

### **22.3 Dynamics of Density Matrices**

Since the density matrix describes in all generality the possible quantum states of a system, it is of interest to see how it evolves in time. To this end

we need the Schrödinger equation written for a ket  $|\psi\rangle$  as well as for a bra  $\langle\psi|$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\psi\rangle = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}|\psi\rangle, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\langle\psi| = \frac{i}{\hbar}\langle\psi|\hat{H}. \quad (22.3.1)$$

Here  $\hat{H}$  is the Hamiltonian. We can now compute the rate of change of the projector  $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| + \frac{i}{\hbar}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\hat{H} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{H}, |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|]. \quad (22.3.2)$$

Using the ensemble definition of the density matrix, we then get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_{a=1}^n p_a |\psi_a\rangle\langle\psi_a| = \sum_{a=1}^n p_a \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi_a\rangle\langle\psi_a| = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{a=1}^n p_a [\hat{H}, |\psi_a\rangle\langle\psi_a|] \\ &= -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ \hat{H}, \sum_{a=1}^n p_a |\psi_a\rangle\langle\psi_a| \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (22.3.3)$$

This implies the simple result

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} = [\hat{H}, \rho].$$

(22.3.4)

This equation determines the time evolution of the density matrix of a quantum system. It manifestly preserves the Hermiticity of  $\rho$  because it sets its derivative  $\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}$  equal to a Hermitian operator. Indeed,  $\frac{1}{i\hbar}[\hat{H}, \rho]$  is Hermitian because the commutator of Hermitian operators is anti-Hermitian, and the factor of  $i$  makes it Hermitian. Moreover, the trace of  $\rho$  is unchanged:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\text{tr}\rho = \text{tr}\left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}\right) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\text{tr}[\hat{H}, \rho] = 0, \quad (22.3.5)$$

since the trace of a commutator vanishes due to cyclicity. This is automatic in finite-dimensional vector spaces but must be checked carefully when working in infinite-dimensional vector spaces.

Suppose we solve for the time evolution of states by constructing the unitary operator  $\mathcal{U}(t)$  that evolves states as follows:

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \mathcal{U}(t)|\psi(0)\rangle. \quad (22.3.6)$$

It is then clear that the density matrix, which at any time is a sum of terms of the form  $|\psi_a(t)\rangle\langle\psi_a(t)|$ , evolves as

$$\rho(t) = \mathcal{U}(t)\rho(0)\mathcal{U}^\dagger(t). \quad (22.3.7)$$

This evolution, of course, is consistent with the differential equation (22.3.4) when we recall the differential equation satisfied by the unitary operator (see (16.3.2)). The above expression for  $\rho(t)$  makes it manifest that if  $\rho(0)$  is positive semidefinite, so is  $\rho(t)$  for all times  $t$ . Indeed, for any vector  $v$  in the state space we see that

$$\langle v|\rho(t)|v\rangle = \langle v|\mathcal{U}\rho(0)\mathcal{U}^\dagger|v\rangle = \langle \mathcal{U}^\dagger v|\rho(0)|\mathcal{U}^\dagger v\rangle \geq 0. \quad (22.3.8)$$

We know from the Schrödinger equation that a pure state  $|\psi\rangle$  remains pure under time evolution. This is also visible from the density matrix  $\rho(t) = \square(t)|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\square^\dagger(t)$ . In fact, a more general result holds. We can quickly see that the purity  $\zeta = \text{tr}\rho^2$  does not change over time:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\zeta}{dt} &= \frac{d}{dt}\text{tr}(\rho\rho) = \text{tr}\left(\frac{d\rho}{dt}\rho + \rho\frac{d\rho}{dt}\right) = 2\text{tr}\left(\rho\frac{d\rho}{dt}\right) \\ &= \frac{2}{i\hbar}\text{tr}(\rho[\hat{H}, \rho]) = \frac{2}{i\hbar}\text{tr}(\rho\hat{H}\rho - \rho\rho\hat{H}) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (22.3.9)$$

by repeated use of the cyclicity of the trace. Since the purity does not change under unitary time evolution and a pure state has purity equal to one, a pure state will remain pure.

**Exercise 22.4.** *What we observed for the purity is in fact part of a simple pattern. Show that  $\text{tr}(\rho^n)$  is conserved under unitary time evolution for  $n$  an arbitrary positive integer.*

The considerations of time evolution in this section apply to isolated systems. They change in an interesting way when we consider the density matrix of a *subsystem* of an isolated system, as we will begin exploring next.