Random Trees and Effective Resistance

Kishlaya Jaiswal

August 12, 2020

Abstract

We look at a graph as an electric network to present a simple proof for the well known relation between effective resistance of an edge and the chances of that edge being in a uniformly random spanning tree.

Advisor: Samir Datta

1 Introduction

Let G = (V, E) be a given undirected graph. Sampling a uniformly random spanning tree T is a well-studied problem and the question we ask is: given an edge $e \in E$, what are the chances that a random spanning tree has this edge e.

We consider an electric circuit where each edge in G is replaced with a resistor of 1Ω . To setup a potential difference, we supply external current i_{ext} at nodes; then potential p at each node is given by: $i_{ext} = Lp$ where L denotes the Laplacian of the graph.

Effective resistance of an edge e=(a,b), denoted by $R_{\rm eff}(e)$, is defined as potential difference across e when a unit current is inducted at a and taken out at b.

So we consider the particular vector $i_{ext}=x_e$ where $x_e(a)=1$, $x_e(b)=-1$ and $x_e(c)=0$ for all $c\neq a,b$. Since $x_e\perp 1$, we know that a solution for p exists and can be given by $p=L^\dagger x_e$ where L^\dagger denotes the psuedo-inverse of L. Therefore, potential difference between a and b is $p(a)-p(b)=x_e^T D^\dagger x_e$ and so $R_{\rm eff}(e)=p(a)-p(b)=x_e^T D^\dagger x_e$

Quite unexpectedly, it turns out:

$$\mathbb{P}[e \in T] = R_{\text{eff}}(e)$$

Given these values $\mathbb{P}(e \in T)$, we can use them to sample a random spanning tree as follows:

Algorithm 1: Sampling a uniformly random spanning tree

But directly calculating these probabilities require enumerating all spanning trees (hard), whereas computing $R_{\text{eff}}(e)$ only involves multiplying vectors (easy).

In what follows, we shall assume that the graph is connected (if the graph is not connected then no spanning tree exists).

2 Matrix Tree Theorem

Matrix Tree Theorem counts the number of spanning trees of G in terms of the Laplacian of the graph. That is, let $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \cdots \lambda_n$ be the eigen values of L, then

#spanning trees of
$$G = \frac{1}{n} \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n$$

We define

$$\bar{L} = L + \frac{1}{n}J$$

 $\bar{L}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ and for any other eigenvector v (of L) of non-zero eigenvalue, since $v \perp \mathbf{1}$, v is also an eigenvector of \bar{L} with same eigenvalue. Hence $\{1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ are the eigenvalues of \bar{L} and so we can re-state the Matrix Tree Theorem as:

$$\# \text{spanning trees of } G = \frac{1}{n} \det(\bar{L})$$

3 Main Result

Lemma 3.1. Given a positive-definite symmetric matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\det(M + xx^{T}) = \det(M)(1 + x^{T}M^{-1}x)$$

Proof. Since M is positive-definite symmetric, there exists a unique positive-definite symmetric matrix $M^{1/2}$ such that $(M^{1/2})^2 = M$

$$\begin{split} \det(M + xx^T) &= \det\left(M^{1/2}(I + M^{-1/2}xx^TM^{-1/2})M^{1/2}\right) \\ &= \det(M)\det(I + M^{-1/2}xx^TM^{-1/2}) \\ &= \det(M)\det(I + yy^T) \end{split}$$

where $y = M^{-1/2}x$. Notice that solutions of $y^Tv = 0$ gives n-1 eigenvectors of $I + yy^T$ with eigenvalue 1 and from trace computation we get the last eigenvalue is $1+y^Ty$. Hence $\det(I+yy^T) = 1+y^Ty = 1+x^TM^{-1}x$ and we are done.

Since G is connected, \bar{L} is a positive definite symmetric matrix and $\det(\bar{L}) > 0$. We also note that Laplacian of $G - \{e\}$ is simply $L - x_e x_e^T$. Therefore, #spanning trees of G not containing e = #spanning trees of $G - \{e\} = \frac{1}{n} \det(\bar{L} - x_e x_e^T)$. Finally we have,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[e \in T] &= 1 - \mathbb{P}[e \not\in T] \\ &= 1 - \frac{\det(\bar{L} - x_e x_e^T)}{\det(\bar{L})} \\ &= 1 - \frac{\det(\bar{L})(1 - x_e^T \bar{L}^{-1} x_e)}{\det(\bar{L})} \\ &= x_e^T \bar{L}^{-1} x_e \\ &= x_e^T L^{\dagger} x_e \end{split}$$

4 Further Extensions

We can further extend this result by asking the probability of $F \subseteq T$ where F is any subset of edges. In this case, we have $\mathbb{P}[F \subseteq T] = \det(X_F)$ where X is a $E \times E$ matrix such that $X(e,f) = x_e^T L^\dagger x_f$. This can be easily proved by inducting on size of F and using Cauchy-Binet formula (note that the base case |F| = 1 is what we have proved above).

When G is weighted undirected graph, in which case resistance of each edge is inverse of it's weight then we have $\mathbb{P}[e \in T] = w(e)R_{\mathrm{eff}}(e)$ where T is sampled with probability proportional to $\prod_{e \in T} w(e)$. The proof is similar with the modification that we work with the weighted Laplacian and use Matrix Tree theorem for weighted graphs.

References

- [Gha15] Shayan Oveis Gharan. Recent Developments in Approximation Algorithms. University Lecture. 2015. URL: https://homes.cs.washington.edu/~shayan/courses/approx/.
- [LP17] R. Lyons and Y. Peres. *Probability on Trees and Networks*. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2017. ISBN: 9781316785331. URL: https://books.google.co.in/books?id=tLDFDQAAQBAJ.
- [Spi15] Daniel A. Spielman. *Spectral Graph Theory*. University Lecture. 2015. URL: https://www.cs.yale.edu/homes/spielman/561/.
- [Vis13] Nisheeth K. Vishnoi. "Lx = b." In: Foundations and Trends in Theoretical Computer Science 8.1-2 (2013), pp. 1–141. DOI: 10.1561/0400000054. URL: https://doi.org/10.1561/0400000054.