**Individual Peer Evaluation Form**

Your name: Kiran Chowdary Komati

Write the name of your classmate you are preparing this review for in the designated column. Using a scale of 1-4 (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=agree; 4=strongly agree) answer each question. If you aren’t able to answer the question based on what is posted in the discussion board, reach out to your classmate for more information via the discussion board. Total the numbers in each column. **Make sure to answer the questions on the 2nd page.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Evaluation Criteria | Peer Name:  Anuj Tanwar |
| Has plan in place to complete course project. | 4 |
| Has found datasets/data sources to support project idea. | 4 |
| Has solidified project idea. | 4 |
| Has identified resources for project. | 4 |
| Topic is related to data science and demonstrates topics learned to date through program. | 4 |
| Risks and potential issues have been identified. | 4 |
| TOTALS | 24 |

Feedback on Individual’s project topic:

1. How clear is the classmate’s project topic? What questions does their topic make you consider?

Anuj has selected an interesting topic. He did a great job in identifying the sources of the data. Overall, the project is clear on what needs to be done and how it needs to be done. He’s clear on the model that needs to be used to achieve it as well.

The main questions I had when reviewing this topic is how the historical data and the API data will be merged. Also, I would like to understand the plan for handling outliers and missing data.

1. What risks or issues should your classmate consider while working on their project?

One potential risk to consider is if the API data is not available for some reason such as the maintenance or server down. This case needs to be handled appropriately. Also, as the data is huge (100 years’ worth), performance implications need to be considered.

1. Additional suggestions/comments that might be beneficial to your peer?

I think overall, Anuj has done an excellent job in solidifying his project. I do not see any PII concerns with his data. I think he has a path to move forward with his project. He already identified a model to use and an alternative dataset as well if the initial model doesn’t work out as expected.

Adapted from a peer evaluation form developed at Johns Hopkins University (October, 2006)