5. Expert Systems

Course: Introduction to AI
Instructor: Saumya Jetley
Teaching Assistant(s): Raghav Awasty & Subhrajit Roy

October 19, 2022

Definition

Systems that encapsulate the knowledge of experts to be able to perform diagnosis and/or assist in decision making in specific domains

- Given symptoms - disease diagnosis
- Given history of symptoms and treatments - current prescription/treatment
- Given state of production plant - decision of opening/closing a valve

Rules of interaction

$$Tell - Ask - Tell$$

- Tell: what is being observed in the environment
- Ask: the action that should be performed
- Tell: the action that has been performed

Internal machinery

Expertise is encapsulated in a *knowledge base* (a list of context-specific axioms), which is amenable to:

- Reasoning: Perform inference given observed data and existing axioms
- Update: Add/remove axioms based on feedback to inferences

I. Case-based expert systems

Works by finding the best match for the case under study with a historical, successful case; and borrows its decision/diagnosis

Steps:

1. Characterise the case to a suitable level of abstraction
2. Match the case feature-by-feature to cases in the database
3. Select the best-match with matching score $>$ threshold
4. Follow its line of reasoning

Scheme of case-based reasoning

1 **Case Retrieval**: Old cases that can be labeled by features (or features derived from features) of the new case,
   - *Recall previous cases*
   - *Select the best subset*

   Challenges:
   - Cases may share surface level features even though they may not be relevant
   - Need to be compared at more abstract levels
   - Derived features need to be extracted efficiently
   - Fast retrieval from expansive case libraries

   All encapsulated under **indexing problems** which is to assign a label or index that appropriately designates conditions in which cases can be used for inference.

Scheme of case-based reasoning

2. **Propose ballpark solution**: Relevant portion of cases are extracted as proposed solution to new case
   Challenges: What part of the old solution to focus on for the new solution
3. **Adaptation**: Old solution to be used as inspiration for new solution
   Challenges: Finding adaptation strategies; can general strategies be used to define specialized strategies?
4. **Justification and Criticism**: Solution is justified before being tried; compare and contrast to other proposed solutions
   Issues: Strategies for evaluation using cases; generating appropriate hypotheticals and strategies for using them; assignment of blame or credit to old cases

Scheme of case-based reasoning

5. **Evaluation**: Solution is tried out in the real world; feedback obtained; can lead back to adaptation step to improve the solution
6. **Store/update memory**: New case and its solution stored for future use; expands case-based repository
   Challenges: indexing problems; using the right vocabulary to store the new case and solution, and keeping all information accessible

Scheme of case-based reasoning



Figure: Case-based reasoning system proposed by Koldoner [1]

[1] An Introduction to Case-Based Reasoning by Janet L. Kolodner

Advantages

- Extensive applicability
  - Design, planning, diagnosis, explanation
- Intuitive
  - Based on how human thinking relates to previously solved problems
- Time efficient
  - Avoids making previously made mistakes while looking for a solution
- Works on partial knowledge of domain
- Learning over time
  - More old cases stored in repository, higher the chances of success of finding a solution for a new case

Example: Car Fault Diagnosis

Retrieve old cases from repository

Old case 1

Problems and Features

- Problem: Front light not working
- Car: VW Golf, 2.0L
- Year: 1999
- Battery voltage: 13.6V
- State of lights: OK
- State of light switch: OK

Solution

- Diagnosis: Front light fuse defect
- Repair: Replace front light fuse

Old case 2

Problems and Features

- Problem: Front light not working
- Car: Passat
- Year: 2000
- Battery voltage: 12.6V
- State of lights: surface damaged
- State of light switch: OK

Solution

- Diagnosis: Bulb defect
- Repair: Replace front light

New case

Problems and Features

- Problem: Brake light not working
- Car: Passat V6
- Year: 2002
- Battery voltage: 12.9V
- State of lights: OK
- State of light switch: ?

- Not all features are well known when mapping to old cases
- Compare features with old cases weighted by importance and find similar cases

Example: Car Fault Diagnosis

Old case 1

Problems and Features

- Problem: Front light not working
- Car: VW Golf, 2.0L
- Year: 1999
- Battery voltage: 13.6V
- State of lights: OK
- State of light switch: OK

Solution

- Diagnosis: Front light fuse defect
- Repair: Replace front light fuse

New case

Problems and Features

- Problem: Brake light not working
- Car: Passat V6
- Year: 2002
- Battery voltage: 12.9V
- State of lights: OK
- State of light switch: ?

Old case 2

Problems and Features

- Problem: Front light not working
- Car: Passat
- Year: 2000
- Battery voltage: 12.6V
- State of lights: surface damaged
- State of light switch: OK

Solution

- Diagnosis: Bulb defect
- Repair: Replace front light



$$\text{Similarity} = \frac{(6 \times (0.8 + 0.4 + 1.0)) + (1 \times (0.7 + 0.9))}{20}$$

$$= 0.87$$

$$\text{Similarity} = \frac{(6 \times (0.8 + 0.8 + 0.0)) + (1 \times (0.8 + 0.9))}{20}$$

$$= 0.59$$

very important (weight: 6)

less important (weight: 1)

Example: Car Fault Diagnosis

Similarity higher with case 1 → Reuse solution

Old case 1

Problems and Features

- Problem: Front light not working

Solution

- Diagnosis: Front light fuse defect
- Repair: Replace front light fuse

New case

Problems and Features

- Problem: Brake light not working

Adapt

Proposed solution

New Solution

- Diagnosis: Brake light fuse defect
- Repair: Replace brake light fuse

Store the new case along with solution in the repository

Case 3

Problems and Features

- Problem: Brake light not working
- Car: Passat V6
- Year: 2002
- Battery voltage: 12.9V
- State of lights: OK
- State of light switch: OK

Solution

- Diagnosis: Brake light fuse defect
- Repair: Replace brake light fuse

Case matching: Challenges

- How to?
  - Define level of abstraction
  - Choose the threshold for match
- Data sufficiency?
  - Data needed for good-decision making grows exponentially with depth of abstraction
- Tractability?

Retrospective

Need for a generalised framework for representation and matching

Whilst working with different kinds of knowledge:

- Heuristic knowledge
  Empirical knowledge of correlations
  Symptoms and disease associations
- Deep knowledge
  Causal knowledge based on system understanding
  Anatomy or physics of *this* causing *that*
- Meta knowledge
  Knowledge about knowledge
  Reliability of source; certainty of knowledge

Rule-based systems

Pre-discussion:

- Goal trees
- Necessity Logic

Rule-based systems

A. Categorical knowledge base

- Uses facts that are known without doubt
- Maps logical relationships between facts to outcome facts
- Represented as $IF <$ *antecedents* $>$-$THEN <$ *conclusion* $>$ constructs
  - the antecedent is a collection/conjunction of facts
  - the conclusion is some new fact that follows

Declarative (rule listing) instead of procedural (embedded in structure); easy to modify context by changing rules

Rule-based systems

B. Inference in categorical knowledge base

- TELL: Observations
  - Two feet
  - Wings
  - Can't fly
- ASK: What is it? or Is it this?

Rule-based systems

FORWARD CHAINING

- Pick rules whose antecedents are all established and add their conclusion to the list of facts
- TERMINATION: Repeat this until one of the facts of interest is proven or no further rules are to be found

Characteristics:

- Breadth or Depth first depends on the order in which the rules are presented/added to the knowledge base
- Data driven

Rule-based systems

BACKWARD CHAINING

- Take all the rules where the conclusion is the 'target' conclusion
- Prove recursively all the antecedents in the rule

Characteristics:

- Depth-first
- Goal driven
- BUT: won't end if the knowledge base is cyclic
- TERMINATION: When all propositions with intended conclusion are tried, and one or none is proved.

Rule-based systems

TRADE-OFF

- Backward Chaining
  - Works more efficiently when the number of diagnoses are few
  - Cannot handle cyclical knowledge bases
- Forward Chaining
  - Works more efficiently when the number of diagnoses are large
  - Can handle cyclical knowledge bases

Rule-based systems

Characteristics

- Interactive
  - ASK: Does it have this?
  - TELL: Yes/No
- Explanatory
  - List of antecedents that were confirmed

Rule-based systems

Conflict resolution:

- Priority
- Specificity
- Utility
- Recency
- Disjointedness/ Context Limiting

Reasoning under Certainty

- Uses: Simple to organise and reason
- Limitations:
  - Intractable database to capture the world
  - Not all facts are known with 100% confidence

Reasoning under Uncertainty

- Aggregating evidence
- Belief propagation

References

1. An Introduction to Expert Systems by Bryan S. Todd, 1992 (Chapter-4)
2. Artificial Intelligence - A Modern Approach by Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig, 2021 (Chapter-9)
3. An Introduction to Case-Based Reasoning by Janet L. Kolodner, 1992

Overview