A. Comparison of scoring functions									
cebpb	0.65	0.63	0.52	0.55	0.63	0.63	0.62		08.0
ctcf	0.77	0.70	0.47	0.54	0.69	0.70	0.62		
egr1	0.75	0.70	0.43	0.54	0.69	0.70	0.67		
elf1	0.80	0.79	0.44	0.54	0.79	0.79	0.79		
esrra	0.71	0.67	0.48	0.54	0.66	0.66	0.64		0.72
ets1	0.59	0.58	0.54	0.52	0.59	0.58	0.58		
gata3	0.60	0.58	0.44	0.51	0.58	0.58	0.57		
hnf4a	0.72	0.68	0.50	0.53	0.67	0.67	0.63		
mafk	0.77	0.74	0.46	0.54	0.74	0.74	0.70		
max	0.72	0.70	0.60	0.55	0.69	0.68	0.66		0.64
mef2a	0.68	0.67	0.50	0.54	0.67	0.67	0.65		
myb	0.57	0.55	0.51	0.52	0.55	0.55	0.55		
nrf1	0.73	0.72	0.58	0.56	0.72	0.72	0.72		
pax5	0.63	0.59	0.61	0.52	0.58	0.59	0.54		0.56
pou2f2	0.67	0.65	0.47	0.54	0.65	0.65	0.64		7.00
prdm1	0.76	0.75	0.48	0.54	0.74	0.75	0.73		
sp1	0.61	0.58	0.50	0.51	0.58	0.58	0.57		
srf	0.57	0.58	0.45	0.51	0.58	0.58	0.57		
tcf3	0.63	0.64	0.56	0.55	0.63	0.64	0.61	C).48
yy1	0.73	0.68	0.49	0.54	0.68	0.68	0.66		
Mean	0.68	0.66	0.50	0.53	0.66	0.66	0.64		
Wear	energy	gomer	sumlog	maxlog	sumoc	maxoc	ama		
B. Mean pairwise rank correlation of scoring functions on AUC									1.0
energy	1.00	0.87	0.09	0.39	0.87	0.88	0.80		
gomer	0.87	1.00	0.08	0.43	0.99	0.98	0.95	(0.8
golmus	0.09	0.08	1.00	0.16	0.08	0.07	0.06		0.6
sumoc	0.87	0.99	0.08	0.43	1.00	0.98	0.96		<i>7</i> .0
maxoc	0.88	0.98	0.07	0.43	0.98	1.00	0.93	C).4
ama	0.80	0.95	0.06	0.44	0.96	0.93	1.00		0.2
maxlog	0.39	0.43	0.16	1.00	0.43	0.43	0.44		<u>.</u>
	energy	gomer	sumlog	maxlog	sumoc	maxoc	ama		