## **Instructions**

When running the program, pass the number of items that each thread will produce.

## **Results**

## Non-synchronized

| N          | Total produced | Total consumed | Time taken (us) |
|------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 10         | 100            | 100            | 0               |
| 100        | 1000           | 1000           | 0               |
| 1000       | 10 000         | 10 000         | 0               |
| 10 000     | 100 000        | 100 000        | 0               |
| 100 000    | 1 000 000      | 999 997        | 0               |
| 1 000 000  | 10 000 000     | 9 999 976      | 1 000 000       |
| 10 000 000 | 100 000 000    | 98 809 994     | 4 000 000       |

## Synchronized

| N          | Produced    | Consumed    | Time taken |
|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| 10         | 100         | 100         | 0          |
| 100        | 1000        | 1000        | 0          |
| 1000       | 10 000      | 10 000      | 0          |
| 10 000     | 100 000     | 100 000     | 0          |
| 100 000    | 1 000 000   | 1 000 000   | 0          |
| 1 000 000  | 10 000 000  | 10 000 000  | 1 000 000  |
| 10 000 000 | 100 000 000 | 100 000 000 | 1 4000 000 |

There is an overhead associated with synchronization as the run time of the second program is greater than that of the first. Using the last two rows of each table, we can see that as the input size gets 10 times larger, there is an overhead of 10s in the synchronization example when compared to the non-synchronized example.