

Collectiveness

AE_Critical_Writing_1

Siddhant_Bali_2022496

One of the most common things that people say about collectiveness is that it is the bond which holds societies, campuses, and friendships together. However, the deeper we dig into it, the more contradictions we find.

When it is good, collectiveness gives the feeling of being a part of a group; however, when it is bad, it requires that one conform to the group if he/she/it wants to be accepted.

Now A Thought comes; how about refusing Everything, Everyone, be Become “Free” in True Sense with no limitation, being Singular? Considering my understanding from Using Excerpt ”Neon Genesis Evangelion”(Part of Animated Series), If we talk about singularity, my opinion is that we somehow need limits to live. Without any limits, if we separate people and their bodies, take out gravity, remove food and water, get rid of dimensions, and remove even the smallest boundaries, then we end up with singularity. After that, we would not have any limitations left, so we would be nothing but emptiness.

Using Excerpt “Universe 25”(A Rat Colony Experiment), In that Experiment; after having enough food and water, the rats started to fight among themselves, and in the end, they all died while there was still food and water left. The end was a survival collapse.

“Narrative”, it would be seen as just a word which means “how the story was told”, it’s what matters the most what i believe. People don’t really share too much with others just because they fear that they would judge at even any “word” and would change the whole narrative of what person aspires for; that’s where Concepts like Dear Diary came.

And we tend to make friends more who really aren’t in our Direct competition, because if the friend is in same field and not really good, then the person could be stay safe; but once the same friend, extremely excels in the same field, competition, jealousy, contribution arises.

Until and unless the person believes that, everyone’s journey is different, and each one have fair share of advantages and disadvantages in life.

If you care for a friend, you may start wondering: is this the nature of friendship? Is there fear of change?

Does the solution matter? Also, you can accept that different worlds are real and each side is right in its own worldview. There is no need to compete. Love should be chosen over the battle of who is right or wrong. I feel is that in the peak moments of argument and fight between the loved ones, the person should think that “What matters, the point or the love?”

They may not even agree on anything after the conflict, yet those two sides still come together because they love each other more than they love the argument. The need to prove something disappears from the relationship and that is when it gets stronger.

Loneliness, which is quite frequently misunderstood as an individual flaw, is actually a failure of the entire society. Social life is not renewed by more digital intermediaries but by more get togethers, shared rituals, and real presence. The community does not grow through monitoring or forced unity, but through those places where it is allowed to have different opinions, share your weaknesses, and your personal space is respected.

In fact, community life is always a matter of renegotiating it. Also, power has to be constantly doubted, because when the group opposes it, it asks for clarification and openness. A collective that never doubts itself becomes empty, one that talks becomes strong. At the end of the day, we are the ones who govern ourselves, not by being isolated, but by the delicate, continuous work of being together.