04 CSI online typing: Descriptives

Kirsten Stark

22 Mai, 2021

Load packages

```
library(dplyr)

##
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'

## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
## filter, lag

## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
## intersect, setdiff, setequal, union

library(tidyr)

rm(list = ls())
```

Load and preprocess data

```
options( "encoding" = "UTF-8" )
# input
input <- "data_long_final.csv"

# load data
df <- read.csv(here::here("data", "transient_data_files", input), sep = ",", na = "")</pre>
```

Duration of the experiment

```
print("Outlier-corrected duration (provided by soscisurvey)")
## [1] "Outlier-corrected duration (provided by soscisurvey)"
```

```
mean(df$time_wo_outlier)/60 # 21, 37 min = 21 min 22sec
## [1] 21.37056
sd(df$time_wo_outlier)/60
## [1] 7.80545
range(df$time_wo_outlier)/60 # 0.00000 30.21667
## [1] 0.00000 30.21667
duration <- df %>% dplyr::select(starts_with("TIME")) %>%
  dplyr::select(!"time_wo_outlier") %>% dplyr::select(!"TIME_RSI")
print("Outlier-corrected duration (provided by soscisurvey)")
## [1] "Outlier-corrected duration (provided by soscisurvey)"
duration$sum = duration %>% rowSums(na.rm = TRUE)
mean(duration\sum)/60
## [1] 27.52722
sd(duration\sum)/60
## [1] 8.245342
range(duration$sum)/60
## [1] 18.86667 54.78333
Description of participants
Gender:
df <- df %>% mutate(gender_char = case_when(gender == 1 ~ "female",
                                     gender == 2 ~ "male"))
table(df$gender_char)/160 # 1 = female, 2 = male, 3 = diverse
##
## female
           male
              21
print("percentage female:")
```

[1] "percentage female:"

```
sum(df$gender == 1)/nrow(df)
## [1] 0.3
Age:
print("mean:"); mean(df$age)
## [1] "mean:"
## [1] 25.43333
print("sd:"); sd(df$age)
## [1] "sd:"
## [1] 4.558862
print("range:"); range(df$age)
## [1] "range:"
## [1] 18 35
Handedness:
# 1 = left handed, 2 = right handed, 3 = ambidexter/both
df <- df %>% mutate(handedness_char = case_when(handedness == 1 ~ "left-handed",
                                     handedness == 2 ~ "right-handed"))
table(df$handedness_char)/160
##
  left-handed right-handed
##
print("percentage right-handed:")
## [1] "percentage right-handed:"
sum(df$handedness == 2)/nrow(df)
## [1] 0.8666667
```

Fingers used for typing:

```
# 1 = 1, 2 = 2, 3 = 3, 4 = 4, 5 = 5, 6 = don't know
print("left hand: "); table(df$fingers_1)/160
## [1] "left hand: "
##
##
    1 2 3 4 5 6
   1 3 8 5 11 2
print("right hand: "); table(df$fingers_r)/160
## [1] "right hand: "
##
## 1 2 3 4 5 6
## 1 8 4 7 8 2
df\fingers_l <- ifelse(df\fingers_l == 6, NA, df\fingers_l)
df$fingers_r <- ifelse(df$fingers_r == 6, NA, df$fingers_r)</pre>
print("Average number of fingers used (both hands combined):")
## [1] "Average number of fingers used (both hands combined):"
mean(df$fingers_l+df$fingers_r, na.rm = T); sd(df$fingers_l+df$fingers_r, na.rm = T)
## [1] 7.25
## [1] 2.324434
Mother tongue (experiment was restricted to native German speakers): This seems to have worked
table(df$language) # 1 = yes (mother tongue is German), 2 = no
##
##
      1
## 4800
table(df$language.test) # 1 = der, 2 = die, 3 = das (das is correct)
##
      3
##
## 4800
```

Average typing speed and accuracy

Subset all typing test columns and select one row per participant only

```
source("automatic_preprocessing_functions.R")
##
## Attaching package: 'stringdist'
## The following object is masked from 'package:tidyr':
##
##
       extract
dat <- df %>% filter(trial == 1) %>%
   dplyr::select(c("subject", starts_with("TT")))
test1 <- "Der Fuchs ist nah mit Hund und Wolf verwandt. Die Tiere sehen Hunden auch recht ähnlich. Füch
print("Actual length of characters in test1 (including spaces):")
## [1] "Actual length of characters in test1 (including spaces):"
nchar(test1)
## [1] 155
test2 <- "Der Schwanz eines Fuchses ist fast halb so lang wie das ganze Tier. Das Fell ist meist rot od
print("Actual length of characters in test2 (including spaces):")
## [1] "Actual length of characters in test2 (including spaces):"
nchar(test2)
## [1] 157
test3 <- "Typisch für den Fuchs sind zudem seine aufgestellten Ohren, die ihm auf der Jagd behilflich s
print("Actual length of characters in test3 (including spaces):")
## [1] "Actual length of characters in test3 (including spaces):"
nchar(test3)
## [1] 154
```

Accuracy

Accurary similar as in e.g., Crump (2003), Crump & Logan (2010), Pinet, Dubarry, & Alario (2016): Percentage of 5-character words containing no error (neither a backspace nor a typographical error). The data from the three text subsections will be collapsed.

!!!!!!!! CAVE: UNFORTUNATELY, THERE WAS AN ERROR IN THE EXPERIMENT AND ONLY THE FIRST 23 SINGLE WORDS ARE RECORDED FOR EACH TEXT. THE TOTAL TYPING TIME AND THE ENTIRE TEXT RECORDING ARE STILL CORRECT FOR THE WHOLE TEXT!!!!!!!

7 participants failed to disable caps lock and wrote everything in uppercase. Here, the accuracy is estimated in uppercase writing

5-character-word based accuracy:

```
## Descriptive statistics:
print("Mean Accuracy (5-char words correct)"); round(mean(accuracy_fiveletterwords),4)*100
## [1] "Mean Accuracy (5-char words correct)"
## [1] 79.95
print("SD Accuracy (5-char words correct)"); round(sd(accuracy_fiveletterwords),4)*100
## [1] "SD Accuracy (5-char words correct)"
## [1] 9.52
print("Range Accuracy (5-char words correct)"); round(range(accuracy_fiveletterwords),4)*100
## [1] "Range Accuracy (5-char words correct)"
## [1] 64.29 94.44
Alternative calculation of accuracy: Character-based accuracy on the entire text - Participants typed texts
are compared to the entire text and the number of insertions, deletions, substitutions and transpositions are
devided by the total amount of characters in the text.
Text-based accuracy:
accuracy_raw <- (accuracy$test1_raw + accuracy$test2_raw + accuracy$test3_raw)/3</pre>
print("Mean Accuracy (entire text)");round(mean(accuracy_raw),4)*100
## [1] "Mean Accuracy (entire text)"
## [1] 90.29
print("Sd Accuracy (entire text)");round(sd(accuracy_raw),4)*100
## [1] "Sd Accuracy (entire text)"
## [1] 5.77
print("Range Accuracy (entire text)");round(range(accuracy_raw),4)*100
## [1] "Range Accuracy (entire text)"
## [1] 71.86 96.79
cor.test(accuracy_raw, accuracy_fiveletterwords)
```

```
##
## Pearson's product-moment correlation
##
## data: accuracy_raw and accuracy_fiveletterwords
## t = 0.68055, df = 28, p-value = 0.5017
## alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.2439175    0.4663966
## sample estimates:
## cor
## 0.1275613
```

Speed

Words per minute: Defined as the number of words correctly typed divided by the total time of all words (similar to Pinet et al., 2016; Crump & Logan 2010)

```
wpm <- NA
for (i in 1:30) {
    eval(parse(text=paste0(
        "x<- fivecharwords %>% filter(!is.na(accuracy_subject",i,"))")))
    # calculate no of words correctly typed
    eval(parse(text=paste0(
        "number_of_words <- sum(x$accuracy_subject", i,"!=", 0, ", na.rm=T)")))
    # calculate total time need to type all fiveletter words
    eval(parse(text=paste0(
        "total_time_fiveletter <- sum(x$time_subject", i,", na.rm=T)")))
    # convert time to seconds
    total_time_fiveletter <- total_time_fiveletter/1000/60
    #calculate word per minutes
    wpm[i] <-number_of_words/total_time_fiveletter
}</pre>
```

Speed in 5-character words per minute:

```
## Descriptive statistics:
print("Mean Speed (5-char words correct)"); round(mean(wpm),2)

## [1] "Mean Speed (5-char words correct)"

## [1] 15.33

print("SD Speed (5-char words correct)"); round(sd(wpm),2)

## [1] "SD Speed (5-char words correct)"

## [1] 7.52

print("Range Speed (5-char words correct)"); round(range(wpm),2)

## [1] "Range Speed (5-char words correct)"
```

```
## [1] 4.02 34.10
```

Alternative speed measurement: Characters per minute, based on the length of the text, not the actual number of characters typed.

```
speed1 <- nchar(test1)/(dat$TT02_02/100/60)</pre>
speed2 <- nchar(test2)/(dat$TT05_02/100/60)</pre>
speed3 <- nchar(test3)/(dat$TT08_02/100/60)</pre>
cor.test(speed1, speed2)
##
  Pearson's product-moment correlation
##
## data: speed1 and speed2
## t = 8.3331, df = 28, p-value = 4.574e-09
## alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.6954103 0.9235650
## sample estimates:
##
         cor
## 0.8441824
cor.test(speed1, speed3)
##
## Pearson's product-moment correlation
##
## data: speed1 and speed3
## t = 6.776, df = 28, p-value = 2.33e-07
\#\# alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.5975590 0.8944466
## sample estimates:
##
## 0.788153
cor.test(speed2, speed3)
##
## Pearson's product-moment correlation
##
## data: speed2 and speed3
## t = 13.282, df = 28, p-value = 1.309e-13
## alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.8548241 0.9659660
## sample estimates:
        cor
## 0.928994
```

```
speedperppt <- (speed1+speed2+speed3)/3</pre>
cor.test(speedperppt, wpm)
##
##
   Pearson's product-moment correlation
##
## data: speedperppt and wpm
## t = 1.5985, df = 28, p-value = 0.1212
## alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.07935422 0.58817363
## sample estimates:
##
         cor
## 0.2891824
print("mean characters per minute:"); round(mean(speedperppt),2);
## [1] "mean characters per minute:"
## [1] 26.66
print("SD characters per minute:"); round(sd(speedperppt),2);
## [1] "SD characters per minute:"
## [1] 7.49
print("range characters per minute:"); round(range(speedperppt),2);
## [1] "range characters per minute:"
## [1] 15.15 50.88
System info (indicated by participants)
Browser:
df <- df %>% mutate(browser_char = case_when(browser == 1 ~ "Chrome",
                                             browser == 2 ~ "Coast",
                                             browser == 3 ~ "Firefox",
                                             browser == 4 ~ "Internet Explorer",
                                             browser == 5 ~ "Opera",
                                             browser == 6 ~ "Safari",
                                             browser == 8 ~ "Microsoft Edge",
                                             browser == 7 ~ browser_other))
table(df$browser_char)
```

```
##
## Brave Chrome Firefox Microsoft Edge
## 320 2720 1440 320
```

```
## ## ChromeOS Linux MacOSX Windows10 Windows7 Windows8 ## 160 320 160 3840 160 160
```

System:

Operating system:

```
## ## Computer(PC) Laptop
## 2560 2240
```

Attention checks

1) Item vs. non-item

```
## Item vs. non-item
# CH01_01 (Taube), CH01_02 (Apfel), CH02_01 (Luftballon) and CH02_02 (Biene) are items and 2 should be
# CH02_03 (Radio), CH02_04 (Sparschwein), CH02_03 (Laptop) and CH02_04 (Wattestäbchen) are non-items an
## Did participants cheat
# CH03 = 1 - yes, I worked through it till the end,
# CH03 = 2 - no, I stopped or cheated midway
# CH03 = -9 - no answer

attcheck <- data.frame(subject = unique(df$subject))</pre>
```

```
df <- df %>% mutate(itemvsnonitem1 =
                       case_when(CH01_01==2 & CH01_02==2 & CH01_03==1 & CH01_04==1 ~2,
                                 CH01_01==2 || CH01_02==2 ~1,
                                 CHO1 01!=2 & CHO1 02!=2 ~0)) %>%
  mutate(itemvsnonitem2 =
                       case when (CHO2 01==2 & CHO2 02==2 & CHO2 03==1 & CHO2 04==1 ~2,
                                 CH02_01==2 \mid \mid CH02_02==2 \sim 1,
                                 CHO2 01!=2 & CHO2 02!=2 ~0))
table(df$itemvsnonitem1)/160
##
##
   1 2
##
    6 24
table(df$itemvsnonitem2)/160
##
## 2
## 30
# attcheck <- data.frame(subject = unique(df$subject))</pre>
#
#
# data <- data %>% mutate(attcheck =
#
                     ifelse(CH01_01 == 2 & CH01_02 == 2 & CH02_01 == 2 & CH02_02 == 2 &
#
                    CHO1_03 == 1 & CH01_04 == 1 & CH02_03 == 1 & CH02_04 == 1, 1, 0)) %>%
#
                     mutate(cheat = ifelse(CHO3 == 1,1,ifelse(CHO3 == 2,2,0)))
# data.frame(data$subject, )
# table(data$attcheck)
# table(data$cheat)
# # get prolific IDs of participants who failed the attention check
# #pretest %>% subset(attcheck == 0 & cheat == 2 ) %>%
# # pull(SD24_01) # SD24_01 is prolific ID
# # subset to participants who passed only
# valid <- pretest %>% filter(attcheck == 1 & cheat != 2)
# inspect <- data.frame(df$subject, df$word, df$fam_typed)</pre>
```

(Six persons made one mistake after the familiarization, but all were 100~% after the main experiment, which was the crucial part and thus the relevant criterion)

2) Cheating

```
##
##
                 Ja, ich habe alles bis zum Ende bearbeitet.
##
## Nein, ich habe zwischendurch aufgehoert oder geschummelt.
One person indicated that he/she cheated, but in a comment explained that during the familiarization,
he/she took a break to briefly open the door
#table(df$comments[df$CHO3 == "Nein, ich habe zwischendurch aufgehoert oder geschummelt."])
3) Keyboard Check
# self-indicated keyboard type
df <- df %>% mutate(keyboard_type = case_when(keyboard_type == 1 ~ "QWERTY",
                                              keyboard_type == 2 ~ "QWERTZ",
                                              keyboard_type == 3 ~ "QÜERTY",
                                              keyboard_type == 4 ~ "ÄWERTY",
                                              keyboard_type == 5 ~ "AZERTY",
                                              keyboard_type == 6 ~ "QZERTY",
                                              keyboard_type == 7 ~ "other"))
table(df$keyboard_type)/160
##
## QWERTZ
       30
# keyboard test
table(df$KB02_01)/160
##
## ägyptisch
          30
table(df$KB03_01)/160 # code ä is Quote
##
## Quote
table(df$KB03_02)/160 # code y is KeyZ
##
## KeyZ
table(df$KB03_03)/160 # code p is KeyP
##
## KeyP
```

(The keyboard screening worked well for all participants)

Comments

Comments don't indicate any problems that should lead to participant exclusion:

```
\#table(df\$comments)/160
```

Fully anonymize data and reduce data frame

Reduce data frame to columns needed for data analyses or useful to understand the data (this df will be shared online). Interkeystroke intervals could be shared as well, but might only lead to confusion because the data frame will still be very wide.