Using museum records to infer changes in insect communities over time

Kayla I Perry [1] and Christie A Bahlai [2]

[1] Department of Entomology, Ohio State University

[2] Department of Biological Sciences, Kent State University

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

COLLEGE OF FOOD, AGRICULTURAL, AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

KENT STATE UNIVERSITY

Global declines in insect populations

Reported declines in abundance, richness, and biomass of insects
(Hallmann et al. 2017; Seibold et al. 2019; Grixti et al. 2009; Potts et al. 2010)

- Rusty-patch bumble bee (Colla and Packer 2008)

HISTORIC RANGE OF RUSTY-PATCHED BUMBLE BEE (FROM MUSEUM RECORDS)

CURRENT RANGE OF RUSTY-PATCHED BUMBLE BEE (FROM RECENT SURVEY EFFORTS)

Bombus affinis Cresson

© Heather Holm, Bugguide.net

Global declines in insect populations

Reported declines in abundance, richness, and biomass of insects
(Hallmann et al. 2017; Seibold et al. 2019; Grixti et al. 2009; Potts et al. 2010)

- Rusty-patch bumble bee (Colla and Packer 2008)

Stable or increasing populations of insects also reported
(Shortall et al. 2009; Fox et al. 2014; Crossley et al. 2020; Schowalter et al. 2021)

Bombus impatiens Cresson

© Tim Lethbridge, Bugguide.net

Global declines in insect populations

Reported declines in abundance, richness, and biomass of insects
(Hallmann et al. 2017; Seibold et al. 2019; Grixti et al. 2009; Potts et al. 2010)

- Rusty-patch bumble bee (Colla and Packer 2008)

Stable or increasing populations of insects also reported
(Shortall et al. 2009; Fox et al. 2014; Crossley et al. 2020; Schowalter et al. 2021)

**Are observed declines generalizable across space or insect taxa?**

Bombus impatiens Cresson

© Tim Lethbridge, Bugguide.net

Long-term studies reporting declines in insect populations

Flying insect biomass in nature reserves in Germany

Malaise trap

Hallmann et al. 2017

# Long-term studies reporting declines in insect populations

1989-1991

Hallmann et al. 2017

Long-term studies reporting declines in insect populations

1991-1993

Hallmann et al. 2017

Need long-term data to separate yearly variation from multi-year trends

Flying insect biomass in nature reserves in Germany


Malaise trap

Challenges to understanding insect populations and detecting decline

Length of the study (short vs long)

Geographic and habitat biases

Taxonomic biases

Taxonomic resolution
(Order, family, species)

Rocha-Ortega 2021

Use of museum specimens to study shifts in communities over time

Data deficiencies: low taxonomic resolution, geographic restrictions, short time series (Sánchez-Bayo & Wyckhuys 2019; Thomas et al. 2019; Didham et al. 2020)

Biological collections represent unique source of historical data
(Sánchez-Bayo & Wyckhuys 2019; Thomas et al. 2019; Didham et al. 2020)

- Greater spatial and temporal scales (Lister 2011; Kharouba et al. 2019)

Baseline to investigate anthropogenic drivers
(Suarez & Tsutsui, 2004)

© Luciana Musetti, Triplehorn Insect Collection

Challenges to using specimen record-based data

Geographic, taxonomic, and temporal biases that result in nonrandom collections
(Boakes et al. 2010; Meineke & Daru 2021)

Bias driver

Bias types

Examples of bias types

(A) Sampling biases

(B) Collector bias

Geographic

Temporal

Taxonomic

Trait

Collection frequency per locale

– Location
– Altitude
– Accessibility

Aug Nov
Jun Feb
Apr Feb

– Seasonality
– Phenology
– Historical

Collection frequency per taxon

– Phylogenetic signal

Trait 1
Trait 2
Trait 3

Collection frequency per species

– Rarity
– Life history traits
– Insect/pathogen damage

Preservation bias

(C) Specimens in storage

– DNA degradation
– Storage insects/pest damage
– Decoloration

Meineke and Daru 2021

Challenges to using specimen record-based data

Geographic, taxonomic, and temporal biases that result in nonrandom collections
(Boakes et al. 2010; Meineke & Daru 2021)

Collections data <u>cannot</u> track the absolute abundance of insects over time
(Ries et al. 2019)

Collections data <u>can</u> assess changes in captures of insects over time

Challenges to using specimen record-based data

Geographic, taxonomic, and temporal biases that result in nonrandom collections
(Boakes et al. 2010; Meineke & Daru 2021)

Collections data <u>cannot</u> track the absolute abundance of insects over time
(Ries et al. 2019)

Collections data <u>can</u> assess changes in captures of insects over time

Use historical records to compare relative captures (or observations) among species over time

- Directional change (increase vs decrease) in captures

Native lady beetle decline

Predators of aphids, scales, psyllids, mites, fungi, and other pests
(Evans 2009; Hodek & Honěk 2009; Weber & Lundgren 2009)

Biological control in agroecosystems
(Caltagirone & Doutt 1989; Obrycki & Kring 1998; Rondoni et al. 2021)

Declines of native species across US and Europe
(Alyokhin & Sewell 2004; Harmon et al. 2007; Roy et al. 2012; Brown & Roy 2018)

© Robert Benson, Bugguide.net

Native lady beetle decline

Predators of aphids, scales, psyllids, mites, fungi, and other pests
(Evans 2009; Hodek & Honěk 2009; Weber & Lundgren 2009)

Biological control in agroecosystems
(Caltagirone & Doutt 1989; Obrycki & Kring 1998; Rondoni et al. 2021)

Declines of native species across US and Europe
(Alyokhin & Sewell 2004; Harmon et al. 2007; Roy et al. 2012; Brown & Roy 2018)

   1) Exotic lady beetles

   2) Landscape change

© Robert Benson, Bugguide.net

Native lady beetle decline

Predators of aphids, scales, psyllids, mites, fungi, and other pests
(Evans 2009; Hodek & Honěk 2009; Weber & Lundgren 2009)

Biological control in agroecosystems
(Caltagirone & Doutt 1989; Obrycki & Kring 1998; Rondoni et al. 2021)

Declines of native species across US and Europe
(Alyokhin & Sewell 2004; Harmon et al. 2007; Roy et al. 2012; Brown & Roy 2018)

High year-to-year variation in lady beetle abundance
(Elliott et al. 1996; Honěk et al. 2014)

OBJ: Investigate relative importance of exotic species and landscape change as drivers contributing to changes in lady beetle communities

Evaluate long-term patterns in native lady beetle species occurrence in Ohio

(1) Characterize changes in lady beetle communities and land cover over time

(2) Evaluate responses of native lady beetle species

Landscape change and alien invasions drive shifts in native lady beetle communities over a century

ECOLOGICAL APPLICATIONS
ECOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF AMERICA

Kayla I. Perry[1,2] | Christie A. Bahlai[2] | Timothy J. Assal[3] | Christopher B. Riley[4] | Katherine J. Turo[4] | Leo Taylor[4] | James Radl[4] | Yvan A. Delgado de la flor[4] | Frances S. Sivakoff[5] | Mary M. Gardiner[4]

Infer changes in lady beetle communities using museum specimens

Broad search for lady beetle records from Ohio

- Contacted 59 institutions based within the United States

Historic occurrence records collected from 1900-2018

- Records from 25 institutions across the US

- Native and exotic species

Tribe Coccinellini and four commonly collected species within Hyperaspidini, Chilocorini, and Psylloborini

Entomological Collections Network

Compiled lady beetle records from Ohio

For unidentified lady beetle specimens, requested loans to make identifications

Lady beetle specimens that were unaccounted for within the collections were not included in our data set

Lady beetle records included in analyses:

- Collected within Ohio

- County-level location

- Year of collection

Entomological Collections Network

(1) Characterize changes in lady beetle communities over time

Gamma diversity (γ) = total number of species across all habitats within a landscape

Beta diversity (β) = diversity between habitat patches, samples, or sites.

Alpha diversity (α) = diversity within a particular habitat patch, sample, or site.

Figure 5. Illustration of the concept of alpha, beta, and gamma diversity. Colored symbols represent species, circles represent habitat patches, and the large rectangle represents the landscape.

Sirami 2016

Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) analyses

Used to assess differences in species composition among sites, treatments, etc.

Represents (as well as possible) the ordering relationships among sites in species space

Based on a distance (dissimilarity) matrix as a measure of **beta-diversity**

Iterative method that maximizes the rank order correlations between the distances in the dissimilarity matrix and the distances in low-dimensional space

Robust technique – no assumptions of normality or linear relationships among variables.

Changes in beta-diversity can be driven by turnover or nestedness

1

β

2

Changes in beta-diversity can be driven by turnover or nestedness

Turnover: Species Replacement

# Changes in beta-diversity can be driven by turnover or nestedness

Turnover: Species Replacement

Similar number of beetle species were collected on each tree, but a low number of these species were shared among trees

Changes in beta-diversity can be driven by turnover or nestedness

Turnover: Species Replacement

Similar number of beetle species were collected on each tree, but a low number of these species were shared among trees

Nestedness: Species Loss/Gain

Changes in beta-diversity can be driven by turnover or nestedness

<u>Turnover</u>: Species Replacement

Similar number of beetle species were collected on each tree, but a low number of these species were shared among trees

<u>Nestedness</u>: Species Loss/Gain

More beetle species were collected on tree 1 such that tree 2 is a subset of the species collected on tree 1

(1) Characterize changes in lady beetle communities over time

Changes in lady beetle community composition over time were visualized by nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) analyses

- Data were compiled at the county level and pooled across decades

- R package 'vegan' (Oksanen et al. 2011)

(1) Characterize changes in lady beetle communities over time

Changes in lady beetle community composition over time were visualized by nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) analyses

- Data were compiled at the county level and pooled across decades

- R package 'vegan' (Oksanen et al. 2011)

Partitioned community composition to investigate turnover and nestedness

- Data pooled across counties and across 10-yr intervals

- R package 'betapart' (Baselga and Orme 2012)

(2) Evaluate responses of native lady beetles to exotic species and landscape change

Negative binomial generalized additive models (GAMs)

- Assess relative influence of drivers: temporal, spatial, landscape, and exotic species

Fit global model for each species with all variables, then determined best-fit model via model selection procedures

- R package 'vegan' (Wood 2011)

Benefits to using a GAM framework:

- Handle non-linear relationships
- Account for dependence in data (spatial & temporal autocorrelation, hierarchical structure

OBJ: Investigate relative importance of exotic species and landscape change as drivers contributing to changes in lady beetle communities

Land use land cover data obtained from US Geological Survey and National Land Cover Database (1938, 1970, 1992, 2016)

- Total cover of agriculture, developed, and forest
- Compiled at county and decade resolution

2016

Agriculture
Developed
Forest
Non-target

OBJ: Investigate relative importance of exotic species and landscape change as drivers contributing to changes in lady beetle communities

Land use land cover data obtained from US Geological Survey and National Land Cover Database (1938, 1970, 1992, 2016)

- Total cover of agriculture, developed, and forest

- Compiled at county and decade resolution

Exotic lady beetle captures represented within specimen records

- Proportion exotic captures

- *Harmonia axyridis* & *Coccinella septempunctata*

2016

Agriculture
Developed
Forest
Non-target

4,194 Ohio records from 25 institutions across the US

23 native lady beetle species recorded in Ohio from 1900 - 2018

Hippodamia convergens
400

©Mike Quinn, TexasEnto.net

Adalia bipunctata
218

©Mardon Erbland, Bugguide

Coccinella novemnotata
169

©Mike Quinn, TexasEnto.net

5 exotic species recorded in Ohio

Coccinella septempunctata
1978

©Mike Quinn, TexasEnto.net

Harmonia axyridis
1993

©Mike Quinn, TexasEnto.net

Propylea quatuordecimpunctata
2003

©Tom Murray, Bugguide

Hippodamia variegata
2000

©Tom Murray, Bugguide

Coccinella undecimpunctata
1953

©U. Schmidt

Captures of native species varied from year to year

# Since 1980s – proportion of native lady beetles in collections decreased as exotic species increased

By 2000s, exotic species over 60% of collections

|	1938	1970	1992	2016	
A					Agriculture / Other — **Decreased agricultural land cover**
B					Developed / Other
C					Forest / Other — **Increased urban and forest land cover**

# Parallel shifts in land cover and lady beetles from 1992-2016

Changes in lady beetle species composition shifted over time from nestedness to turnover


Gain/loss of native species in collections over time

Replacement of native captures by exotic captures

Species-specific patterns for exotic species and landscape change

Hippodamia convergens

Declines began in 1970s

Last record in 2015

# Species-specific patterns for exotic species and landscape change

Hippodamia convergens

Coccinella novemnotata

Declines began in 1970s

Last record in 2015

Declines began in 1950s

Last record in 1985

Photos: ©Mike Quinn, TexasEnto.net

	Spatio-temporal parameters			Invasion parameters			Landscape parameters		
	Decade	Longitude	Latitude	Proportion alien	*Coccinella septempunctata*	*Harmonia axyridis*	% Agriculture	% Forest	% Developed

Summary

Using museum collections from 12 decades:

(1) Beginning in 1980s, shifts in lady beetle communities as exotic species increased in dominance

(2) Parallel shifts in land cover and lady beetles from 1992-2016 driven by turnover in species

(3) Detected declines in captures of native aphidophagous lady beetle species

(4) Drivers of declines in captures species-specific

©Mike Quinn, TexasEnto.net

©Mardon Erbland, Bugguide

©Mike Quinn, TexasEnto.net

Implications of native lady beetle decline

Mechanisms of decline in native species cannot be generalized even among closely related species
- Landscape change and exotic species

Exotic lady beetle species are not ecologically equivalent, have different impacts on natives

Landscape scale management to balance the opposing needs of native species

Exotic species may be required to maintain pest management in the future (Bahlai et al. 2021)

©Bruce Marlin, Bugguide

All materials provided in GitHub repository:

https://github.com/kiperry/ESA_Statistics_Workshop_2025