Composition of Movement Primitives

Andrea Pierré

May 23, 2025

Contents

1 ProMPs

1.1 Recap

From (Paraschos et al., 2013, 2018):

- q_t: joint angle over time

- $\dot{q}_t$: joint velocity over time

- $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \{q_t\}_{t=0\ldots T}$: trajectory

- $\boldsymbol{w}$: weight vector of a single trajectory $[n \times 1]$

- ϕ_t: basis function

- n: number of basis functions

- $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_t = [\phi_t, \dot{\phi}_t]$: $n \times 2$ dimensional time-dependent basis matrix

- $z(t)$: monotonically increasing phase variable

- $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_y \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y)$: zero-mean i.i.d. Gaussian noise

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}_t = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 & \dot{\phi}_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \phi_n & \dot{\phi}_n \end{bmatrix} \tag{1}$$

$$\boldsymbol{y}_t = \begin{bmatrix} q_t \\ \dot{q}_t \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{\Phi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{w} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_y \tag{2}$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\tau}|\boldsymbol{w}) = \prod_t \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_t|\boldsymbol{\Phi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y\right) \tag{3}$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\tau};\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int p(\boldsymbol{\tau}|\boldsymbol{w}) \cdot p(\boldsymbol{w};\boldsymbol{\theta}) d\boldsymbol{w} \tag{4}$$

1.2 Coupling between joints

$$p(\boldsymbol{y}_t|\boldsymbol{w}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{y}_{1,t} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{y}_{d,t} \end{bmatrix} \middle| \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_t^\top & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{\Phi}_t^\top \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_t|\boldsymbol{\Psi}_t\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y\right) \tag{5}$$

with:

- $\boldsymbol{w} = [\boldsymbol{w}_1^\top, \ldots, \boldsymbol{w}_n^\top]^\top$: combined weight vector $[n \times n]$
- $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_t$: block-diagonal basis matrix containing the basis functions and their derivatives for each dimension
- $\boldsymbol{y}_{i,t} = [q_{i,t}, \dot{q}_{i,t}]^\top$: joint angle and velocity for the ith joint

1.3 Hierarchical Bayesian Model

The Hierarchical Bayesian Model used in ProMPs is illustrated in Fig. 1.

Figure 1: Hierarchical Bayesian Model used in ProMPs.

- $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\boldsymbol{\mu}_w, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w\}$
- $p(\boldsymbol{w};\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{w}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_w, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w)$: prior over the weight vector $\boldsymbol{w}$, with parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, assumed to be Gaussian

$$p(\boldsymbol{y}_t;\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_t|\boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y\right) \cdot p(\boldsymbol{w};\boldsymbol{\theta}) \, d\boldsymbol{w} \tag{6}$$

$$= \int \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_t|\boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y\right) \cdot \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{w}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_w, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w\right) \, d\boldsymbol{w} \tag{7}$$

$$= \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_t|\boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_w, \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y\right) \tag{8}$$

See Appendix A for the proof.

1.4 Via-Points Modulation

- $\boldsymbol{x}_t^\star = [\boldsymbol{y}_t^\star, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^\star]$: desired observation
- $\boldsymbol{y}_t^\star$: desired position and velocity vector at time t
- $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^\star$: accuracy of the desired observation

Using Bayes rule:

$$p(\boldsymbol{w}|\boldsymbol{x}_t^\star) = \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x}_t^\star|\boldsymbol{w}) \cdot p(\boldsymbol{w})}{p(\boldsymbol{x}_t^\star)} \tag{9}$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{w}|\boldsymbol{x}_t^\star) \propto \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_t^\star|\boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^\star\right) \cdot \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{w}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_w, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w) \tag{10}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{[new]} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{w}} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y^\star + \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t\right)^{-1} (\boldsymbol{y}_t^\star - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{w}}) \tag{11}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{w}}^{[new]} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{w}} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y^\star + \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \tag{12}$$

See Appendix B for the proof.

1.4.1   Do we actually get the desired mean by applying the conditioning update?

$$\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{y}_t|\boldsymbol{x}_t^\star] = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{y}_t|\boldsymbol{x}_t} = \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{w}|\boldsymbol{x}_t^\star} = \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{w}} + \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^\star + \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t\right)^{-1} (\boldsymbol{y}_t^\star - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{w}}) \tag{13}$$

We set the observed covariance $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^\star$ to 0 so as to have perfect accuracy around our observed position.

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{w}|\boldsymbol{x}_t^\star} = \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{w}} + \cancel{\boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t} \left(\cancel{\boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t}\right)^{-1} (\boldsymbol{y}_t^\star - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{w}}) \tag{14}$$

$$= \cancel{\boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{w}}} + \boldsymbol{y}_t^\star - \cancel{\boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{w}}} \tag{15}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{y}_t^\star \tag{16}$$

### 1.4.2   Multi via-points

Figure 2: Example of ProMP with two via points.

ToDo: Two points conditional update derivation

2   Composition of MPs

2.1   Blending

2.2   Stitching

References

A. Paraschos, C. Daniel, J. R. Peters, and G. Neumann, "Probabilistic Movement Primitives," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 26. Curran Associates, Inc., 2013. [Online]. Available: https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2013/hash/e53a0a2978c28872a4505bdb51db06dc-Abstract.html

A. Paraschos, C. Daniel, J. Peters, and G. Neumann, "Using probabilistic movement primitives in robotics," *Autonomous Robots*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 529–551, Mar. 2018. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10514-017-9648-7

M. P. Deisenroth, A. A. Faisal, and C. S. Ong, *Mathematics for machine learning.* Cambridge University Press, 2020.

C. M. Bishop and H. Bishop, *Deep Learning: Foundations and Concepts.* Springer International Publishing, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-45468-4

A Hierarchical Bayesian Model proof

Proof of Eq. (8). From (Deisenroth et al., 2020), we have the joint distribution:

$$p(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{x}_b) = \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_a \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_b \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{aa} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ab} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ba} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bb} \end{bmatrix} \right) \tag{17}$$

and the marginal distribution $p(\mathbf{x}_a)$ of a joint Gaussian distribution $p(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{x}_b)$:

$$p(\mathbf{x}_a) = \int p(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{x}_b) d\mathbf{x}_b = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_a | \boldsymbol{\mu}_a, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{aa}) \tag{18}$$

Since $\boldsymbol{y}_t$ and $\boldsymbol{w}$ are jointly Gaussian, we have:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{y}_t \\ \boldsymbol{w} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{y}_t} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{y}_t, \boldsymbol{y}_t] & \mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{y}_t, \boldsymbol{w}] \\ \mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{y}_t] & \mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{w}] \end{bmatrix} \right) \tag{19}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{y}_t} = \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{y}_t] \tag{20}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{w} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_y] \tag{21}$$
$$= \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{w}] + \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_y] \tag{22}$$
$$= \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{w}} + 0 \tag{23}$$
$$= \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \tag{24}$$

$$\mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{y}_t, \boldsymbol{y}_t] = \mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{w} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_y] \tag{25}$$
$$= \mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{w}] + \mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_y] \tag{26}$$
$$= \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{w}] \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y \tag{27}$$
$$= \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y \tag{28}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{y}_t \\ \boldsymbol{w} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y & \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \end{bmatrix} \right) \tag{29}$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{y}_t; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{y}_t | \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{w}}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_y \right) \tag{30}$$

$\square$

B Via-Points conditioning proof

Proof of Eq. (11) *and Eq.* (12). With the joint distribution $p(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{x}_b)$ in Eq. (17), and from (Bishop and Bishop, 2024), the parameters of a conditional multivariate Gaussian $p(\mathbf{x}_a|\mathbf{x}_b) = \mathcal{N}\big(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{a|b}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a|b}\big)$ are the following:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{a|b} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_a + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ab}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bb}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_b - \boldsymbol{\mu}_b) \tag{31}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{a|b} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{aa} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ab}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{bb}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ba} \tag{32}$$

We want the posterior $p(\boldsymbol{w}|\boldsymbol{x}_t^\star)$, knowing the likelihood $\boldsymbol{x}_t^\star|\boldsymbol{w} \sim \mathcal{N}\big(\boldsymbol{y}_t^\star|\boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^\star\big)$, and the prior $\boldsymbol{w} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{w}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_w, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w)$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{w} \\ \boldsymbol{x}_t^\star \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_w \\ \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_w \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{w}] & \mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}_t^\star] \\ \mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{x}_t^\star, \boldsymbol{w}] & \mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{x}_t^\star, \boldsymbol{x}_t^\star] \end{bmatrix} \right) \tag{33}$$

$\mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{x}_t^\star, \boldsymbol{x}_t^\star]$ follows from Eq. (28).

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}_t^\star] &= \mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{w} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_y] & &\tag{34} \\
&= \mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{w}] & (\mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_y] = 0 \text{ since } \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_y \text{ is independent of } \boldsymbol{w}) &\tag{35} \\
&= \mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_w)(\boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_w)^\top] & &\tag{36} \\
&= \mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_w)(\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_w)^\top \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t] & &\tag{37} \\
&= \mathrm{Cov}[\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{w}] \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t & &\tag{38} \\
&= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t & &\tag{39}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{w} \\ \boldsymbol{x}_t^\star \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_w \\ \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_w \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t \\ \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w & \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^\star \end{bmatrix} \right) \tag{40}$$

Using Eq. (31) we get:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{w}|\boldsymbol{x}_t^\star} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_w + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t \big(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^\star + \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t\big)^{-1}(\boldsymbol{y}_t^\star - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}_w) \tag{41}$$

Using Eq. (32) we get:

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{w}|\boldsymbol{x}_t^\star} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t \big(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^\star + \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t\big)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_w \tag{42}$$

$\square$