

AN INVERSE CALCULUS FOR THE ODD LAYER OF THE COLLATZ MAP

AGOLA KISIRA ODERO

ABSTRACT.

We develop a finite-state, word-based framework for the accelerated odd Collatz map $U(y) = \frac{3y+1}{2^{\nu_2(3y+1)}}$. Every admissible token (one of $\Psi, \psi, \omega, \Omega$) corresponds to a fixed “row” with parameters $(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ such that for inputs $x = 18m + 6j + p_6$ the update $x' = 6F(p, m) + \delta$ with

$$F(p, m) = \frac{(9m 2^\alpha + \beta) 64^p + c}{9}$$

satisfies the forward identity $3x' + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p}x$. Hence $U(x') = x$ at every step, providing a per-step certificate independent of the starting value. We formalize *steering* by same-family padding: short words that (i) strictly increase the 2-adic valuation of the affine slope and (ii) control the intercept modulo 2 and modulo 3. This yields a deterministic lifting procedure that reduces reachability modulo $3 \cdot 2^{K+1}$ to a linear congruence once modulo 3 is aligned; a 2-adic refinement then promotes compatible residue solutions to an exact integer solution for a fixed word. We include a reference implementation that verifies each row identity, the mod-3 steering action, and example witnesses modulo 24.

The main contribution is a unified, certified inverse-word calculus on the odd layer together with explicit steering gadgets that turn residue targeting into solvable congruences. Because the resulting program would imply convergence of the odd Collatz dynamics to 1, we provide machine-checkable tests and artifacts to facilitate scrutiny.

CONTENTS

1. Related work: inverse trees, 2-adic lifting, and modular routing	2
2. Notation, indices, and moves	3
3. Standing assumptions and conventions	4
4. Parameter table for the unified rows	6
5. Unified $p = 0$ table (straight substitution)	6
6. Row correctness, family pattern, and word semantics	7
7. Worked examples (unified table, straight substitution)	7
8. Row-level invariance and many realizations	8
9. Row design and the forward identity	9
10. Super-families via p -lift and a $p = 1$ table	9
11. Same-family padding as <i>steering</i> (unified notion)	11
12. Induction on the modulus $M_K = 3 \cdot 2^K$	12
13. From residues to exact integers	16
14. Base witnesses at $K \equiv 3 \pmod{24}$ and examples	16
15. Mod-3 steering in the same family	16
16. Synthesis: how the pieces yield convergence on the odd layer	19
17. Responses to anticipated objections	19
Appendix A: Concrete steering gadgets (valuation & parity)	20
Appendix A' Mod-3 steering (valuation & residue control)	21
Appendix B: Residue-by-residue parity gadgets mod 54 (certificate)	23
Appendix C: Witness tables mod 48 and 96	24
Appendix D: Derivation of the identity $3x'_p + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p}x$	25
Appendix A. Row-consistent reversibility (with optional p -lift)	25
Appendix F: Code and Data Availability	28
Appendix G: Reproducibility Details	28

Date: October 13, 2025.

1. RELATED WORK: INVERSE TREES, 2-ADIC LIFTING, AND MODULAR ROUTING

Our approach—finite word semantics on the odd layer, certified one-step inverses, and congruence-based “steering” to lift residues from $M_K = 3 \cdot 2^K$ to M_{K+1} —sits alongside several established techniques.

Mod- 2^k analysis and lifting. Garner studied the $3n+1$ dynamics modulo powers of two, organizing inverse branches by congruence classes and effectively “lifting” structure from 2^k to 2^{k+1} [Gar81]. Our use of the unified rows with a column-lift parameter p (which multiplies the 2-adic slope by 2^{6p}) and the residue steering gadgets plays a similar role: we solve linear congruences for m to pass from M_K to M_{K+1} while preserving certified inverses at each step.

Inverse trees and predecessor sets. Wirsching’s monograph develops the inverse (predecessor) tree of the $3n+1$ function as a dynamical system, with emphasis on structure, measures, and asymptotics on inverse branches [Wir98]. Conceptually, our move alphabet and per-row affine forms are a finite-state presentation of those inverse branches: each token certifies $U(x') = x$ and the composition yields an affine map in the “index” m , which we then route by residues M_K .

The 2-adic viewpoint and conjugacies. Bernstein and Lagarias constructed a 2-adic conjugacy map relating the odd-accelerated Collatz dynamics to a Bernoulli-like shift [BL96]. Our p -lift (multiplying by 2^{6p}) and the parity/valuation steering reflect this same 2-adic continuity: column-lifts shift 2-adic scale, while steering gadgets tune intercept parity to land on prescribed residue classes.

Symmetries and autoconjugacy. Monks and Yazinski analyzed autoconjugacies of the $3x+1$ function and their implications for orbit structure [MY04]. While our framework is more combinatorial/affine, the way we keep the family pattern fixed (Lemma 3) and exploit same-family padding resonates with their use of structural symmetries.

Surveys and context. For broad background and additional modular/density insights, see [Lag10; Ter76; Ter79]; for 2-adic heuristics and continuity themes, see [Gou97; Nat96]. These perspectives motivate our use of 2-adic “padding” and linear congruences as lifting mechanisms.

What is new here. Our contribution is a single unified $p=0$ inverse table on the odd layer (Table 3) with a per-step column-lift $p \geq 0$ and explicit steering gadgets that (i) raise v_2 of the word’s slope and (ii) toggle the intercept parity, ensuring solvability of the lifting congruences modulo M_{K+1} while keeping each step certified by $U(x') = x$.

Contributions.

- **One-table, word-driven inverse calculus on the odd layer.** We give a unified $p=0$ row table with closed forms $x' = 6F(0, m) + \delta$ indexed only by (s, j, m) . Once a token and (s, j) are fixed, the step is fully determined and the forward identity $3x' + 1 = 2^\alpha x$ holds by construction (Lemma 2).
- **Column-lift p that preserves routing while scaling the 2-adic slope.** The parameter p multiplies the slope by 2^{6p} without changing the token type or output family, yielding a single mechanism that subsumes whole towers of congruence tables (Lemmas 7–9).
- **CRT tag for transparent indexing.** The tag $t = (x - 1)/2$ (equivalently $(3x + 1 - 4)/6$) makes family detection and indices (s, j, m) linear in t (Corollary 1), simplifying routing proofs.
- **Steering gadgets that control v_2 , $B \bmod 2$, and $B \bmod 3$.** Short same-family words provably boost the slope’s 2-adic valuation and toggle the affine intercept $B \bmod 2$, ensuring solvability of the lifting congruence at each modulus (Lemmas 10 and 13, App. 17.).
- **From small witnesses to all moduli and exact integers.** Starting at $M_3=24$, we give a deterministic induction $M_K \rightarrow M_{K+1}$ (Lemma 11) that reaches every odd residue with certified steps, and then a 2-adic refinement to hit any prescribed odd integer exactly (Theorem 5).
- **Row-level invariance certificates.** We isolate a mod-54 one-step invariance (Lemma 5) that explains why fixed tokens reselect the same next row across many starts, aiding certification and automation.
- **Executable, per-step certificates.** A reference implementation emits step traces and verifies $U(x') = x$ at each step, making all claims reproducible from the table (App. C).

Relation to prior techniques.

- **Versus classical modular inverse-tree analyses (Terras, Lagarias, *etc.*).** Prior work develops rich residue classifications and stopping-time bounds; our contribution is a *single* finite-state table with a word calculus and an explicit steering mechanism that turns residue reachability into solvable linear congruences with guaranteed 2-adic headroom.
- **Versus 2-adic dynamical viewpoints (Gouvêa, Nathanson).** Earlier 2-adic studies illuminate topology, measures, and cycles. We use the 2-adic setting constructively: the slope/offset control plus 2-adic completeness converts an infinite ladder of congruences into an exact integer solution anchored to a concrete word.
- **Versus “energy”/almost-everywhere results (Tao 2019 and follow-ups).** These show near-monotone behavior for a density-one set via probabilistic/analytic Lyapunov methods. Our approach is entirely combinatorial and constructive: for each target residue (and ultimately each odd integer) we produce a finite word and certify every inverse step by $3x' + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p}x$.

Scope note. Standard ingredients (accelerated map U , parity splitting, v_2 , and modular routing) are classical; the novelty here is the *unified word/table formalism* with a *routing-preserving p -lift* and *steering gadgets* (including mod-3 control) that together enable a fully constructive lifting from mod 24 to exact integers with stepwise certificates.

Main claim and method. Our main claim (Theorem 7) is that every odd $x \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}$ reaches 1 in finitely many accelerated odd Collatz steps. The method is modular: (i) certify row-level inverses $U(x') = x$ (Lemma 2); (ii) show any admissible word yields an affine form in m with controlled terminal family (Lemma 4 and Lemma 3); (iii) furnish base witnesses modulo 24 (Table 5); (iv) use same-family *steering gadgets* to raise $v_2(A)$ and control $B \bmod 2$ and $B \bmod 3$ (Lemmas 10, 13); (v) lift residues $M_K \rightarrow M_{K+1}$ (Lemma 11, Theorem 4); (vi) pass from residues to exact integers by 2-adic refinement (Theorem 5). For a discussion addressing common misreadings, see Section 17.

2. NOTATION, INDICES, AND MOVES

To unify all Collatz inverse odd orbits we work with an affine form indexed by row parameters (α, β, c) and an orbit-type offset $\delta \in \{1, 5\}$. For any nonnegative integer $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $m = \lfloor x/18 \rfloor$, define

$$F_{\alpha, \beta, c}(p, m) := \frac{(9m2^\alpha + \beta)64^p + c}{9} = 2^{\alpha+6p}m + \frac{\beta64^p + c}{9}, \quad x' = 6F_{\alpha, \beta, c}(p, m) + \delta.$$

Here p is a *column-lift* that preserves routing/type (and δ) while multiplying the 2-adic slope by 2^{6p} ; integrality of $F_{\alpha, \beta, c}(p, m)$ follows from $64 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$. The base table is recovered at $p = 0$ (so $F_{\alpha, \beta, c}(0, m) = 2^\alpha m + (\beta + c)/9$), and in all cases the forward identity

$$3x' + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p}x$$

holds, hence $U(x') = x$. In the following we use orbit and step interchangeably.

Let x be odd with $x \not\equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ and define

$$s(x) = \begin{cases} \text{e}, & x \equiv 1 \pmod{6}, \\ \text{o}, & x \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, \end{cases} \quad r = \left\lfloor \frac{x}{6} \right\rfloor, \quad j = r \bmod 3 \in \{0, 1, 2\}, \quad m = \left\lfloor \frac{x}{18} \right\rfloor.$$

We use the accelerated odd Collatz map $U(y) = \frac{3y+1}{2^{\nu_2(3y+1)}}$, standard in the Collatz literature [Lag10].

The move alphabet is $\mathcal{A} = \{\Psi, \psi, \omega, \Omega\}$ with type mapping

$$\Psi \leftrightarrow \text{ee}, \quad \psi \leftrightarrow \text{eo}, \quad \omega \leftrightarrow \text{oe}, \quad \Omega \leftrightarrow \text{oo}.$$

Admissibility by family: if $s(x) = \text{e}$ we may use Ψ or ψ ; if $s(x) = \text{o}$ we may use ω or Ω .

A CRT tag for odds, and re-indexing by t . Define, for odd x ,

$$y := 3x + 1, \quad t := \frac{y - 4}{6} = \frac{x - 1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Lemma 1 (CRT tag for odd inputs). *For odd x one has $3x + 1 \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ and the tag $t = \frac{3x + 1 - 4}{6} = \frac{x - 1}{2}$ is an integer. Moreover, the map $x \mapsto t$ is a bijection between odd integers and all integers via $x = 2t + 1$.*

Proof.

- Mod 2: $x \equiv 1 \Rightarrow 3x + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ (even).
- Mod 3: $3x + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.
- The unique residue modulo 6 that is 0 mod 2 and 1 mod 3 is 4, hence $3x + 1 \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$, so $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- The identities $t = (x - 1)/2$ and $x = 2t + 1$ give a bijection odd \leftrightarrow integer.

□

Example 1 (After Lemma 1). With $x = 19$ one has $y = 58 \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ and $t = (58 - 4)/6 = 9 = (19 - 1)/2$; conversely $x = 2t + 1 = 19$.

Corollary 1 (Family and indices from the tag). *Let $t = \frac{x-1}{2}$ for odd x . Then*

$$x \bmod 6 = 2(t \bmod 3) + 1, \quad m = \left\lfloor \frac{x}{18} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{t}{9} \right\rfloor, \quad j = \left\lfloor \frac{x}{6} \right\rfloor \bmod 3 = \left\lfloor \frac{t}{3} \right\rfloor \bmod 3,$$

provided $t \bmod 3 \in \{0, 2\}$ (i.e. $x \not\equiv 3 \pmod{6}$).

Proof.

- Write $t = 3q + r$ with $r \in \{0, 1, 2\}$; then $x = 2t + 1 = 6q + 2r + 1 \equiv 2r + 1 \pmod{6}$. Thus $r = 0 \Rightarrow x \equiv 1$, $r = 2 \Rightarrow x \equiv 5$, $r = 1 \Rightarrow x \equiv 3$.
- For m : $\frac{x}{18} = \frac{2t+1}{18} = \frac{t}{9} + \frac{1}{18}$, so $\lfloor x/18 \rfloor = \lfloor t/9 \rfloor$.
- For j with $r \in \{0, 2\}$: $\frac{x}{6} = \frac{2t+1}{6} = \frac{t}{3} + \frac{1}{6}$ and $\lfloor t/3 + 1/6 \rfloor = \lfloor t/3 \rfloor$, hence $j = \lfloor t/3 \rfloor \bmod 3$.

□

Example 2 (After Corollary 1). If $x = 53$, then $t = (53 - 1)/2 = 26$. We get $t \bmod 3 = 2 \Rightarrow x \bmod 6 = 5$ (family o), $m = \lfloor 26/9 \rfloor = 2$, and $j = \lfloor 26/3 \rfloor \bmod 3 = 8 \bmod 3 = 2$, matching the table rows used later.

3. STANDING ASSUMPTIONS AND CONVENTIONS

We enumerate the ambient assumptions used throughout. None of these are Collatz-specific hypotheses; they are standard arithmetic facts and explicitly verified table properties.

- (A1) **Universe and variables.** All variables are integers unless noted. We work on the *odd layer*: inputs x are odd with $x \geq 1$. The column parameter $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and the step indices are

$$m = \left\lfloor \frac{x}{18} \right\rfloor, \quad j = \left\lfloor \frac{x}{6} \right\rfloor \bmod 3, \quad x = 18m + 6j + p_6, \quad p_6 \in \{1, 5\}.$$

- (A2) **Accelerated odd map.** We use $U(y) = \frac{3y + 1}{2^{\nu_2(3y+1)}}$. For odd y , one has $3y + 1 \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$, hence $U(y) \equiv 1 \text{ or } 5 \pmod{6}$.

- (A3) **CRT tag.** For odd x , the tag $t = \frac{3x + 1 - 4}{6} = \frac{x - 1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ is used only as a reindexing device; it is bijective via $x = 2t + 1$.

- (A4) **Row parameter table is integral/consistent.** For every row $(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ in Table 2:

$$k = \frac{\beta + c}{9} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \delta = \begin{cases} 1, & \star \mathbf{e} \\ 5, & \star \mathbf{o} \end{cases}$$

so that $F(0, m) = 2^\alpha m + k$ and $x' = 6F(0, m) + \delta$ are integer-valued.

TABLE 1. Notation used throughout. Families e, o are $1, 5 \pmod{6}$. Indices j, m come from $x = 18m + 6j + p_6$ with $p_6 \in \{1, 5\}$.

Symbol	Meaning
$U(y) = \frac{3y+1}{2^{\nu_2(3y+1)}}$	Accelerated odd Collatz map (odd layer).
x	Current odd, always $x \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}$ on the odd layer.
$s(x) \in \{e, o\}$	Family of x : e if $x \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, o if $x \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$.
$j = \lfloor \frac{x}{6} \rfloor \bmod 3$	Row index (next-row selector), $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.
$m = \lfloor \frac{x}{18} \rfloor$	Coarse index used in the closed forms $x'(m)$.
$p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$	Column-lift parameter; each step multiplies the forward power by 2^{6p} .
α, β, c, δ	Row parameters; $\delta \in \{1, 5\}$ is the output family offset.
$k = \frac{\beta+c}{9}$	One-step constant at $p=0$; integrality since $\beta+c \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$.
$F(p, m) = \frac{(9m2^\alpha + \beta)64^p + c}{9}$	Lifted per-row form; integral since $64 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$.
$x' = 6F(p, m) + \delta$	One-step preimage; satisfies $3x' + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p}x$.
$\nu_2(n)$	2-adic valuation of n .
$t = \frac{x-1}{2}$	CRT tag (reindexing); bijection $x = 2t + 1$.
$\mathcal{A} = \{\Psi, \psi, \omega, \Omega\}$	Token alphabet; types ee, eo, oe, oo respectively.
$W \in \mathcal{A}^*$	A word (sequence of tokens).
$x_W(m) = 6(A_W m + B_W) + \delta_W$	Affine form after a word; $A_W = 3 \cdot 2^{\alpha(W)}$.
$M_K = 3 \cdot 2^K$	Working modulus for lifting; odd residues split into $\mathcal{E}_K, \mathcal{O}_K$.
$\mathcal{E}_K, \mathcal{O}_K$	$\mathcal{E}_K = \{1 + 6t \bmod M_K\}$, $\mathcal{O}_K = \{5 + 6t \bmod M_K\}$.

(A5) **Column lifts are integral.** For $p \geq 0$,

$$F_{\alpha, \beta, c}(p, m) = \frac{(9m2^\alpha + \beta)64^p + c}{9} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{since } 64 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}.$$

(A6) **Per-row odd-forward identity.** For every admissible row and $p \geq 0$,

$$3x' + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p}x,$$

hence $U(x') = x$. (Proved in the text; used as a stepwise certificate.)

(A7) **Word affinity and routing.** Composing admissible rows yields an affine form $x_W(m) = 6(A_W m + B_W) + \delta_W$ with $A_W = 3 \cdot 2^{\alpha(W)}$. Family routing (e/o) depends only on the token's type (ee, eo, oe, oo), not on m or p .

(A8) **Steering gadgets exist and are explicit.** There are short same-family composites that (i) raise $\nu_2(A_W)$ arbitrarily (by repetition) and (ii) provide a parity toggle $B_W \mapsto B_W + 1 \pmod{2}$ at $p = 0$. (Concrete tokens are listed in Appendix A; e.g. rows ω_1 and Ω_2 have odd $k = (\beta+c)/9$, enabling the toggle.)

(A9) **Lifting over powers of two uses only standard facts.** We use: solvability of linear congruences $Am \equiv b \pmod{2^K}$; nested lifting to 2^{K+1} (choose a solution compatible modulo 2^{K-1}); and completeness of \mathbb{Z}_2 to pass from compatible residues to an integer m . No heuristic or distributional assumptions are used.

(A10) **Base witnesses are explicit (no hidden computation).** The eight $\bmod 24$ classes $\{1, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23\}$ are each accompanied by a specific finite word W_r (Table 5), verified stepwise via $U(x') = x$. The proof does not rely on unverifiable large-scale searches.

(A11) **Scope relative to the classical map.** All statements are on the odd layer for U . For the classical Collatz map, even runs are removed by dividing out powers of two between odd iterates; the conclusions then transfer verbatim.

Non-assumptions. We do not assume (i) the Collatz conjecture itself, (ii) any stochastic/heuristic model for the orbit, or (iii) density or randomness properties of residue classes. All steps are constructive and finitely checkable.

4. PARAMETER TABLE FOR THE UNIFIED ROWS

Each row is specified by integers (α, β, c) (underlying $F_{\alpha, \beta, c}$) and an output offset $\delta \in \{1, 5\}$ determined by the type's second letter. For convenience we list them all; $*e \Rightarrow \delta = 1$, $*o \Rightarrow \delta = 5$.

TABLE 2. Row parameters $(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$. Keys: $eej \leftrightarrow \Psi_j$, $ej \leftrightarrow \psi_j$, $oej \leftrightarrow \omega_j$, $ooj \leftrightarrow \Omega_j$.

Row key	(s, j)	type	α	β	c	(δ)
ee0	(e, 0)	ee	2	2	-2	(1)
ee1	(e, 1)	ee	4	56	-2	(1)
ee2	(e, 2)	ee	6	416	-2	(1)
oe0	(o, 0)	oe	3	20	-2	(1)
oe1	(o, 1)	oe	1	11	-2	(1)
oe2	(o, 2)	oe	5	272	-2	(1)
eo0	(e, 0)	eo	4	8	-8	(5)
eo1	(e, 1)	eo	6	224	-8	(5)
eo2	(e, 2)	eo	2	26	-8	(5)
oo0	(o, 0)	oo	5	80	-8	(5)
oo1	(o, 1)	oo	3	44	-8	(5)
oo2	(o, 2)	oo	1	17	-8	(5)

5. UNIFIED $p = 0$ TABLE (STRAIGHT SUBSTITUTION)

We evaluate $m = \lfloor x/18 \rfloor$ at each step and use

$$F(0, m) = \frac{9m2^\alpha + \beta + c}{9}, \quad x'(m) = 6F(0, m) + \delta,$$

with the rows below (no further reindexing).

TABLE 3. Unified $p = 0$ forms with $F(0, m) = \frac{9m2^\alpha + \beta + c}{9}$ and $x'(m) = 6F(0, m) + \delta$.

(s, j)	type	move	$F(0, m)$	$x'(m) = 6F(0, m) + \delta$
(e, 0)	ee	Ψ_0	$4m$	$24m + 1$
(e, 1)	ee	Ψ_1	$16m + 6$	$96m + 37$
(e, 2)	ee	Ψ_2	$64m + 46$	$384m + 277$
(o, 0)	oe	ω_0	$8m + 2$	$48m + 13$
(o, 1)	oe	ω_1	$2m + 1$	$12m + 7$
(o, 2)	oe	ω_2	$32m + 30$	$192m + 181$
(e, 0)	eo	ψ_0	$16m$	$96m + 5$
(e, 1)	eo	ψ_1	$64m + 24$	$384m + 149$
(e, 2)	eo	ψ_2	$4m + 2$	$24m + 17$
(o, 0)	oo	Ω_0	$32m + 8$	$192m + 53$
(o, 1)	oo	Ω_1	$8m + 4$	$48m + 29$
(o, 2)	oo	Ω_2	$2m + 1$	$12m + 11$

Routing by $M_K = 3 \cdot 2^K$. Odd residues split as

$$\mathcal{E}_K = \{1 + 6t \pmod{M_K}\}, \quad \mathcal{O}_K = \{5 + 6t \pmod{M_K}\}.$$

If $x \bmod M_K \in \mathcal{E}_K$ use an e -move (Ψ or ψ); if $x \bmod M_K \in \mathcal{O}_K$ use an o -move (ω or Ω). The row's type second letter is the output family of x' and constrains the next symbol.

6. ROW CORRECTNESS, FAMILY PATTERN, AND WORD SEMANTICS

Lemma 2 (Row correctness with $m = \lfloor x/18 \rfloor$). *Fix a row in Table 3 with parameters (α, β, c) and offset $\delta \in \{1, 5\}$. Set $k := (\beta + c)/9 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $F(0, m) = 2^\alpha m + k$, and $x'(m) = 6F(0, m) + \delta$. For any odd input $x = 18m + 6j + p$ with $p \in \{1, 5\}$ one has*

$$3x'(m) + 1 = 2^\alpha x, \quad \text{hence} \quad U(x'(m)) = x.$$

Proof.

- **Normal form for x .** Write $x = 18m + 6j + p_6$ with $m = \lfloor x/18 \rfloor$, $j = \lfloor x/6 \rfloor \bmod 3$, $p_6 \in \{1, 5\}$.
- **One-step map.** With $k = (\beta + c)/9$: $F(0, m) = 2^\alpha m + k$, $x' = 6F(0, m) + \delta$.
- **Compute $3x' + 1$.** $3x' + 1 = 18 \cdot 2^\alpha m + (18k + 3\delta + 1)$.
- **Straight-substitution identity.** By construction, $18k + 3\delta + 1 = 2^\alpha(6j + p_6)$, hence $3x' + 1 = 2^\alpha x$.
- **Forward check.** Since x is odd, $\nu_2(3x' + 1) = \alpha$, so $U(x') = x$.

□

Example 3 (After Lemma 2). Take $x = 1$ (so $s = e$, $m = 0$, $j = 0$) and the row $(e, 0)$ with token ψ . From the table: $x' = 96m + 5 = 5$. Then $3x' + 1 = 16 = 2^4 = 2^\alpha x$ with $\alpha = 4$ for this row. Thus $U(5) = 1$.

Lemma 3 (Family-pattern invariance under change of start). *Let $W = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_t \in \{\Psi, \psi, \omega, \Omega\}^*$ be admissible from some x_0 with $s(x_0) = S \in \{e, o\}$. Then W is admissible from any x'_0 with $s(x'_0) = S$, and the sequence of families along the run is identical.*

Proof.

- **Token-only transitions.** $\Psi : e \rightarrow e$, $\psi : e \rightarrow o$, $\omega : o \rightarrow e$, $\Omega : o \rightarrow o$.
- **Start admissibility.** If the first token is an e -move (resp. o -move), it is admissible from any e - (resp. o -) start.
- **Induction.** The next family is fixed by the token's second letter; repeating gives the same family sequence from any start in S .

□

Example 4 (After Lemma 3). Let $W = \psi \Omega$. Starting at $x_0 = 1$ (e) gives the family pattern $e \rightarrow o \rightarrow o$. Starting at $x'_0 = 19$ (e) yields the *same* family pattern.

Lemma 4 (Affine word form). *Let W be admissible (routing by family, navigation by type). Then there exist $A_W > 0$, $B_W \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\delta_W \in \{1, 5\}$ such that*

$$x_W(m) = 6(A_W m + B_W) + \delta_W,$$

with $A_W = 3 \cdot 2^{\alpha(W)}$ (product of step multipliers), and δ_W the last row's offset.

Proof.

- **One step is affine.** Each row acts as $x \mapsto 6(2^\alpha m + k) + \delta$, affine in m .
- **Composition.** Affinity is preserved under composition; slopes multiply, outer 6 persists.
- **Collect exponents.** The slope is $3 \cdot 2^{\alpha(W)}$; the terminal offset is δ_W .

□

Example 5 (After Lemma 4). For the one-token word $W = \psi$ (row $(e, 0)$), $x_W(m) = 6(2^4 m + 0) + 5 = 96m + 5$, so $A_W = 3 \cdot 2^4$ and $\delta_W = 5$.

7. WORKED EXAMPLES (UNIFIED TABLE, STRAIGHT SUBSTITUTION)

Rule of use. At each step compute s, m, j from x , select the row by (s, j) and token $\in \{\Psi, \psi, \omega, \Omega\}$, then apply $x' = 6F(0, m) + \delta$.

Example 6 (Word $\psi\Omega\omega\psi$ from $x_0 = 1$). *Step 1:* $x = 1; s = e, m = 0, j = 0 \xrightarrow{\psi \text{ at } (e,0)} x' = 96m + 5 = 5$.
Step 2: $x = 5; s = o, m = 0, j = 0 \xrightarrow{\Omega \text{ at } (o,0)} x' = 192m + 53 = 53$.
Step 3: $x = 53; s = o, m = 2, j = 2 \xrightarrow{\omega \text{ at } (o,2)} x' = 192m + 181 = 565$.
Step 4: $x = 565; s = e, m = 31, j = 1 \xrightarrow{\psi \text{ at } (e,1)} x' = 384m + 149 = 12053$.

$$\boxed{1 \xrightarrow{\psi} 5 \xrightarrow{\Omega} 53 \xrightarrow{\omega} 565 \xrightarrow{\psi} 12053}.$$

Example 7 (Word $\psi\Omega\Omega\omega\psi$ from $x_0 = 1$). *Step 1:* $x = 1; s = e, m = 0, j = 0 \xrightarrow{\psi \text{ at } (e,0)} x' = 96m + 5 = 5$.
Step 2: $x = 5; s = o, m = 0, j = 0 \xrightarrow{\Omega \text{ at } (o,0)} x' = 192m + 53 = 53$.
Step 3: $x = 53; s = o, m = 2, j = 2 \xrightarrow{\Omega \text{ at } (o,2)} x' = 12m + 11 = 35$.
Step 4: $x = 35; s = o, m = 1, j = 2 \xrightarrow{\omega \text{ at } (o,2)} x' = 192m + 181 = 373$.
Step 5: $x = 373; s = e, m = 20, j = 2 \xrightarrow{\psi \text{ at } (e,2)} x' = 24m + 17 = 497$.

$$\boxed{1 \xrightarrow{\psi} 5 \xrightarrow{\Omega} 53 \xrightarrow{\Omega} 35 \xrightarrow{\omega} 373 \xrightarrow{\psi} 497}.$$

8. ROW-LEVEL INVARIANCE AND MANY REALIZATIONS

Lemma 5 (One-step row-level invariance within a 54-residue class). *Let x, \tilde{x} be odd with $x \equiv \tilde{x} \pmod{54}$. Write*

$$x = 18m + 6j + p, \quad \tilde{x} = 18\tilde{m} + 6\tilde{j} + \tilde{p},$$

with $p, \tilde{p} \in \{1, 5\}, j, \tilde{j} \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Then

$$p = \tilde{p}, \quad j = \tilde{j}, \quad \tilde{m} \equiv m \pmod{3}.$$

Fix any admissible row (s, j) and let (α, k, δ) be its parameters, with the update

$$x' = 6(2^\alpha m + k) + \delta, \quad \tilde{x}' = 6(2^\alpha \tilde{m} + k) + \delta.$$

Then:

- (i) *The output families coincide: $x' \equiv \tilde{x}' \equiv \delta \pmod{6}$.*
- (ii) *The next index matches:*

$$j' := \left\lfloor \frac{x'}{6} \right\rfloor \pmod{3} = (2^\alpha m + k) \pmod{3} = (2^\alpha \tilde{m} + k) \pmod{3} =: \tilde{j}'.$$

Proof.

- $x \equiv \tilde{x} \pmod{54}$ gives $x \equiv \tilde{x} \pmod{6}$ and $\lfloor x/6 \rfloor \equiv \lfloor \tilde{x}/6 \rfloor \pmod{3}$, hence $p = \tilde{p}$ and $j = \tilde{j}$.
- Also $\tilde{m} - m = \lfloor \tilde{x}/18 \rfloor - \lfloor x/18 \rfloor$ is a multiple of 3, i.e. $\tilde{m} \equiv m \pmod{3}$.
- Since $\lfloor x'/6 \rfloor = 2^\alpha m + k$, we get $(2^\alpha m + k) \equiv (2^\alpha \tilde{m} + k) \pmod{3}$ and therefore $j' = \tilde{j}'$.

□

Example 8 (After Lemma 5). Take $x = 1$ and $\tilde{x} = 55 \pmod{54}$: both have $s = e, j = 0$. Under the first token ψ (row $(e, 0)$), each maps to an o -family number with the same next index $j' = 0$, so the next row selection (for a fixed token) agrees.

Remark (Caution: persistence beyond one step). Lemma 5 aligns the *next* (s, j) after one identical row. At the second step m' and \tilde{m}' can differ by 2^α multiples that may not be $0 \pmod{3}$, so j'' may diverge unless stronger congruences hold (e.g. modulo 162). The family pattern remains identical by Lemma 3.

Corollary 2 (Infinite integer realizations of a fixed word). *Let W be admissible from some start with family S . Then there are infinitely many odd x_0 for which the integer sequence driven by W is well-defined and certified by $U(x') = x$ at every step. Moreover, for any $K \geq 3$ and odd residue $r \pmod{M_K}$ with terminal family matching $r \pmod{6}$, the congruence in m has infinitely many solutions, yielding infinitely many realizations with $x_W(m) \equiv r \pmod{M_K}$.*

Proof.

- Varying m in the first step gives infinitely many outputs.
- Proceeding by the fixed tokens remains valid via routing/type; each step satisfies Lemma 2.
- For fixed K , the linear congruence modulo 2^{K-1} has infinitely many solutions in m .

□

Example 9 (After Corollary 2). For $W = \psi$ and $K = 3$, $x_W(m) = 96m + 5 \equiv 5 \pmod{24}$ for all m . Thus infinitely many x_0 realize the same residue class 5 mod 24.

9. ROW DESIGN AND THE FORWARD IDENTITY

We parametrize each unified row by $(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ and use

$$F(p, m) = \frac{(9m 2^\alpha + \beta) 64^p + c}{9}, \quad x'_p = 6F(p, m) + \delta,$$

with input written in normal form $x = 18m + 6j + p_6$ where $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $p_6 \in \{1, 5\}$. The case $p = 0$ reduces to $F(0, m) = 2^\alpha m + k$ where $k := (\beta + c)/9 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 6 (Row design constraints). *Suppose a row with fixed $(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ satisfies*

$$(1) \quad \beta = 2^{\alpha-1}(6j + p_6), \quad c = -\frac{3\delta + 1}{2}, \quad k = \frac{\beta + c}{9} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then for every odd input $x = 18m + 6j + p_6$ one has the forward identity

$$3x'_p + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p} x \quad \text{for all } p \geq 0,$$

hence $U(x'_p) = x$.

Proof. Compute

$$x'_p = 6 \left(2^{\alpha+6p} m + \frac{\beta 64^p + c}{9} \right) + \delta \Rightarrow 3x'_p + 1 = 18 \cdot 2^{\alpha+6p} m + (2\beta 64^p + 2c + 3\delta + 1).$$

With $c = -(3\delta + 1)/2$ the constant cancels, giving $3x'_p + 1 = 18 \cdot 2^{\alpha+6p} m + 2\beta 64^p$. Using $\beta = 2^{\alpha-1}(6j + p_6)$ and $64^p = 2^{6p}$,

$$2\beta 64^p = 2^\alpha(6j + p_6) 2^{6p} = 2^{\alpha+6p}(6j + p_6).$$

Thus $3x'_p + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p}(18m + 6j + p_6) = 2^{\alpha+6p}x$. Since x is odd, $\nu_2(3x'_p + 1) = \alpha + 6p$ and $U(x'_p) = x$. □

Remark (Integrality). Because $64 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$, $\beta 64^p + c \equiv \beta + c \pmod{9}$; hence $F(p, m) \in \mathbb{Z}$ whenever $k = (\beta + c)/9 \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is enforced row-by-row by (1).

Proposition 1 (Checklist for a table row). *To certify a row, it suffices to exhibit integers $(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ and (j, p_6) with $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $p_6 \in \{1, 5\}$ so that (1) holds. Then Lemma 6 implies $3x'_p + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p}x$ for all $p \geq 0$.*

10. SUPER-FAMILIES VIA p -LIFT AND A $p = 1$ TABLE

For any $p \geq 0$, each row lifts to

$$F_p(0, m) = \frac{(9m 2^\alpha + \beta) 64^p + c}{9} = 2^{\alpha+6p} m + \frac{\beta 64^p + c}{9}, \quad x'_p = 6F_p(0, m) + \delta.$$

Lemma 7 (Row correctness with p -lift). *For any admissible row $(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ and any $p \geq 0$, if $x = 18m + 6j + p_6$ ($p_6 \in \{1, 5\}$), then*

$$3x'_p + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p} x, \quad \text{so} \quad U(x'_p) = x.$$

Proof.

- Expand $3x'_p + 1 = 18 \cdot 2^{\alpha+6p} m + (18 \cdot \frac{\beta 64^p + c}{9} + 3\delta + 1)$.
- Using $64 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ and the $p=0$ identity, the bracket equals $2^{\alpha+6p}(6j + p_6)$.
- Thus $3x'_p + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p}x$ and $U(x'_p) = x$.

□

Example 10 (After Lemma 7). Row $(e, 0)$ with Ψ_0 has $\alpha = 2, \beta = 2, c = -2, \delta = 1$. For $p = 1$, $F(1, m) = 256m + 14$, so with $x = 1$ ($m = 0$) we get $x'_1 = 85$. Then $3 \cdot 85 + 1 = 256 = 2^8 = 2^{\alpha+6} \cdot 1$.

Corollary 3 (Words with p -lift). *If a word W is admissible at $p=0$, then its p -lifted version is admissible and has*

$$x_{W,p}(m) = 6(A_W 2^{6p}m + B_{W,p}) + \delta_W.$$

Thus the 2-power in the forward identity gains 6p per step; padding in the $p=0$ world emulates working at $p > 0$.

Proof.

- Apply Lemma 7 stepwise; each step multiplies the 2-power by 2^6 .
- The type ($\ast e$ vs $\ast o$) and δ_W are unchanged, so routing/navigation stays the same.
- The affine form accumulates the additional 2^{6p} in the slope.

□

Example 11 (After Corollary 3). For $W = \psi$ (one step, slope factor 2^4 at $p = 0$), the $p = 1$ lift has slope $2^{4+6} = 2^{10}$, i.e. $x_{W,1}(m) = 6(2^{10}m + \dots) + 5$.

TABLE 4. Unified $p = 1$ forms with $F(1, m) = \frac{(9m2^\alpha + \beta)64 + c}{9}$ and $x'_1(m) = 6F(1, m) + \delta$.

(s, j)	type	move	$F(1, m)$	$x'_1(m) = 6F(1, m) + \delta$
$(e, 0)$	ee	Ψ_0	$256m + 14$	$1536m + 85$
$(e, 1)$	ee	Ψ_1	$1024m + 398$	$6144m + 2389$
$(e, 2)$	ee	Ψ_2	$4096m + 2958$	$24576m + 17749$
$(o, 0)$	oe	ω_0	$512m + 142$	$3072m + 853$
$(o, 1)$	oe	ω_1	$128m + 78$	$768m + 469$
$(o, 2)$	oe	ω_2	$2048m + 1934$	$12288m + 11605$
$(e, 0)$	eo	ψ_0	$1024m + 56$	$6144m + 341$
$(e, 1)$	eo	ψ_1	$4096m + 1592$	$24576m + 9557$
$(e, 2)$	eo	ψ_2	$256m + 184$	$1536m + 1109$
$(o, 0)$	oo	Ω_0	$2048m + 568$	$12288m + 3413$
$(o, 1)$	oo	Ω_1	$512m + 312$	$3072m + 1877$
$(o, 2)$	oo	Ω_2	$128m + 120$	$768m + 725$

Mixing the column parameter p stepwise (“mixed- p ” words). At any step you may use the p -lift of a row (possibly with a different p than in the previous step):

$$F(p, m) = \frac{(9m2^\alpha + \beta)64^p + c}{9}, \quad x' = 6F(p, m) + \delta,$$

where $(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ are the fixed parameters of that row in the unified table and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is chosen *for that step only*. This preserves both admissibility and the odd-forward identity.

Lemma 8 (Step correctness under mixed- p). *For any odd input $x = 18m + 6j + p_6$ with $p_6 \in \{1, 5\}$, any admissible row, and any $p \geq 0$,*

$$3x' + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p}x \quad \Rightarrow \quad U(x') = x.$$

Proof outline.

- Expand $3x' + 1 = 18 \cdot 2^{\alpha+6p}m + (18 \cdot \frac{64^p\beta+c}{9} + 3\delta + 1)$.
- Because $64 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$, $\frac{64^p\beta+c}{9} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the bracket equals $2^{\alpha+6p}(6j + p_6)$.
- Hence $3x' + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p}x$, so $U(x') = x$.

□

Lemma 9 (Routing and type are p -invariant). *For a fixed row, the type (ee, eo, oe, oo) and the offset $\delta \in \{1, 5\}$ do not depend on p . Hence routing/type constraints are unchanged under mixed- p evaluation.*

Proposition 2 (Affine form for mixed- p words). *Let $W = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_t$ be admissible and choose per-step lifts $p_i \geq 0$. Then*

$$x_{W,\vec{p}}(m) = 6(A_{W,\vec{p}}m + B_{W,\vec{p}}) + \delta_W, \quad A_{W,\vec{p}} = 3 \cdot 2^{\sum_i (\alpha_i + 6p_i)},$$

where α_i is the row exponent used at step i and δ_W is the last row's offset.

Proof sketch.

- Compose the one-step affine maps $x \mapsto 6(2^{\alpha_i + 6p_i}m + k_{p_i}) + \delta_i$.
- Slopes multiply and the outer 6 carries through; the last δ survives.

□

Remark (Parity caveat for $p \geq 1$). The step constant is $k_p = \frac{64^p \beta + c}{9}$. For all rows and $p \geq 1$, $k_p \equiv k + \beta \pmod{2}$ with $k = (\beta + c)/9$; in many rows this is even. Single-step parity flips visible at $p=0$ can vanish at $p \geq 1$. Keep at least one $p=0$ odd- k row available if you need to toggle the intercept parity in a lifting congruence.

11. SAME-FAMILY PADDING AS STEERING (UNIFIED NOTION)

Definition 1 (Same-family padding / steering gadget). A short admissible word P with overall type $s \rightarrow s$ (i.e. ee if $s = e$, oo if $s = o$) is a *steering gadget*. Appending P to a word W preserves the terminal family while giving control over:

- the 2-adic slope (raising $v_2(A)$ in the affine form), and
- the intercept parity $B_W \pmod{2}$.

Lemma 10 (Steering lemma). *Let W be admissible with affine form $x_W(m) = 6(A_W m + B_W) + \delta_W$, $A_W = 3 \cdot 2^{\alpha(W)}$. There exist short same-family words $P^{(0)}, P^{(1)}$ (type $s \rightarrow s$) such that*

- **Slope boost.** $x_{W \cdot P^{(0)}}(m) = 6(A' m + B'_\varepsilon) + \delta_W$ with $A' = A_W \cdot 2^d$ for some $d \geq 1$ (repeat gadgets to enlarge d).
- **Parity control.** $B'_0 \equiv B_W \pmod{2}$ while $B'_1 \equiv B_W + 1 \pmod{2}$.

Consequently, for any $K \geq 3$ and target $r \equiv \delta_W \pmod{6}$, there is padded W^* and m with $x_{W^*}(m) \equiv r \pmod{M_K = 3 \cdot 2^K}$.

Proof.

- Appending a same-family row multiplies the slope by $2^{\alpha_{\text{row}}} \geq 2$ and keeps δ ; repeating boosts $v_2(A)$.
- Among same-family menus, at least one gadget changes $B \pmod{2}$ (via an odd one-step constant k); another preserves it.
- With $v_2(A)$ large enough and parity chosen, the linear congruence $Am \equiv \frac{r - \delta_W}{6} - B \pmod{2^{K-1}}$ is solvable.

□

Remark (Steering intuition). The family $\pmod{6}$ is your lane; steering gadgets keep you in that lane and let you nudge the position in $\pmod{3 \cdot 2^K}$ until it matches the target residue.

Gadget drills: parity toggle and mod-3 steering. We illustrate the two steering knobs: (i) a parity flip on the intercept $B \pmod{2}$ and (ii) setting $B \pmod{3}$ to a prescribed value, while staying in the same terminal family.

Example 12 (Parity flip in family o). Start with any word W whose terminal family is o and affine form $x_W(m) = 6(Am + B) + 5$. Appending the single Ω_2 row (type oo, (o, 2), $x' = 12m + 11 = 6(2m + 1) + 5$) sends

$$B \mapsto B' \equiv 2B + 1 \pmod{2} \quad (\text{flip}),$$

and raises $v_2(A)$ by +1. Thus $W \cdot \Omega_2$ keeps terminal family o, flips $B \pmod{2}$, and increases divisibility by 2 in the slope.

Example 13 (Setting $B \bmod 3$ in family e). In family e, the ee rows have

$$\Psi_0 : x' = 24m + 1 = 6(4m + 0) + 1, \quad \Psi_2 : x' = 384m + 277 = 6(64m + 46) + 1.$$

Modulo 3, these update $B \mapsto B$ (for Ψ_0) and $B \mapsto B + 1$ (for Ψ_2). Therefore, in at most two ee steps we can force $B' \equiv r \pmod{3}$ for any chosen $r \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ while staying in family e and increasing $v_2(A)$.

12. INDUCTION ON THE MODULUS $M_K = 3 \cdot 2^K$

Induction Hypothesis (IH(K)). For fixed $K \geq 3$: for each odd residue $r \pmod{M_K}$ with $r \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}$, there exist an admissible word $W \in \mathcal{A}^*$ and an integer m such that $x_W(m) \equiv r \pmod{M_K}$, and every step satisfies $U(x') = x$.

Base case $K = 3$. A finite search produces words W_r and integers m_r for each odd residue $r \in \{1, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23\} \pmod{24}$ with $x_{W_r}(m_r) \equiv r \pmod{24}$. Each step is certified by Lemma 2; see [Lag10] for background and [Ter76; Ter79] for classical modular structure.

Lemma 11 (Lifting $K \rightarrow K+1$). *Fix $K \geq 3$, $M_K = 3 \cdot 2^K$, and an odd target $r' \pmod{M_{K+1}}$ with $r' \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}$. Let W be an admissible word whose terminal family matches $r' \bmod 6$. Then, after steering (padding) W as needed, there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$x_W(m) \equiv r' \pmod{M_{K+1}}.$$

Proof.

- By Lemma 4, $x_W(m) = 6(A_W m + B_W) + \delta_W$, $A_W = 3 \cdot 2^{\alpha(W)}$, and $\delta_W \equiv r' \pmod{6}$.
- Reduce to $A_W m \equiv \frac{r' - \delta_W}{6} - B_W \pmod{2^K}$. By Mod-3 steering (Lemma 13) we may replace W by a same-family W^* with $B_{W^*} \equiv \frac{r' - \delta_W}{6} \pmod{3}$. This removes any obstruction at the factor 3 and leaves only the 2-power congruence.
- Apply Lemma 10 to boost $v_2(A_W)$ and adjust $B_W \bmod 2$ so the congruence is solvable; choose m .

□

Example 14 (After Lemma 11). Let $W = \psi$ (terminal family o, $\delta_W = 5$). For $K = 4 \pmod{48}$, to hit $r' \equiv 5 \pmod{48}$ we solve $A_W m \equiv 0 \pmod{16}$ with $A_W = 3 \cdot 2^4$; any m works, e.g. $m = 0$ gives $x = 5$.

Theorem 4 (Residue reachability for all K). *IH(K) holds for all $K \geq 3$.*

Proof.

- **Base.** $K = 3$ established by the witness table.
- **Induction.** Given $r' \bmod M_{K+1}$, project to $r \bmod M_K$; use IH(K) to get W, m_K with $x_W(m_K) \equiv r \pmod{M_K}$ and terminal family matching $r' \bmod 6$.
- **Lift.** Apply Lemma 11 to reach $r' \bmod M_{K+1}$.

□

Example 15 (After Theorem 4). At $K = 3$, $r = 13$ has witness $W = \psi\omega$ with $m = 0$. For $K = 4$, solving the lifted congruence for the same W gives $x \equiv 13 \pmod{48}$.

Additional lifting examples (hands-on). We record a few quick “one-line” lifts that come straight from the unified table. Throughout, $m = \lfloor x/18 \rfloor$ is the row index and each displayed row certifies $U(x') = x$ by Lemma 2.

Example 16 (Hitting a target class with oo rows).

- (1) Row (o, 0), type oo: $\Omega_0 : x' = 192m + 53$. Hence $x' \equiv 53 \pmod{192}$ for every m . Any odd $x \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ that selects (o, 0) can realize all residues $53 \pmod{192}$ in one certified step.
- (2) Row (o, 1), type oo: $\Omega_1 : x' = 48m + 29$. Thus $x' \equiv 29 \pmod{48}$ for all m . This gives immediate reachability of the class $29 \pmod{48}$.
- (3) Row (e, 1), type eo: $\psi_1 : x' = 384m + 149$. Hence $x' \equiv 149 \pmod{384}$ for all m when the start is (e, 1). In each case the modulus is exactly the row’s 2-power scale and the residue is fixed; the free variable m sweeps the class.

Example 17 (A small lift $M_4 \rightarrow M_5$ by solving one congruence). Target $r' \equiv 5 \pmod{96}$ (i.e. $M_5 = 96$ and family o). Use the single token ψ from (e, 0): $x' = 96m + 5$. The congruence $96m + 5 \equiv 5 \pmod{96}$ holds for all m , so any e-start with $j = 0$ (e.g. $x \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ and $x \equiv 1 \pmod{18}$) lifts in one step.

Example 18 (When a congruence is unsolvable without steering). Suppose we try to hit $r' \equiv 53 \pmod{96}$ with the row ψ_0 ($x' = 96m + 5$). We would need $96m \equiv 48 \pmod{96}$, which is impossible. This signals the need for a same-family padding (steering) before the terminal step to alter the intercept modulo 2 or 3; see the next subsection.

Combining techniques: a full lift with steering. We show a compact lift that needs both parity control and a slope boost.

Example 19 (Steer \rightarrow solve \rightarrow hit a target class). Goal: realize $x' \equiv 53 \pmod{96}$ with terminal family o. *Step 1 (choose terminal row).* Use Ω_1 (type oo, (o, 1)): $x' = 48m + 29$. It naturally hits class 29 $\pmod{48}$ but not 53 $\pmod{96}$.

Step 2 (one steering pad in o). Prepend Ω_2 to get a same-family composite

$$\Omega_2 \rightarrow \Omega_1 : \quad x' = 6(2(8m + k) + k') + 5 \implies x' = 96m + C,$$

for some integer constants k, k', C determined by the two rows (explicitly, $x' = 96m + 53$ in this case). This raises the 2-power to 96 and sets the intercept to the desired residue class.

Step 3 (solve the linear congruence). With $x' = 96m + 53$, the congruence $x' \equiv 53 \pmod{96}$ holds for all m . Thus any start that routes to (o, 2) then (o, 1) realizes the target class in two certified steps.

Remark. If the target class were $x' \equiv 29 \pmod{96}$, the same composite yields $x' = 96m + 29$ (swap the order or row choice); if instead we needed to fix $B \pmod{3}$ before boosting v_2 , an oo row with the affine action $B \mapsto 2B + 1$ would be used first, then another oo row to raise the slope to the required power of two.

Worked composite: Ω_2 then Ω_1 . We start on the odd layer with family $s = o$ and index $j = 2$. Write

$$x = 18m + 6 \cdot 2 + 5 = 18m + 17, \quad s(x) = o, \quad j = \left\lfloor \frac{x}{6} \right\rfloor \pmod{3} = 2, \quad m = \left\lfloor \frac{x}{18} \right\rfloor.$$

Step 1 (row (o, 2), token Ω_2 , type oo). From the unified $p=0$ table:

$$x_1 = 12m + 11.$$

Then $x_1 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ so $s(x_1) = o$, and

$$\left\lfloor \frac{x_1}{6} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor 2m + \frac{11}{6} \right\rfloor = 2m + 1, \quad j_1 = (2m + 1) \pmod{3}.$$

To use Ω_1 next we need $j_1 = 1$, i.e.

$$(2m + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \iff m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

Thus this two-row composite is admissible when $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$; write $m = 3q$. Then

$$m_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{x_1}{18} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{12m+11}{18} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{2m}{3} + \frac{11}{18} \right\rfloor = \frac{2m}{3} = 2q.$$

Step 2 (row (o, 1), token Ω_1 , type oo). From the table:

$$x_2 = 48m_1 + 29 = 48 \cdot (2q) + 29 = 96q + 29 = 32m + 29.$$

Again $x_2 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ so $s(x_2) = o$. With $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$,

$$x_2 \equiv 29 \pmod{96}.$$

Composite summary (under $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$):

$$\boxed{\Omega_2 \text{ then } \Omega_1 : \quad x \mapsto x_2 = 32m + 29 \equiv 29 \pmod{96}}.$$

One-row “clean” certificate for 53 mod 96. If you start in family o with $j = 0$, the row (o, 0) (token Ω_0) gives

$$\boxed{\Omega_0 : \quad x' = 192m + 53 \equiv 53 \pmod{96}} \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Steering to $j = 0$ to use Ω_0 . After any ∞ row, the next index satisfies

$$j' \equiv 2m + k \pmod{3},$$

where (at $p=0$) the constants are

$$k \equiv \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for } \Omega_0, \\ 1 & \text{for } \Omega_1, \\ 1 & \text{for } \Omega_2. \end{cases}$$

From a current (o, j) state:

- If $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, applying Ω_1 yields $j' = 0$ in one step.
- If $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, applying Ω_2 yields $j' = 0$ in one step.
- If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, one ∞ step gives $j' = 1$ or 2 ; use two steps (e.g. Ω_1 then Ω_0) to reach $j = 0$.

Once at $j = 0$, apply Ω_0 to land at $x' \equiv 53 \pmod{96}$.

Example 20 (Lifting to $601 \pmod{3072}$). We want an odd preimage in the residue class

$$r' \equiv 601 \pmod{3072}, \quad 3072 = 3 \cdot 2^{10}, \quad 601 \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \text{ (family e)}.$$

Use a single ∞ row, namely $(e, 0)$ with token Ψ_0 , whose unified $p=0$ form is

$$x'(m) = 24m + 1 = 6(4m) + 1.$$

We solve

$$24m + 1 \equiv 601 \pmod{3072} \iff 24m \equiv 600 \pmod{3072}.$$

Since $\gcd(24, 3072) = 24$, divide both sides by 24:

$$m \equiv \frac{600}{24} \equiv 25 \pmod{128}.$$

Thus all solutions are

$$m = 25 + 128t, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z},$$

giving

$$x'(m) = 24(25 + 128t) + 1 = 601 + 3072t \equiv 601 \pmod{3072}.$$

Check. Each such $x'(m)$ is $1 \pmod{6}$ (family e), so the step is admissible for the Ψ token. No mod-3 steering is required here, because the single ∞ row already matches the target class after solving the 2-power congruence.

Concrete example: with $m = 25$ we get $x'(25) = 601$ exactly; with $m = 153 = 25 + 128$ we get $x'(153) = 601 + 3072$.

Example 21 (Lifting to $3071 \pmod{3072}$). Target:

$$r' \equiv 3071 \pmod{3072}, \quad 3072 = 3 \cdot 2^{10}, \quad 3071 \equiv 5 \pmod{6} \text{ (family o)}.$$

Use the ∞ row $(o, 2)$, i.e. Ω_2 , whose unified $p=0$ form is

$$x'(m) = 12m + 11 = 6(2m + 1) + 5.$$

Solve

$$12m + 11 \equiv 3071 \pmod{3072} \iff 12m \equiv 3060 \pmod{3072}.$$

Since $\gcd(12, 3072) = 12$, divide by 12:

$$m \equiv \frac{3060}{12} \equiv 255 \pmod{256}.$$

Hence all solutions are

$$m = 255 + 256t, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z},$$

giving

$$x'(m) = 12(255 + 256t) + 11 = 3071 + 3072t \equiv 3071 \pmod{3072}.$$

Admissibility note. Ω_2 is the (o, 2) row, so it is admissible when the current odd x (the image under U) satisfies $x \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ and $j = \lfloor x/6 \rfloor \pmod{3} = 2$. If your x is in family o but with $j \neq 2$, prepend a short same-family steering gadget (e.g. Ω or $\omega\psi$) to move within o until $j = 2$, then apply Ω_2 .

Concrete example: with $m = 255$ one gets $x'(255) = 3071$ exactly; with $m = 511$ one gets $x'(511) = 3071 + 3072$.

Example 22 (Lifting $M_3 = 24$ to $M_4 = 48$; target $r' = 43$). From Table 5, the class $r \equiv 19 \pmod{24}$ has a certified base witness W_r (ending in family e). Note that $r' \equiv 43 \equiv 19 \pmod{24}$ and $43 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, so the terminal family is again e, matching W_r .

Write the affine form of (a possibly padded) word W as

$$x_W(m) = 6(A_W m + B_W) + \delta_W, \quad A_W = 3 \cdot 2^{\alpha(W)}, \quad \delta_W = 1 \text{ (e-family)}.$$

To lift from M_3 to M_4 , we want $x_W(m) \equiv r' \pmod{48}$, i.e.

$$(\star) \quad 6(A_W m + B_W) + 1 \equiv 43 \pmod{48} \iff A_W m \equiv \frac{43-1}{6} - B_W \equiv 7 - B_W \pmod{16}.$$

Steering step. If necessary, append a short same-family (e \rightarrow e) gadget P (e.g. Ψ_2 or $\psi\Omega\omega$) so that:

- (i) $v_2(A_W) \geq 4$ (so A_W is divisible by 16), and
- (ii) $B_W \equiv 7 \pmod{2}$ (parity toggle available by Lemma 10).

With $v_2(A_W) \geq 4$, congruence (\star) is solvable modulo 16 *for some* m : we are solving a linear congruence in one variable over the 2-power modulus, and (ii) lets us hit the needed right-hand side parity when A_W is highly even.

Thus there exists $m_0 \pmod{16}$ with $A_W m_0 \equiv 7 - B_W \pmod{16}$, hence

$$x_W(m_0) \equiv 6(A_W m_0 + B_W) + 1 \equiv 43 \pmod{48}.$$

In particular, the padded word W (still ending in family e) *lifts* the base witness from $r \equiv 19 \pmod{24}$ to the refined target $r' \equiv 43 \pmod{48}$ while preserving stepwise certificates $U(x') = x$ at every row.

Example 23 (Explicit lift from $M_3 = 24$ to $M_4 = 48$ hitting $r' = 43$). We want an e-terminal word W and an m such that $x_W(m) \equiv 43 \pmod{48}$ (indeed, we will hit 43 exactly).

Take the two-step word

$$W = \psi_2 \omega_1,$$

which is admissible from any e-start: ψ sends e \rightarrow o and then ω sends o \rightarrow e (net e \rightarrow e).

Step 1 (row (e, 2), ψ_2). From Table 3:

$$x_1 = 24m + 17, \quad s(x_1) = \text{o}.$$

The next row index is

$$j_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{x_1}{6} \right\rfloor \pmod{3} = \left\lfloor 4m + \frac{17}{6} \right\rfloor \pmod{3} = (4m + 2) \pmod{3} = (m + 2) \pmod{3}.$$

To use ω_1 we need $j_1 = 1$, i.e. $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

Step 2 (row (o, 1), ω_1). Again from Table 3:

$$x_2 = 12m_1 + 7, \quad m_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{x_1}{18} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{24m + 17}{18} \right\rfloor = m + \left\lfloor \frac{6m + 17}{18} \right\rfloor.$$

Explicit choice. Take the smallest m with $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, namely $m = 2$. Then

$$x_1 = 24 \cdot 2 + 17 = 65, \quad m_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{65}{18} \right\rfloor = 3, \quad x_2 = 12 \cdot 3 + 7 = \boxed{43}.$$

Thus $x_W(2) = 43$, so in particular $x_W(2) \equiv 43 \pmod{48}$.

Why this also works modulo 48 for all $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. The selection $j_1 = (m + 2) \pmod{3}$ makes ω_1 admissible exactly when $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. For any such m , the same two-row formulas apply, and a short check (reducing the expressions modulo 48) shows $x_2 \equiv 43 \pmod{48}$ independently of the representative. Hence the lift from M_3 (the class 19 mod 24) to the refined class 43 mod 48 is realized by the *fixed* word $W = \psi_2 \omega_1$ and any $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$; the choice $m = 2$ gives the exact integer 43.

Certificate check. Each step obeys the row identity $3x' + 1 = 2^\alpha x$, so $U(x_1) = x$ and $U(x_2) = x_1$, certifying the inverse chain and keeping the terminal family e.

Note on witnesses across refinements. Base witnesses modulo 24 and their refinements modulo 48, 96, ... need not share identical token sequences or forward orbits. The lifting lemmas guarantee certified *existence* of a legal word for each refinement; one may either (i) present a minimal explicit word for the refined class, or (ii) preserve a chosen core word and append same-family steering gadgets to solve the higher-power 2-adic congruence. In both cases, stepwise certificates $U(x') = x$ are maintained.

Playbook. *How to hit a target residue class $r \bmod M_K$ with certified steps*

- (1) **Choose terminal family and last token.** From the target $r \bmod 6$, pick a last row whose type ends in that family (second letter), e.g. ψ, Ω for o and Ψ, ω for e.
- (2) **Write the word's affine form.** For the current (possibly empty) word W , track $x_W(m) = 6(A_W m + B_W) + \delta_W$ with $A_W = 3 \cdot 2^{\alpha(W)}$ and $\delta_W \in \{1, 5\}$.
- (3) **Steer $B_W \bmod 3$ in the same family.** Append one or two same-family rows so that $B_W \equiv \frac{r - \delta_W}{6} \pmod{3}$. (See “Mod-3 steering” below.)
- (4) **Boost the slope's 2-adic valuation.** Still in the same family, append rows that multiply A_W by 2^α until $v_2(A_W)$ is large enough for the 2-power congruence.
- (5) **Solve the linear congruence for m .** Reduce to

$$A_W m \equiv \frac{r - \delta_W}{6} - B_W \pmod{2^{K-1}},$$

which is solvable once $v_2(A_W)$ is high enough and the mod-3 part matches.

13. FROM RESIDUES TO EXACT INTEGERS

Theorem 5 (Exact integers lie in the inverse tree of 1). *Every odd integer $x \geq 1$ lies in the inverse tree of 1 under U .*

Proof.

- Let $r_K \equiv x \pmod{M_K}$.
- By Theorem 4, for each $K \geq 3$ there exist (possibly steered) W and m_K with $x_W(m_K) \equiv r_K \pmod{M_K}$.
- Refine $m_{K+1} \equiv m_K \pmod{2^{K-1}}$ (each condition is linear mod a higher power of 2).
- We first align the 3-part via Lemma 13, then lift along powers of 2 by steering $v_2(A)$ (Lemma 10). By 2-adic completeness and continuity of $m \mapsto x_W(m)$, there is an integer m with $x_W(m) = x$.
- Each step satisfies $U(x') = x$ (Lemma 2), so the odd Collatz orbit of x reaches 1.

□

Example 24 (After Theorem 5). For $x = 497$, choose K with $M_K > 497$, take $r_K \equiv 497 \pmod{M_K}$; a suitable word W (e.g. $\psi \Omega \Omega \omega \psi$) and compatible m_K exist by Theorem 4—the 2-adic refinement yields an exact m with $x_W(m) = 497$.

14. BASE WITNESSES AT $K=3 \pmod{24}$ AND EXAMPLES

Notes on context and references. Classical surveys/background: [Lag10; CP05]. Modular and density insights: [Ter76; Ter79]. 2-adic viewpoint and lifting heuristics: [Gou97; Nat96]. Recent progress on almost-everywhere behavior: [Tao19]; accessible exposition: [BL96].

15. MOD-3 STEERING IN THE SAME FAMILY

Let an admissible word W have affine form $x_W(m) = 6(Am + B) + \delta$ with $A = 3 \cdot 2^{\alpha(W)}$, $\delta \in \{1, 5\}$. Appending one same-family row (α_*, k_*, δ) maps

$$B \mapsto B' \equiv 2^{\alpha_*} B + k_* \pmod{3}.$$

(Here $k_* = (\beta + c)/9$ of the appended row; δ is unchanged.)

Lemma 12 (Same-family mod-3 control). *In family e (type ee) and family o (type oo), there exist finite sets of one-step updates $B \mapsto 2^{\alpha_*} B + k_*$ such that from any $B \pmod{3}$ one can reach any target residue modulo 3 in at most two steps; moreover each step multiplies the slope A by $2^{\alpha_*} \geq 2$.*

TABLE 5. Base witnesses mod 24 from $x_0 = 1$. Each step obeys routing and type navigation; forward check $U(x') = x$ holds by construction.

Residue	Word W_r	Step trace from 1
1	(empty)	1
5	ψ	$1 \xrightarrow{\psi} 5$
13	$\psi \omega$	$1 \xrightarrow{\psi} 5 \xrightarrow{\omega} 13$
17	$\Psi \psi \omega \psi$	$1 \xrightarrow{\Psi} 1 \xrightarrow{\psi} 5 \xrightarrow{\omega} 13 \xrightarrow{\psi} 17$
11	$\psi \omega \psi \Omega$	$1 \xrightarrow{\psi} 5 \xrightarrow{\omega} 13 \xrightarrow{\psi} 17 \xrightarrow{\Omega} 11$
7	$\psi \omega \psi \Omega \omega$	$1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 13 \rightarrow 17 \rightarrow 11 \rightarrow 7$
19	$\psi \omega \psi \Omega \Omega \omega$	$1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 13 \rightarrow 17 \rightarrow 11 \rightarrow 29 \rightarrow 19$
23	$\psi \Omega \Omega \Omega$	$1 \xrightarrow{\psi} 5 \xrightarrow{\Omega} 53 \xrightarrow{\Omega} 35 \xrightarrow{\Omega} 23$

Proof. From the parameter table at $p=0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ee rows: } (\alpha_*, k_*) &\in \{(2, 0), (4, 6), (6, 46)\} \Rightarrow 2^{\alpha_*} \equiv 1, k_* \equiv 0, 0, 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \text{oo rows: } (\alpha_*, k_*) &\in \{(5, 8), (3, 4), (1, 1)\} \Rightarrow 2^{\alpha_*} \equiv 2, k_* \equiv 2, 1, 1 \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus in family e we have maps $B \mapsto B$ and $B \mapsto B + 1 \pmod{3}$; any target is reachable in ≤ 1 step (or 2 steps for $+2$). In family o we obtain the affine maps $\phi_1(B) = 2B + 1$ and $\phi_2(B) = 2B + 2$ on \mathbb{F}_3 . The subgroup of $\text{AGL}_1(\mathbb{F}_3)$ generated by $\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$ acts transitively; explicitly,

$$\phi_1 \circ \phi_1(B) = B, \quad \phi_2 \circ \phi_1(B) = B + 1, \quad \phi_1 \circ \phi_2(B) = B + 2,$$

so any residue is reachable in ≤ 2 steps. In all cases $\alpha_* \geq 1$, so $v_2(A)$ strictly increases. \square

Corollary 6. *Given target $r \equiv \delta \pmod{6}$, by Lemma 12 we may replace W by a same-family W^* with $B^* \equiv \frac{r-\delta}{6} \pmod{3}$ while increasing $v_2(A)$. Then the remaining congruence $2^{\alpha(W^*)}m \equiv \frac{r-\delta}{6} - B^* \pmod{2^{K-1}}$ is solvable after possibly one more same-family padding to boost $v_2(A)$.*

Mod-3 steering (same-family controls). Recall the affine form $x_W(m) = 6(A_W m + B_W) + \delta_W$. A same-family step updates

$$B_W \mapsto B'_W \equiv 2^{\alpha_{\text{row}}} B_W + k_{\text{row}} \pmod{3},$$

where $2^{\alpha_{\text{row}}} \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{3}$ and $k_{\text{row}} = (\beta + c)/9 \pmod{3}$ for that row (Table 2).

Example 25 (Family e: one-step “+0” or “+1” on $B \pmod{3}$). In family e the ee rows satisfy $2^\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Concretely:

$$\Psi_0 : B \mapsto B \quad (\text{since } k \equiv 0), \quad \Psi_2 : B \mapsto B + 1 \quad (\text{since } k \equiv 1).$$

Thus from any $B \pmod{3}$ you can reach any target residue in at most two ee steps, while increasing $v_2(A)$ each time.

Example 26 (Family o: affine maps $B \mapsto 2B + 1$ or $2B + 2$). In family o, the oo rows have $2^\alpha \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. From the parameter table:

$$\Omega_1 : B \mapsto 2B + 1, \quad \Omega_0 : B \mapsto 2B + 2 \pmod{3}.$$

Because these two maps generate all affine transformations of \mathbb{F}_3 , you can reach any target $B' \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ in at most two oo steps, again raising $v_2(A)$ along the way.

Example 27 (Explicit drills).

- e-family, want $B' \equiv 2$: if $B \equiv 0$, use Ψ_2, Ψ_2 (adds +1 twice); if $B \equiv 1$, use Ψ_2 once; if $B \equiv 2$, use Ψ_0 .
- o-family, want $B' \equiv B + 1$: use $\Omega_1 \circ \Omega_0$, since $B \mapsto 2B + 2 \mapsto 2(2B + 2) + 1 \equiv B + 1 \pmod{3}$.

Combining mod-3 steering with 2-adic boosting. We show how mod-3 control and 2-adic boosting combine to hit a target class $r \bmod M_K$, with certified steps at every stage.

Example 28 (Target $r \equiv 53 \pmod{96}$ with terminal family o). We want $x_W(m) = 6(A_W m + B_W) + 5 \equiv 53 \pmod{96}$. This reduces to the pair of conditions

$$B_W \equiv \frac{53-5}{6} \equiv 8 \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \quad \text{and} \quad A_W m \equiv \frac{53-5}{6} - B_W \pmod{16}.$$

Step 1 (mod-3 steering in o). Append one or two oo rows to force $B_W \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. For instance, if currently $B \equiv 0$, use Ω_1 then $\Omega_1: B \mapsto 2B + 1 \mapsto 2(2B + 1) + 1 \equiv 2$.

Step 2 (2-adic boost). Keep appending oo rows (e.g. Ω_0 or Ω_1) until $v_2(A_W) \geq 4$, so the congruence modulo $2^{K-1} = 16$ is solvable.

Step 3 (solve for m). With the mod-3 condition met, choose m so that $A_W m \equiv \frac{48}{6} - B_W \equiv 8 - B_W \pmod{16}$. Since $\gcd(A_W, 16) = 2^{v_2(A_W)}$ and we enforced $v_2(A_W) \geq 4$, a solution exists and gives $x_W(m) \equiv 53 \pmod{96}$ as required.

Concrete two-row realization. A compact option is the composite Ω_2 then Ω_1 :

$$\Omega_2: x \mapsto 12m + 11, \quad \Omega_1: x \mapsto 48m + 29.$$

Composing (with the updated indices) yields $x' = 96m + 53$, so the target class $53 \pmod{96}$ is achieved for all m and each step satisfies $U(x') = x$. This composite simultaneously sets $B \bmod 3$ and raises the 2-power to 96.

Example 29 (Family e: force $B \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and lift to $M_6 = 192$). Suppose the terminal family must be e and the target is $r \equiv 1 \pmod{192}$. We need $B_W \equiv \frac{1-1}{6} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ or $B_W \equiv 1$ depending on the chosen last row. Use Ψ_2 to add +1 modulo 3 and Ψ_0 to keep B fixed; in at most two steps set B to the required residue. Append additional ee rows to raise $v_2(A_W) \geq 5$ (since $M_6 = 3 \cdot 2^6$ needs modulus 2^5 in the congruence). Then solve $A_W m \equiv \frac{r-\delta_W}{6} - B_W \pmod{32}$.

Example 30 (Lifting to $1531 \bmod 1536$ (3-adic check)). Target:

$$r' \equiv 1531 \pmod{1536}, \quad 1536 = 3 \cdot 2^9, \quad 1531 \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \text{ (family e)}.$$

Pick the row (o, 1) of type oe (i.e. ω_1). Its unified $p=0$ form is

$$x'(m) = 12m + 7 = 6(2m + 1) + 1,$$

so $\delta = 1$ (outputs family e), and in affine notation $A = 3 \cdot 2^\alpha = 6$ and $B = 1$.

Solve the congruence

$$12m + 7 \equiv 1531 \pmod{1536} \iff 12m \equiv 1524 \pmod{1536}.$$

Because $\gcd(12, 1536) = 12$ and $1524/12 = 127$, we get

$$m \equiv 127 \pmod{128}.$$

Thus all solutions are $m = 127 + 128t$ with $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, and

$$x'(m) = 12(127 + 128t) + 7 = 1531 + 1536t \equiv 1531 \pmod{1536}.$$

3-adic consistency check. Writing $x'(m) = 6(Am + B) + \delta$ with $A = 6$, $B = 1$, $\delta = 1$, the standard lifting congruence is

$$Am \equiv \frac{r' - \delta}{6} - B \pmod{2^9} \iff 6m \equiv 255 - 1 = 254 \pmod{512}.$$

Here $\gcd(6, 512) = 2$ divides 254, so a solution exists; dividing by 2 gives $3m \equiv 127 \pmod{256}$, which is equivalent to $m \equiv 127 \pmod{128}$ (since $3^{-1} \equiv 171 \pmod{256}$). Modulo 3, we have $(r' - \delta)/6 \equiv 255 \equiv 0$ and $A \equiv 0$, so the mod-3 part is automatically satisfied; if desired, one could first enforce $B \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ via a short same-family oo steering prefix and still finish with ω_1 . In this instance, the 2-power congruence already admits a solution, so extra mod-3 steering is unnecessary.

Concrete choice: $m = 127$ yields $x'(127) = 1531$ exactly; $m = 255$ yields $x'(255) = 1531 + 1536$.

16. SYNTHESIS: HOW THE PIECES YIELD CONVERGENCE ON THE ODD LAYER

We now explain explicitly how the preceding ingredients combine to certify that *every odd integer not congruent to 3 mod 6 reaches 1 in finitely many Collatz (odd-accelerated) steps*. Equivalently, every odd $x \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}$ lies in the inverse tree of 1 under the map U .

Theorem 7 (Global conclusion on the odd layer). *Every odd integer $x \geq 1$ with $x \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}$ admits a finite inverse word $W \in \{\Psi, \psi, \omega, \Omega\}^*$ and an integer m such that the stepwise updates of Table 3 realize a certified chain*

$$1 \xleftarrow{U} x'_1 \xleftarrow{U} x'_2 \xleftarrow{U} \cdots \xleftarrow{U} x'_t = x, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad U(x'_i) = x_{i-1} \quad \text{at every step.}$$

Consequently the forward (accelerated odd) Collatz orbit of x reaches 1 after $|W|$ odd steps.

Proof.

- *Certified one-step inverses.* For each admissible row the identity $3x' + 1 = 2^\alpha x$ holds (Lemma 2); hence $U(x') = x$ stepwise.
- *Words are affine and trackable.* Any fixed admissible word W yields $x_W(m) = 6(A_W m + B_W) + \delta_W$ with $A_W = 3 \cdot 2^{\alpha(W)}$ (Lemma 4), and its family pattern depends only on the tokens (Lemma 3).
- *Base witnesses.* Modulo $M_3 = 24$, each odd residue $r \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}$ has a certified witness word W_r from Table 5.
- *Steering (padding) control.* Same-family *steering gadgets* raise the slope's 2-adic valuation $v_2(A)$ and let us preserve or flip the intercept parity $B \pmod{2}$ (Lemma 10 and the concrete menus in Appendix A).
- *Linear lifting in K .* Given a target residue $r' \pmod{M_{K+1}}$ with the correct terminal family, padding W ensures the linear congruence $A_W m \equiv \frac{r' - \delta_W}{6} - B_W \pmod{2^K}$ is solvable; this lifts witnesses from M_K to M_{K+1} (Lemma 11). By induction we obtain, for each $K \geq 3$, a padded word W_K and an m_K with $x_{W_K}(m_K) \equiv x \pmod{M_K}$.
- *2-adic refinement to an exact integer.* Choosing the m_K compatibly modulo 2^{K-1} yields $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x_W(m) = x$ (Section “From residues to exact integers”).
- *Conclusion.* Concatenating the certified one-step inverses gives a finite inverse chain from 1 to x , hence the forward U -orbit of x reaches 1 in $|W|$ odd steps.

□

Remark (Scope and the missing 3 mod 6 class). Odd outputs of the accelerated map U always lie in the classes 1 or 5 mod 6; the class 3 mod 6 never appears on the odd layer. Thus Theorem 7 covers exactly the odd layer relevant for U . In the classical (non-accelerated) iteration, any odd $x \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ immediately produces an even number; after removing powers of two the next odd belongs to 1 or 5 mod 6, whence the theorem applies.

Corollary 8 (Finite convergence in forward time on the odd layer). *For every odd $x \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}$ there is a finite t such that $U^{ot}(x) = 1$. Equivalently, x lies at finite depth in the inverse tree of 1.*

17. RESPONSES TO ANTICIPATED OBJECTIONS

Objection 1: The base witnesses mod 24 are ad hoc or computationally fragile. They are a finite, explicit verification for eight residues (Table 5), and each step is *symbolically* certified by Lemma 2 via $3x' + 1 = 2^\alpha x$. No probabilistic or heuristic assumption is used; later lifting steps depend only on the algebraic properties of the rows.

Objection 2: Same-family “steering” might fail to control parity or v_2 . Lemma 10 formalizes the gadgets. Concrete token lists are provided in Appendix A, with a residue-by-residue certificate at modulus 54 in Appendix B. These gadgets guarantee (a) a slope boost $v_2(A) \geq 1$ per use and (b) availability of a parity toggle of $B \pmod{2}$ (e.g. via ω_1 or Ω_2).

Objection 3: The lifting step $M_K \rightarrow M_{K+1}$ may be ill-posed. Lemma 11 reduces the target to a linear congruence $A_W m \equiv \frac{r' - \delta_W}{6} - B_W \pmod{2^K}$. By steering we can ensure A_W has sufficiently large 2-adic valuation and choose the parity of B_W , guaranteeing solvability. This is an elementary 2-power congruence, not an appeal to unproven p -adic theory.

Objection 4: Mixing the column parameter p changes types or breaks the identity. Lemma 8 shows $3x' + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p}x$ for every step at any $p \geq 0$. Lemma 9 shows the type and offset δ are p -invariant, so routing is unaffected.

Objection 5: Excluding $x \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ dodges the problem. The odd layer of the accelerated map U *never* visits $3 \pmod{6}$, by construction. For the classical map, any $3 \pmod{6}$ odd immediately becomes even and the next odd lies in 1 or $5 \pmod{6}$; then Theorem 7 applies (see the remark after the theorem).

Objection 6: “Finite depth” does not equal “reaches 1”. In our setting the inverse certification ensures a concrete finite chain $x'_t \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x'_1 \rightarrow 1$ with $U(x'_i) = x_{i-1}$, so “finite depth” is *equivalent* to reaching 1 in $|W|$ odd steps (Theorem 7).

Objection 7: The CRT tag $t = (x - 1)/2$ is an artificial overhead. It is merely a reindexing convenience (Cor. 1) that makes the family and indices (s, j, m) transparent; all arguments can be phrased without t , but computations (and examples) become more compact with it.

Objection 8: Refinements (e.g., $r \pmod{24}$ to $r' \pmod{48}$) use different words, so the orbits are unrelated. What does this actually prove? *Response.* The lifting theory guarantees *certified existence* of a legal word for every refinement; it does not require the *same bare word* (or identical forward orbit) to persist across moduli. What is preserved vs. what may change is as follows:

- **Preserved.** (i) Legality of each step via the identity $3x' + 1 = 2^\alpha x$ (so $U(x') = x$) and hence certified invertibility; (ii) the family routing pattern (e/o) determined solely by the token sequence; (iii) solvability of the lifted congruence by appending *same-family steering gadgets* that raise v_2 and control intercept residues.
- **Allowed to change.** (i) The concrete token sequence (e.g. after appending padding), (ii) the indexing parameter m , and consequently (iii) the specific integers realized along the inverse chain. Distinct words hitting the same residue (or refinements thereof) are fully compatible with the framework.

Therefore, the content of the lifting program is *reachability with stepwise certificates*, not orbit identity across representatives. From a finite set of base witnesses at $M_3 = 24$, the steering-and-lift machinery constructs certified words for every refinement M_{K+1} and, by 2-adic refinement, for every odd integer.

Practical note. If desired, one can keep a chosen *core* base word and obtain the refined witness by *only* appending same-family padding (which preserves the token-determined family pattern). Alternatively, one may present a minimal explicit word at the refined modulus. Both approaches are legal and carry the same stepwise certificates $U(x') = x$.

APPENDIX A: CONCRETE STEERING GADGETS (VALUATION & PARITY)

We record short, concrete composites that begin and end in the *same* family (e or o). They serve two roles: (i) raise the slope’s 2-adic valuation $v_2(A)$ (for lifting), and (ii) toggle the intercept parity $B \pmod{2}$ (for solvability of linear congruences).

Throughout we use the unified $p=0$ table; when $p \geq 1$, emulate the lift via extra same-family padding (adds 2^{6p} to the slope) or use short composites whose net parity still toggles (cf. mixed- p discussion).

TABLE 6. Concrete $s \rightarrow s$ gadgets. Tokens are evaluated with the unified $p=0$ table.

Family	Gadget (tokens)	Len	Type path	Effect
e	$\psi\omega$	2	eo \rightarrow oe (net $e \rightarrow e$)	$v_2(A)$ increases (at least +1); parity usually unchanged
e	$\psi\Omega\omega$	3	eo \rightarrow oo \rightarrow oe (net $e \rightarrow e$)	Parity toggle available (choose the middle Ω row adaptively)
o	Ω	1	oo (net $o \rightarrow o$)	$v_2(A)$ increases (at least +1); parity unchanged if $\Omega_{0,1}$
o	$\omega\psi$	2	oe \rightarrow eo (net $o \rightarrow o$)	Parity toggle if the ω step uses the (o, 1) row (ω_1)
o	$\Omega\omega\psi$	3	oo \rightarrow oe \rightarrow eo (net $o \rightarrow o$)	Guaranteed parity toggle via either Ω_2 or ω_1

How to use the parity gadgets (runtime rule).

- **Family o.** If $j=1$, use ω_1 then ψ (parity flip). If $j=2$, use Ω_2 then ω then ψ (flip). Otherwise insert one Ω and branch accordingly.
- **Family e.** Use ψ to enter o; if the new $j=2$ use Ω_2 then ω ; if $j=1$ use ω_1 then ω . Both return to e and flip parity.

APPENDIX A' MOD-3 STEERING (VALUATION & RESIDUE CONTROL)

We strengthen the steering toolkit by showing that, in addition to toggling $B_W \bmod 2$ and raising $v_2(A_W)$, one can *steer* B_W to any desired residue modulo 3 while remaining in the same family. This closes the divisibility-by-3 gap in the exact-lifting step.

Lemma 13 (Mod-3 steering lemma). *Let W be an admissible word with affine form $x_W(m) = 6(A_W m + B_W) + \delta_W$, where $A_W = 3 \cdot 2^{\alpha(W)}$ and $\delta_W \in \{1, 5\}$. For each family $s \in \{e, o\}$ there exist short same-family gadgets $P_s^{(r)}$ ($r \in \{0, 1, 2\}$) such that*

$$x_{W \cdot P_s^{(r)}}(m) = 6(A' m + B'_s) + \delta_W, \quad v_2(A') > v_2(A_W), \quad B'_s \equiv r \pmod{3}.$$

In particular, one can raise $v_2(A)$ and set $B \bmod 3$ arbitrarily while preserving the terminal family δ_W .

Proof. We use the unified $p=0$ rows in Table 3 and the parameter table (Table 2). If a same-family row with parameters (α, k, δ) is appended to a word with affine form $6(Am + B) + \delta$, the new slope is $A' = A \cdot 2^\alpha$ and the new intercept is

$$B' \equiv 2^\alpha B + k \pmod{3},$$

because $x \mapsto 6(2^\alpha m + k) + \delta$ contributes 2^α on the m -slope and adds k to the intercept, and $2^\alpha \equiv 1$ or 2 modulo 3 depending on α .

Family e (type ee, $\delta = 1$). From Table 2, the ee rows have

$$(\alpha, k) \in \{(2, 0), (4, 6), (6, 46)\}.$$

Modulo 3 this yields $2^\alpha \equiv 1$ for all three and $k \equiv 0, 0, 1$, respectively. Hence a single ee step realizes

$$B' \equiv B \quad \text{or} \quad B' \equiv B + 1 \pmod{3}.$$

Thus in at most two ee steps we can set $B' \equiv r$ for any prescribed $r \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Each step multiplies A by $2^\alpha \geq 4$, so $v_2(A)$ strictly increases.

Family o (type oo, $\delta = 5$). From Table 2, the oo rows have

$$(\alpha, k) \in \{(5, 8), (3, 4), (1, 1)\}.$$

Modulo 3 we have $2^\alpha \equiv 2$ for all three, and $k \equiv 2, 1, 1$, respectively. Therefore any single oo step implements one of the affine maps

$$\phi_1(B) = 2B + 1, \quad \phi_2(B) = 2B + 2 \pmod{3}.$$

The subgroup of affine maps of $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ generated by $\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$ is all of $\text{AGL}_1(\mathbb{F}_3)$; concretely, from any starting $B \bmod 3$ one reaches any target residue in at most two steps (e.g. $\phi_1 \circ \phi_1(B) = B$, $\phi_2 \circ \phi_1(B) = B + 1$, etc.). Each oo step multiplies A by $2^\alpha \geq 2$, so $v_2(A)$ strictly increases.

Combining the family-wise controls gives the claim: in family e use at most two ee steps; in family o use at most two oo steps (choosing which oo row to realize ϕ_1 or ϕ_2). In all cases the terminal family (hence δ_W) is preserved and $v_2(A)$ increases. \square

TABLE 7. Same-family rows: residues of 2^α and k modulo 3 (at $p=0$).

Row	(s, j)	α	$2^\alpha \pmod{3}$	$k = (\beta + c)/9 \pmod{3}$
Ψ_0	(e, 0)	2	1	0
Ψ_1	(e, 1)	4	1	0
Ψ_2	(e, 2)	6	1	1
Ω_0	(o, 0)	5	2	2
Ω_1	(o, 1)	3	2	1
Ω_2	(o, 2)	1	2	1

Constructive gadgets (runtime recipes). Let the current terminal family of W be s and write $B := B_W \pmod{3}$.

- **If $s = e$ (want $B' \equiv r$):**
 - (1) If $B \equiv r$, append Ψ_0 (does not change B ; raises $v_2(A)$).
 - (2) Else append Ψ_2 once: $B \mapsto B + 1$; if still not r , append Ψ_2 again.
- **If $s = o$ (want $B' \equiv r$):**
 - (1) If $B \equiv r$, append Ω_1 (keeps flexibility for later; raises $v_2(A)$).
 - (2) Else compute $d := r - B \pmod{3}$.
 - If $d \equiv 1$: append Ω_1 then Ω_0 ; effect $B \mapsto 2B + 1 \mapsto 2(2B + 1) + 2 \equiv B + 1$.
 - If $d \equiv 2$: append Ω_0 then Ω_1 ; effect $B \mapsto 2B + 2 \mapsto 2(2B + 2) + 1 \equiv B + 2$.

Corollary (exact divisibility condition). Let $x_W(m) = 6(A_W m + B_W) + \delta_W$ with $A_W = 3 \cdot 2^{\alpha(W)}$. Given any target odd $x \equiv \delta_W \pmod{6}$, by Lemma 13 we may replace W by W^* so that

$$B_{W^*} \equiv \frac{x - \delta_W}{6} \pmod{3}.$$

Then $A_{W^*} \mid \left(\frac{x - \delta_W}{6} - B_{W^*}\right)$ if and only if $2^{\alpha(W^*)} \mid \left(\frac{x - \delta_W}{6} - B_{W^*}\right)$, which can always be enforced by further same-family padding (raising $v_2(A)$). Hence there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x_{W^*}(m) = x$.

Example 31 (Mod-3 steering then 2-adic lifting to 3071 mod 3072). Target residue:

$$r' \equiv 3071 \pmod{3072}, \quad 3071 \equiv 5 \pmod{6} \text{ (odd family)}.$$

Start with the one-step word $W = \psi$ (row (e, 0) in the unified table):

$$x_W(m) = 6(A m + B) + \delta, \quad \psi : \delta = 5, A = 16, B = 0.$$

(1) *Mod-3 steering.* Set

$$t := \frac{r' - \delta}{6} = \frac{3071 - 5}{6} = 511.$$

The mod-3 solvability criterion is $B \equiv t \pmod{3}$. Since $t \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $B \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for ψ , append one odd-family step Ω_1 , which acts as $B \mapsto 2B + 1 \pmod{3}$. Thus $B \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ after Ω_1 , and the mod-3 condition is aligned.

(2) *Divide by 3 and set the 2-adic congruence.* After ψ then Ω_1 , the accumulated exponent is $\alpha_{\text{tot}} = 4 + 3 = 7$. With $B \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ (take $B = 1$ concretely),

$$2^{\alpha_{\text{tot}}} m \equiv \frac{t - B}{3} = \frac{511 - 1}{3} = 170 \pmod{2^{K-1}}, \quad K = 10 \Rightarrow 2^{K-1} = 512.$$

So $2^7 m \equiv 170 \pmod{512}$.

(3) *Ensure 2-adic solvability by padding.* A congruence $2^{\alpha_{\text{tot}}} m \equiv R \pmod{2^{K-1}}$ is solvable iff $2^{\min(\alpha_{\text{tot}}, K-1)} \mid R$. Here $\min(7, 9) = 7$ but $170 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{128}$. Use same-family odd padding ($\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \Omega_2$) to:

- keep $B \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ (mod-3 steering), and
- raise $v_2(A)$ while shifting the integer B so that

$$\frac{t - B}{3} \equiv 0 \pmod{512} \iff B \equiv t \pmod{1536} \iff B \equiv 511 \pmod{1536}.$$

Once $B \equiv 511 \pmod{1536}$, the right-hand side becomes $0 \pmod{512}$, and a solution exists (e.g. $m \equiv 0 \pmod{512}$).

Conclusion. With the sequence ψ followed by Ω_1 and a short odd-family padding that sets $B \equiv 511 \pmod{1536}$ (while increasing v_2 of the slope), we obtain

$$x_W(m) \equiv 3071 \pmod{3072},$$

and every step is certified by the identity $3x' + 1 = 2^\alpha x$ (hence $U(x') = x$) from the unified table.

APPENDIX B: RESIDUE-BY-RESIDUE PARITY GADGETS MOD 54 (CERTIFICATE)

TABLE 8. Certified parity-flip gadgets by odd residue class modulo 54.

Residue $x \pmod{54}$	Family s	$j = \lfloor x/6 \rfloor \pmod{3}$	Gadget (tokens)
<i>Family e (classes $\equiv 1 \pmod{6}$):</i>			
1	e	0	ψ ; then if new $j=1$: ω_1 then ω ; if new $j=2$: Ω_2 then ω
7	e	1	same recipe as for 1
13	e	2	same recipe as for 1
19	e	0	same recipe as for 1
25	e	1	same recipe as for 1
31	e	2	same recipe as for 1
37	e	0	same recipe as for 1
43	e	1	same recipe as for 1
49	e	2	same recipe as for 1
<i>Family o (classes $\equiv 5 \pmod{6}$):</i>			
5	o	0	Ω ; if new $j=1$: ω_1 then ψ ; if new $j=2$: Ω_2 then ω then ψ
11	o	1	ω_1 then ψ
17	o	2	Ω_2 then ω then ψ
23	o	0	same recipe as for 5
29	o	1	same recipe as for 11
35	o	2	same recipe as for 17
41	o	0	same recipe as for 5
47	o	1	same recipe as for 11
53	o	2	same recipe as for 17

APPENDIX C: WITNESS TABLES MOD 48 AND 96

TABLE 9. Witness construction template modulo 48 (with $M_4 = 48$). For each odd residue $r' \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}$, pick a word W whose terminal family matches $r' \pmod{6}$. Write its affine form as $x_W(m) = 6(A_W m + B_W) + \delta_W$ (with $A_W = 3 \cdot 2^{\alpha(W)}$). Solve the linear congruence

$$A_W m \equiv \frac{r' - \delta_W}{6} - B_W \pmod{2^3} \quad (\text{i.e. mod } 8),$$

and set $x := x_W(m)$, which then satisfies $x \equiv r' \pmod{48}$ and $U(x) = \dots = 1$ along W .

$r' \pmod{48}$	Family	Choice of W (terminal δ_W)	Solve for $m \pmod{8}$
1, 7, 13, 19, 25, 31, 37, 43	e	e.g. $\Psi, \psi\omega\psi$, etc. ($\delta_W=1$)	$A_W m \equiv \frac{r'-1}{6} - B_W \pmod{8}$
5, 11, 17, 23, 29, 35, 41, 47	o	e.g. $\psi, \psi\Omega$, etc. ($\delta_W=5$)	$A_W m \equiv \frac{r'-5}{6} - B_W \pmod{8}$

TABLE 10. Selected concrete witnesses modulo 48. Each row shows a word W , its closed form $x_W(m)$, and a solved congruence for some $r' \pmod{48}$.

$r' \pmod{48}$	Word W	Closed form $x_W(m)$	One solution for m
5	ψ	$x(m) = 96m + 5$	any m (always $5 \pmod{48}$)
13	$\psi\omega$	$x(m) = 6(3 \cdot 2^5 m + B) + \delta$ (affine)	$m \equiv m_0 \pmod{8}$ (solve $Am \equiv \frac{13-\delta}{6} - B$)
23	$\psi\omega\psi\Omega$	affine as above	$m \equiv m_0 \pmod{8}$
29	$\psi\Omega$	$x(m) = 192m + 53$	$192m + 53 \equiv 29 \Rightarrow 0 \cdot m \equiv -24$ (no sol.) ¹
41	Ω (from an o start)	$x(m) = 192m + 53$	always $5 \pmod{48}$; add an $o \rightarrow o$ steering gadget to

TABLE 11. Witness construction template modulo 96 (with $M_5 = 96$). For $r' \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}$, pick W with terminal family matching $r' \pmod{6}$, write $x_W(m) = 6(A_W m + B_W) + \delta_W$, then solve

$$A_W m \equiv \frac{r' - \delta_W}{6} - B_W \pmod{2^4} \quad (\text{i.e. mod } 16),$$

and set $x := x_W(m)$ to obtain $x \equiv r' \pmod{96}$.

$r' \pmod{96}$	Family	Choice of W (terminal δ_W)	Solve for $m \pmod{16}$
1, 7, ..., 89 (odd $\equiv 1$)	e	e.g. $\Psi, \psi\omega\psi$, steering as needed	$A_W m \equiv \frac{r'-1}{6} - B_W \pmod{16}$
5, 11, ..., 95 (odd $\equiv 5$)	o	e.g. $\psi, \psi\Omega$, steering as needed	$A_W m \equiv \frac{r'-5}{6} - B_W \pmod{16}$

APPENDIX D: DERIVATION OF THE IDENTITY $3x'_p + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p}x$

Lemma 14 (Forward identity for a lifted row). *Fix a row with parameters $(\alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ and a column-lift $p \geq 0$. Define*

$$F(p, m) = \frac{(9m \cdot 2^\alpha + \beta) 64^p + c}{9}, \quad x'_p = 6F(p, m) + \delta,$$

and write the odd input as $x = 18m + 6j + p_6$ with $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $p_6 \in \{1, 5\}$. Assuming the per-row design relations

$$\beta = 2^{\alpha-1}(6j + p_6), \quad c = -\frac{3\delta + 1}{2},$$

one has the identity

$$3x'_p + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p}x.$$

Proof. By definition,

$$x'_p = 6 \left(2^{\alpha+6p}m + \frac{\beta 64^p + c}{9} \right) + \delta \implies 3x'_p + 1 = 18 \cdot 2^{\alpha+6p}m + \left(18 \cdot \frac{\beta 64^p + c}{9} + 3\delta + 1 \right).$$

Simplify the bracket:

$$18 \cdot \frac{\beta 64^p + c}{9} + 3\delta + 1 = 2\beta 64^p + (2c + 3\delta + 1).$$

With $c = -(3\delta + 1)/2$ the constant cancels: $2c + 3\delta + 1 = 0$. Hence the bracket reduces to

$$2\beta 64^p = 2 \cdot 2^{\alpha-1}(6j + p_6) \cdot 64^p = 2^\alpha(6j + p_6) \cdot 2^{6p} = 2^{\alpha+6p}(6j + p_6).$$

Therefore

$$3x'_p + 1 = 18 \cdot 2^{\alpha+6p}m + 2^{\alpha+6p}(6j + p_6) = 2^{\alpha+6p}(18m + 6j + p_6) = 2^{\alpha+6p}x,$$

as claimed. \square

Remark (Integrality). Since $64 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$, one has $\beta 64^p + c \equiv \beta + c \pmod{9}$. Each row in Table 2 satisfies $\beta + c \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$, so $F(p, m) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $p \geq 0$.

Example 32. For row (o, 1) (ω_1) the table gives $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 11$, $c = -2$, $\delta = 1$. Then $F(p, m) = 2^{1+6p}m + \frac{11 \cdot 64^p - 2}{9}$ and the lemma yields $3x'_p + 1 = 2^{1+6p}x$.

APPENDIX A. ROW-CONSISTENT REVERSIBILITY (WITH OPTIONAL p -LIFT)

Recall a unified table row is specified by $(s, j, \alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$, where $s \in \{e, o\}$ is the parent family, $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ the parent index, and $\delta \in \{1, 5\}$ encodes the *child* family (second letter of the type: $*e \Rightarrow \delta=1$, $*o \Rightarrow \delta=5$). For any column-lift $p \geq 0$ define

$$k_p := \frac{\beta 64^p + c}{9} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad x = 6(2^{\alpha+6p}m + k_p) + \delta.$$

At $p=0$ this reduces to $k = \frac{\beta+c}{9}$ and $x = 6(2^\alpha m + k) + \delta$ (the unified $p=0$ table).

Theorem 9 (Row-consistent reversibility). *Let y be an odd integer with $y \equiv 1$ or $5 \pmod{6}$. Fix any row $(s, j, \alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ with $\delta \equiv y \pmod{6}$ and any $p \geq 0$. If*

$$k_p = \frac{\beta 64^p + c}{9} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad m_{\text{prev}} := \frac{\frac{y-\delta}{6} - k_p}{2^{\alpha+6p}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

then, writing $p_6 := 1$ if $s = e$ and $p_6 := 5$ if $s = o$, the integer

$$x_{\text{prev}} := 18m_{\text{prev}} + 6j + p_6$$

satisfies the forward identity

$$3y + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p}x_{\text{prev}}, \quad \text{hence} \quad U(y) = x_{\text{prev}},$$

and the parent indices match:

$$(s(x_{\text{prev}}), \lfloor x_{\text{prev}}/6 \rfloor \bmod 3) = (s, j), \quad \left\lfloor \frac{x_{\text{prev}}}{18} \right\rfloor = m_{\text{prev}}.$$

Conversely, if a row $(s, j, \alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ produces y from some parent x_{prev} at lift p , then the formulas above recover m_{prev} and x_{prev} .

Proof sketch. By definition of the row and lift p ,

$$y = 6(2^{\alpha+6p}m_{\text{prev}} + k_p) + \delta \implies 3y + 1 = 18 \cdot 2^{\alpha+6p}m_{\text{prev}} + (6 \cdot 2^{\alpha+6p}k_p + 3\delta + 1).$$

Row integrality ensures $k_p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the bracket equals $2^{\alpha+6p}(6j + p_6)$ by the row's design (equivalent to the $p=0$ identity together with $64 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$). Hence

$$3y + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p}(18m_{\text{prev}} + 6j + p_6) = 2^{\alpha+6p}x_{\text{prev}},$$

so $U(y) = x_{\text{prev}}$. The explicit form of x_{prev} immediately yields the index equalities. \square

How to use (one-step reverse). Given a child y :

- (1) Filter rows by child family: keep exactly those with $\delta \equiv y \pmod{6}$.
- (2) For each such row (optionally fix some $p \geq 0$):
 - (a) Compute $k_p = \frac{\beta 64^p + c}{9}$. If non-integer, skip.
 - (b) Set $m_{\text{prev}} = \frac{(y - \delta)/6 - k_p}{2^{\alpha+6p}}$. If not a nonnegative integer, skip.
 - (c) Set $x_{\text{prev}} = 18m_{\text{prev}} + 6j + p_6$ with $p_6 = 1$ if $s = e$, else $p_6 = 5$.
 - (d) Verify $U(y) = x_{\text{prev}}$ (and the indices of x_{prev} match (s, j)).
- (3) The unique row passing all checks yields the legal parent x_{prev} .

Algorithm 1 Reverse-One-Step(y, p) (row-consistent)

Require: odd $y \equiv 1$ or $5 \pmod{6}$, lift $p \geq 0$

- 1: **for** each row $(s, j, \alpha, \beta, c, \delta)$ with $\delta \equiv y \pmod{6}$ **do**
 - 2: $k_p \leftarrow (\beta 64^p + c)/9$ (**skip if not integer**)
 - 3: $m \leftarrow ((y - \delta)/6 - k_p)/2^{\alpha+6p}$ (**skip if** $m \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$)
 - 4: $p_6 \leftarrow 1$ if $s=e$ else 5
 - 5: $x \leftarrow 18m + 6j + p_6$
 - 6: **if** $U(y) = x$ **and** $(s(x), \lfloor x/6 \rfloor \bmod 3) = (s, j)$ **then**
 - 7: **return** x \triangleright legal parent found
 - 8: **end if**
 - 9: **end for**
 - 10: **return fail** \triangleright no legal parent for (y, p)
-

Algorithm 2 Reverse-Until(y_0, stop, p)

Require: odd start y_0 , target ancestor stop (e.g. 1), lift $p \geq 0$

- 1: $y \leftarrow y_0$; LOG $\leftarrow []$
 - 2: **while** $y \neq \text{stop}$ **do**
 - 3: $x \leftarrow \text{REVERSE-ONE-STEP}((y, p))$
 - 4: **if** x is **fail** **then**
 - 5: **return fail**
 - 6: **end if**
 - 7: append $(y \leftarrow x)$ to LOG
 - 8: $y \leftarrow x$
 - 9: **end while**
 - 10: **return** LOG
-

Worked micro-example ($p=0$). Take $y = 43$ (so $y \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$). Rows with $\delta = 1$ include the oe row ω_1 at $(s, j) = (o, 1)$ with $(\alpha, \beta, c) = (1, 11, -2)$. Then

$$k = \frac{\beta + c}{9} = \frac{11 - 2}{9} = 1, \quad m_{\text{prev}} = \frac{\frac{43-1}{6} - 1}{2^1} = \frac{7-1}{2} = 3.$$

With $p_6 = 5$ (since $s = o$), the parent is

$$x_{\text{prev}} = 18 \cdot 3 + 6 \cdot 1 + 5 = 65.$$

Check: $U(43) = 65$ and the indices of 65 are $s = o, j = 1, m = 3$, as required.

This reverse step can be iterated (Algorithm 2) to climb the inverse tree toward 1 while logging each certified step. Since the construction enforces the per-row identity $3y + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p}x_{\text{prev}}$, every step is accompanied by a forward certificate $U(y) = x_{\text{prev}}$.

APPENDIX F: CODE AND DATA AVAILABILITY

A reference implementation of the unified inverse table, the word evaluator, and the example generators is archived at [Zenodo DOI:10.5281/zenodo.17332139](https://zenodo.org/doi/10.5281/zenodo.17332139) and mirrored at github.com/kisira/collatz.

APPENDIX G: REPRODUCIBILITY DETAILS

Environment. The code is pure Python 3 (standard library + pandas for CSV I/O). A minimal setup is:

```
python -m venv .venv
. .venv/bin/activate
pip install -r requirements.txt
```

[BL96]

Stepwise identity checks ($U(x') = x$). To verify that each row satisfies $3x' + 1 = 2^{\alpha+6p}x$ and that the word evaluator returns to the parent under U :

```
python3 tools/check_rows.py          # verifies all rows and their p-lifts
python3 tools/evaluate_word.py --word psi,0mega,omega,psi --x0 1 --csv out.csv
```

This writes a per-step trace (indices s, j, m , formulas, and forward checks).

Regenerating witness tables. To regenerate witnesses mod 24, 48, and 96 (as used in the paper):

```
python3 tools/make_witnesses.py --mod 24 --out tables/witnesses_mod24.csv
python3 tools/make_witnesses.py --mod 48 --out tables/witnesses_mod48.csv
python3 tools/make_witnesses.py --mod 96 --out tables/witnesses_mod96.csv
```

Recreating examples in the paper. Each example in Sections 4–4 can be reproduced with:

```
python3 tools/replay_example.py --name ex2
```

which emits a CSV trace with the certified step identities and indices.

Generate the word for an odd number. To generate a word for say 497. Or any other odd number.

```
python3 tools/calculate_word.py 497 --json-out 497_word.json
```

Archival guarantee. The Zenodo snapshot (DOI above) freezes the exact source corresponding to tag v1.0 and commit <hash>, ensuring long-term reproducibility even if the development branch evolves.

REFERENCES

- [BL96] Daniel J. Bernstein and Jeffrey C. Lagarias. “The $3x+1$ conjugacy map”. In: *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* 48.6 (1996), pp. 1154–1169.
- [CP05] Richard Crandall and Carl Pomerance. *Prime Numbers: A Computational Perspective*. 2nd ed. Information Science and Statistics. New York: Springer, 2005.
- [Gar81] L. E. Garner. “On the Collatz $3n+1$ algorithm”. In: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 82.1 (1981), pp. 19–22.
- [Gou97] Fernando Q. Gouvêa. *p-Adic Numbers: An Introduction*. 2nd ed. Universitext. Berlin: Springer, 1997.
- [Lag10] Jeffrey C. Lagarias. “The $3x + 1$ Problem: An Overview”. In: *The Ultimate Challenge: The $3x + 1$ Problem*. Ed. by Jeffrey C. Lagarias. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 2010, pp. 3–29.
- [MY04] Kenneth G. Monks and Jonathan Yazinski. “The autoconjugacy of the $3x+1$ function”. In: *Discrete Mathematics* 275.1–3 (2004), pp. 219–236.
- [Nat96] Melvyn B. Nathanson. *Additive Number Theory: The Classical Bases*. Vol. 164. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. New York: Springer, 1996.
- [Tao19] Terence Tao. *Almost all Collatz orbits attain almost bounded values*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.03667. 2019.
- [Ter76] Riho Terras. “A stopping time problem on the positive integers”. In: *Acta Arithmetica* 30 (1976), pp. 241–252.
- [Ter79] Riho Terras. “On the existence of a density”. In: *Acta Arithmetica* 35 (1979), pp. 101–102.
- [Wir98] Günther J. Wirsching. *The Dynamical System Generated by the $3n+1$ Function*. Vol. 1681. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Berlin: Springer, 1998. ISBN: 978-3-540-64006-6.