## Homework 8

## Due on Dec 10

## Choose the best answer

- 1. Each of the following provides incentives to reduce a negative externality except
  - a. merger with affected firms.
  - b. subsidizing consumption of the good being produced.
  - c. bargaining among firms.
  - d. taxation of the externality.
- 2. Externalities between two firms can be "internalized" if:
  - I. The two firms merge.
  - II. The property right arrangement is clear and transaction costs are zero.
  - III. The externalities affect each firm equally.
  - IV. Marginal costs for both firms are constant.

Which statement(s) correctly complete the sentence?

- a. Only II.
- b. All except III.
- c. I and II, but not III and IV.
- d. I and IV, but not II and III.
- 3. A non-exclusive good is a good which
  - a. is sold in low-price markets.
  - b. is impossible to keep people from enjoying the benefits the good provides.
  - c. is produced by a perfectly competitive firm.
  - d. is produced at the lowest possible cost.
- 4. A non-rival good is a good which
  - a. is produced by a monopoly.
  - b. is produced by a cartel.
  - c. can provide benefits to additional users at a zero marginal cost.
  - d. is sold in a single market.

## Analytical questions

- 1. Consider the case described in Varian Ch 35: There is a steel firm (S) and fishery firm (F) located along the same river. Denote x as the amount of pollution. Firm S's cost of producing s unit of steel is  $c_s(s,x) = s^2 x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Firm F's cost of fishing f unit of fish is  $c_f(f,x) = f^2 x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . The maximum amount of pollution firm S can emit is  $\bar{x} = 16$ . The price of steel is  $p_s = 4$  per unit and the price of fish is  $p_f = 8$  per unit.
- a. Write down the profit function of firm S and find out the level of steel production and pollution.
- b. Given the profit maximizing behavior of firm S, how many units of fish will firm F produce?
  - c. Find the Pareto (social) optimal level of production and pollution  $(s^*, f^*, x^*)$ .
- 2. Two roommates i = 1, 2 are considering to buy a TV and use it together. TV is a public good and the spending is contributed by two roommates,  $G = g_1 + g_2$ . Each roommate need to divide its income  $w_i$  into his private spending  $x_i$  and public spending  $g_i$ , that is,  $x_i + g_i = w_i$ . Roommate 1 has income  $w_1 = 100$  and his utility function is

$$u_1(x_1,G) = x_1^{\frac{1}{2}}G^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Roommate 2 has income  $w_2 = 60$  and his utility function is

$$u_2(x_2, G) = x_2^{\frac{1}{3}} G^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

- a. Assume that roommate 2 decides not to pay for the TV,  $g_2 = 0$ . Roommate 1 is selfish and maximizes his utility. How he will choose  $g_1$  and  $x_1$ ?
  - b. Solve for the non-cooperative (Nash equilibrium) allocation,  $(x_1^{NE}, g_1^{NE}, x_2^{NE}, g_2^{NE})$ .
- c. Fix roommate 2's utility at  $\bar{u}_2$ . Find three equations characterize the Pareto efficient allocation  $(x_1^{PE}, x_2^{PE}, G^{PE})$ .

[You won't be able to solve for a specific allocation because the equations will contain  $\bar{u}_2$ .]

3. Consider a society with an electricity company and a representative consumer (all households). The electricity company uses labor l units and coal x units to produce electricity q units. The company's production function is

$$q = f(l, x) = 12 \times l^{\frac{1}{3}} x^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

So its profit is

$$\pi(l,x) = 12l^{\frac{1}{3}}x^{\frac{1}{2}} - wl - vx$$

The representative consumer's payoff is

$$u(l,x) = (w-2)l - x,$$

which is measured in dollars. So the consumer is harmed by pollution from coal burning.

There is no market power: All prices are considered as fixed, and all electricity produced are being consumed. Each unit of labor costs w = 4, and each unit of coal costs v = 3. Electricity price is p = 1.

- a. To maximize profit, how will the electricity company choose l and x. Compute the company's profit and the consumer's payoff.
- b. Consider the company and the consumer together as a society. Determine the social efficient level of l and x.

[Hint: the social welfare is the summation of the company's profit and the representative consumer's payoff.]

- c. Compute the social welfare in part (a) and part (b) and compare them.
- d. Suppose the electricity company is selfish and only care its own profit. Using the concept of externality, how will you describe the electricity company's usage of coal? As a policy maker, how to fix the probem?

[Proposing one solution is enough.]

4. There are  $n \geq 2$  people in a residential community indexed by i = 1, 2, ..., n. Each of them needs to contribute to a joint account for the maintenance of the facilities in the community. This joint account can be considered as a public good.

Each person i needs to determine to spend his income  $w_i$  between his private consumption  $y_i$  and joint account contribution  $x_i$ , i.e.,  $x_i + y_i = w_i$ . Each person has the same utility function

$$U_i(X, y_i) = Xy^2,$$

where  $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$ . Each person has an income  $w_i = 120$ .

- a. Consider that n people voluntarily contributes to the joint account. Find the non-cooperative equilibrium  $(x_1^{NE}, x_2^{NE}, ..., x_n^{NE}, y_1^{NE}, y_2^{NE}, ..., y_n^{NE})$ . Note that the solution depends on n.
- b. Assume that each person contribute the equal amount. What is the social optimal (Pareto efficient) allocation  $(X^{SO}, y_1^{SO}, y_2^{SO}, ..., y_n^{SO})$ ? The solution also depends on n.
- c. Compare the joint account amount under non-cooperative equilibrium  $(X^{NE})$  and social optimal level  $(X^{SO})$ . When the number of people n increases, does the difference becomes larger or smaller? Does the free-rider problem become more severe when there are more people in the community?