

Machine Learning for Omics Integration - Day 1 Notes

Course Notes

December 17, 2024

Contents

| | |
|--|----------|
| 1 Key Concepts and Principles | 1 |
| 1.1 Sample Size Considerations | 1 |
| 1.2 Mathematical Framework | 2 |
| 2 Important Notes | 2 |
| 3 Statistical Approaches | 2 |
| 3.1 Frequentist Statistics | 2 |
| 3.2 Bayesian Statistics | 2 |
| 4 Missing Heritability Problem | 3 |
| 4.1 Definition and Context | 3 |
| 4.2 Proposed Explanations | 3 |
| 4.3 Multi-Omics Solutions | 4 |
| 5 LASSO Regression and Regularization | 4 |
| 5.1 LASSO Mathematical Formulation | 4 |
| 5.2 LASSO in High-Dimensional Omics | 5 |
| 5.3 Cross-Validation and Model Selection | 5 |
| 6 Multi-Omics Integration Strategies | 5 |
| 6.1 Types of Integration Approaches | 5 |
| 6.2 Advanced Integration Methods | 6 |
| 6.3 Validation and Evaluation | 6 |
| 7 Practical Implementation Considerations | 7 |
| 7.1 Data Preprocessing | 7 |
| 7.2 Computational Resources | 7 |
| 7.3 Reproducibility | 7 |

1 Key Concepts and Principles

1.1 Sample Size Considerations

- **General Recommendation:** Sample size should be more than the number of features, ideally 10x larger
- **Data-Model Trade-off:** The less data you have, the more modeling effort you need to invest
- **Context-Dependent:** Sample size adequacy varies by application
 - Some cases: 100 samples may be sufficient
 - Other cases: Millions of samples may still be inadequate

1.2 Mathematical Framework

1.2.1 Linear Model Representation

$$Y = \alpha + \beta X$$

Where: - **Y** = Phenotype variable (outcome/response variable) - **X** = Omics data (e.g., gene expression, protein levels, metabolite concentrations) - (alpha) = Intercept term - (beta) = Slope coefficient/effect size

1.2.2 Variable Definitions

- **Phenotype variable (Y):** The biological trait or clinical outcome being studied
- **Omics data (X):** High-dimensional molecular measurements
 - Examples: Gene expression profiles, protein abundance, metabolomics data

2 Important Notes

- Quality and relevance of data often matter more than sheer quantity
- Model complexity should be balanced with available sample size
- Feature selection becomes crucial when dealing with high-dimensional omics data

3 Statistical Approaches

3.1 Frequentist Statistics

Core Principle: Based on maximum likelihood estimation (MLE)

3.1.1 Key Characteristics:

- **Summary Statistics Focus:** Emphasizes point estimates and confidence intervals
- **P-value Emphasis:** Heavy reliance on p-values for hypothesis testing
- **Limitations in Omics:**
 - Over-focus on p-values can be problematic with high-dimensional data
 - Multiple testing corrections become critical
 - May not capture uncertainty effectively in complex models

3.1.2 Frequentist vs. Other Approaches:

- **Advantages:** Well-established, computationally efficient for simple models
- **Challenges:** Less flexibility for incorporating prior knowledge
- **In Omics Context:** Can struggle with high-dimensional, low-sample-size scenarios

3.2 Bayesian Statistics

Core Principle: Incorporates prior knowledge and quantifies uncertainty through probability distributions

3.2.1 Bayes' Rule (Mathematical Foundation)

$$P(H|E) = \frac{P(E|H) \times P(H)}{P(E)}$$

Where: - **P(H|E)** = Posterior probability (probability of hypothesis H given evidence E) - **P(E|H)** = Likelihood (probability of evidence E given hypothesis H) - **P(H)** = Prior probability (initial belief about hypothesis H) - **P(E)** = Marginal probability (total probability of evidence E)

3.2.2 Bayesian vs. Frequentist in Omics Context

| Aspect | Bayesian | Frequentist |
|--------------------|---|---|
| Prior Knowledge | Incorporates biological prior information | Ignores prior knowledge |
| Uncertainty | Full probability distributions | Point estimates + confidence intervals |
| Multiple Testing | Natural shrinkage via hierarchical priors | Requires explicit corrections (FDR, Bonferroni) |
| Computational Cost | Higher (MCMC, sampling methods) | Lower (closed-form solutions) |
| Interpretation | Intuitive probability statements | Abstract long-run frequency |

3.2.3 Applications in Omics

- **Regularization:** Bayesian priors naturally provide regularization (e.g., Bayesian LASSO)
- **Hierarchical Modeling:** Accounts for multiple levels of biological organization
- **Uncertainty Quantification:** Essential for clinical decision-making with omics data

4 Missing Heritability Problem

4.1 Definition and Context

Missing heritability refers to the gap between heritability estimates from family studies and the variance explained by identified genetic variants in genome-wide association studies (GWAS).

4.1.1 The Heritability Gap

- **Family-based heritability:** Often 40-80% for complex traits (estimated from twin/family studies)
- **GWAS-explained variance:** Typically only 5-15% of phenotypic variance
- **Missing component:** The unexplained 60-70% represents “missing heritability”

4.1.2 Examples

1. **Height:** Family studies suggest ~80% heritability, but known variants explain only ~45%
2. **Type 2 Diabetes:** Family risk is substantial, but identified variants explain <10% of risk
3. **Schizophrenia:** High familial aggregation (~80% heritability) vs. ~30% explained by common variants

4.2 Proposed Explanations

4.2.1 1. Rare Variants with Large Effects

- **Hypothesis:** Many rare variants (MAF < 1%) have large effect sizes
- **Detection Challenge:** GWAS underpowered for rare variants
- **Solution:** Whole-genome sequencing in large cohorts

4.2.2 2. Structural Variants

- **Copy Number Variants (CNVs):** Large insertions/deletions not well-captured by SNP arrays
- **Inversions and Translocations:** Complex rearrangements missed by standard GWAS

4.2.3 3. Epistatic Interactions

- **Gene × Gene interactions:** Non-additive effects between loci
- **Statistical Challenge:** Requires very large sample sizes to detect
- **Example:** Variants may only be pathogenic in specific genetic backgrounds

4.2.4 4. Gene × Environment Interactions

- **Phenotypic expression:** Genetic effects may depend on environmental context
- **Examples:** Diet-gene interactions in metabolic traits, stress-gene interactions in psychiatric disorders

4.2.5 5. Epigenetic Factors

- **DNA methylation:** Heritable but not captured by genetic sequence
- **Histone modifications:** Can be inherited across generations
- **Challenge:** Tissue-specific and environmentally responsive

4.3 Multi-Omics Solutions

4.3.1 Integrative Approaches

- **Genomics + Transcriptomics:** Expression QTL (eQTL) analysis
- **Genomics + Metabolomics:** Metabolite QTL (mQTL) studies
- **Multi-tissue analysis:** GTEx-style approaches across tissues

4.3.2 Advantages of Multi-Omics for Missing Heritability

1. **Functional annotation:** Links genetic variants to molecular phenotypes
2. **Pathway analysis:** Identifies biological mechanisms
3. **Tissue specificity:** Captures context-dependent genetic effects
4. **Regulatory elements:** Identifies non-coding variant effects

5 LASSO Regression and Regularization

5.1 LASSO Mathematical Formulation

5.1.1 Standard Linear Regression (OLS)

$$\min_{\beta} \|Y - X\beta\|_2^2$$

5.1.2 LASSO Objective Function

$$\min_{\beta} \|Y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_1$$

Where: - $\|Y - X\|_2^2$ = Residual Sum of Squares (RSS) - λ = Regularization parameter (penalty strength) - $\|\beta\|_1$ = L1 penalty (sum of absolute values of coefficients)

5.1.3 L1 vs L2 Penalties

| Penalty Type | Mathematical Form | Effect | Use Case |
|--------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| L1 (LASSO) | $\lambda \sum \beta_j $ | Feature Selection - drives coefficients to exactly zero | Sparse solutions, interpretable models |
| L2 (Ridge) | $\lambda \sum \beta_j^2$ | Shrinkage - shrinks coefficients toward zero | When all features potentially relevant |

5.2 LASSO in High-Dimensional Omics

5.2.1 Why LASSO for Omics Data?

1. **p » n problem:** More features than samples
2. **Sparsity assumption:** Only subset of genes/proteins truly associated with phenotype
3. **Multicollinearity:** Omics features often highly correlated
4. **Interpretability:** Need to identify specific biomarkers

5.2.2 Elastic Net Extension

$$\min_{\beta} \|Y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda_1 \|\beta\|_1 + \lambda_2 \|\beta\|_2$$

Combines advantages: - **L1 penalty:** Feature selection - **L2 penalty:** Handles correlated features better than LASSO alone

5.3 Cross-Validation and Model Selection

5.3.1 Parameter Selection

Critical Principle: must be selected using cross-validation BEFORE any model training

5.3.2 Proper Cross-Validation Workflow

1. Split data into training and test sets
2. Within training set only:
 - a. Perform k-fold cross-validation
 - b. For each fold:
 - Fit LASSO with different values
 - Evaluate performance on validation fold
 - c. Select optimal * with minimum CV error
3. Train final model on full training set using *
4. Evaluate final performance on held-out test set

5.3.3 Common Mistakes to Avoid

Wrong: Select on full dataset, then evaluate performance **Correct:** Select using only training data via cross-validation

Wrong: Use same data for feature selection and performance evaluation

Correct: Separate feature selection from final model validation

5.3.4 Cross-Validation Metrics for Omics

- **Regression tasks:** MSE, MAE, R²
- **Classification tasks:** AUC, Accuracy, F1-score
- **Multi-class:** Balanced accuracy, macro-averaged metrics

6 Multi-Omics Integration Strategies

6.1 Types of Integration Approaches

6.1.1 1. Early Integration (Data-level fusion)

- **Concept:** Concatenate different omics datasets into single feature matrix
- **Advantages:** Simple implementation, can use standard ML algorithms
- **Challenges:** Different scales, dimensions, missing data patterns

- **Example:** [Genomics | Transcriptomics | Proteomics] → Combined matrix

6.1.2 2. Intermediate Integration (Feature-level fusion)

- **Concept:** Extract features from each omics layer, then combine features
- **Examples:** Principal components from each omics type
- **Advantages:** Dimensionality reduction, captures layer-specific patterns

6.1.3 3. Late Integration (Decision-level fusion)

- **Concept:** Build separate models for each omics type, combine predictions
- **Advantages:** Accounts for different data characteristics
- **Methods:** Ensemble methods, weighted voting, stacking

6.2 Advanced Integration Methods

6.2.1 Multi-Omics Factor Analysis (MOFA)

- **Principle:** Identifies latent factors explaining variance across omics layers
- **Advantage:** Handles missing data, identifies shared vs. specific factors
- **Applications:** Single-cell multi-omics, cancer studies

6.2.2 DIABLO (Data Integration Analysis for Biomarker discovery using Latent cOmponents)

- **Purpose:** Supervised integration for classification
- **Strength:** Identifies correlated features across omics types
- **Output:** Multi-omics signature for disease prediction

6.2.3 Network-Based Integration

- **Approach:** Model interactions within and between omics layers
- **Examples:** Pathway analysis, protein-protein interaction networks
- **Advantage:** Incorporates biological knowledge

6.3 Validation and Evaluation

6.3.1 Key Principles for Multi-Omics Validation

1. **Biological Validation**
 - Literature support for identified biomarkers
 - Pathway enrichment analysis
 - Functional validation experiments
2. **Statistical Validation**
 - Independent test cohorts
 - Cross-study validation
 - Temporal validation (if longitudinal data available)
3. **Clinical Validation**
 - Association with clinical outcomes
 - Comparison with existing clinical markers
 - Cost-benefit analysis for clinical implementation

6.3.2 Performance Metrics

- **Discrimination:** How well does the model separate classes?
- **Calibration:** Do predicted probabilities match observed frequencies?
- **Clinical Utility:** Does the model improve clinical decision-making?

6.3.3 Common Pitfalls in Multi-Omics

1. **Data leakage:** Information from test set influencing model selection
2. **Overfitting:** Complex models memorizing noise rather than signal
3. **Batch effects:** Technical variation confounding biological signal
4. **Population stratification:** Genetic ancestry effects in association studies

7 Practical Implementation Considerations

7.1 Data Preprocessing

- **Normalization:** Between-sample standardization
- **Scaling:** Between-omics standardization
- **Missing data:** Imputation strategies specific to omics type
- **Batch correction:** Computational methods (ComBat, limma) or experimental design

7.2 Computational Resources

- **Memory requirements:** Increase dramatically with multi-omics
- **Parallel processing:** Essential for large-scale analyses
- **Cloud computing:** Often necessary for population-scale studies

7.3 Reproducibility

- **Version control:** Track analysis code and software versions
- **Containerization:** Docker/Singularity for computational reproducibility
- **Documentation:** Detailed methods for replication