

Quantum Computing - Assignment 3

Kishlaya Jaiswal

November 18, 2020

Exercise 1

Let $|\psi\rangle_{AB} \in H_A \otimes H_B$ be a pure state. Assume wlog $\dim(H_A) \geq \dim(H_B) = m$. By Schmidt decomposition there exist orthonormal bases $\{u_i \mid i \leq m\} \subset H_A$ and $\{v_i \mid i \leq m\} \subset H_B$ such that

$$|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \sum_i \alpha_i |u_i\rangle \otimes |v_i\rangle$$

Claim $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ is entangled iff more than one Schmidt coefficients $\{\alpha_i \mid i \leq m\}$ are non-zero

Proof. Firstly, it is clear that atleast one Schmidt coefficient is non-zero because otherwise $|\psi\rangle_{AB} = 0$ is not a valid pure state.

So suppose exactly one Schmidt coefficient α_i is non-zero, then

$$|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \alpha_i |u_i\rangle_A \otimes |v_i\rangle_B$$

is a product state.

Conversely, suppose more than one Schmidt coefficient is non-zero, say α_i and α_j where $i \neq j$. We need to show that $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ is entangled. For the sake of contradiction assume that $|\psi\rangle_{AB} = |\phi\rangle_A \otimes |\varphi\rangle_B$ is a product state. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi\rangle_A \otimes |\varphi\rangle_B &= \sum_i \alpha_i |u_i\rangle \otimes |v_i\rangle \\ \implies (\langle u_i| \otimes \langle v_i|)(|\phi\rangle_A \otimes |\varphi\rangle_B) &= (\langle u_i| \otimes \langle v_i|) \left(\sum_i \alpha_i |u_i\rangle \otimes |v_i\rangle \right) \\ \implies \langle u_i|\phi\rangle \langle v_i|\varphi\rangle &= \alpha_i \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\langle u_j|\phi\rangle \langle v_j|\varphi\rangle = \alpha_j$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi\rangle_A \otimes |\varphi\rangle_B &= \sum_i \alpha_i |u_i\rangle \otimes |v_i\rangle \\ \implies (\langle u_i| \otimes \langle v_j|)(|\phi\rangle_A \otimes |\varphi\rangle_B) &= (\langle u_i| \otimes \langle v_j|) \left(\sum_i \alpha_i |u_i\rangle \otimes |v_i\rangle \right) \\ \implies \langle u_i|\phi\rangle \langle v_j|\varphi\rangle &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $\alpha_i \alpha_j = \langle u_i|\phi\rangle \langle v_i|\varphi\rangle \langle u_j|\phi\rangle \langle v_j|\varphi\rangle = 0$. That is both α_i and α_j can't be non-zero, leading us to a contradiction. □

Exercise 2

Proof. We will prove that Trace is a commutative operator, that is $\text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Tr}(AB) &= \sum_i \langle i | AB | i \rangle \\
 &= \sum_i \langle i | A \left(\sum_j | j \rangle \langle j | \right) B | i \rangle \\
 &= \sum_i \sum_j \langle i | A | j \rangle \langle j | B | i \rangle \\
 &= \sum_j \sum_i \langle j | B | i \rangle \langle i | A | j \rangle \\
 &= \sum_j \langle j | B \left(\sum_i | i \rangle \langle i | \right) A | j \rangle \\
 &= \sum_j \langle j | BA | j \rangle \\
 &= \text{Tr}(BA)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now $\text{Tr}(A(BC)) = \text{Tr}((BC)A)$ and $\text{Tr}(B(CA)) = \text{Tr}((CA)B)$. Since matrix multiplication is associative we get $\text{Tr}(ABC) = \text{Tr}(BCA) = \text{Tr}(CAB)$

$$\text{Tr}_B(|x_1\rangle \langle x_2|_A \otimes |y_1\rangle \langle y_2|_B) = \sum_i I_A \otimes \langle i |_B \left(|x_1\rangle \langle x_2|_A \otimes |y_1\rangle \langle y_2|_B \right) I_A \otimes |i\rangle_B$$

Using the rule $(A \otimes B).(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Tr}_B(|x_1\rangle \langle x_2|_A \otimes |y_1\rangle \langle y_2|_B) &= \sum_i |x_1\rangle \langle x_2|_A \otimes \langle i |_B |y_1\rangle \langle y_2|_B |i\rangle_B \\
 &= |x_1\rangle \langle x_2|_A \otimes \sum_i \langle i |_B |y_1\rangle \langle y_2|_B |i\rangle_B \\
 &= |x_1\rangle \langle x_2|_A \otimes \text{Tr}(|y_1\rangle \langle y_2|_B) \\
 &= |x_1\rangle \langle x_2|_A \otimes \text{Tr}(\langle y_2 | y_1 \rangle) \text{ (Trace is commutative)} \\
 &= |x_1\rangle \langle x_2|_A \langle y_2 | y_1 \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 3

Let $N : \mathcal{L}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$, $N(\rho) = (1-p)\rho + pZ\rho Z$. We will show that N is a quantum channel, that is N is a linear, trace-preserving and completely positive operator.

Claim N is linear

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 N(\rho_1 + \lambda\rho_2) &= (1-p)(\rho_1 + \lambda\rho_2) + pZ(\rho_1 + \lambda\rho_2)Z \\
 &= (1-p)(\rho_1) + (1-p)(\lambda\rho_2) + pZ(\rho_1)Z + pZ(\lambda\rho_2)Z \\
 &= ((1-p)\rho_1 + pZ\rho_1Z) + \lambda((1-p)\rho_2 + pZ\rho_2Z) \\
 &= N(\rho_1) + \lambda N(\rho_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Claim N is trace preserving

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}(N(\rho)) &= \text{Tr}((1-p)\rho + pZ\rho Z) \\
&= (1-p)\text{Tr}(\rho) + p\text{Tr}(Z\rho Z) \\
&= (1-p)\text{Tr}(\rho) + p\text{Tr}(Z^2\rho) \\
&= (1-p)\text{Tr}(\rho) + p\text{Tr}(\rho) \\
&= \text{Tr}(\rho)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Claim $\forall E, \text{Id}_E \otimes N : \mathcal{L}(H_E \otimes H) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H_E \otimes H)$ is a positive operator

Proof. Let $\theta \in \mathcal{L}(H_E \otimes H)$ be any PSD matrix. Want to show that $(\text{Id}_E \otimes N)(\theta)$ is also PSD matrix. Since θ is a PSD matrix, we have $\forall x \langle x|\theta|x \rangle \geq 0$. Identify $\mathcal{L}(H_E \otimes H) \cong \mathcal{L}(H_E) \otimes \mathcal{L}(H)$ and fix any basis $\{\sigma_i \mid i \leq m\}$ for $\mathcal{L}(H_E)$ and $\{\rho_j \mid j \leq n\}$ for $\mathcal{L}(H)$, then $\theta = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(\sigma_i \otimes \rho_j)$ for some $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$(\text{Id}_E \otimes N)(\theta) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(\text{Id}_E \otimes N)(\sigma_i \otimes \rho_j) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \sigma_i \otimes N(\rho_j)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle x | \text{Id}_E \otimes N(\theta) | x \rangle &= \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \langle x | \sigma_i \otimes N(\rho_j) | x \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} (1-p) \langle x | \sigma_i \otimes \rho_j | x \rangle + \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} p \langle x | \sigma_i \otimes Z\rho_j Z | x \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

But we can re-write

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma \otimes Z\rho Z &= (I_E \otimes Z)(\sigma \otimes \rho)(I_E \otimes Z) \\
\implies \langle x | \sigma \otimes Z\rho Z | x \rangle &= \langle y | \sigma \otimes \rho | y \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where $|y\rangle = (I_E \otimes Z)|x\rangle$ (because $I_E \otimes Z$ is Hermitian). Hence we get

$$\langle x | \text{Id}_E \otimes N(\theta) | x \rangle = (1-p) \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \langle x | \sigma_i \otimes \rho_j | x \rangle + p \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \langle y | \sigma_i \otimes \rho_j | y \rangle = (1-p) \langle x | \theta | x \rangle + p \langle y | \theta | y \rangle \geq 0$$

that is N is a completely positive operator. □

We begin by noting the following relations:

$$ZXZ = -X, ZYZ = -Y$$

because

- $ZXZ|0\rangle = ZX|0\rangle = Z|1\rangle = -|1\rangle = -X|0\rangle$ and $ZXZ|1\rangle = -ZX|1\rangle = -Z|0\rangle = -|0\rangle = -X|1\rangle$
- $ZYZ|0\rangle = ZY|0\rangle = iZ|1\rangle = -i|1\rangle = -Y|0\rangle$ and $ZYZ|1\rangle = -ZY|1\rangle = iZ|0\rangle = i|0\rangle = -Y|1\rangle$

Thus, we get $N(X) = (1-p)X + pZXZ = (1-2p)X$ and $N(Y) = (1-p)Y + pYZZ = (1-2p)Y$ and $N(Z) = (1-p)Z + pZZZ = Z$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
N\left(\frac{1}{2}(I + r_x X + r_y Y + r_z Z)\right) &= \frac{1}{2}(N(I) + r_x N(X) + r_y N(Y) + r_z N(Z)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(I + r_x(1-2p)X + r_y(1-2p)Y + r_z Z)
\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 4

Claim Let A be any linear operator over a \mathbb{C} -vector space, then we can write $A = B + iC$ for some B, C Hermitian operators

Proof. Consider $B = (A + A^\dagger)/2$ and $C = (A - A^\dagger)/2i$ then $A = B + iC$ is clear.
Moreover, $B^\dagger = (A^\dagger + A)/2 = B$ and $C^\dagger = -(A^\dagger - A)/2i = C$, as desired. \square

Claim Let A be a Hermitian operator then $\forall v, \langle v|A|v \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$

Proof. Using spectral theorem, we know that there exists an orthonormal eigenbasis $\{v_i \mid i \leq n\}$ such that $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$ where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \forall i \leq n$
Now for any v , write $v = \sum_i c_i v_i$ and then

$$\langle v|A|v \rangle = \sum_i |c_i|^2 \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

\square

Claim Let A be any linear operator over a \mathbb{C} -vector space such that $\forall v, \langle v|A|v \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$. Then A is a Hermitian operator.

Proof. We write $A = B + iC$ with B, C Hermitian.

Since B, C are Hermitian, $\langle v|B|v \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\langle v|C|v \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore $\forall v$

$$\langle v|A|v \rangle = \langle v|B|v \rangle + i \langle v|C|v \rangle \in \mathbb{R} \implies \langle v|C|v \rangle = 0$$

Hence $C = 0 \implies A = B$ which is Hermitian. \square

Finally, let A be a positive operator, which means $\forall v, \langle v|A|v \rangle \geq 0$. That is $\forall v, \langle v|A|v \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$. Using above claim, we get that A is Hermitian.