

ARCC - Allowable Revocable Contract Chain

System for Bitcoin Cash

Kuldeep Singh Grewal
kuldeepbb.grewal@gmail.com
July 10, 2021

Abstract: Transactions in Bitcoin Cash are irreversible i.e permanent, which means that once the money leaves the user's wallet and gets included in a block, it cannot be taken back. Bitcoin Cash aims to be the money for the world. But in the real world, many cases require one party to be able to control the flow of funds and still give restricted access to another party to spend that money. I propose ARCC, a Bitcoin Cash Smart Contract Chain System that allows the payer to take back the money while still allowing the payee to withdraw some funds from the contract based on restrictions of time and amount. There can be a range of applications/mechanisms possible including but not limited to, Streaming Services, Pay as you use, Recurring payments, Milestone based payouts, Project funding, Pocket money etc.

Content

1. Problem
2. Existing Solutions and Comparison
3. Solution
 - a. Overview
 - b. Parts
 - c. Initial Funding Process
 - d. Revoke
 - e. Cases
 - f. Slots
 - g. Refilling
 - h. Relations
 - i. Dealing with price volatility
4. Smart Contract
 - a. Agreement Contract Constructor Parameters
 - b. Constraints
 - c. Spend
 - d. Revoke
 - e. Deriving the next State
5. References

Problem

The way bitcoin works is that it allows money to be pushed to a recipient which means that once money is sent it cannot be taken back. The ability to mimic a pull/revoke mechanism with spending restrictions provided by the covenants, could be a potential game changer for the bitcoin cash ecosystem.

Existing Solutions and Comparison.

Mecenas (v1.1):

1. Pledge:
 - a. Mecenas: *Pledge* in mecenas means that the receiver can withdraw a predefined amount of BCH in a predefined time interval to a predefined address.
 - b. ARCC: In an ARCC based system the payee can withdraw any amount with any number of transactions within the timeframe with each amount collectively adding up to total being less than maximum allowed amount per *epoch*. This means that the contract is aware of the spendable amount. (More details in sections below)
2. Period:
 - a. Mecenas: *Period* in mecenas is the minimum time interval between withdrawals. The contract is not aware of time but only the interval, which means that the new interval starts only after a transaction is done.
 - b. ARCC: *epoch* in ARCC is a time frame. After an epoch ends, a new epoch starts. The contract is aware of the remaining time and passed time.(More details in sections below)

Streaming Mecenas:

1. PledgePerBlock:
 - a. Streaming Mecenas: *PledgePerBlock* in streaming mecenas means that the receiver can withdraw an amount which is exactly equal to *PledgePerBlock* from the contract only once per block.
 - b. ARCC: In an ARCC based system the payee can withdraw any amount with any number of transactions within the timeframe with each amount collectively adding up to total being less than maximum allowed amount per *epoch*. This means that the contract is aware of the spendable amount. (More details in sections below)
2. Period:
 - a. Streaming Mecenas: *Period* in Streaming Mecenas is 1 Block. The amount mentioned i.e pledgePerBlock can only be withdrawn once per block. The contract is only aware of the time since the last transaction(locktime) i.e passed time.
 - b. ARCC: *epoch* in ARCC is a time frame, a time frame is ≥ 0 . This means that Period in Streaming Mecenas is a subset of epoch in ARCC. After an epoch ends, a new epoch starts. The contract is aware of the remaining time and passed time.(More details in sections below)
3. Accumulation:
 - a. Streaming Mecenas: The recipient can accumulate the money from the previously missed blocks by calculating the exact amount withdrawable in the client side and verifying it within the contract.
 - b. ARCC: A modified ARCC system can implement a similar strategy.

CashChannels: CashChannels lets users pre-approved future transactions, valued in any currency, to another person or company.

1. Payment Authorizations:
 - a. CashChannels: The spender sends the authorization message to the receiver, who holds it until the payment time. At or after the payment time, the receiver can use the authorization to create a single transaction from the channel for the correct amount.
 - b. ARCC: There is no need for Payment Authorizations in ARCC.

2. Channel Identifier and Payment Number:
 - a. CashChannels: Channel Identifier and Payment Number ensures that the authorization can only be used once for the correct channel. The payment number is used as an identifier for an authorization and this number should be increased by one for each authorization signed.
 - b. ARCC: There is no need for Channel Identifier and Payment Number in ARCC. The payee can make multiple transactions within a time frame(called *epoch*) and each transaction leads to a new updated state. If a previous state still has funds then the payer can easily pull it out to any address. Moreover, a payee can make as many transactions as they wish during an epoch to spend funds. (More details in the sections below)
3. Payment Time:
 - a. CashChannels: *Payment Time* in CashChannels is the time at which the authorization may be used by the receiver.
 - b. ARCC: Since there is no concept of Authorization in ARCC, the spending ability is dependent on the transaction level lock time.
4. Oracles and Alternate price units:
 - a. CashChannels: Cash Channels rely on Oracles to send a message in order to come to an agreed price and hence make a transaction.(if unit is not BCH)
 - b. ARCC: A modified ARCC based contract may implement an Oracle based system and alternate units. It can be integrated by providing the public key of the oracle at the beginning of the contract creation and later at the time when payee is spending the transaction, they must provide a signed message consisting the spendable amount in satoshis from the oracle and the contract can later verify the signatures, verifying that the signer of the message containing the amount is indeed the Oracle.

Solution

Overview

An ARCC system will open doors to numerous applications.




Figure 1: Visualization of an ARCC system.

Parts

1. Agreement Contract: A smart contract written in Bitcoin Script that restricts the spending ability of payee while allowing payer to revoke access to funds at any time. The restrictions are time and amount based.
2. Payer: The party that is responsible to fund the Agreement Contract.
3. Payee: The party that will have restricted access to spending funds.

Initial Funding Process

1. An Agreement contract is created using the following parameters: *payerPk*, *payeePk*, *epoch*, *maxAmountPerEpoch*, *remainingTime*, *remainingAmount*, *validFrom* (Discussed later in Agreement Contract Constructor Parameters). These parameters will be responsible for calculating the spending allowance of the payee.
2. The Payer is responsible for verifying the parameters used in constructing the agreement contract for the first time.
3. A CashAddress for Agreement contract is created using its locking script.
4. The Payer can now send the money to the Agreement contract's address.
5. The Agreement contract is now funded.

Revoke

At any time during the contract's existence the payer can revoke the access of funds from the payee by simply providing their signature, and transferring the amount available in the contract to any address.

Cases

1. Payee withdraws the complete amount.

As soon as Payee withdraws the complete amount the following parameters get affected:

- a. *validFrom*: This parameter is set to the current block height. Or locktime if any.
- b. *remainingAmount*: This parameter is set to 0, which makes it impossible for payee to spend funds from the contract until the next epoch has started.
- c. *remainingTime*: This parameter is set to *epoch* - time since last epoch. (Ignores the missed epochs)

2. Payee withdraws a partial amount:

As soon as Payee withdraws a partial amount the following parameters get affected.

- a. *validFrom*: This parameter is set to current block height. Or locktime if any.
- b. *remainingAmount*: This parameter is set to $\text{maxAmountPerEpoch} - \text{amount}$ or previous $\text{remainingAmount} - \text{amount}$.
- c. Change amount is set to *amountToNextState*.
- d. *remainingTime*: This parameter is set to *epoch* - time since last epoch. (Ignores the missed epochs by mod operation)

3. Payee misses the epoch and fails to withdraw:

The Payee will only be able to withdraw any amount from the current epoch time frame. After the time has passed, the user cannot withdraw the amount from missed epochs. The misses can easily be prevented by adding a layer to the current contract.

This does not lock or burn money, the previously missed epochs are simply ignored.

4. Payer revokes the access to money:

As soon as the payer makes a revoke transaction, the money from the Agreement contract gets transferred back to the Payer's preferred address.

5. The Epoch parameter is set to 0. (See next section)

Slots

For the special case of epoch = 0, a concept of slot could be introduced as a layer on top of the contract. A slot may represent time, work, or anything measurable. Since epoch is 0, the contract is only bound by *amount* and *maxAmountPerEpoch*. The Payee can make multiple transactions immediately to fetch all the balance in the contract but if the concept of slot is introduced then the payer can periodically add funds to the contract which payee can immediately spend or payee can periodically spend some amount from a well funded contract. This can reduce the limitation of 1 epoch being equal to 1 block height i.e ~10 mins. Now, both parties will be able to exploit the 0-conf feature of Bitcoin Cash and hence make as many transactions as they wish per epoch or block.

Example: 1 Epoch = 2 Blocks, 1 Block = 4 Slots.




Figure 2: Visualisation of Epochs, Blocks and Slots.

Refill Process

The contract can easily be refilled by sending more funds to the address of the current Agreement contract's state. Everyone has the ability to add funds to the Agreement contract but only Payer or Payee are allowed to spend the money, hence, if a third party sends funds to the contract, then they cannot get it back.

Relations

The Payer/Payee can be associated with any number of Agreement contracts.




Figure 3: Visualization of Agreement Relations of Payer with other Payees

Dealing with price volatility

When a price fluctuation happens with respect to any other real world asset or currency at the time which is close to the start of the next epoch, a new Agreement contract could be set by both the parties agreeing to the new(mostly the same) parameters.

- Changes to *maxAmountPerEpoch* are inversely proportional to the price.
- Changes to *epoch* are directly proportional to the price.

These changes will lead to a new contract being created.




Figure 4: Visualization of Method-1 and Method-2 under Dealing with Price Volatility.

1. Method-1, Contract forking: Change *maxAmountPerEpoch*

Changing the *maxAmountPerEpoch* would simply mean that the payee can only spend the new amount mentioned in the contract.

If the price goes down then *maxAmountPerEpoch* should be increased accordingly.

If the price goes up then *maxAmountPerEpoch* should be decreased accordingly.

2. Method-2, Contract forking: Change *epoch*

Changing the *epoch* would simply mean that the payee can only spend the amount restricted by the new time frame.

If the price goes down then *epoch* should be decreased accordingly.

If the price goes up then the *epoch* should be increased accordingly.

3. Method-3: *epoch* = 0

In case of *Epoch* = 0, There is a need to establish a new contract **only** when *maxAmountPerEpoch* needs to be changed as the contract would only be bound by *amount* and *maxAmountPerEpoch* but, if the concept of slots is introduced, then the payer can simply increase or decrease the number of slots and/or *maxAmountPerSlot* to make sure the payout is maintained.

Smart Contract

Agreement Contract Constructor Parameters

1. *payerPK*: Public Key of the Payer.
2. *payeePk*: Public Key of the Payee.
3. *epoch*: Timeframe(in blocks, epoch = 1 = ~10 min/block) that is responsible for restricting the spending ability of payee by only allowing to spend up to *maxAmountPerEpoch* in any number of transactions within the epoch time frame.
Note: A valid epoch must always be ≥ 0 . For cases where epoch is 0, the contract is not bound by time but only by amount.
4. *maxAmountPerEpoch*: Maximum amount spendable by the payee per epoch.
5. *remainingTime*: The time left before the next epoch starts. Both parties are recommended to make sure that the *remainingTime* = *epoch* before funding the initial Agreement contract. Although, it's effects are not severe, the first time frame window may be shorter than what was mentioned in epoch parameter.
6. *remainingAmount*: Remaining spendable amount left for payee before the next epoch starts. Both parties are recommended to make sure that the *remainingAmount* = *maxAmountPerEpoch* before funding the initial Agreement contract.
7. *validFrom*: Block Height/ Timelock of the contract. It's recommended that the transaction level time lock is set to the most recent block height.

The state of the contract resets after each epoch except the *validFrom* parameter.

It is important to note here that along with the first parameter i.e *payerPK* a second parameter *payerContractScriptHash* could be introduced which may have *payerPK* as it's owner. This can create a new form of Contract Chain based system. This paper does **not** describe this concept in more detail. Example: A => B => C => XYZ. Each having their own *epoch* and *maxAmountPerEpoch*.

Constraints

1. The Payee of the Agreement contract has the ability to spend funds when **all** the following conditions are met:
 - a. The amount being spent is $\geq \text{dust} \ \&\& \leq \text{maxAmountPerEpoch}$. (dust = 546 satoshis)
 - b. $\text{maxAmountPerEpoch} \geq \text{dust} \ \&\& \leq 4 \text{ byte integer limit } \sim 21 \text{ BCH}$. This must be verified before funding the initial contract. Any value outside this range will lead to an invalid contract state.
 - c. The amount being spent is $\leq \text{remainingAmount}$. (with $\text{remainingAmount} \leq \text{maxAmountPerEpoch}$)
 - d. The $\text{remainingTime} \leq \text{epoch} \ \&\& \geq 0$: This condition must be verified before funding the contract. Any other value outside this range will lead to an invalid contract state.

- e. The *newRemainingAmount* ≥ 0 && $\leq \text{maxAmountPerEpoch}$
- f. The signatures must match to the *payeePk*.
- g. The locktime has matured.
- h. The change amount sent to the next contract state is $> \text{dust}$. (dust = 546)

Note: Amount sent to an invalid contract state is only spendable by the Payer.

2. As soon as the payee makes a transaction from the contract, the amount is transferred to the payee's address derived from *payeePk* and the remaining amount is transferred to the contract's next state.
 - a. Inputs: N (Any number of inputs)
 - b. Outputs:
 - i. P2PKH: *amount* satisfying the condition mentioned in step above.
 - ii. P2SH: The change amount(*amountToNextState*) goes to the next contract state.
3. The payee will have to wait for the next epoch if the amount spent is already equal to *maxAmountPerEpoch*.
4. If *remainingAmount* < 546 , then the payee will have to wait for the next epoch in order to start making payments.
5. The payee can still make another payment if the total amount spent within the epoch is less than the *maxAmountPerEpoch* and greater than the dust limit.

All the conditions except 1(b) and 1(d) are ensured by the contract contained in the *redeemScript* of the UTXO and network rules.

Spend

Payee must provide the following input parameters when spending from the contract.

1. *payeeSig*: Signature of the Payee.
2. *amountToNextState*: Amount to be sent to the next state.
3. *amount*: Amount being spent by the payee.

Revoke

Payer must provide the following input parameters when spending from the contract.

1. *payerSig*: Signature of the Payer.

Deriving the next state.

Each transaction is responsible for creating a new state, in order to spend from the current state, the payee must derive the next state's P2SH address. This can be done by either calculating or predicting

the constructor parameters of the next state. Each transaction must have two outputs with the first output being sent to the payee's address and the second output to the next state's P2SH address.

Each state can also act as an initial state and there is no need to verify the previous contract chain. With correct parameters, anyone can derive the contract's state and the script's address that has the balance but the locked money can only be spent by either Payer or Payee.

The contract uses OP_CHECKDATASIGVERIFY and OP_CHECKSIGVERIFY to be able to introspect the transaction spending it.

It uses OP_SPLIT and OP_SIZE(Counting the bytes length of the preimage) on the preimage from the end to fetch the *hashOutputs* and *nlocktime*. It requires that the preimage be put in the ScriptSig.

It needs this ability to do the following:

- Restrict the spending of the amount only to payee and newly created contract state, by verifying that the *hashOutputs*'s (32-bytes hash) preimage in the ScriptSig matches the hash.
- *nlocktime* to calculate the passedTime and to push it's value as the first 4 bytes in the scriptCode i.e. *validFrom* of the new contract state.

The contract trims the scriptCode by first 15 bytes, keeps the right half and then pushes the new state variables *nlocktime*(4 bytes), *remainingAmount*(4 bytes) i.e ~21 BCH and *remainingTime*(4 bytes), (0x04)1 byte each to push data to the front hence re-creating a next state script code.

The contract uses some of the Opcodes of the Bitcoin Script such as OP_GREATERTHANEQUAL, OP_WITHIN, OP_MOD and OP_VERIFY, to enforce the restrictions on *amount*, *remainingAmount* and *remainingTime*, *validFrom* at the time of spending.

References

- [1] Tobias Ruck 'BeCash' <https://be.cash/becash.pdf>, 2019
- [2] Jason Dreyzehner, 'CashChannels', <https://blog.bitjson.com/cashchannels-recurring-payments-for-bitcoin-cash/>
- [3] Rosco kalis 'CashScript' <https://kalis.me/uploads/msc-thesis.pdf>, 2019
- [4] Tendo Pein 'BCH Covenants with spedn' <https://read.cash/@pein/bch-covenants-with-spedn-c1170a02>, 2020
- [5] Andreas M. Antonopoulos 'Mastering Bitcoin' <https://github.com/bitcoinbook/bitcoinbook>
- [6] 'Mastering Bitcoin Cash' <https://github.com/Bitcoin-com/mastering-bitcoin-cash>