Homework 9

 $CMPSC\ 465$

Kinner Parikh November 17, 2022

Problem 1:

I worked with Sahil Kuwadia and Ethan Yeung I did not consult without anyone my group member I did not consult any non-class materials

Problem 2: Suppose we have an optimal prefix code on a set $C = \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ of characters and we wish to transmit this code using as few bits as possible. Show how to represent any optimal prefix code on C using only $2n - 1 + n\lceil \log n \rceil$ bits.

Since there are n characters, there are n leaves in the tree. Thus, there will be n-1 vertices within the graph, so the entire graph will contain 2n-1 total vertices, thus 2n-1 bits. The height of a full binary tree for n characters is $\lceil \log n \rceil$.

We can say that to associate the members of C with the leaves of the tree, $\lceil \log n \rceil$ bits will be enough to represent all members. We know that no padding bits are required because each character is represented by a unique prefix. So, with n leaves, it requires $n\lceil \log n \rceil$ bits to represent all characters.

Thus, we can say that the total number of bits required to represent the optimal prefix code is $2n - 1 + n\lceil \log n \rceil$.

Problem 3: Generalize Huffman's algorithm to ternary codewords (i.e., codewords using the symbols 0, 1, and 2), and prove that it yields optimal ternary codes.

Algorithm 1: Huffman's Algorithm for Ternary Codes

```
Input: f : f[1 ... n]
   Output: T: a tree with n leaves
 1 T: empty tree;
 2 H: priority queue ordered by f;
 з for i := 1 in n do
 \mathbf{4} \mid \operatorname{insert}(H, i);
 5 end for
 6 for k := n + 1 in \lceil 2n - n/2 \rceil do
       i := extract_min(H);
       j := extract_min(H);
       k := extract_min(H);
       Create a node r in T with children i, j, k;
10
       f[r] = f[i] + f[j] + f[k];
11
       insert(H, r);
12
13 end for
14 return T
```

Claim: Huffman's algorithm for ternary codes yields an optimal ternary code. Every optimal solution has three least frequent symbols as leaves connected to an internal node of greatest depth. Proof: Let T be an optimal ternary code. Let i, j, k be the three least frequent symbols. Let T be the internal node of greatest depth. Let T' be the tree obtained from T by removing i, j, k and r. Let T'' be the tree obtained from T by removing T. Then T' is an optimal ternary code and T'' is an optimal binary code.

Problem 4:

For sake of contradiction, assume that the greedy algorithm is not optimal. Given a solution provided by the greedy algorithm represented in a numbered set T of size n. So a package $p_i \in T$ where i is the indexed number of the package. Each package has a weight w_i and a corresponding truck t_j that it is assigned to be on.

Since we know that the greedy algorithm is not optimal, there must be an optimal solution T'. Assume that T' has m trucks and assume that T has n trucks. We can say that $m \leq n$. For this proof, we will assume that $T' \in T$ such that T' is a subset of T.

Let's say that truck t'_m is the last truck in T'. If $t'_m > t_m$, then we arrive at a contradiction because the greedy solution utilized more trucks than the optimal solution, which is not valid. If $t'_m < t_m$, then we can say that the greedy solution utilized less trucks than the optimal solution, which is also not valid.

Thus, we can conclude that the greedy solution is the optimal solution.