# Practical Machine Learning Project Report

N. Kirnosov

## Contents

#### Introduction

Using devices such as Jawbone Up, Nike FuelBand, and Fitbit it is now possible to collect a large amount of data about personal activity relatively inexpensively. These type of devices are part of the quantified self movement – a group of enthusiasts who take measurements about themselves regularly to improve their health, to find patterns in their behavior, or because they are tech geeks. One thing that people regularly do is quantify how much of a particular activity they do, but they rarely quantify how well they do it.

In this project, we will use data from accelerometers on the belt, forearm, arm, and dumbell of 6 participants to predict the manner in which they did the exercise.

#### Libraries Used

```
library(caret)
library(randomForest)
library(corrplot)
library(doMC)
```

## Download the Data

#### Read the Data

After the data is downloaded, let us read training data set first.

```
rawTrainVal <- read.csv("./data/pml-training.csv")
```

These data will be used for model training and validation. Since there are not too many data, the split is 90% training and 10% validation.

```
set.seed(1)
inTrain <- createDataPartition(rawTrainVal$classe, p=0.90, list=FALSE)
rawTrain <- rawTrainVal[inTrain, ]
rawVal <- rawTrainVal[-inTrain, ]</pre>
```

## Preprocess the Data

In order to make the model training easier, we will try to avoid oversampling and eliminate all the data which has low prediction value. To start with, we will identify "good" columns and eliminate all the "bad" columns from the training data set.

Next, we will preprocess the training data and eliminate near-zero variables.

## **Model Training**

Now we can build a predictive model for activity recognition using Random Forest algorithm. We will use 10-fold cross validation when applying the algorithm.

```
## Random Forest
##
```

```
## 17662 samples
##
      27 predictor
##
       5 classes: 'A', 'B', 'C', 'D', 'E'
##
## No pre-processing
## Resampling: Cross-Validated (10 fold)
## Summary of sample sizes: 15896, 15895, 15895, 15897, 15896, 15895, ...
##
## Resampling results across tuning parameters:
##
##
     mtry
          Accuracy
                      Kappa
                                 Accuracy SD
                                              Kappa SD
##
     2
           0.9946781 0.9932680
                                 0.001390974
                                              0.001759657
           0.9945082 0.9930531
                                              0.002364081
##
     14
                                 0.001868404
##
     27
           0.9924703 0.9904758 0.002459462 0.003111130
##
## Accuracy was used to select the optimal model using the largest value.
## The final value used for the model was mtry = 2.
```

## **Model Validation**

We can use the remaining 10% of the training data to validate the model.

```
prepVal <- Prep_Data(subset(rawVal,select = goodCols),preData)
prepVal$classe <- rawVal$classe
ValPred <- predict(RFmodel, prepVal)
CM <- confusionMatrix(ValPred, prepVal$classe)
CM</pre>
```

```
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
             Reference
##
## Prediction
                    В
                        С
              Α
                             D
                                 F.
            A 557
##
                    1
                        0
                             0
                                 0
                1 378
##
            В
                             0
                                 0
                        1
                    0 337
##
            С
                0
                             1
                                 0
            D
                0
                    0
##
                        4 320
                                 1
##
            Ε
                    0
                        0
                             0 359
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
                  Accuracy: 0.9954
                    95% CI: (0.9913, 0.9979)
##
##
       No Information Rate: 0.2847
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.9942
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
##
                        Class: A Class: B Class: C Class: D Class: E
## Sensitivity
                          0.9982
                                  0.9974
                                            0.9854 0.9969
                                                                0.9972
```

##	Specificity	0.9993	0.9987	0.9994	0.9969	1.0000
##	Pos Pred Value	0.9982	0.9947	0.9970	0.9846	1.0000
##	Neg Pred Value	0.9993	0.9994	0.9969	0.9994	0.9994
##	Prevalence	0.2847	0.1934	0.1745	0.1638	0.1837
##	Detection Rate	0.2842	0.1929	0.1719	0.1633	0.1832
##	Detection Prevalence	0.2847	0.1939	0.1724	0.1658	0.1832
##	Balanced Accuracy	0.9987	0.9980	0.9924	0.9969	0.9986

The estimated accuracy of the model is 99.54% and the out of sample error is 0.46%

# Making a Prediction

Now we can apply the model to make predictions for the testing data.

```
## [1] B A B A A E D B A A B C B A E E A B B B ## Levels: A B C D E
```

# Appendix

