# **Software Programming for Performance - Assignment 1 Report**

#### Task 0

#### perf

Perf can be used to visualise which part of the code takes how much percentage of the runtime using flame graphs. It is convenient to see which part of the code has the largest room for optimisation and reduction in run time.

#### gprof

Gprof is similar to perf, except that it doesn't generate graphs and thus can be used just through the command line (and thus on abacus).

#### cachegrind

Cachegrind is a tool of valgrind. It simulates the working of the caches on our system and estimates the amount of cache misses it is going to make.

#### clock\_gettime

This function in C finds us the system time. It can be used to calculate the run time of our programs.

# **Matrix Chain Multiplication**

#### **Baseline Code**

In the baseline code, I first take the input in a 3D array named arr, then find the order in which i should multiply the matrices using an  $O(N^3)$  DP. I store the ordering in the array name orderings. Now, to multiply them in the given order, I recursively divide the 5 matrices. For the base case, when i reach a single matrix (ie, where <code>i==j</code> is true), I return the matrix itself. In other cases, I multiply the 2 arrays recieved from the recursions. Here is the baseline code:

```
arr[a][b] = (long long *)malloc(y[a] * sizeof(long long));
            for (int c = 0; c < y[a]; c++)
                scanf("%lld", &arr[a][b][c]);
        orderings[a] = (int *)malloc(n * sizeof(int));
}
void setOrder() // n^3 == 125 operatiosn at most
    long long cost[n][n];
    for (int a = 0; a < n; a++)
        cost[a][a] = 0;
    for (int len = 2; len <= n; len++)
        for (int i = 0; i < n - len + 1; i++)
            int j = i + len - 1;
            cost[i][j] = 1e18;
            orderings[i][j] = 1e9;
            for (int k = i; k \le j - 1; k++)
                long long here = cost[i][k] + cost[k + 1][j] + (long long)(x[i] * y[k] * y[j]);
                if (here < cost[i][j])
                    cost[i][j] = here;
                    orderings[i][j] = k;
            }
       }
}
long long **mat_mul(long long **arr1, long long **arr2, int n, int m, int k)
    long long **res = (long long **)malloc(n * sizeof(long long *));
    for (int a = 0; a < n; a++)
        res[a] = (long long *)malloc(k * sizeof(long long));
        for (int b = 0; b < k; b++)
           res[a][b] = 0;
    for (int a = 0; a < n; a++)
        for (int c = 0; c < k; c++)
            for (int b = 0; b < m; b++)
               res[a][c] += arr1[a][b] * arr2[b][c];
    for (int a = 0; a < n; a++)
       free(arr1[a]);
    for (int b = 0; b < m; b++)
        free(arr2[b]);
    free(arr1);
    free(arr2);
    return res;
long long **rec_mul(int i, int j)
    if (i == j)
       return arr[i];
    printf("%d %d\n", i, j);
    return\ mat\_mul(rec\_mul(i,\ orderings[i][j]),\ rec\_mul(orderings[i][j]\ +\ 1,\ j),\ x[i],\ y[orderings[i][j]],\ y[j]);
int main()
    input();
    if (n == 1)
        printf("%d %d\n", x[0], y[0]);
        for (int a = 0; a < x[0]; a++)
            for (int b = 0; b < y[0]; b++)
              printf("%lld ", arr[0][a][b]);
            printf("\n");
```

```
return 0;
}
setOrder();
long long **res = rec_mul(0, n - 1);
printf("%d %d\n", x[0], y[n - 1]);
for (int a = 0; a < x[0]; a++)
{
    for (int b = 0; b < y[n - 1]; b++)
        printf("%lld ", res[a][b]);
    printf("\n");
}
return 0;
}</pre>
```

#### **Memory Optimisations**

- 1. Convert static arrays to dynamic arrays (1.5x speed up)
- 2. Convert 3D array of size [5][1000][1000] to 2D array of size [5][1000 \* 1000].

#### **Cache Optimisations**

1. When we are multiplying a matrix A with another matrix B using the loops

```
for (int a = 0; a < n; a++)
    for (int c = 0; c < k; c++)
    for (int b = 0; b < m; b++)
        res[a][c] += arr1[a][b] * arr2[b][c];</pre>
```

It is beneficial to have the arr2 stored in column major format instead of row major format To do this, we pass another parameter to our rec\_mul function which indicates whether we want the resultant array in row major format or column major format

With these optimisations, I was able to reduce my **D1 level cache misses to 5%.** 

After doing these optimisations, we have the code:

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
int x[15], y[15];
int orderings[5][5];
long long arr[15][1000 * 1000];
int used = 5;
void input()
    scanf("%d", &n);
    for (int a = 0; a < n; a++)
        scanf("%d%d", &x[a], &y[a]);
        for (int b = 0; b < x[a]; b++)
          for (int c = 0; c < y[a]; c++)
               scanf("%lld", &arr[a][b * y[a] + c]);
}
void setOrder() // n^3 == 125 operatiosn at most
    long long cost[n][n];
    for (int a = 0; a < n; a++)
       cost[a][a] = 0;
    for (int len = 2; len \leftarrow n; len++)
        for (int i = 0; i < n - len + 1; i++)
```

```
int j = i + len - 1;
            cost[i][j] = 1e18;
            orderings[i][j] = 1e9;
            for (int k = i; k \le j - 1; k++)
                 long\ long\ here = cost[i][k]\ +\ cost[k\ +\ 1][j]\ +\ (long\ long)(x[i]\ *\ y[k]\ *\ y[j]);
                 if (here < cost[i][j])
                    cost[i][j] = here;
                    orderings[i][j] = k;
            }
}
void mat_mul(int idx_arr1, int idx_arr2, int idx_ret, int direction)
    int n = x[idx_ret] = x[idx_arr1];
    int k = y[idx_ret] = y[idx_arr2];
    int m = y[idx\_arr1];
    for (int a = 0; a < n; a++)
        for (int b = 0; b < k; b++)
            arr[idx_ret][a * k + b] = 0;
    for (int a = 0; a < n; a++)
        for (int c = 0; c < k; c++)
            long long x = 0;
            for (int b = 0; b < m; b++)
                x += arr[idx_arr1][a * m + b] * arr[idx_arr2][b + c * m];
            if (direction == 0)
                arr[idx_ret][a * k + c] += x;
                arr[idx_ret][a + n * c] += x;
}
int rec_mul(int i, int j, int direction)
    if (i == j)
    {
        int here = i;
        if (direction == 1)
            here = used++;
            x[here] = x[i];
            y[here] = y[i];
            for (int a = 0; a < x[i]; a++)
                for (int b = 0; b < y[i]; b++)
                    arr[here][b * x[i] + a] = arr[i][a * y[i] + b];
        return here;
    int ret = used++;
    int arr1 = rec_mul(i, orderings[i][j], 0);
    int arr2 = rec_mul(orderings[i][j] + 1, j, 1);
    mat_mul(arr1, arr2, ret, direction);
    return ret;
}
int main()
    input();
    if (n == 1)
        printf("%d %d\n", x[0], y[0]);
        for (int a = 0; a < x[0]; a++)
            for (int b = 0; b < y[0]; b++)
    printf("%lld ", arr[0][a * y[0] + b]);</pre>
            printf("\n");
        }
        return 0;
```

```
}
setOrder();
int idx = rec_mul(0, n - 1, 0);
printf("%d %d\n", x[idx], y[idx]);
for (int a = 0; a < x[idx]; a++)
{
    for (int b = 0; b < y[idx]; b++)
        printf("%lld ", arr[idx][a * y[idx] + b]);
    printf("\n");
}
return 0;
}</pre>
```

#### **Another memory optimisations**

1. After converting to a 1D array, accessing the memory with arr[idx][i \* y[idx] + j] can take a lot
of time. To make this even more optimized, I store the pointer towards arr[idx][i\*y[idx]] in a
separate optimised variable (discussed next) arr1 and access it as arr1[j] to improve runtime.

#### **Compiler optimsations**

- register variables: Register variables are stored in fast access registers. However, there can only be a limited number of register variables (as there are only a limited number of registers). So, we need to carefully pick variables that are repeatedly used and hint the compiler to store them in these registers.
- 2. Repeated calculations: While storing the arrays in [5][1000 \* 1000] arrays reduced our cache misses, to correctly access indices [i][j] we now need to do a calculations of i \* y + j repeatedly. However, we can notice that for any given i, if we calculate and store i \* y in a register variable, our computational overhead is significantly reduced.
- 3. Loop direction: The system we have been provided with possibly has a special faster instruction for decrement and compare with 0. I claim this because the program got a slight speed up on reversing loops of type

to

```
while (--b)
    x += arr[idx_arr1][AM + b] * arr[idx_arr2][b + CM];
x += arr[idx_arr1][AM + b] * arr[idx_arr2][b + CM];
```

With this, we get to our submitted code

# **Comparisons**

#### Self generated testcases

Since the given testcases only had square matrices, I generated 10 cases with n as 5, x[i] and y[i] as random numbers between (600, 1000) and all matrices value as random numbers between -10 and 10 to test the efficiency of my program

| <u>Aa</u> Program               | # Total runtime on given test cases | # Total runtime on generated test cases |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| <u>Baseline</u>                 | 150.836                             | 243.0081                                |
| Static memory                   | 70.6682                             | 225.501                                 |
| Cache optimisation              | 40.4194                             | 79.6675                                 |
| Compiler optimisations          | 19.4952                             | 47.9309                                 |
| GCC O2 compilation optimsations | 12.4292                             | 29.2782                                 |

# Other optimisations

#### Cache optimisations with dynamic memory

Converting the arrays that are the right hand operand in matrix multiplication from row major to column major with dynamic arrays instead of static arrays.

Total time on given test cases: 42.293

#### Tiling with dynamic memory

Instead of converting the right hand operand to column major, we tile our arrays in such a way that they fit into the cache of the system and reduce our cache misses.

Total time with stride 32 on given test cases: 45.6091

Total time with stride 64 on given test cases: 58.1033

Total time with stride 128 on given test cases: 46.2168

# Floyd Warshall

#### **Baseline**

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdib.h>

int min(int i, int j)
{
    if (i < j)
        return i;
    return j;
}

const int inf = 1e9;

int V, E;
    int **edges;
    int **dist;

void getinput()
{
        scanf("%d%d", &V, &E);
        edges = (int **)malloc(E * sizeof(int *));</pre>
```

```
dist = (int **)malloc(V * sizeof(int *));
    for (int a = 0; a < E; a++)
        edges[a] = (int *)malloc(3 * sizeof(int));
        scanf("%d%d%d", \&edges[a][0], \&edges[a][1], \&edges[a][2]);\\
        edges[a][0]--;
        edges[a][1]--;
    for (int a = 0; a < V; a++)
        dist[a] = (int *)malloc(V * sizeof(int));
        for (int b = 0; b < V; b++)
           dist[a][b] = inf;
        dist[a][a] = 0;
    }
}
void FW()
    for (int a = 0; a < E; a++)
        \label{eq:dist[edges[a][0]][edges[a][1]] = min(dist[edges[a][0]][edges[a][1]], edges[a][2]);} \\
    for (int k = 0; k < V; k++)
        for (int i = 0; i < V; i++)
            for (int j = 0; j < V; j++)
                dist[i][j] = min(dist[i][j], dist[i][k] + dist[k][j]);
}
int main()
    getinput();
    FW();
    for (int a = 0; a < V; a++)
        for (int b = 0; b < V; b++)
            printf("%d ", dist[a][b] < inf ? dist[a][b] : -1);</pre>
        printf("\n");
    }
    return 0;
```

## **Memory optimisations**

- 1. Static memory
- 2. 2D array to 1D array

# **Cache optimisations**

Unfortunately, I couldn't find a way to optimise the cache misses for this algorithm!

# **Compiler optimisations**

1. After analysing the perf result of my code, the easiest are for optimisation seemed the min function. Instead of defining min as a function of its own, I improved the runtime by defining it as a macro

```
#define min(a, b) (a > b ? b : a)
```

- 2. register variables: Register variables are stored in fast access registers for storing the array pointers and loop variables.
- 3. Repeated calculations: While storing the arrays in [2500 \* 2500] arrays reduced our cache misses, to correctly access indices [i][j] we now need to do a calculations of i \* y + j repeatedly.

However, we can notice that for any given  $\underline{i}$ , if we calculate and store  $\underline{i} * y$  in a register variable, our computational overhead is significantly reduced.

4. Loop direction: The system we have been provided with possibly has a special faster instruction for decrement and compare with 0. I claim this because the program got a slight speed up on reversing loops of type

```
for (int j = 0; j < V; j++)
  dist[i][j] = min(dist[i][j], dist[i][k] + dist[k][j]);</pre>
```

to

```
while (--j)
    dist[vi + j] = min(dist[vi + j], x + dist[vk + j]);
dist[vi + j] = min(dist[vi + j], x + dist[vk + j]);
```

### **Comparisions**

| <u>Aa</u> Optimisations     | # Runtime |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
| <u>Baseline</u>             | 247.692   |
| Recursive (discussed below) | 172.829   |
| Optimised code              | 48.6697   |

# Other optimisations tried

1. Bit Hack

I tried calculating min with the following bit hack:

```
#define min(x, y) y ^{(x \cdot y) \cdot (x \cdot y)}
```

This did not provide any improvements, on the other hand, slowed down the program a little bit

2. Recursive Floyd Warshall

Adding empty nodes to the graph to have  $2^x$  nodes and performing a recursive Floyd Warshall by continually dividing it into 4 sub matrices. While the approach provided some speed up, addition of edges proved too costly to get results with this method

#### **Recursive Function**

```
FW_Recursive(int *A, int *B, int *C, int n)
{
    if(basecase){
        FW_Iterative(A, B, C, n);
        return;
    }
    FW_Recursive(A11, B11, C11, n/2);
    FW_Recursive(A12, B11, C12, n/2);
    FW_Recursive(A21, B21, C11, n/2);
    FW_Recursive(A22, B21, C12, n/2);
    FW_Recursive(A22, B21, C12, n/2);
    FW_Recursive(A22, B22, C22, n/2);
```

```
FW_Recursive(A21, B22, C21, n/2);
FW_Recursive(A12, B12, C22, n/2);
FW_Recursive(A11, B12, C21, n/2);
}
```

#### Division of sub matrices

```
A = [egin{smallmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} , B = [egin{smallmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} , C = [egin{smallmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix}
```

#### **Iterative Function**

#### Initial call

The function is initially called with all 3, A, B and C being pointers to dist[0][0].