

2.6.

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y) = \mu_Y &= 0 \times P(Y = 0) + 1 \times P(Y = 1) \\ &= 0 \times 0.12 + 1 \times 0.88 = 0.88 \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\text{Unemployment Rate} = P(Y = 0) = 1 - P(Y = 1) = 1 - E(Y) = 1 - 0.88 = 0.12$$

(c) Calculate the conditional probabilities first:

$$P(Y = 0|X = 0) = \frac{P(X = 0, Y = 0)}{P(X = 0)} = \frac{0.078}{0.751} = 0.104$$

$$P(Y = 1|X = 0) = \frac{P(X = 0, Y = 1)}{P(X = 0)} = \frac{0.673}{0.751} = 0.896$$

$$P(Y = 0|X = 1) = \frac{P(X = 1, Y = 0)}{P(X = 1)} = \frac{0.042}{0.249} = 0.169$$

$$P(Y = 1|X = 1) = \frac{P(X = 1, Y = 1)}{P(X = 1)} = \frac{0.207}{0.249} = 0.831$$

The conditional expectations are

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y|X = 1) &= 0 \times P(Y = 0|X = 1) + 1 \times P(Y = 1|X = 1) \\ &= 0 \times 0.169 + 1 \times 0.831 = 0.831 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y|X = 0) &= 0 \times P(Y = 0|X = 0) + 1 \times P(Y = 1|X = 0) \\ &= 0 \times 0.104 + 1 \times 0.896 = 0.896 \end{aligned}$$

(d) Use the solution to part (b),

$$\text{Unemployment rate for college graduates} = 1 - E(Y|X=1) = 1 - 0.831 = 0.169$$

$$\text{Unemployment rate for non-college graduates} = 1 - E(Y|X=0) = 1 - 0.896 = 0.104$$

(e) The probability that a randomly selected worker who is reported being unemployed is a college graduate is

$$P(X = 1|Y = 0) = \frac{P(X = 1, Y = 0)}{P(Y = 0)} = \frac{0.042}{0.12} = 0.35$$

The probability that this worker is a non-college graduate is

$$P(X = 0|Y = 0) = 1 - P(X = 1|Y = 0) = 1 - 0.35 = 0.65$$

(f) Educational achievement and employment status are not independent because they do not satisfy that, for all values of x and y ,

$$\Pr(X = x|Y = y) = \Pr(X = x).$$

For example, from part (e) $\Pr(X = 0|Y = 0) = 0.65$, while from the table $\Pr(X = 0) = 0.751$.

2.14. The central limit theorem suggests that when the sample size (n) is large, the distribution of the sample average (\bar{Y}) is approximately $N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$ with $\sigma_Y^2 = \frac{\sigma_Y^2}{n}$.

Given $\mu_Y = 50$, $\sigma_Y^2 = 21$,

(a) $n = 50$, $\sigma_{\bar{Y}}^2 = \frac{\sigma_Y^2}{n} = \frac{21}{50} = 0.42$, and

$$P(\bar{Y} \leq 51) = P\left(\frac{\bar{Y}-50}{\sqrt{0.42}} \leq \frac{51-50}{\sqrt{0.42}}\right) \approx \Phi(1.543) = 0.9386$$

(b) $n = 150$, $\sigma_{\bar{Y}}^2 = \frac{\sigma_Y^2}{n} = \frac{21}{150} = 0.14$, and

$$\begin{aligned} P(\bar{Y} > 49) &= 1 - P(\bar{Y} \leq 49) = 1 - P\left(\frac{\bar{Y} - 50}{\sqrt{0.14}} \leq \frac{49 - 50}{\sqrt{0.14}}\right) \approx 1 - \Phi(-2.673) \\ &= \Phi(2.673) = 0.9962 \end{aligned}$$

(c) $n = 45$, $\sigma_{\bar{Y}}^2 = \frac{\sigma_Y^2}{n} = \frac{21}{45} = 0.4667$, and

$$\begin{aligned} P(50.5 \leq \bar{Y} \leq 51) &= P\left(\frac{50.5 - 50}{\sqrt{0.4667}} \leq \frac{\bar{Y} - 50}{\sqrt{0.4667}} \leq \frac{51 - 50}{\sqrt{0.4667}}\right) \approx \Phi(1.464) - \Phi(0.732) \\ &= 0.9284 - 0.7679 = 0.1605 \end{aligned}$$

2.15. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}P(19.6 \leq \bar{Y} \leq 20.4) &= P\left(\frac{19.6-20}{\sqrt{4/n}} \leq \frac{\bar{Y}-20}{\sqrt{4/n}} \leq \frac{20.4-20}{\sqrt{4/n}}\right) \\&= P\left(\frac{19.6-20}{\sqrt{4/n}} \leq Z \leq \frac{20.4-20}{\sqrt{4/n}}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where $Z \sim N(0, 1)$. Thus,

$$(i) \ n = 25; P\left(\frac{19.6-20}{\sqrt{4/n}} \leq Z \leq \frac{20.4-20}{\sqrt{4/n}}\right) = P(-1 \leq Z \leq 1) = 0.6826$$

$$(ii) \ n = 100; P\left(\frac{19.6-20}{\sqrt{4/n}} \leq Z \leq \frac{20.4-20}{\sqrt{4/n}}\right) = P(-2 \leq Z \leq 2) = 0.9544$$

$$(iii) \ n = 800; P\left(\frac{19.6-20}{\sqrt{4/n}} \leq Z \leq \frac{20.4-20}{\sqrt{4/n}}\right) = P(-5.657 \leq Z \leq 5.657) = 1$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}P(20 - c \leq \bar{Y} \leq 20 + c) &= P\left(\frac{-c}{\sqrt{4/n}} \leq \frac{\bar{Y}-20}{\sqrt{4/n}} \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{4/n}}\right) \\&= P\left(\frac{-c}{\sqrt{4/n}} \leq Z \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{4/n}}\right)\end{aligned}$$

As n get large $\frac{c}{\sqrt{4/n}}$ gets large, and the probability converges to 1.

(c) This follows from (b) and the definition of convergence in probability given in Key Concept 2.6.

$$2.19. \quad (a) \quad \Pr(Y = y_j) = \sum_{i=1}^l \Pr(X = x_i, Y = y_j)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^l \Pr(Y = y_j | X = x_i) \Pr(X = x_i)$$

$$(b) \quad E(Y) = \sum_{j=1}^k y_j \Pr(Y = y_j) = \sum_{j=1}^k y_j \sum_{i=1}^l \Pr(Y = y_j | X = x_i) \Pr(X = x_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^l \left(\sum_{j=1}^k y_j \Pr(Y = y_j | X = x_i) \right) \Pr(X = x_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^l E(Y | X = x_i) \Pr(X = x_i).$$

(c) When X and Y are independent,

$$\Pr(X = x_i, Y = y_j) = \Pr(X = x_i) \Pr(Y = y_j),$$

so

$$\sigma_{XY} = E[(X - \mu_X)(Y - \mu_Y)]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^k (x_i - \mu_X)(y_j - \mu_Y) \Pr(X = x_i, Y = y_j)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^k (x_i - \mu_X)(y_j - \mu_Y) \Pr(X = x_i) \Pr(Y = y_j)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^l (x_i - \mu_X) \Pr(X = x_i) \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^k (y_j - \mu_Y) \Pr(Y = y_j) \right)$$

$$= E(X - \mu_X) E(Y - \mu_Y) = 0 \times 0 = 0,$$

$$\text{corr}(X, Y) = \frac{\sigma_{XY}}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} = \frac{0}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} = 0.$$

2.23. X and Z are two independently distributed standard normal random variables, so

$$\mu_X = \mu_Z = 0, \sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Z^2 = 1, \sigma_{XZ} = 0.$$

(a) Because of the independence between X and Z , $\Pr(Z = z | X = x) = \Pr(Z = z)$, and $E(Z | X) = E(Z) = 0$. Thus $E(Y | X) = E(X^2 + Z | X) = E(X^2 | X) + E(Z | X) = X^2 + 0 = X^2$.

(b) $E(X^2) = \sigma_X^2 + \mu_X^2 = 1$, and $\mu_Y = E(X^2 + Z) = E(X^2) + \mu_Z = 1 + 0 = 1$.

(c) $E(XY) = E(X^3 + ZX) = E(X^3) + E(ZX)$. Using the fact that the odd moments of a standard normal random variable are all zero, we have $E(X^3) = 0$. Using the independence between X and Z , we have $E(ZX) = \mu_Z \mu_X = 0$. Thus $E(XY) = E(X^3) + E(ZX) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(d)} \quad \text{cov}(XY) &= E[(X - \mu_X)(Y - \mu_Y)] = E[(X - 0)(Y - 1)] \\
 &= E(XY - X) = E(XY) - E(X) \\
 &= 0 - 0 = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{corr}(X, Y) = \frac{\sigma_{XY}}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} = \frac{0}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} = 0.$$

2.27

$$\text{(a)} \quad E(u) = E[E(u|X)] = E[E(Y - \hat{Y})|X] = E[E(Y|X) - E(Y|X)] = 0.$$

$$\text{(b)} \quad E(uX) = E[E(uX|X)] = E[XE(u|X)] = E[X \times 0] = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(c)} \quad &\text{Using the hint: } v = (Y - \hat{Y}) - h(X) = u - h(X), \text{ so that } E(v^2) = E[u^2] + E[h(X)^2] - \\
 &2 \times E[u \times h(X)]. \text{ Using an argument like that in (b), } E[u \times h(X)] = 0. \text{ Thus, } E(v^2) = E(u^2) + \\
 &E[h(X)^2], \text{ and the result follows by recognizing that } E[h(X)^2] \geq 0 \text{ because } h(x)^2 \geq 0 \text{ for any} \\
 &\text{value of } x.
 \end{aligned}$$