

1 I. DIMER-DIMER SCATTERING

2 The two-fragment resonating-group equation

$$\int \left\{ \phi_A^* \phi_B^* \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \Delta_R - E + \mathcal{V}_{AB} \right) \mathcal{A}_{AB} [\phi_A \phi_B \psi(\mathbf{R})] \right\} d\mathbf{r}_{A,B}^{\text{internal}} = 0 \quad (1)$$

3 embodies the assumption of a rapid internal motion relative to the slow relative motion between clusters A and B .

4 Ockham's ansatz for spatially symmetric fragments employs a one-parameter Gaussian

$$\phi_A := e^{-\alpha \sum_{i=1}^A (\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_A)^2} \quad ; \quad \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{r}_i : \text{single-particle coordinates} \\ \mathbf{R}_A : \text{core centre of mass} \end{array} \quad (2)$$

5 The parameter representation $\psi(\mathbf{R}) = \int d\mathbf{R}' \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}') \psi(\mathbf{R}')$ allows for a translation of the inter-cluster antisym-
6 metrizer \mathcal{A}_{AB} into a non-local integro-differential equation which, in general, assumes the form

$$(\hat{T} - E) \chi(\mathbf{r}) + \mathcal{V}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}) \chi(\mathbf{r}) + \int d^{(3)}\mathbf{r}' \mathcal{V}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', E) \chi(\mathbf{r}') = 0 \quad (3)$$

7 with the radial coordinates denoting the spatial separation between the two fragments. If these fragments are two-
8 body S -wave bound states comprised of equal-mass fermions, the effective potentials which derive from a zero-range
9 fermion-fermion – *e.g.*, EFT(π) LO – interaction are given for a two- and three-species system – *e.g.*, four neutrons
10 assuming a bound di-neutron, and 4-hydrogen, respectively. We denote the former as $(ab):(ab)$ (scale invariant), and
11 the latter $(ab)::(ca)$ (discretely scale invariant, Thomas collapse of (abc)). The characteristic three-body scale in an
12 $(ab)::(ca)$ system flows into the effective dimer-dimer potentials, while in the absence of such a scale in the zero-range
13 two-body limit, the effective potentials are parametrized by the dimer, *i.e.*, a two-body observable, only.

14 In detail,

$(ab):(ab):$

$$\mathcal{V}_{(ab):(ab)}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}) = 2 C_0(\lambda) \cdot \left(\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha + \lambda} \right)^{3/2} \cdot e^{-\frac{2\alpha\lambda}{2\alpha + \lambda} \mathbf{r}^2}, \quad (4)$$

15

$$\mathcal{V}_{(ab):(ab)}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', E) = 8 \alpha^{3/2} \cdot e^{-\alpha \mathbf{r}'^2} \cdot \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} (4\alpha^2 \mathbf{r}^2 - 2\alpha) \cdot e^{-\alpha \mathbf{r}^2} + E \cdot e^{-\alpha \mathbf{r}^2} - 2 C_0(\lambda) \cdot \left(\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha + \lambda} \right)^{3/2} \cdot e^{-\alpha \cdot \frac{2\alpha + 3\lambda}{2\alpha + \lambda} \mathbf{r}^2} \right] \quad (5)$$

$(ab)::(ca):$

$$\mathcal{V}_{(ab)::(ca)}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}) = 3 \cdot C_0(\lambda) \cdot \left(\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha + \lambda} \right)^{3/2} \cdot e^{-\frac{2\alpha\lambda}{2\alpha + \lambda} \mathbf{r}^2} \quad (6)$$

$$+ D_0(\lambda) \cdot \left(\left(\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha + \lambda} \right)^3 \cdot e^{-\frac{4\alpha\lambda}{2\alpha + \lambda} \mathbf{r}^2} + \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{(2\alpha + \lambda)^2 + 2\alpha\lambda}} \right)^3 \cdot e^{-\frac{4\alpha\lambda(\alpha + \lambda)}{4\alpha^2 + 6\alpha\lambda + \lambda^2} \mathbf{r}^2} \right) \quad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{(ab)::(ca)}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', E) = 8 \alpha^{3/2} \cdot \left(e^{-\alpha \mathbf{r}'^2} \cdot \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} (4\alpha^2 \mathbf{r}^2 - 2\alpha) \cdot e^{-\alpha \mathbf{r}^2} + E \cdot e^{-\alpha \mathbf{r}^2} \right] \right. \quad (8)$$

$$\left. - C_0(\lambda) \cdot e^{-(\alpha + \lambda)(\mathbf{r}^2 + \mathbf{r}'^2) - 2\lambda \mathbf{r}' \cdot \mathbf{r}} - 2 C_0(\lambda) \cdot \left(\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha + \lambda} \right)^{3/2} \cdot e^{-\alpha \cdot (\mathbf{r}'^2 + \frac{2\alpha + 3\lambda}{2\alpha + \lambda} \mathbf{r}^2)} \right) \quad (9)$$

$$- D_0(\lambda) \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \lambda} \right)^{3/2} \cdot e^{-\frac{2\alpha^2 + 4\alpha\lambda + \lambda^2}{2(\alpha + \lambda)} (\mathbf{r}^2 + \mathbf{r}'^2) - \frac{\lambda^2}{\alpha + \lambda} \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}'} \quad (10)$$

$$- D_0(\lambda) \cdot \left(\frac{2\alpha(\alpha + \lambda)}{2\alpha^2 + 3\alpha\lambda + \lambda^2} \right)^{3/2} \cdot e^{-\frac{2\alpha^2 + 5\alpha\lambda + \lambda^2}{2(\alpha + \lambda)} \mathbf{r}^2 - (\alpha + \lambda) \mathbf{r}'^2 - 2\lambda \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}'} \quad (11)$$

16 It is in order to consider the following limits:

17 **zero-range or contact limit:** $\lambda \gg \alpha$

18 **local approximation:** $\int d^{(3)}\mathbf{r}' \mathcal{V}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', E) \chi(\mathbf{r}') \xrightarrow{E \rightarrow 0} \chi(\mathbf{r}) \cdot v^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \int d^{(3)}\mathbf{r}' v^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}')$

19 Assuming an unnaturally large dimer scale emergent from a relatively short-ranged fermion-fermion interaction,
20 the zero-range approximation is justified and the ensuing dimer-dimer potentials read:

(zero-range) $(ab):(ab):$

$$\mathcal{V}_{(ab):(ab)}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}) = 2 (2\alpha)^{3/2} \frac{C_0(\lambda)}{\lambda^{3/2}} \cdot e^{-2\alpha\mathbf{r}^2}, \quad (12)$$

21

$$\mathcal{V}_{(ab):(ab)}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', E) = 8 \alpha^{3/2} \cdot e^{-\alpha\mathbf{r}'^2} \cdot \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} (4\alpha^2\mathbf{r}^2 - 2\alpha) \cdot e^{-\alpha\mathbf{r}^2} + E \cdot e^{-\alpha\mathbf{r}^2} - 2 (2\alpha)^{3/2} \frac{C_0(\lambda)}{\lambda^{3/2}} \cdot e^{-3\alpha\mathbf{r}^2} \right]. \quad (13)$$

(zero-range) $(ab):\cdot(ca):$

$$\mathcal{V}_{(ab):\cdot(ca)}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}) = 3 (2\alpha)^{3/2} \frac{C_0(\lambda)}{\lambda^{3/2}} \cdot e^{-2\alpha\mathbf{r}^2} + 2 (2\alpha)^3 \frac{D_0(\lambda)}{\lambda^3} \cdot e^{-4\alpha\mathbf{r}^2} \quad (14)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{(ab):\cdot(ca)}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', E) = 8 \alpha^{3/2} \cdot \left(e^{-\alpha\mathbf{r}'^2} \cdot \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} (4\alpha^2\mathbf{r}^2 - 2\alpha) \cdot e^{-\alpha\mathbf{r}^2} + E \cdot e^{-\alpha\mathbf{r}^2} \right] \right. \quad (15)$$

$$\left. - C_0(\lambda) \cdot e^{-\lambda(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{r}')^2} - 2 (2\alpha)^{3/2} \frac{C_0(\lambda)}{\lambda^{3/2}} \cdot e^{-\alpha\mathbf{r}'^2 - 3\alpha\mathbf{r}^2} \right. \quad (16)$$

$$\left. - \alpha^{3/2} (1 + 2^{3/2}) \frac{D_0(\lambda)}{\lambda^{3/2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{r}')^2} \right) \quad (17)$$

22 We do now interpret these potentials as vertices of interacting dimer fields – the physical nature of the fields is
23 inessential for the following; quite generally, we applied a transformation on a renormalized contact interaction, and
24 we are now interested in whether or not this transformation, *i.e.*, the RGM averaging over fragment-internal, “frozen”
25 degrees of freedom, preserves the renormalized character of amplitudes of the image theory – whose regularization is
26 inherited from the renormalized fermion-fermion interaction.

27 We commence the analysis of the renormalizability of the transformed dimer-dimer theory under the assumption
28 that the transformation does not affect the power-counting rules. That means, solutions of a Schrödinger equation
29 with and interaction as given by the non-local potentials shall be well-behaved for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Renormalizing the
30 fermion-fermion amplitude yields

$$C_0(\lambda) \propto \lambda \quad (18)$$

and arguably two scenarios for the three-body parameter:

$$\text{Three-body spectrum with one single shallow bound state } \neq f(\lambda) : D_0(\lambda) \propto e^{\kappa\lambda} \quad (19)$$

$$\text{Three-body spectrum with a tower of Efimov-type states with the shallowest } \neq f(\lambda) : \text{countably infinite poles} \quad (20)$$

31 Revisit the effective potentials, considering $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$:

(zero-range) $(ab):(ab):$

$$\mathcal{V}_{(ab):(ab)}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \quad (21)$$

32

$$\mathcal{V}_{(ab):(ab)}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', E) = 8 \alpha^{3/2} \cdot e^{-\alpha\mathbf{r}'^2} \cdot \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} (4\alpha^2\mathbf{r}^2 - 2\alpha) \cdot e^{-\alpha\mathbf{r}^2} + E \cdot e^{-\alpha\mathbf{r}^2} \right]. \quad (22)$$

33 In words, the sub-threshold dimer-dimer amplitude depends on the microscopic interaction only through the
34 character of a single dimer as parametrized with α . Although, no analytic form of the functional relation
35 $\alpha = f(\aleph)$ is known, its existence implies a universal low-energy dimer-dimer system, thereby conforming with
36 the “Petrov ratio” $a_{dd}/a_{ff} \approx 0.6$.

(zero-range) $(ab) \cdot (ca)$:

$$\mathcal{V}_{(ab) \cdot (ca)}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}) = c_1 \mathcal{P}[\lambda] \cdot e^{-4\alpha \mathbf{r}^2} \quad (23)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{(ab) \cdot (ca)}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', E) = 8 \alpha^{3/2} \cdot \left(e^{-\alpha \mathbf{r}'^2} \cdot \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} (4\alpha^2 \mathbf{r}^2 - 2\alpha) \cdot e^{-\alpha \mathbf{r}^2} + E \cdot e^{-\alpha \mathbf{r}^2} \right] - c_2 \mathcal{P}[\lambda] \cdot e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} (\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}')^2} \right) \quad (24)$$

ECCE this structure, which I want to discuss/have an opinion on.

The specific form of the polynomials depends on the implemented three-body renormalization condition, and so do the values of the non-equal constants $c_{1,2}$. Yet, regardless of the specific shape, the induced λ dependence will translate into dimer-dimer observables which consequently do not have a well defined $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}$!