

Evaluation Rubric

Criteria	Meets expectations	Does not meet expectations
Data understanding, preparation and EDA (~30%)	<p>All data quality checks are performed, and all data quality issues are addressed in the right way (missing value imputation, removing duplicate data and other kinds of data redundancies, etc.).</p> <p>Explanations for data quality issues are clearly mentioned in comments or in the presentation.</p> <p>Dummy variables are created properly wherever applicable.</p> <p>New metrics are derived if applicable and are used for analysis and modelling.</p>	<p>All quality checks are not done, data quality issues are not addressed correctly to an appropriate level.</p> <p>Dummy variables are not created properly.</p> <p>New metrics are not derived or are not used for analysis.</p> <p>The data is not converted to a clean format</p>

PG Diploma in
Data Science
December 2020

 **Home**

 **Discussion**

 **Careers**



	analysis in Python.	not cleaned using commands in Python.
Model building and evaluation (~40%)	<p>Model parameters are tuned using correct principles and the approach is explained clearly. Both technical and business aspects are considered while building the model.</p> <p>Correct variable selection techniques are used. A reasonable number of different models are attempted and the best one is chosen based on key performance metrics.</p> <p>Model evaluation is done using the correct principles and appropriate evaluation metrics are chosen.</p> <p>The results are at par with the best possible model on the dataset.</p>	<p>Parameters are not tuned enough or tuned incorrectly.</p> <p>Relevant business aspects are not considered while model building.</p> <p>Variable selection techniques are used incorrectly / not conducted. A variety of models are not considered or a sub-optimal one is finalised.</p> <p>The evaluation process deviates from correct model selection principles,</p>

PG Diploma in
Data Science
December 2020

 **Home**

 **Discussion**

 **Careers**



	<p>The model is interpreted and explained correctly. The commented code includes a brief explanation of the important variables and the model in simple terms.</p>	<p>evaluated or are incorrectly evaluated.</p> <p>The results are not at par with the best possible model on the dataset.</p> <p>The model is not interpreted and explained correctly.</p>
<p>Subjective Questions (~10%)</p>	<p>The answer to the subjective questions clear, concise and to the point.</p> <p>No assumptions are made and the reasons behind the answers are explained clearly.</p>	<p>The answers are unnecessarily long and unclear.</p> <p>The assumptions, if any, behind the answers, are not explained and the reasons behind the answers are not given clearly.</p>
<p>Presentation and Recommendations</p>	<p>The presentation has a clear structure, is not too long, and</p>	<p>The presentation lacks structure, is</p>

PG Diploma in
Data Science
December 2020

 **Home**

 **Discussion**

 **Careers**



	<p>results concisely in simple language.</p> <p>The recommendations to solve the problems are realistic, actionable and coherent with the analysis.</p> <p>If any assumptions are made, they are stated clearly.</p>	<p>on the important observations. The language used is complicated for business people to understand.</p> <p>The recommendations to solve the problems are either unrealistic, non-actionable or incoherent with the analysis.</p> <p>Contains unnecessary details or lacks the important ones.</p> <p>Assumptions made, if any, are not stated clearly.</p>
Summary Report (~5%)	The process followed and all the learnings are clearly	The process followed and

PG Diploma in
Data Science
December 2020

 **Home**


 **Discussion**


 **Careers**





	<p>The report is neither too detailed nor too brief. The 500-word word limit is followed.</p>	<p>and the report keeps deviating from it.</p> <p>The report is too brief or too detailed, i.e., it doesn't stick to the 500-word word limit.</p>
Conciseness and readability of the code (~5%)	<p>The code is concise and syntactically correct.</p> <p>Wherever appropriate, built-in functions and standard libraries are used instead of writing long code (if-else statements, for loops, etc.).</p> <p>Custom functions are used to perform repetitive tasks.</p> <p>The code is readable with appropriately named variables and detailed comments are written wherever necessary.</p>	<p>Long and complex code used instead of shorter built-in functions.</p> <p>Custom functions are not used to perform repetitive tasks resulting in the same piece of code being repeated multiple times.</p> <p>Code readability is poor because of vaguely named</p>


PG Diploma in
Data Science
December 2020

 **Home**

 **Discussion**


 **Careers**





		wherever necessary.	
--	--	------------------------	--

 [Report an error](#)

 **PREVIOUS**
Problem Statement

NEXT
Submission 