

CSC 317: Data Structures and Algorithm Analysis

Dilip Sarkar

Department of Computer Science
University of Miami



Outline I

- Introduction to Indicator Random Variable and its application
 - Hiring problem
 - Indicator random variables
- Performance Analysis of quick sort
 - Probability of comparing two elements during randomized partition
 - Expected running time of randomized quick sort
- Randomized Selection Algorithm
 - Randomized Partition Algorithm
 - Randomized Selection Algorithm
 - Expected Performance of Randomized Selection
- Summary

Hiring problem

- **Problem:** Suppose you need an assistant to help with your daily schedule. You have found an employment agency who will provide you n candidates, one every day. You want to hire **the best candidate**.
- **Availability of candidates:** After an interview, if you do not hire a candidate, s/he is not available any more.
- **Hiring and firing cost:** To interview a candidate cost you c_i and hiring a candidate cost you c_h , where $c_i \ll c_h$.
- **Total cost:** If you hire m candidates, your total cost is $nc_i + mc_h$.
- **Algorithm:** If new candidate is better than current assistant, fire current assistant and hire the new candidate.

Hiring problem (cont.)

- **Problem:** Suppose you need an assistant to help with your daily schedule. You have found an employment agency who will provide you n candidates, one every day. You want to hire **the best candidate**.
 - **Availability of candidates:** After an interview, if you do not hire a candidate, s/he is not available any more.
 - **Hiring and firing cost:** To interview a candidate cost you c_i and hiring a candidate cost you c_h , where $c_i \ll c_h$.
 - **Total cost:** If you hire m candidates, your total cost is $nc_i + mc_h$.
 - **Algorithm:** If a new candidate is better than current assistant, fire current assistant and hire the new candidate.
- ```

HIRE-ASSISTANT(n)
1 $best = 0$ { candidate 0 is the best-qualified
 dummy candidate }
2 for $i = 1$ to n
3 interview candidate i
4 if candidate i is better than
 candidate $best$
5 $best = i$
6 hire candidate i

```
- **What is the hiring cost?**
  - **Best case:**  $nc_i + c_h$
  - **Worst case:**  $nc_i + nc_h = n(c_i + c_h)$
  - **Expected cost:** Depends on the order in which candidates arrive.
  - **Probabilistic case:** use probability of hiring a candidate for estimating **expected cost**.
  - **Randomized algorithm:** We randomize the input.
  - **Randomization hiring problem:** Get a list of  $n$  candidates, and select candidate for interview in a random order.

## Indicator random variables

- Sample space:  $S$ ;
- Examples
  - Coin toss:  $S = \{H, T\}$
  - Roll of a (six-sided) dice:  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
- An event:  $A$
- Example
  - Coin toss: outcome is a head  $\Rightarrow A \in \{H\}$
  - Roll of a (six-sided) dice: outcome is an even number  $\Rightarrow A \in \{2, 4, 6\}$
- Indicator random variable  $X_A = I\{A\}$  associated with  $A$ 
  - $X_A = I\{A\} = 1$  if  $A$  occurs
  - $X_A = I\{A\} = 0$  if  $A$  does not occur
- Example: From toss of a coin  $A = H$ 
  - We have  $X_A = X_H = I\{H\} = 1$ , if  $H$  occurs
  - We have  $X_A = X_H = I\{H\} = 0$ , if  $T$  occurs
- Expected value of a random indicator variable  $X_A$
- Expected number of heads from a fair-coin toss,  $X_H$ 
  - $E[X_H] = E[I\{H\}] = 1 \cdot \Pr\{H\} + 0 \cdot \Pr\{T\} = 1 \cdot (1/2) + 0 \cdot (1/2) = 1/2$
- **Lemma 5.1**  
Given a sample space  $S$  and an event  $A$  in the sample space  $S$ , let  $X_A = I\{A\}$ . Then  $E[X_A] = \Pr\{A\}$ .
- **Proof:**  
By definition of  $X_A$ ,  
 $E[X_A] = E[I\{A\}] = 1 \cdot \Pr\{A\} + 0 \cdot \Pr\{\bar{A}\} = 1 \cdot \Pr\{A\} + 0 \cdot \Pr\{\bar{A}\} = \Pr\{A\}$

## Some applications of indicator random variables

### Example 1:

- Fair and unfair coins.
  - Sample space of a **fair** coin:  $S = \{H, T\}$
  - If a **fair** coin is tossed,  $\Pr(H) = \Pr(T) = 1/2$ .
  - An extreme example of an **unfair** coin
  - Both sides has tail and thus, sample space:  $S = \{T\}$ .  $\Pr(T) = 1$  and  $\Pr(H) = 0$ .
- Let a bag has 25 coins. Twenty of them are **fair** and five are **unfair** — both sides have tails. All 25 coins in the bag are tossed together.
- Let  $X_i = I\{\text{toss of the coin } i \text{ is head } H\}$ , that is,  $X_i = 1$  if toss of the coin  $i$  is head, else  $X_i = 0$ .  $E[X_i] = \Pr(\text{toss of coin } i \text{ is head.})$
- $E[X_i] = 1/2$  for a fair coin.  $E[X_i] = 0$  for an unfair coin.
- Problems
  - 1 How many ways we can get **exactly one** head?
  - 2 20, only one of the 20 fair coins shows a head.
  - 3 Mathematically,  $\binom{20}{1} = 20$
  - 4 How many ways we can get **exactly two** heads?
  - 5 190, because  $\binom{20}{2} = \frac{20 \cdot 19}{2 \cdot 1} = 190$
- Let random variable  $X = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{25} = \sum_{i=1}^{25} X_i$ .
  - 1 What is the range of values for  $X$ ?
  - 2 Zero if none of coins shows a head
  - 3 Maximum number of heads are 20, because 5 unfair coins will never contribute to the sum.
- What is expected (average) number of heads  $E[X]$ , if we toss all 25 coins?
- $E[X] = E[\sum_{i=1}^{25} X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^{25} E[X_i]$ , because (expectation of sum) = (sum of expectations).
- We need to divide the sum into two parts: for 20 fair coins and 5 unfair coins.
- $E[X] = E[\sum_{i=1}^{20} X_i] + \sum_{j=1}^5 E[X_j]$   
 $= 20 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 5 \cdot 0 = 10$
- Okay! Quite a bit abstract notations and simple math. BUT
- **What this has to do with algorithm analysis?**

## Analysis of hiring algorithm

- Let  $X_i$  be the indicator random variable associated with the event that candidate  $i$  is hired.
  - $X_i = I\{X_i\} = 1$ , if candidate  $i$  is hired
  - $X_i = I\{X_i\} = 0$ , if candidate  $i$  is not hired
- Let  $X = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$
- $E[X] = E(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i]$ ,  
by distribution of sum of expectations
- What is the value of  $E(X_i)$ ?
- $E(X_i) = \Pr\{\text{candidate } i \text{ is hired}\}$   
[by Lemma 5.1]
- What is the probability that the candidate  $i$  is hired?
- $\Pr\{\text{candidate } i \text{ is hired}\} = 1/i$ .
- Why  $1/i$ ?
- Thus,  $E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^n (1/i) = \ln n + O(1)$

HIRE-ASSISTANT( $n$ )

```

1 best = 0 { candidate 0 is the best-qualified
 dummy candidate }
2 for i = 1 to n
3 interview candidate i
4 if candidate i is better than
 candidate best
5 best = i
6 hire candidate i

```

- Okay!
- BUT, this algorithm is for an artificial problem and not solving a **real** Computer Science problem.
- What this has to do with algorithm analysis?**

## Complexity of quick sort algorithm

QUICKSORT( $A, p, r$ )

```

1 if p < r
2 q = PARTITION(A, p, r)
3 QUICKSORT(A, p, q - 1)
4 QUICKSORT(A, q + 1, r)

```

- $T(n) = T(k-1) + T(n-k) + cn$
- Worst cases
  - $(k-1) = 0$ , remaining elements are in the right partition
  - $(k-1) = n-1$ , remaining elements are in the left partition
  - In both cases  $T(n) = O(n^2)$

- Best cases 1
  - $(k-1) \cong n/2$ , partitions are always almost equal
  - In this case  $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$
- Best cases 2
  - $(k-1) \cong (1/c_1)(n/2)$ ,
  - Ratio of lengths of two partitions is a constant  $c_1$  (or  $1/c_1$ )
  - In this case  $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$
- What is expected running time  $E[T(n)]$ ?
- To compute  $E[T(n)]$ , we have to consider randomized quicksort.
- We will show that  $E[T(n)] = O(n \lg n)$
- First we consider randomized partitioning.

## Probability of comparing two elements during partition

```

PARTITION(A, p, r)
1 $x = A[r]$
2 $i = p - 1$
3 for $j = p$ to $r - 1$
4 if $A[j] \leq x$
5 $i = i + 1$
6 exchange $A[i]$ with $A[j]$
7 exchange $A[i + 1]$ with $A[r]$
8 return $i + 1$

```

```

RANDOMIZED-PARTITION(A, p, r)
1 $i = \text{RANDOM}(p, r)$
2 exchange $A[r]$ with $A[i]$
3 return PARTITION(A, p, r)

```

- Suppose we call RANDOMIZED-PARTITION( $A, 1, k$ )
- For  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ , will  $A[i]$  be compared with  $A[j]$ ?

- Yes, if  $A[i]$  is the pivot or  $A[j]$  is the pivot.
- What is the probability that  $A[i]$  is selected as the pivot?
- If the array has  $k$  elements, then  $(1/k)$ .
- Similarly, probability that  $A[j]$  is selected as the pivot is  $(1/k)$ .
- Probability that  $A[i]$  or  $A[j]$  selected as pivot is  $((1/k) + (1/k)) = (2/k)$ .  
 $\Rightarrow$  probability that  $A[i]$  is compared with  $A[j]$  is  $(2/k)$ .

## Prob. of comparing two elements during partition (cont.)

```

PARTITION(A, p, r)
1 $x = A[r]$
2 $i = p - 1$
3 for $j = p$ to $r - 1$
4 if $A[j] \leq x$
5 $i = i + 1$
6 exchange $A[i]$ with $A[j]$
7 exchange $A[i + 1]$ with $A[r]$
8 return $i + 1$

```

```

RANDOMIZED-PARTITION(A, p, r)
1 $i = \text{RANDOM}(p, r)$
2 exchange $A[r]$ with $A[i]$
3 return PARTITION(A, p, r)

```

```

RANDOMIZED-QUICKSORT(A, p, r)
1 if $p < r$
2 $q = \text{RANDOMIZED-PARTITION}(A, p, r)$
3 RANDOMIZED-QUICKSORT($A, p, q - 1$)
4 RANDOMIZED-QUICKSORT($A, q + 1, r$)

```

- Suppose we call RANDOMIZED-QUICKSORT( $A, 1, n$ ) to sort an array of  $n$  elements.
- How many times the procedure RANDOMIZED-PARTITION( $A, p, r$ ) is called?
- RANDOMIZED-PARTITION( $A, p, r$ ) is called at most  $n$  times.
- Excluding comparisons, each call to RANDOMIZED-PARTITION( $A, p, r$ ) takes  $O(1)$  time.
- How many times two elements  $A[i]$  and  $A[j]$  are compared?
- At most once. Why?
- Because if  $A[i]$  is compared with  $A[j]$ , then either  $A[i]$  or  $A[j]$  was the pivot. Also, after they are compared, they will never be in the same partition again.

## Expected running time of randomized Quick sort

Now let us put everything together

- For  $p \leq i < j \leq r$ , and we call  $\text{RANDOMIZED-PARTITION}(A, p, r)$ , let  $X_{pr} = I\{\mathbf{A}[i] \text{ is compared with } \mathbf{A}[j]\}$   
 $= \Pr\{\mathbf{A}[i] \text{ is compared with } \mathbf{A}[j]\}$   
 $= \frac{2}{(r-p+1)}$
- Let  $X$  be the total number of comparisons

$$X = \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \sum_{r=p+1}^n X_{pr}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[X] &= \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \sum_{r=p+1}^n E[X_{pr}] \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \sum_{r=p+1}^n \frac{2}{(r-p+1)} \end{aligned}$$

- Now if  $(r-p) = k$  we have,

$$\begin{aligned} E[X] &= \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-p} \frac{2}{(k+1)} \\ &< \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2}{(k+1)} \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} O(\lg n) \\ &= O(n \lg n) \end{aligned}$$

## Steps of Randomized Partition Algorithm

**RANDOMIZED-SELECT**( $A, p, r, i$ )

```

1 if $p == r$
2 return $A[p]$
3 $q = \text{RANDOMIZED-PARTITION}(A, p, r)$
4 $k = q - p + 1$
5 if $i == k$ // the pivot value is the answer
6 return $A[q]$
7 elseif $i < k$
8 return $\text{RANDOMIZED-SELECT}(A, p, q-1, i)$
9 else return $\text{RANDOMIZED-SELECT}(A, q+1, r, i-k)$
```

**RANDOMIZED-PARTITION**( $A, p, r$ )

```

1 $i = \text{RANDOM}(p, r)$
2 exchange $A[r]$ with $A[i]$
3 return $\text{PARTITION}(A, p, r)$
```

**PARTITION**( $A, p, r$ )

```

1 $x = A[r]$
2 $i = p - 1$
3 for $j = p$ to $r - 1$
4 if $A[j] \leq x$
5 $i = i + 1$
6 exchange $A[i]$ with $A[j]$
7 exchange $A[i+1]$ with $A[r]$
8 return $i + 1$
```

- The 1st procedure on the left is a randomized selection algorithm
- It uses a randomized partition procedure,  $\text{RANDOMIZED-PARTITION}(A, p, r)$
- The procedure  $\text{RANDOMIZED-PARTITION}(A, p, r)$  is the middle procedure
- Procedure  $\text{RANDOMIZED-PARTITION}(A, p, r)$ 
  - Line 1: A random integer generator  $\text{RANDOM}(A, p, r)$  is called to generate  $i$ , such that  $p \leq i \leq r$
  - Line 2:  $A[i]$  is exchanged with  $A[r]$
  - Line 3: The elements in  $A[p \dots r]$  is partitioned using  $A[r]$  as the pivot
  - NOTE: when  $\text{PARTITION}(A, p, r)$  is called,  $A[r]$  is  $A[i]$  of the original  $A[p \dots r]$  before the exchange of  $A[r]$  with  $A[i]$  on Line 2.

# Randomized Selection Algorithm

```

RANDOMIZED-SELECT(A, p, r, i)
1 if $p == r$
2 return $A[p]$
3 $q = \text{RANDOMIZED-PARTITION}(A, p, r)$
4 $k = q - p + 1$
5 if $i == k$ // the pivot value is the answer
6 return $A[q]$
7 elseif $i < k$
8 return RANDOMIZED-SELECT($A, p, q - 1, i$)
9 else return RANDOMIZED-SELECT($A, q + 1, r, i - k$)

```

- The first call:  
RANDOMIZED-SELECT( $A, 1, n, i$ );  
 $i$  is the rank of the element we want to find.
- Line 1: if  $p = r$ , we have only one element and the  $p$  is the index of the  $i$ th element
  - This line is unlikely for the **FIRST** call
  - Because then  $i = 1$  and randomized algorithm would make no sense.
- Line 2: return  $A[p]$

- Line 3: A random integer  $q$ , such that  $p \leq q \leq r$ , is generated
  - Procedure RANDOMIZED-PARTITION( $A, p, r$ ) is called for generating  $q$ ;
- Line 4: After partition is complete, the **rank**  $k$  of the pivot is calculated
- Line 5: If rank  $k = i$ , we have found the element we are looking for
- Line 6: return  $A[q]$
- (Lines 7 and 8) **OR** (Lines 7 and 9) are executed when  $A[q]$  is **NOT** the element being searched.
- Line 7: Is  $i$ th element on the left of  $A[q]$ , the  $k$ th element?
  - YES  $\Rightarrow$  Line 8: Call  
RANDOMIZED-SELECT( $A, p, q - 1, i$ ) with partition to the left of  $A[q]$
  - NO  $\Rightarrow$  Line 9: Call  
RANDOMIZED-SELECT( $A, q + 1, r, i - k$ ) with partition to the right of  $A[q]$

# Expected Performance of Randomized Selection Algorithm

```

RANDOMIZED-SELECT(A, p, r, i)
1 if $p == r$
2 return $A[p]$
3 $q = \text{RANDOMIZED-PARTITION}(A, p, r)$
4 $k = q - p + 1$
5 if $i == k$ // the pivot value is the answer
6 return $A[q]$
7 elseif $i < k$
8 return RANDOMIZED-SELECT($A, p, q - 1, i$)
9 else return RANDOMIZED-SELECT($A, q + 1, r, i - k$)

```

- Worst-case time complexity: Pivot is always the minimum or the maximum of the array under consideration
  - $T(n) = T(0) + T(n-1) + O(n)$
  - Solution to the above equation  
 $T(n) = O(n^2)$

- Now we compute an estimate of  $T(n)$
- Before we proceed further, note that  $1 \leq q \leq n$
- When  $q = 1$ , we have only one partition, ( $A[2 \dots n]$ ).
- Similarly, when  $q = n$ , we have only one partition, ( $A[1 \dots (n-1)]$ ).
- For cases  $2 \leq q \leq (n-1)$  the array is divided into two partitions.
- ( $A[1 \dots 1], A[3 \dots n]$ ), ( $A[1 \dots 2], A[4 \dots n]$ ),  $\dots$ , ( $A[1 \dots (n-2)], A[n \dots n]$ )
- For  $2 \leq q \leq (n-1)$ , we do not know which subarray will be used.
- To establish an upper bound we will consider the larger subarray of the two, that is,  $\max\{(k, n - (k+1))\}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq (n-2)$ .
- The length of subarrays need consideration are:  
( $n-1$ ), ( $n-2$ ), ( $n-3$ ),  $\dots$ ,  $\lfloor (n/2) \rfloor$ ,  
( $\lfloor (n/2) \rfloor + 1$ ),  $\dots$ , ( $n-3$ ), ( $n-2$ ), ( $n-1$ )
- For even  $n$ , each value is occurring twice. For odd  $n$  we include an extra value of,  $\lfloor (n/2) \rfloor$
- Since  $q$  is selected from an array of  $n$  elements,  
 $\Pr(q \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}) = 1/n$

## Expected Performance of Randomized Selection (II)

- Last four lines from previous slide
  - To establish an upper bound we will consider the larger subarray of the two, that is,  $\max\{(k, n - (k + 1))\}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq (n - 2)$ .
  - The length of arrays need to be considered are:  $(n - 1), (n - 2), (n - 3), \dots, \lfloor (n/2) \rfloor, (\lfloor (n/2) \rfloor + 1), \dots, (n - 3), (n - 2), (n - 1)$
  - For even  $n$ , each value is occurring twice. For odd  $n$  we include an extra value of,  $\lfloor (n/2) \rfloor$
  - Since  $q$  is selected from an array of  $n$  elements,  $\Pr(q \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}) = 1/n$
- Now do some routine algebra to establish an upper bound for  $E(T(n))$ 

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(T(n)) &\leq E[(1/n)(T(n-1) + (\sum_{k=2}^{n-2} T(n-k-1)) + T(n-1)) + O(n)] \\
 &\leq E[(1/n)(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} T(n-k))] + O(n) \\
 &= (1/n) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} E[T(n-k-1)] + O(n)
 \end{aligned}$$
- Since each term is occurring twice and minimum value of  $(n - k) = \lfloor (n/2) \rfloor$ , we can write the inequality as,
- $E(T(n)) \leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=\lfloor (n/2) \rfloor}^{n-1} E[T(n-k)] + O(n)$
- Using substitution we can show that  $E[T(n)] = O(n)$
- Detailed algebra is shown in the textbook
- $E[T(n)] \leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=\lfloor (n/2) \rfloor}^{n-1} ck + an$ 

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{2c}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor (n/2) \rfloor - 1} k \right) + an \\
 &= \frac{2c}{n} \left( \frac{n^2 - n}{2} - \frac{(n^2/4 - 3n/2 + 2)}{2} \right) + an \\
 &= \frac{c}{n} \left( \frac{3n^2}{4} + \frac{n}{2} - 2 \right) + an \\
 &= c \left( \frac{3n}{4} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{n} \right) + an \\
 &\leq \frac{3cn}{4} + \frac{c}{2} + an \\
 &= cn - \frac{cn}{4} + \frac{c}{2} + an \\
 &= cn - \left( \frac{cn}{4} - \frac{c}{2} - an \right)
 \end{aligned}$$
- Now we need to find values of  $c$  and  $n$  such that the induction
- It can be shown that for  $c > 4a$  and  $n \geq \frac{2c}{c-4a}$ ,  $E[T(n)] = O(n)$

## Computation of Expected Execution Time of An Algorithm

- Math foundation
  - $E(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i)$  for  $X = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$ .
  - For an indicator random variable  $X_A$  of an event  $A$ ,  $E(X_A) = \text{Prob.}(A)$ .
- Steps for estimation of execution time
  - **Identify An Event:**
    - For hiring: A candidate is hired
    - For Quicksort:  $X_i$  is compared with  $X_j$
    - For Selection: After randomized partition, the pivot is the desired element.
  - Express execution time as sum of execution times of the identified event
  - Do necessary algebra and approximations
- Question?