

38 *d. Example: dimer-dimer scattering – 2-component Fermions* The scattering of two identical dimers, each com-  
 39 prised of equal-mass particles which interact resonantly (scattering length significantly larger than the effective range  
 40  $a^{-1}r \rightarrow 0$ ), at an energy sufficiently low such that the effects of branch cuts due to dimer disintegration and excitation  
 41 can be neglected, shall serve as a benchmark. For this experiment, the remarkable result

$$\frac{a_{dd}}{a} \approx 0.6 \quad (15)$$

42 for the ratio between  $S$ -wave scattering lengths of the dimer-dimer amplitude  $a_{dd}$  and the resonant two-fermion system  
 43  $a$  has been found[5] in a four-body calculation. We use this result as a standard in order to demonstrate the accuracy  
 44 of the RGM approximation, *i.e.*, the reformulation of the dimer-dimer problem as a two-body system, as well as that  
 45 of the numerical solution of the ensuing non-local equation.

Pertinent to this system are the following quantities:

$$A = 4 \quad , \quad \mu = m \text{ (single-particle mass)} \quad , \quad \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \stackrel{\text{nuclear}}{=} 20.7 \text{ MeV} \cdot \text{fm}^2 \quad (16)$$

$$\phi_{A(B)} = e^{-\alpha \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{1(3)}^2} \quad , \quad (17)$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{1} - \hat{P}_{13} - \hat{P}_{24} + \hat{P}_{13}\hat{P}_{24} \quad , \quad (18)$$

$$\mathcal{V} = C_0(\Lambda) \sum_{(i,j) \in X} e^{-\frac{\Lambda^2}{4}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)^2} \quad , \quad X = \{(13), (24)\} \quad ; \quad (19)$$

46 The three-dimensional incarnation (2) which follows reads

$$(\hat{T} - E) \chi(\mathbf{r}) + \mathcal{V}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}) \chi(\mathbf{r}) + \int d^{(3)}\mathbf{r}' \mathcal{V}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', E) \chi(\mathbf{r}') = 0 \quad (20)$$

with a *local* potential which receives contributions from the identity and the complete particle exchange

$$\mathcal{V}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}) = C_0(\lambda) \cdot \left( \frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha + \lambda} \right)^{3/2} \cdot e^{-\frac{2\alpha\lambda}{2\alpha + \lambda} r^2} \quad (21)$$

and a *non-local*, energy-dependent potential feeding from the kinetic and potential acting on single, odd exchanges of a single atom between the clusters

$$\mathcal{V}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', E) = 8 \alpha^{3/2} \cdot \left[ \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} (4\alpha^2 \mathbf{r}^2 - 2\alpha) + E \right] \cdot e^{-\alpha(\mathbf{r}'^2 + \mathbf{r}^2)} \quad (22)$$

$$- C_0(\lambda) \cdot \frac{32\sqrt{2}\alpha^3}{(2\alpha + \lambda)^{3/2}} \cdot e^{-\alpha(\mathbf{r}'^2 + \frac{2\alpha + 3\lambda}{2\alpha + \lambda} \mathbf{r}^2)} \quad . \quad (23)$$

47 It is in order to consider the following limits:

- 48 •  $\lambda \gg \alpha$
- 49 •  $\int d^{(3)}\mathbf{r}' \mathcal{V}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', E) \chi(\mathbf{r}') \stackrel{E \rightarrow 0}{\approx} \chi(\mathbf{r}) \cdot v^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \int d^{(3)}\mathbf{r}' v^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}') \quad .$

50 A comparison between the ensuing local, zero-range approximation

$$\mathcal{V}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} (4\alpha^2 \mathbf{r}^2 - 2\alpha) \cdot 8 \pi^{3/2} \cdot e^{-\alpha \mathbf{r}^2} + C_0(\lambda) \cdot \left( \frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha + \lambda} \right)^{3/2} \cdot \left( e^{-2\alpha \mathbf{r}^2} - 16\pi^{3/2} e^{-3\alpha \mathbf{r}^2} \right) \quad (24)$$

51 and the full RGM potential will enable us to quantify and trace the effect of the particle statistics.

52 ECCE the following:

- 53 • As the non-local potential factorizes, there is no mixing of partial waves and (20) applies to each partial wave

$$\left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \left( \partial_r^2 - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right) - E \right) \chi_l(r) + \mathcal{V}^{(1)}(r) \chi_l(r) + 4\pi \int dr' (rr') \mathcal{V}^{(2)}(r, r', E) \chi_l(r') = 0 \quad (25)$$

54 with a strictly local angular momentum barrier and a factor of  $4\pi$  which stems from the two independent angular  
 55 integration averages of  $\chi_l(r)$  and  $\chi_l(r')$ . We used  $\chi(\mathbf{r}) = r^{-1} \sum_{lm} \chi_l(r) Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$ , and projected “from the left”  
 56 with  $r \int d^{(2)}\hat{\mathbf{r}} Y_{l'm'}^*(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$ .

- 57 • Lorenzo,  $\lambda = \frac{\Lambda^2}{4}$  and hence the pre-factor of the second term runs  $\propto \Lambda^{-1}$  in EFT( $\nabla$ ). Therefore, For  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,  
 58 a model-independent interaction remains which encodes microscopic dimer characteristics via  $\alpha$ .