ASSIGNMENT 2 - Linear Regression with Gradient Descent

Matthew Dunne

Use linear regression with gradient descent to predict water temperature T_degC using the dataset from Assignment 1.

- 1) Only use 'Salnty', 'STheta' for predictors (same as HW #1)
- 2) Remove NaN / NA values from dataset (prior to building train/test sets) (same as HW #1)

```
In [1]:
```

```
import numpy as np
import os
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error, r2_score, explained_variance_score
from math import sqrt
```

In [2]:

```
data=pd.read_csv('bottle.csv', usecols=['T_degC','Salnty', 'STheta'])
#remove NaN/NA values
data=data.dropna()
X=data[['Salnty', 'STheta']]
Y=data['T_degC']
```

3) Scale all features to improve convergence. It is highly encouraged that you review the appropriate method of handling normalization with train & test.

```
In [3]:
```

```
from sklearn import preprocessing
scaler=preprocessing.StandardScaler()
#split into training and test first
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, Y, random state=0)
 #fit and transform the training data for the predictors
X train scaled=scaler.fit transform(X train)
#then scale the test data for the predictors using the parameters derived from fitting/scaling on
the training
X test scaled=scaler.transform(X test)
X train scaled b = np.c [np.ones((len(X train scaled), 1)), X train scaled] \# add x0 = 1 to each in
stance of the training data
X_{test\_scaled\_b} = np.c_{np.ones((len(X_{test\_scaled)}, 1)), X_{test\_scaled]} \# add x0 = 1 to each xound for the scaled and xound for the xound for the scaled and xound for the scaled and xound for the xound for t
instance of the test data
print(X train scaled b)
0.96963937 0.925356861
   [ 1.
   . . . ,
                                             0.03782442 -0.55399221]
   [ 1.
                                         -1.02401124 -0.6189192 ]
   [ 1.
   [ 1.
                                          -1.50942182 -0.66083409]]
```

Here I also reshaped y_train into an array of one column so that the code for the mini-batch operation will work.

```
In [4]:
```

```
y_train=y_train.reshape(-1, 1)
y_train

C:\Users\mjdun\Anaconda\lib\site-packages\ipykernel_launcher.py:1: FutureWarning: reshape is
deprecated and will raise in a subsequent release. Please use .values.reshape(...) instead
    """Entry point for launching an IPython kernel.
```


We can see above the training data both before and after scaling. The scaled data is stored as a numpy array.

4) For the cost function (the thing we are trying to minimize) we will use mean squared error. Please use the same function utilized in Chapter 4 of The Hands On Machine Learning (Equation 4.5) for the derivative of the cost function.

```
derived cost function = 2/m * X b.T.dot(X b.dot(theta) - y)
```

5) Try mini batch sizes of 50, 2000 and 10,000. Comment on the prediction accuracy based on rmse, variance explained, and r-squared.

Note: Feel free to use any value for eta and epochs, but 0.1 eta and 100 epochs (number of times you go through the whole batch by taking mini-batches) are fine for this HW.

First with batch sizes of 50

In [6]:

```
theta path mgd = []
m = len(X train scaled b)
et.a=0.1
n_iterations = 100
minibatch size = 50
np.random.seed(42)
theta = np.random.randn(3,1) #random start for theta with three rows and one column
#run without a learning schedule
\#t0, t1 = 200, 1000
#def learning schedule(t):
    \#return t0 / (t + t1)
for epoch in range(n iterations):
    #shuffle our indices to make each epoch distinct subsets
    shuffled indices = np.random.permutation(m)
    #reorder according to our new indices. Make sure x and y line up
    X train scaled b shuffled = X train scaled b[shuffled indices]
    y train shuffled = y train[shuffled indices]
    \#go from observation 0 to the end in chunks = to mini batch size
    for i in range(0, m, minibatch size):
        \#t += 1
        xi = X_train_scaled_b_shuffled[i:i+minibatch size]
        yi = y train shuffled[i:i+minibatch size]
        gradients = 2/minibatch_size * xi.T.dot(xi.dot(theta) - yi)
        #eta = learning_schedule(t)
        theta = theta - eta * gradients
        #theta_path_mgd.append(theta)
{\tt rmse\_fifty=} {\tt sqrt} \; ({\tt mean\_squared\_error} \; ({\tt y\_test\_X\_test\_scaled\_b.dot} \; ({\tt theta}) \, ) \, ) \; \\
var_exp_fifty=explained_variance_score(y_test,X_test_scaled_b.dot(theta))
r2_fifty=r2_score(y_test,X_test_scaled_b.dot(theta))
theta
```

Out[6]:

The RMSE, Variance Explained, and R Squared for the minibatch size of 50 are respectively:

```
In [7]:
```

```
print(rmse_fifty, var_exp_fifty, r2_fifty)
```

0.48112145692253616 0.986984360439 0.986980768192

Mini-Batch sizes of 2000

```
In [8]:
```

```
m = len(X train scaled b)
eta=0.1
n iterations = 100
minibatch size = 2000
np.random.seed(42)
theta = np.random.randn(3,1) #random start for theta with three rows and one column
#run without a learning schedule
#t0, t1 = 200, 1000
#def learning schedule(t):
    \#return t0 / (t + t1)
for epoch in range(n iterations):
    #shuffle our indices to make each epoch distinct subsets
    shuffled indices = np.random.permutation(m)
    #reorder according to our new indices. Make sure x and y line up
    X_train_scaled_b_shuffled = X_train_scaled_b[shuffled_indices]
    y train shuffled = y train[shuffled indices]
    \#go from observation 0 to the end in chunks = to mini batch size
    for i in range(0, m, minibatch size):
        #t += 1
        xi = X_train_scaled_b_shuffled[i:i+minibatch_size]
        yi = y_train_shuffled[i:i+minibatch size]
        #note yi has to be an array (which is why you converted it above)
        gradients = 2/minibatch size * xi.T.dot(xi.dot(theta) - yi)
        #eta = learning schedule(t)
        theta = theta - eta * gradients
        #theta_path_mgd.append(theta)
rmse_two_k=sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_test, X_test_scaled_b.dot(theta)))
var_exp_two_k=explained_variance_score(y_test, X_test_scaled_b.dot(theta))
r2 two k=r2 score(y test, X test scaled b.dot(theta))
theta
Out[8]:
```

The RMSE, Variance Explained, and R Squared for the minibatch size of 2000 are respectively:

```
In [9]:
```

```
print(rmse_two_k, var_exp_two_k, r2_two_k)
4.733212559075289 -0.260037057641 -0.260050422588
```

4./332123390/3289 -0.28003/03/841 -0.280030422388

Mini-Batch sizes of 10,000

```
In [10]:
```

```
m = len(X_train_scaled_b)
eta=0.1
n_iterations = 100
minibatch_size = 10000

np.random.seed(42)
theta = np.random.randn(3,1) #random start for theta with three rows and one column
```

```
#run without a learning schedule
\#t0, t1 = 200, 1000
#def learning_schedule(t):
   \#return t0 / (t + t1)
for epoch in range(n_iterations):
   #shuffle our indices to make each epoch distinct subsets
   shuffled_indices = np.random.permutation(m)
   #reorder according to our new indices. Make sure x and y line up
   X train scaled b shuffled = X train scaled b[shuffled indices]
    y_train_shuffled = y_train[shuffled_indices]
    #go from observation 0 to the end in chunks = to mini batch size
    for i in range(0, m, minibatch size):
       #t += 1
       xi = X_train_scaled_b_shuffled[i:i+minibatch_size]
        yi = y_train_shuffled[i:i+minibatch_size]
        gradients = 2/minibatch_size * xi.T.dot(xi.dot(theta) - yi)
        #eta = learning_schedule(t)
        theta = theta - eta * gradients
        #theta_path_mgd.append(theta)
rmse_ten_k=sqrt (mean_squared_error(y_test,X_test_scaled_b.dot(theta)))
\verb|var_exp_ten_k| = \verb|explained_variance_score(y_test, X_test_scaled_b.dot(theta))|
r2_ten_k=r2_score(y_test, X_test_scaled_b.dot(theta))
```

Out[10]:

The RMSE, Variance Explained, and R Squared for the minibatch size of 10,000 are respectively:

In [11]:

```
print(rmse_ten_k, var_exp_ten_k, r2_ten_k)
3.102511375375045 0.458619583381 0.458619420071
```

Conclusion

The mini-batch of size 50 has the best performance with the lowest Root Mean Squared Error and the highest R Squared/Variance Explained.