

Random Trees and Effective Resistance

Kishlaya Jaiswal

August 14, 2020

Abstract

We look at a graph as an electric network to present a simple proof for the well known relation between effective resistance of an edge and the chances of that edge being in a uniformly random spanning tree.

Advisor: Samir Datta

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a given undirected graph. Sampling a uniformly random spanning tree T is a well-studied problem and the question we ask is: given an edge $e \in E$, what are the chances that a random spanning tree has this edge e .

We consider an electric circuit where each edge in G is replaced with a resistor of 1Ω . To setup a potential difference, we supply external current i_{ext} at nodes; then potential p at each node is given by: $i_{ext} = Lp$ where L denotes the Laplacian of the graph.

Effective resistance of an edge $e = (a, b)$, denoted by $R_{\text{eff}}(e)$, is defined as potential difference across e when a unit current is inducted at a and taken out at b .

So we consider the particular vector $i_{ext} = x_e$ where $x_e(a) = 1$, $x_e(b) = -1$ and $x_e(c) = 0$ for all $c \neq a, b$. Since $x_e \perp \mathbf{1}$, we know that a solution for p exists and can be given by $p = L^\dagger x_e$ where L^\dagger denotes the pseudo-inverse of L . Therefore, potential difference between a and b is $p(a) - p(b) = x_e^T p = x_e^T L^\dagger x_e$ and so $R_{\text{eff}}(e) = p(a) - p(b) = x_e^T L^\dagger x_e$.

It turns out that:

$$\mathbb{P}[e \in T] = R_{\text{eff}}(e)$$

Given these values $\mathbb{P}(e \in T)$, we can use them to sample a random spanning tree as follows [Gué83]:

Algorithm 1: Sampling a uniformly random spanning tree

```
 $T = \{\}$ 
for  $e \in E$  do
    Let  $p$  be the probability that  $e$  is in a random spanning tree of  $G$ 
    Flip a biased coin whose chance of heads is  $p$ 
    if heads then
         $T = T \cup \{e\}$ 
         $G = G / \{e\}$  (contract  $e$ )
    else
         $G = G \setminus \{e\}$  (delete  $e$ )
    end
end
Return  $T$ 
```

But directly calculating these probabilities require enumerating all spanning trees (hard), whereas computing $R_{\text{eff}}(e)$ only involves multiplying vectors (easy).

The proof we discuss here is from [Gha15]. In what follows, we shall assume that the graph is connected (if the graph is not connected then no spanning tree exists).

2 Matrix Tree Theorem

Matrix Tree Theorem [GR01] counts the number of spanning trees of G in terms of the Laplacian of the graph. That is, let $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ be the eigen values of L , then

$$\#\text{spanning trees of } G = \frac{1}{n} \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n$$

We define

$$\bar{L} = L + \frac{1}{n} J$$

$\bar{L}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ and for any other eigenvector v (of L) of non-zero eigenvalue, since $v \perp \mathbf{1}$, v is also an eigenvector of \bar{L} with same eigenvalue. Hence $\{1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ are the eigenvalues of \bar{L} and so we can re-state the Matrix Tree Theorem as:

$$\#\text{spanning trees of } G = \frac{1}{n} \det(\bar{L})$$

3 Main Result

Lemma 3.1. *Given a positive-definite symmetric matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$\det(M + xx^T) = \det(M)(1 + x^T M^{-1}x)$$

Proof. Since M is positive-definite symmetric, there exists a unique positive-definite symmetric matrix $M^{1/2}$ such that $(M^{1/2})^2 = M$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M + xx^T) &= \det\left(M^{1/2}(I + M^{-1/2}xx^T M^{-1/2})M^{1/2}\right) \\ &= \det(M) \det(I + M^{-1/2}xx^T M^{-1/2}) \\ &= \det(M) \det(I + yy^T) \end{aligned}$$

where $y = M^{-1/2}x$. Notice that solutions of $y^T v = 0$ gives $n - 1$ eigenvectors of $I + yy^T$ with eigenvalue 1 and from trace computation we get the last eigenvalue is $1 + y^T y$. Hence $\det(I + yy^T) = 1 + y^T y = 1 + x^T M^{-1}x$ and we are done. \square

Since G is connected, \bar{L} is a positive definite symmetric matrix and $\det(\bar{L}) > 0$. We also note that Laplacian of $G - \{e\}$ is simply $L - x_e x_e^T$. Therefore, $\#\text{spanning trees of } G \text{ not containing } e = \#\text{spanning trees of } G \setminus \{e\} = \frac{1}{n} \det(\bar{L} - x_e x_e^T)$. Finally we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[e \in T] &= 1 - \mathbb{P}[e \notin T] \\ &= 1 - \frac{\det(\bar{L} - x_e x_e^T)}{\det(\bar{L})} \\ &= 1 - \frac{\det(\bar{L})(1 - x_e^T \bar{L}^{-1} x_e)}{\det(\bar{L})} \\ &= x_e^T \bar{L}^{-1} x_e \\ &= x_e^T L^\dagger x_e \end{aligned}$$

as $L = \bar{L}$ when restricted to the subspace perpendicular to $\mathbf{1}$. Thus, $\mathbb{P}[e \in T] = x_e^T L^\dagger x_e = R_{\text{eff}}(e)$ \square

4 Further Extensions

We can further extend this result by asking the probability of $F \subseteq T$ where F is any subset of edges. In this case [BP93] showed that $\mathbb{P}[F \subseteq T] = \det(Y_F)$ where Y is a $E \times E$ matrix such that $Y(e, f) = x_e^T L^\dagger x_f$. This can be easily proved by inducting on size of F and using Cauchy-Binet formula (note that the base case $|F| = 1$ is what we have proved above).

When G is weighted undirected graph, in which case resistance of each edge is inverse of it's weight then we have $\mathbb{P}[e \in T] = w(e)R_{\text{eff}}(e)$ where T is sampled with probability proportional to $\prod_{e \in T} w(e)$. The proof is similar with the modification that we work with the weighted Laplacian and use Matrix Tree theorem for weighted graphs.

References

- [BP93] Robert Burton and Robin Pemantle. "Local Characteristics, Entropy and Limit Theorems for Spanning Trees and Domino Tilings Via Transfer-Impedances." In: *Ann. Probab.* 21.3 (July 1993), pp. 1329–1371. DOI: 10.1214/aop/1176989121. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1214/aop/1176989121>.
- [Gha15] Shayan Oveis Gharan. *Recent Developments in Approximation Algorithms*. University Lecture. 2015. URL: <https://homes.cs.washington.edu/~shayan/courses/approx/>.
- [GR01] C. Godsil and G.F. Royle. *Algebraic Graph Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 2001. ISBN: 9780387952208. URL: <https://books.google.co.in/books?id=gk60QgAACAAJ>.
- [Gué83] A Guénoche. "Random spanning tree." In: *Journal of Algorithms* 4.3 (1983), pp. 214–220. ISSN: 0196-6774. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0196-6774\(83\)90022-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0196-6774(83)90022-6). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0196677483900226>.