

REGRESSION ANALYSIS - HOMEWORK 3 SOLUTIONS

ALAITTIN KIRTISOGLU

1) We use the residual plots to check if the LINE condition is met in SLR or MLR.

Consider the sample correlation coefficients:

$$\text{cor}(e_i, \hat{y}_i) = \frac{\sum(e_i - \bar{e})(\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum(e_i - \bar{e})^2 \sum(\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2}} = 0 \text{ when the LINE condition is met.}$$

$$\text{cor}(e_i, y_i) = \frac{\sum(e_i - \bar{e})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum(e_i - \bar{e})^2 \sum(y_i - \bar{y})^2}} \text{ may not be zero even if the LINE condition is met.}$$

Note that $\hat{\bar{y}} = \bar{y}$.

This makes the residual plot e_i against \hat{y}_i more meaningful.

2.1) $Var(\hat{y}_0) = Var(\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_0) = Var(\bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x} + \hat{\beta}_1 x_0) = Var(\bar{y} + \hat{\beta}_1(x_0 - \bar{x}))$
 $= Var(\bar{y}) + Var(\hat{\beta}_1)(x_0 - \bar{x})^2 + 2Cov(\bar{y}, \hat{\beta}_1(x_0 - \bar{x})) = \sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right)$. To conclude this,
 you need to show that $Var(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$ and $Var(\bar{y}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ and $Cov(\bar{y}, \hat{\beta}_1) = 0$.

2.2) $Var(\hat{\beta}) = Var((X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y) = ((X^T X)^{-1} X^T) Var(Y) ((X^T X)^{-1} X^T)^T = \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1}$. Hence,
 $Var(\hat{y}_0) = Var(x_0^T \hat{\beta}) = x_0^T Var(\hat{\beta}) x_0 = \sigma^2 x_0^T (X^T X)^{-1} x_0$.

2.3) $Var(y_0 - \hat{y}_0) = Var(y_0) + Var(\hat{y}_0) + 2Cov(y_0, \hat{y}_0) = \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 x_0^T (X^T X)^{-1} x_0$, since $Cov(y_0, \hat{y}_0) = 0$.

3) The full model is given.

General F-statistic:

$$F^* = \frac{SSE(R) - SSE(F)}{df_R - df_F} \bigg/ \frac{SSE(F)}{df_F} \sim F(p - q, n - p - 1), \quad df_F = n - p - 1, \quad df_R = n - q - 1, \text{ and } p = 4.$$

3.1) Reduced model $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \epsilon$, $q = 3$ and $F^* = \frac{SSE(R) - SSE(F)}{1} \bigg/ \frac{SSE(F)}{n-5} \sim F(1, n-5)$.

3.2) Reduced model $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_4 X_4 + \epsilon$, $q = 2$, $F^* = \frac{SSE(R) - SSE(F)}{2} \bigg/ \frac{SSE(F)}{n-5} \sim F(2, n-5)$.

3.3) Reduced model $Y = \beta_0 + \epsilon$, $q = 0$, $SSE(R) - SSE(F) = SST - SSE(F) = SSR$. $F^* = \frac{SSR}{4} \bigg/ \frac{SSE}{n-5} \sim F(4, n-5)$.

$$4) \sum_{i=1}^n Var(\hat{y}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n Var\left(\sum_{j=1}^n h_{ij}y_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n h_{ij}^2 Var(y_j) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii} = \sigma^2(p+1) \text{ by 5.2.}$$

Note that $\sum_{j=1}^n h_{ij}^2 = h_{ii}$ due to $H = HH^T$.

$$5.1) h_{ii} = \mathbf{h}_i^T \mathbf{h}_i = \sum_{j=1}^n h_{ij}^2 = h_{ii}^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} h_{ij}^2. \text{ Hence, we have } h_{ii} \geq h_{ii}^2, \text{ which implies that } 0 \leq h_{ii} \leq 1.$$

$$5.2) \sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii} = trace(H) = trace(X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T) = trace((X^T X)^{-1} X^T X) = trace(I_{p+1}) = p+1$$

5.3) The answer is in the following page.

ILLINOIS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, APPLIED MATHEMATICS, CHICAGO, IL, USA

Email address: akirtisoglu@hawk.iit.edu