

#2.

Points of non-diff: $Y_i^T X_i \theta = 1$.

If this point is entered, I added it to a list and printed it. It turned out that this point was not entered.

#4. Lemma) $-\log x$ is convex. ($x \in (0, \infty)$).pf) Take $x_1 < x_2$ and $\eta \in (0, 1)$.

$$\text{Let } f(x) = \frac{\log x_2 - \log x_1}{x_2 - x_1} (x - x_1) - (\log x - \log x_1)$$

$$\text{Then, } f(x_1) = 0, f(x_2) = 0, \text{ and } f'(x) = \frac{\log x_2 - \log x_1}{x_2 - x_1} - \frac{1}{x}.$$

$$f''(x) = \frac{1}{x^2} \Rightarrow f' \text{ increases.}$$

$$\text{By MVT, } \exists c \in (x_1, x_2) \text{ s.t. } f'(c) = 0.$$

$$\text{For } y \in (x_1, c), \exists z \in (x_1, y) \text{ s.t. } f'(z) = \frac{f(y)}{y - x_1} < 0. \text{ Hence, } f(y) < 0.$$

$$\text{For } y \in (c, x_2), \exists z \in (y, x_2) \text{ s.t. } f'(z) = \frac{f(y)}{x_2 - y} > 0. \text{ Hence, } f(y) < 0.$$

$$\text{Hence, } f(\eta x_1 + (1-\eta)x_2) < 0 \text{ for all } \eta \in (0, 1).$$

$$f(\eta x_1 + (1-\eta)x_2) = \frac{\log x_2 - \log x_1}{x_2 - x_1} \cdot (\eta x_1 + (1-\eta)x_2 - x_1) - (\log(\eta x_1 + (1-\eta)x_2) - \log x_1)$$

$$= \eta \log x_1 + (1-\eta) \log x_2 - \log(\eta x_1 + (1-\eta)x_2).$$

$$\therefore -\log x \text{ is convex. } \square$$

$$D_{KL}(p \parallel q) = \mathbb{E}_i \left[\log \frac{p_i}{q_i} \right] = \mathbb{E}_i \left[-\log \frac{q_i}{p_i} \right] \geq \log \mathbb{E}_i \left[\frac{q_i}{p_i} \right] = 0.$$

$$\therefore \int \frac{q}{p} \cdot p \cdot d\mu = 1. \quad \square$$

~~#5~~ By Lemma of 4, $\log x$ is strictly convex.

say $X = \frac{p_i}{g_i}$. This is non-constant if $p \neq g$, because if

$$\frac{p_i}{g_i} = c, \quad \int g_i = \int p_i = c \int g_i, \text{ so } c=1. \text{ Then } \underline{p=g}.$$

$$\therefore \text{Kullback-Leibler}(p||g) = \mathbb{E}_i \left[\log \frac{p}{g} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[-\log \frac{g}{p} \right] > \log \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{g}{p} \right] = 0.$$

#6.

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^p u_j \cdot \sigma(a_j x + b_j).$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_j} = \sigma(a_j x + b_j) \Rightarrow \nabla_u f = \sigma(ax + b).$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a_j} = u_j \cdot x \cdot \sigma'(a_j x + b_j) \Rightarrow \nabla_a f = \sigma'(ax + b) \odot ux$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_j} = u_j \cdot \sigma'(a_j x + b_j) \Rightarrow \nabla_b f = \sigma'(ax + b) \odot u$$

$$u \odot v = \text{diag}(u)v. \text{ because } \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & u_p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 v_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_p v_p \end{pmatrix} = u \odot v.$$

#7. It almost matches ~~fx~~.