

# Task 4: Password Security & Authentication Analysis

## Tools Used

- Hashcat
- John the Ripper
- Online Hash Identifier / Hash Generator

## Theory

### Hashing vs Encryption

Hashing is a one-way process used to store passwords securely, while encryption is a two-way reversible process used for protecting sensitive data.

## Experiment / Procedure

### 1. Identification of Hash Types

Different hash types such as MD5, SHA-1, and bcrypt were studied and identified using online hash identification tools.

```
└─(stark㉿windows)-[~]
└─$ echo -n "password123" | md5sum
echo -n "password123" | sha1sum

482c811da5d5b4bc6d497ffa98491e38  -
cbfdac6008f9cab4083784cbd1874f76618d2a97  -

└─(stark㉿windows)-[~]
└─$
```

Observed Hash:

482c811da5d5b4bc6d497ffa98491e38

cbfdac6008f9cab4083784cbd1874f76618d2a97

Identified As:

# Hash Analyzer

Tool to identify hash types. Enter a hash to be identified.

Analyze

<b>Hash:</b>	482c811da5d5b4bc6d497ffa98491e38
<b>Hash type:</b>	MD5 or MD4
<b>Bit length:</b>	128
<b>Character length:</b>	32
<b>Character type:</b>	hexidecimal

## 2. Password Hash Generation

Password hashes were generated using Linux commands and online tools.

Command Used:

```
echo -n "StarkXelevatelab" | md5sum
```

Generated Hash:

```
(stark@windows)-[~]
$ echo -n "StarkXelevatelab" | md5sum
2f63fab2f0f53292534b9d86d931f7fc -
(stark@windows)-[~]
$
```

## 3. Password Cracking Using Hashcat

A dictionary attack was performed using the rockyou.txt wordlist.

Command Used:

```
hashcat -m 0 hash.txt /usr/share/wordlists/rockyou.txt
```

Result:

```
(stark@windows)-[~]
$ hashcat -m 0 hash.txt /usr/share/wordlists/rockyou.txt

hashcat (v6.2.6) starting

OpenCL API (OpenCL 3.0 PoCL 6.0+debian Linux, None+Asserts, RELOC, SPIR-V, LLVM EBUG) - Platform #1 [The pocl project]
=====
* Device #1: cpu-skylake-avx512-11th Gen Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-11320H @ 3.20GHz, 2MCU

Minimum password length supported by kernel: 0
Maximum password length supported by kernel: 256

Hashes: 1 digests; 1 unique digests, 1 unique salts
Bitmaps: 16 bits, 65536 entries, 0x0000ffff mask, 262144 bytes, 5/13 rotates
Rules: 1
```

```
Approaching final keyspace - workload adjusted.

Session.....: hashcat
Status.....: Exhausted
Hash.Mode....: 0 (MD5)
Hash.Target...: 2f63fab2f0f53292534b9d86d931f7fc
Time.Started...: Tue Jan 20 10:04:44 2026 (8 secs)
Time.Estimated...: Tue Jan 20 10:04:52 2026 (0 secs)
Kernel.Feature...: Pure Kernel
Guess.Base.....: File (/usr/share/wordlists/rockyou.txt)
Guess.Queue.....: 1/1 (100.00%)
Speed.#1.....: 2469.9 kH/s (0.09ms) @ Accel:256 Loops:1 Thr:1 Vec:16
Recovered.....: 0/1 (0.00%) Digests (total), 0/1 (0.00%) Digests (new)
Progress.....: 14344385/14344385 (100.00%)
Rejected.....: 0/14344385 (0.00%)
Restore.Point...: 14344385/14344385 (100.00%)
Restore.Sub.#1..: Salt:0 Amplifier:0-1 Iteration:0-1
Candidate.Engine.: Device Generator
Candidates.#1....: $HEX[206b72697374656e616e6e65] -> $HEX[042a0337c2a156616d6f732103]
Hardware.Mon.#1..: Util: 63%
```

## 4. Password Cracking Using John the Ripper

The same hash was cracked using John the Ripper.

Command Used:

```
john --format=Raw-MD5 hash.txt --wordlist=/usr/share/wordlists/rockyou.txt
```

Result:

```
(stark@windows)-[~]
$ john --format=Raw-MD5 hash.txt --wordlist=/usr/share/wordlists/rockyou.txt

Using default input encoding: UTF-8
Loaded 1 password hash (Raw-MD5 [MD5 512/512 AVX512BW 16x3])
Warning: no OpenMP support for this hash type, consider --fork=2
Press 'q' or Ctrl-C to abort, almost any other key for status
0g 0:00:00:03 DONE (2026-01-20 10:35) 0g/s 4294Kp/s 4294Kc/s 4294KC/s  fuckyooh21..*7;Vamos!
Session completed.

(stark@windows)-[~]
$ john --show hash.txt

0 password hashes cracked, 2 left
```

## 5. Brute Force vs Dictionary Attack

Attack Type	Description
Dictionary	Uses predefined wordlists
Brute Force	Tries all possible combinations

## 6. Analysis of Weak Passwords

Weak passwords fail because they are predictable, short, and commonly used, making them easy targets for dictionary attacks.

## 7. Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA)

MFA adds an additional layer of security by requiring more than one authentication factor such as OTPs or biometrics.

## Results

- Hash types were successfully identified
- Weak passwords were cracked using dictionary attacks
- Security weaknesses were analyzed

## Conclusion

This experiment demonstrated how weak passwords can be easily compromised and highlighted the importance of strong passwords and multi-factor authentication.