

Music is the pleasure the human soul experiences from counting without
being aware that it is counting. —Leibniz

[illegible]

1. Do not write your name above.
2. Calculators are forbidden (and useless, anyhow).
3. Look inside the exam before you are instructed to do so.
4. Give yourself have **48 minutes** for five problems on this fake exam.
5. Justify your answers.
6. Show your work.
7. Write your answers down.
8. Answer all questions.
9. To prevent fire, do not divide by zero.

| | |
|--------------|---------------|
| Problem 1 | /360 |
| Problem 2 | /360 |
| Problem 3 | /360 |
| Problem 4 | /360 |
| Problem 5 | /360 |
| Problem 6 | /360 |
| Problem 7 | /360 |
| Problem 8 | /360 |
| Problem 9 | /360 |
| Problem 10 | /360 |
| Problem 11 | /360 |
| Problem 12 | /360 |
| Problem 13 | /360 |
| Problem 14 | /360 |
| Problem 15 | /360 |
| Problem 16 | /360 |
| Problem 17 | /360 |
| Problem 18 | /360 |
| Problem 19 | /360 |
| Problem 20 | /360 |
| Problem 21 | /360 |
| Problem 22 | /360 |
| Problem 23 | /360 |
| Problem 24 | /360 |
| Problem 25 | /360 |
| Problem 26 | /360 |
| Problem 27 | /360 |
| Problem 28 | /360 |
| Problem 29 | /360 |
| Problem 30 | /360 |
| Total | /10800 |

Write down a truth table for the proposition

$$(P \wedge (Q \Rightarrow R)) \Rightarrow (P \wedge Q \wedge R)$$

Solution

Consider the proposition:

$$(P \vee Q) \Rightarrow ((P \Rightarrow R) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow R)) \Rightarrow R$$

Is the proposition a tautology? If not, explain why not. If it is a tautology, use the method of conditional proof to prove that it is a tautology. Do not use cases, and be careful not to skip any steps.

Solution

Consider the proposition:

$$\neg(P \Rightarrow (\neg P))$$

Is the proposition a tautology? If not, explain why not. If it is a tautology, prove it.

Solution

Consider the proposition:

$$(P \vee Q) \Rightarrow (P \Rightarrow Q)$$

Is the proposition a tautology? If not, explain why not. If it is a tautology, use the method of conditional proof to prove that it is a tautology. Do not use cases, and be careful not to skip any steps.

Solution

Consider the proposition:

$$(P \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow P)) \Rightarrow Q$$

Is the proposition a tautology? If not, explain why not. If it is a tautology, use the method of conditional proof to prove that it is a tautology. Do not use cases, and be careful not to skip any steps.

Solution

Consider the proposition:

$$(Q \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow ((P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow (P \Rightarrow R))$$

Is the proposition a tautology? If not, explain why not. If it is a tautology, use the method of conditional proof to prove that it is a tautology. Do not use cases, and be careful not to skip any steps.

Solution

Consider the proposition:

$$((P \Rightarrow Q) \vee (P \Rightarrow R)) \Rightarrow (P \Rightarrow (Q \vee R))$$

Is the proposition a tautology? If not, explain why not. If it is a tautology, use the method of conditional proof to prove that it is a tautology. Do not use cases, and be careful not to skip any steps.

Solution

Write down the contrapositive of the conditional sentence:

If $x > 2$, then $x^2 > 4$.

Solution

Write down the contrapositive of the conditional sentence:

If $x > 2$ and $x < 17$, then $x^2 > 4$ or $x^2 < 289$.

Solution

Write down the contrapositive of the conditional sentence:

$$(P \vee Q) \Rightarrow (R \wedge S).$$

Solution

Consider the three propositions

$$P \wedge (Q \vee \neg(R \wedge S)) \quad (1)$$

$$(P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge ((\neg R) \vee (\neg S))) \quad (2)$$

$$P \wedge (Q \vee (\neg R) \vee (\neg S)) \quad (3)$$

Which of these propositions are logically equivalent to which other propositions? Provide justifications for any claims you make; in particular, if you claim that two propositions are logically equivalent, you must prove this, and if you claim that they are *not* equivalent, you must explain why not.

Solution

Consider the four propositions

$$P \wedge \neg(Q \Rightarrow R) \quad (1)$$

$$P \wedge ((\neg Q) \vee R) \quad (2)$$

$$P \wedge Q \wedge \neg R \quad (3)$$

$$(P \wedge (\neg Q)) \vee (P \wedge R) \quad (4)$$

Which of these propositions are logically equivalent to which other propositions? Provide justifications for any claims you make; in particular, if you claim that two propositions are logically equivalent, you must prove this, and if you claim that they are *not* equivalent, you must explain why not.

Solution

Write down a proposition logically equivalent to

$$\neg((P \vee Q) \Rightarrow (Q \wedge R))$$

without using the symbol “ \Rightarrow .”

Solution

Is the proposition

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{R} \forall y \in \mathbb{R} (x + y = 0)$$

true or false? If it is false, give a counterexample. If it is true, give a proof.

Solution

Is the proposition

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{R} \forall y \in \mathbb{R} (xy = 1)$$

true or false? If it is false, give a counterexample. If it is true, give a proof.

Solution

Is the proposition

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \exists y \in \mathbb{R} (xy = 1)$$

true or false? If it is false, give a counterexample. If it is true, give a proof.

Solution

Is the proposition

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{R} \forall y \in \mathbb{R} (x > y)$$

true or false? If it is false, give a counterexample. If it is true, give a proof.

Solution

Is the proposition

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \forall y \in \mathbb{R} \exists z \in \mathbb{R} ((x < z) \wedge (z < y))$$

true or false? If it is false, give a counterexample. If it is true, give a proof.

Solution

Is the proposition

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \forall y \in \mathbb{R} ((x < y) \Rightarrow \exists z \in \mathbb{R} ((x < z) \wedge (z < y)))$$

true or false? If it is false, give a counterexample. If it is true, give a proof.

Solution

Is the proposition

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \exists y \in \mathbb{R} \forall z \in \mathbb{R} (x + y < z^2)$$

true or false? If it is false, give a counterexample. If it is true, give a proof. You may use the fact that the square of a real number is nonnegative.

Solution

Let $P(x, y)$ be a proposition with free variables x, y . Is the statement

$$(\exists x \forall y P(x, y)) \Rightarrow (\forall y \exists x P(x, y))$$

true or false? If it is false, give a counterexample. If it is true, give a proof.

Solution

Consider the following proposition:

If x and y are irrational, then $x + y$ is irrational.

If the proposition is true, prove it; if not, give a counterexample.

Solution

Consider the following proposition:

If x and y are rational, then $x + 2y$ is rational.

If the proposition is true, prove it; if not, give a counterexample.

Solution

Consider the following proposition:

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. If a divides b and a divides c , then a divides $17a + 13b$.

If the proposition is true, prove it; if not, give a counterexample.

Solution

Consider the following proposition:

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. The integer $a^3 + 3a^2 + 2a$ is divisible by three.

If the proposition is true, prove it; if not, give a counterexample.

Solution

Consider the following proposition:

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. If a and b are both even or both odd, then $a + b$ is odd.

If the proposition is true, prove it; if not, give a counterexample.

Solution

Consider the following proposition:

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. If a and b are both odd, then ab is odd.

If the proposition is true, prove it; if not, give a counterexample.

Solution

Consider the following proposition:

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. If a is even, then a^6 is even.

If the proposition is true, prove it; if not, give a counterexample.

Solution

Consider the following proposition:

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $a + b$ is odd, then a is even or b is even.

If the proposition is true, prove it; if not, give a counterexample.

Solution

Let $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ be propositions, and consider

$$((\forall x P(x)) \wedge (\forall x Q(x))) \Rightarrow (\forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))) \quad (1)$$

$$((\exists x P(x)) \wedge (\exists x Q(x))) \Rightarrow (\exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))) \quad (2)$$

Is (1) true or false? Is (2) true or false? Explain your answer.

Solution
