

Week 2 – Problem Set

Name:

You sequence DNA from several Meier-Gorlin syndrome patients and discover a recurrent mutation in an uncharacterized gene. The mutation is not detected in control samples and introduction of this mutation into Zebrafish recapitulates the dwarfism phenotype of Meier-Gorlin patients. You name the new gene *MGS24* and set out to investigate its function.

(1) Based on your understanding of Meier-Gorlin syndrome (MGS), in which stage(s) of DNA replication could the MGS24 protein likely function? (Select ALL correct answers.) (3 pts)

- ☐ Replication initiation
- ☐ Replication elongation
- ☐ Replication termination

(2) Explain your answer to (1) in no more than 75 words. (1 pt)

Be sure to address the function of MGS24 and connect what you propose back to what is known about MGS.

You perform a CRISPR knockout of *MGS24* in U2OS cells and confirm the MGS24 protein is no longer expressed. The cells are viable, but there is an increased population of S phase cells, suggesting DNA replication is slowed but not blocked. Note that this is new data and could contradict previous models, including your answer to question (1).

(3) Which of the following answers and justifications could explain these effects? (Select ALL correct answers.) Note that the strength of the conclusion (must/cannot vs could) is important here. (6 pts)

- ☐ *MGS24* cannot be required for any part of DNA replication because DNA replication is essential for cell viability
- ☐ *MGS24* must be involved in initiation because all previously identified MGS patient mutations affect initiation
- ☐ *MGS24* cannot be involved in initiation because no DNA synthesis takes place during initiation

- *MGS24* could be involved in elongation because this is when most DNA synthesis takes place
- *MGS24* could be involved in termination because failure to replicate even a short stretch of DNA prevents cells from exiting S phase
- *MGS24* could be involved in termination because failure to recycle replisomes slows elongation

You decide to perform LC-MS/MS to identify proteins that interact with MGS24.

(4) Which of the following statements are true about the experimental strategy/approach to isolate MGS24 and associated proteins? (Select ALL correct answers.) (4 pts)

- This could be achieved by isolating MGS24 from yeast because DNA replication is highly conserved in eukaryotes
- Immunoprecipitation (IP) of MGS24 from human cells would also identify binding partners of MGS24
- It would be essential to introduce a tag (FLAG, HIS, etc.) into the endogenous MGS24 protein in order to isolate the protein complex
- If SDS-PAGE was performed using human cell lysates then the band corresponding to MGS24 could be cut out and analyzed by mass spec in order to detect its binding partners

The proteomic analysis from (4) identifies CDC45 and the single-stranded DNA binding protein RPA in the experimental sample but not in the appropriate control. You therefore decide to test whether MGS24 is part of a complex containing both CDC45 and RPA.

(5) Could a co-immunoprecipitation experiment tell you whether MGS24, CDC45, and RPA, all form a complex together? (pick one; 1 pt)

- Yes
- No

(6) Explain your answer to (5) in no more than 100 words. (1 pt)

(7) Could a gel filtration experiment tell you whether MGS24, CDC45, and RPA, all form a complex together? (pick one; 1 pt)

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

(8) Explain your answer to (7) in no more than 100 words. (1 pt)

(9) Additional experiments reveal that MGS24 forms a complex with either CDC45 or RPA but never both. This suggests that MGS24 can associate with CMG but be displaced by RPA when CMG is actively unwinding. To test this hypothesis, you perform optical trapping and confocal microscopy using the same setup as in Fig 5E-F of Wasserman et al 2019 Cell except with human proteins and MGS24 also fluorescently labeled. In no more than 100 words, explain which result you would expect to see if the hypothesis is correct. (2 pts)