

Stonk Stats

Hello, Superstonk

I've been working on something that may be of interest, especially to apes with a wrinkle or two in statistics and data. I would appreciate comments, criticisms, and suggestions as to how to improve what I've done. The code I've written to reproduce everything here is uploaded to <https://github.com/kirpi-1/stocks>, so feel free to clone/fork and check the work.

Problem

Our beloved stonk seems to behave very strangely, at times seemingly 100% correlated with totally unrelated stocks. Various theories have been proposed, including swaps and baskets and other things I don't understand because my brain is as smooth as a b-baby's bottom when it comes to financial things. I do know a little coding and statistics, however, and was curious if I could find anything suspicious.

Premises

1. The stock market has some base correlation, i.e. in good times all the stonks go up, in bad times all the stonks go down.
2. Two randomly picked stocks that have nothing in common should only be correlated insomuch that the general stock market is correlated
3. Two randomly picked stocks within the same sector should probably be more correlated, but only insomuch as stocks in that area are correlated
4. Anything that shows unexpected correlations could have something in common, a common driving force (e.g. reddit/social media, hedgefund fuckery, etc.)
5. Correlations probably change over time as trading strategies change

So, the basic idea is to examine correlations over time between any two stonks (usually GME and another stonk) and look for periods of unusually strong correlation. If two stonks spend more time than is expected at strong correlation, then there might be something unusual about the pair.


Methods

1. For a set of stonks (I combined the S&P 500, Russell 1000, and some random stuff I thought might be interesting), calculate the moving correlation time-series between all of them for a set period of time. For my initial analysis, I'm using closing price, but really any thing could be used (such as volume)
2. For every pair of stonks, calculate the distribution of correlations. Calculate the global distribution and within-sector distributions as well for comparison.
3. Pick a target stock (GME). Look at the distributions of correlation with every other stock. Any stock that differs too much from the global norm is suspicious. We may try to measure the difference in distributions by something like the Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test, although there are other methods.

Hypotheses


1. In general, the market as a whole should have zero or slightly positive correlation. If every stock were independent, should be a normal distribution around 0. However, I'm guessing stocks are highly dependent on each other, so maybe a flat or something slightly positive.
2. Stocks that have something in common with GameStop should be strongly correlated one way or the other (same industry, meme status, etc.)
3. Things like popcorn and towel should spend a lot more time than expected with strong correlation values. In general, meme stocks are probably at least slightly more positively correlated than expected with GME (and amongst themselves) due to retail trading them together
4. Anything that is suspiciously highly correlated but not a meme stock is extra sus. I believe there is some other connection between it and GME.

10-day Rolling Correlation of 🍿 's closing price



Popcorn spent an awful lot of time during 2021 being extremely strongly correlated with GME. This is what we're looking for.

Example of suspiciously common strong correlation




X-axis is correlation value. Y-axis is a count of how many time periods were spent at a particular correlation value. Thick black line is calculated for all stocks as a reference.

If a stock doesn't look like the average stock, it is suspicious.

Because I'm interested in strong correlations (>0.5 or <-0.5), I want to also specifically find those that spend a lot of time at the top and bottom. More on that later.

This is how I want to find other suspicious stocks.

Example of suspiciously common strong correlation



Results

My first instinct was to use closing price, although other values are possible (gain, volume, high-low, etc.).


When looking for outliers, I am using two main criteria:

1. Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test. This gives a sense of how close two distributions are to each other. I'm using it to compare a single stock's correlation distribution to the global correlation distribution
2. Something I'm calling "Rolling Score", which I'm calculating by summing all the correlation values when they're over/under a certain range. In this case, I'm counting up all the correlations that are more extreme than ± 0.5 , which will give me a positive score and negative score. These should find the stocks that spend the most time strongly correlated with GME

I first began with 10-day rolling correlation over a 1 year period, starting on January

Results - 2018

Correlation distributions for close: 2018-01-01 to 2019-01-01: Top 100




There are a few standout stocks, and would you look at that, our friends towel and great purchase are there. This predates anything on reddit with GME because I don't think DFV found GME until 2019. There's no confidence interval since it doesn't show up at this scale.

| pos_score | neg_score |
|-------------|--------------|
| BBY 112.90 | ENPH -53.46 |
| JWN 102.60 | EXEL -51.42 |
| MANH 100.20 | AGR -47.64 |
| TMUS 96.10 | GE -46.71 |
| EFX 94.08 | MKTX -45.78 |
| HBI 92.45 | BX -44.52 |
| BC 91.86 | AEE -44.36 |
| SRPT 91.67 | NEM -44.33 |
| GPS 91.23 | DZSI -43.85 |
| BBBY 91.09 | FWONK -43.76 |
| CMG 90.09 | PEG -43.53 |
| FBHS 89.83 | EVRG -43.16 |
| FNB 89.21 | FWONA -42.49 |
| POST 89.17 | WHF -42.27 |
| VAC 89.02 | RGLD -42.24 |
| CRI 88.87 | EXC -42.16 |
| CASY 88.62 | TDG -41.34 |
| WBA 88.42 | OGE -41.10 |
| LH 88.41 | DTE -40.98 |
| LEG 88.36 | RNR -40.73 |

Results - 2019

Correlation distributions for close: 2019-01-01 to 2020-01-01: Top 100




There seem to be more outliers. Towel shows up once again, but I don't recognize anything else.

| pos_score | neg_score |
|-------------|-------------|
| BBBY 106.37 | NEM -84.79 |
| JWN 98.23 | LHX -72.67 |
| R 97.72 | ASA -72.19 |
| KNX 90.05 | RGLD -71.84 |
| GTES 88.98 | CME -70.72 |
| LEA 88.22 | MKTX -68.44 |
| NTB 87.71 | HSY -67.3 |
| CPRI 87.41 | HEI -65.13 |
| SAN 87.18 | MDT -64.68 |
| WTFC 86.74 | APD -62.91 |
| LFUS 86.38 | SBUX -62.23 |
| IVZ 85.38 | TSN -62.01 |
| COTY 85.06 | PPC -60.28 |
| PENN 84.58 | CLVT -60.27 |
| TCS 83.86 | ATUS -60.27 |
| VFC 83.53 | YUM -60.26 |
| SNA 83.38 | MTCH -59.94 |
| NUE 83.26 | SWCH -59.69 |
| WAL 82.64 | ICE -59.38 |
| RGA 82.5 | ROKU -59.07 |

Results - 2020

Correlation distributions for close: 2020-01-01 to 2021-01-01: Top 100




Things look mostly normal-ish. I don't recognize any of the outliers, but this is still mostly before reddit crazies.

| | pos_score | neg_score |
|------|------------------|------------------|
| WOLF | 95.15 | REGN -57.69 |
| AVT | 94.07 | MRNA -57.19 |
| CCL | 93.63 | NTWK -51.23 |
| OLED | 92.66 | HZNP -48.7 |
| JWN | 91.37 | HRL -48.03 |
| APH | 91.33 | QDEL -47.74 |
| ALK | 90.96 | PNW -46.71 |
| POST | 90.32 | HE -46.44 |
| OSW | 90.31 | NEM -45.48 |
| FDX | 89.18 | ATO -45.24 |
| GLW | 89.06 | LLY -45.05 |
| STLA | 88.76 | NVAX -44.86 |
| KSS | 88.69 | CMS -44.57 |
| NXPI | 88.14 | HOLX -44.18 |
| GPC | 88.12 | BDX -43.69 |
| KEYS | 87.41 | GO -43.08 |
| SABR | 87.09 | BAX -43.03 |
| HOG | 87.04 | D -42.99 |
| AA | 86.99 | TWLO -42.89 |
| WBD | 85.47 | CMPR -42.65 |

Results - 2021

Correlation distributions for close: 2021-01-01 to 2022-01-01: Top 100




Things have gone crazy. There are now 6+ clear outliers in the positive range, and wouldn't you know, it's a bunch of memestocks. This gives me some confidence in the validity of this method.

| pos_score | neg_score |
|-------------|-------------|
| AMC 157.36 | AZO -81.09 |
| BB 114.94 | BR -78.37 |
| BBBY 110.44 | BKI -72.68 |
| PLUG 108.68 | ZTS -71.82 |
| IRBT 100.12 | ORLY -71.42 |
| PLTR 99.45 | HSY -69.02 |
| BYND 96.26 | AWK -69 |
| DKNG 90.21 | MRK -68.43 |
| NCLH 89.34 | VRSK -67.78 |
| EXAS 87.3 | DUK -67.64 |
| W 86.48 | ROL -67.5 |
| CCL 86 | CPRT -66.34 |
| LESL 85.75 | HUM -66.03 |
| WSM 85.48 | CL -65.75 |
| CHPT 85.44 | BIO -65.56 |
| AAL 85.01 | PG -65.3 |
| QS 84.69 | DG -64.53 |
| SKLZ 84.12 | WAT -64.41 |
| CMBM 83.94 | PEG -63.93 |
| ENOV 83.17 | MASI -62.9 |

Results - 2022

Correlation distributions for close: 2022-01-01 to 2022-07-01: Top 100




Something weird has happened. There seems to be two different groups of stocks, those that follow the pattern of previous years, and those that are highly correlated with GME. A lot of stocks that were popular on reddit show up. Note that the rolling scores are about half of the others because this covers only half a year, not a full year.




| | pos_score | | neg_score |
|------|------------------|------|------------------|
| AMC | 99.18 | XOM | -30.66 |
| DOCU | 85.45 | MRK | -30.27 |
| PLTR | 84.84 | K | -26.9 |
| COTY | 84.63 | CLX | -25.6 |
| BILL | 84.32 | SLVM | -24.66 |
| SPCE | 83.76 | CVX | -23.9 |
| RIVN | 83.38 | CPB | -23.25 |
| ZS | 82.95 | XEL | -22.32 |
| CHPT | 82.65 | KMB | -22.21 |
| DNA | 82.53 | DINO | -22.09 |
| TDOC | 82.4 | GIS | -22.09 |
| COIN | 82.2 | ED | -21.94 |
| PCOR | 80.88 | KHC | -21.64 |
| DOCS | 80.63 | NRG | -21.53 |
| ESTC | 80.57 | OXY | -21.53 |
| HUBS | 80.39 | SJM | -21.29 |
| ZM | 80.12 | KEX | -21.26 |
| PLUG | 79.91 | HAL | -21.23 |
| U | 79.48 | VRTX | -21.18 |
| BSY | 79.23 | FLO | -20.42 |

Results - 2022 Grouping - Top 100


I wanted to take a closer look at what seems to be two distinct groups. These are the top 100 again, this time colored. Looks strange, but after coming back to this I think the orange is what the correlation of a random stock is supposed to look like, but there are so many blue stocks they warp the global distribution so badly that normal behavior is flagged as weird.

The shaded region is ± 1 standard deviation of the global distribution.





GME Volume



The same process but done using daily volume instead of closing price. The grey in the background is the +1 standard deviation from the global distribution. Honestly, this is what I had expected to see. Something vaguely normally-distributed, with most stocks not being all that correlated. That is not what the closing price distributions show. In the 2021 chart (bottom right), the outlier stock is our friend, popcorn.

Results - Other Stocks

It's hard to make any interpretations without looking at other stocks, so I ran the whole process with a couple of randomly picked ticker symbols:

- CHH - Choice Hotels International, Inc. - Consumer Cyclical
- INTU - Intuit - Technology
- RGLD - Royal Gold - Basic Materials
- WST - West Pharmaceutical Services, Inc. - Healthcare
- MSCI - MSCI, Inc. - Financial
- CCK - Crown Holdings, Inc. - Consumer Cyclical
- BBWI - Bath and Body Works, Inc. - Consumer Cyclical
- LECO - Lincoln Electric Holdings, Inc. - Industiral
- EVRG - Evergy Inc - Utilities

All the plots are included at the very end

Results - Other Stocks

The only one that behaves as I expected a normal stock to behave is RGLD. It is mostly flat with sharp drops at the extreme ends, and only has a couple stocks that differ greatly from the global distribution.

Everything else looks like GME 2022, with what looks like two groups of stocks: some that spend a lot of time highly correlated and some that behave how I expect unrelated stocks to behave, a flat or slight curve centered at $r=0$.

Discussion

I have a couple thoughts after looking at this random assortment of other stocks:

1. I expected something like the GME volume plots, or at least what RGLD shows, where the global line is flat, with maybe a few outliers.
2. A lot of them look like GME in the first half of 2022. Is this normal or are those stocks also behaving strangely? On the one hand, they sure do look weird, but on the other I picked them at random. It would be a huge coincidence if that many stocks were unusually correlated with each other. Perhaps this **is** normal behavior, i.e. it's normal for stocks to lump up in correlations due to market forces, algorithmic behavior, etc. This means that the positive rolling score could still be useful.
3. I think that the two groups (blue and orange plots) that I was seeing was a result of having many stocks being strongly correlated, warping the global distribution so much that regular distributions are showing up as strange. It might be better to create the global distribution from the correlation between all possible pairs of stocks, over the whole time period, then use that as the global distribution to compare correlation distributions against.

Conclusions



Well, I've concluded that I still don't really know anything. If anything, I have more questions than when I started, but maybe reddit can help.

1. On the topic of the method:
 - a. It seems like it could be valid, using closing price it captured many, if not all, the memestocks that exploded during 2021
 - b. Volume behaves like I expected independent and uncorrelated stocks to behave, but it did not capture any of the weirdness that closing price did
2. On the topic of positive rolling scores:
 - a. Is it really suspicious to have a high score here? I think so, but I'm not sure how to prove it.
 - b. What about high scoring stocks that were not part of the memestock craze? Do they have anything that ties them to GME?
3. On the topic of distributions:
 - a. Why do the other stocks have tons of stocks with high correlation? Is this normal? Are they included in the same ETFs, baskets, industries, hedge fund algos, etc.?



How you can help


Clone/fork the project and run it for yourself. If you have ideas/criticisms please let me know. Especially if I've made a mistake somewhere.



If you know how to research rabbit-holes and connections between stocks, try looking at the stocks that have high positive rolling scores with GME, but weren't involved with reddit or memestocks. Maybe this can be used as a way to guide searches for other suspicious stocks besides popcorn.





GME Closing price













CCK









CHH









INTU









MSCI









RGLD









SCCO









WST





EVRG





LECO

