equiv (b_2 \bmod p \cdot b_2^{\ n} \bmod p) \bmod p
       From the base case and inductive hypothesis, we can say that b_1^{n+1} \equiv b_2^{n+1} \mod p
   Therefore, we can say that \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+; b_1^{\hat{k}} \equiv b_2^{\hat{k}} \mod p
Therefore, we can say that f(b_1) \equiv f(b_2) \mod p. \square
```

Question 9b:

Proof:

Assume that we are in the decimal number system, $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $9 \mid z$.

We can write z as $(a_k a_{k-1} a_{k-2} ... a_0)$, where a_k represents a digit of z

Using the conclusion we reached in the previous question, we know that: $f(x) = a_k x^k + a_{k-1} x^{k-1} + \ldots + a_0$ x represents the base of the number system.

$$f(x) = a_k x^k + a_{k-1} x^{k-1} + \dots + a_0$$

So we can say that f(10) = z, and because of this, we know that $b_1 \equiv b_2 \mod p$

Thus we can say that $(n-1) \mid z$