MAS Assessed Coursework 2 – Argumentation

Mihai Jiplea, Xueqi Chen March 2, 2015

3a

|?-grounded(X).

Result:

```
\begin{split} X &= (free6(a), [free6(a)]) ?; \\ X &= (free8(b), [free8(b)]) ?; \\ X &= (child(a), [child(a)]) ?; \\ X &= (overweight(b), [overweight(b)]) ?; \\ X &= (not\_free8(a), [child(a)]) ?; \\ X &= (not\_sports(b), [overweight(b)]) ?; \\ X &= (not\_get8(a), [not\_get8(a)]) ?; \\ X &= (free6(b), [free6(b)]) ?; \\ no \end{split}
```

As it can be seen from the result of grounded extensions, free6(b) is part of the grounded extensions.

3bi

	\mathcal{P}	0	D	C
0	$\{\{\} \vdash_{\{free6(b)\}} free6(b)\}$	{}	$\{free6(b)\}$	{}
1	{}	$\{\{\} \vdash_{\{not_free6(b)\}} not_free6(b)\}$	$\{free6(b)\}$	{}
2	{}	$\{\{\} \vdash_{\{sports(b)\}} not_free6(b)\}$	$\{free6(b)\}$	{}
3	$\{\{\} \vdash_{\{not_sports(b)\}} not_sports(b)\}$	{}	$\{free6(b)\}$	$\{sports(b)\}$
4	$\{\{\} \vdash_{\{overweight(b)\}} not_sports(b)\}$	{}	$\{free6(b), overweight(b)\}$	$\{sports(b)\}$
5		$\{\{\} \vdash_{\{not_overweight(b)\}} not_overweight(b)\}$	$\{free 6(b), overweight(b)\}$	$\{sports(b)\}$
6	{}	{}	$\{free6(b), overweight(b)\}$	$\{sports(b)\}$

	Details	
0	Initial setup	
1	\mathcal{P} : selected $free6(b)$, add it to Defense set, $free6(b)$ is an assumption, start "attack" in \mathcal{P}	
2	\mathcal{O} : selected $not_free6(b), not_free6(b)$ is not an assumption, hence unfold it	
3	\mathcal{O} : selected $sports(b)$, $sprots(b)$ is not already in the Culprit set, hence add it to Culprit set	
4	\mathcal{P} : selected $not_sport(b)$, $not_sport(b)$ is not an assumption, hence unfold it then expand the Defense set	
5	\mathcal{P} : selected $overweight(b)$, $overweight(b)$ is an assumption, start "attack" in \mathcal{P}	
6	\mathcal{O} : selected $not_overweight(b)$, $not_overweight(b)$ is not an assumption, with no rule to unfold to, hence terminate	

3bii

$$\{\} \vdash_{\{free6(b)\}} free6(b) \\ \uparrow \\ \{\} \vdash_{\{sports(b)\}} not_free6(b) \\ \uparrow \\ \{\} \vdash_{\{overweight(b)\}} not_sports(b)$$

3c

According to the grounded extension(see part 3a), argument $not_get8(a)$ in the extension suggests that a should not get the 8 a.m appointment, instead a should get the 6 p.m one whilst b gets the 8 a.m appointment.

For stable extension, we ran the query $|?-argument((X, _))$ to obtain the following arguments:

X = free6(a)?; X = free8(a)?; X = free6(b)?; X = free8(b)?; X = sports(b)?; X = child(a)?; X = overweight(b)?; $X = not_get6(a)$?; $X = not_get8(a)$?; $X = not_get6(b)$?; $X = not_get8(b)$?; X = get6(a)?; X = get6(b)?; X = get8(b)?; X = get8(a)?; $X = not_free6(b)$?; $X = not_free8(a)$?; $X = not_sports(b)$?;

and the query $|?-attack((X, _), (Y, _))$. to obtain a list of attack relations between arguments:

$$X = get6(a),$$

 $Y = not_get6(a) ? ;$
 $X = get6(a),$
 $Y = get6(b) ? ;$
 $X = get8(a) ? ;$
 $X = get6(b),$
 $Y = not_get6(b) ? ;$
 $X = get6(b),$
 $Y = get6(a) ? ;$
 $X = get6(b),$
 $Y = get8(b) ? ;$
 $X = get8(b),$
 $Y = not_get8(b) ? ;$
 $X = get8(b),$
 $Y = get6(b) ? ;$
 $X = get8(b),$
 $Y = get8(b),$

 $Y = not_get8(a) ? ;$ X = get8(a), Y = get8(a) ? ; X = get8(a), Y = get8(b) ? ; $X = not_free6(b),$ Y = free6(b) ? ; $X = not_free6(b),$ Y = get6(b) ? ; $X = not_free8(a),$ Y = free8(a) ? ; $X = not_free8(a),$ Y = get8(a) ? ;

 $X = not_sports(b),$

 $X = not_sports(b),$

 $Y = not_free6(b)$?;

Y = sports(b)?;

X = get8(a),

Stable extensions were then obtained using the tool ASPARTIX with translated arguments and attacks:

{ free6a, free6b, free8b, childa, overweightb, **notget8a**, **notget6b**, **get6a**, **get6b**, notfree8a, notsportsb } and { free6a, free6b, free8b, childa, overweightb, **notget6a**, **notget8a**, **notget8b**, **get6b**, notfree8a, notsportsb }. From the result, we can see that a should get the 6 p.m appointment and b should get the 8 a.m appointment.