

Appendix A

Redesign Heuristics

As part of the explanation of Heuristic Process Design in Chapter 8, a limited number of heuristics were discussed. In this appendix, the full list of 29 heuristics is presented that is part of this method. To further classify these heuristics, we will use the elements that were discussed to delineate business processes, i.e. customers, business process operation, business process behavior, organization, information, technology, and the external environment (see Section 8.1.2, page 300). Note that it is to some extent arbitrary how the heuristics are categorized, since some of these relate to more than one of these characteristics. At the end of this appendix, a table is shown that for each redesign heuristic indicates which of the performance dimensions of the Devil's Quadrangle it primarily targets.

A.1 Customer Heuristics

The three heuristics in this category focus on improving the interaction with customers:

Control relocation: “Move controls towards the customer”. Different checks and reconciliation activities may be moved towards the customer. By moving billing controls towards the customer, for example, we may eliminate the bulk of billing errors and improve client satisfaction as a result.

Contact reduction: “Reduce the number of contacts with customers and third parties”. The exchange of information with a customer or third party is always time-consuming, especially when it takes place by regular mail. Also, each contact may introduce errors. Imagine a situation where reconciliations are needed, like in the Ford example in Section 1.3.2 on page 11: Reducing the number of contacts in such a case may decrease cycle time and improve quality. Note that it is not always necessary to skip certain information exchanges, but that it is possible to combine them with limited extra cost.

Integration: “Consider the integration with a business process of the customer or a supplier”. This heuristic captures the idea of supply chain integration. The actual application of this heuristic may take on different forms. For example, when two parties jointly produce a product, it may be more efficient to perform several intermediate reviews than performing one large review after both parties have completed their parts. In general, integrated business processes should render a more efficient execution, from both a time and cost perspective.

A.2 Business Process Operation Heuristics

A business process operation view considers the implementation of a business process in terms of its activities. There are five heuristics with this focus:

Case types: “Determine whether activities are related to the same type of case and, if necessary, distinguish new business processes”. One should be cautious of parts of business processes that are not specific for the business process they are part of. Ignoring this phenomenon may result in a less effective management of such a sub-process and a lower efficiency. Applying this heuristic may result in faster processing times and less cost.

Activity elimination: “Eliminate unnecessary activities from a business process”. An activity is superfluous if it adds no value from a customer’s point of view. Typically, control activities in a business process are unnecessary from this perspective; they are incorporated in the model to fix problems created (or not elevated) in earlier steps. The redundancy of an activity can also trigger activity elimination. The aims of this heuristic are to increase the speed of processing and to reduce the cost of handling an order.

Case-based work: “Remove batch-processing and periodic activities”. Some examples of disturbances in handling a case are (a) that the case becomes piled up in a batch and (b) that the case is slowed down by periodic activities, e.g. because computer systems are only available at specific times. Getting rid of these constraints may significantly speed up individual cases.

Triage: “Split an activity into alternative versions”. This heuristic suggests aligning the characteristics of cases with capabilities of resources to increase quality. An alternative is to subdivide activities into sub-categories. For example, a special cash desk may be set up for customers with an expected low processing time.

Activity composition: “Combine small activities into composite activities”. Composing larger activities from smaller ones should result in the reduction of setup times, i.e., the time that is spent by a resource to become familiar with the specifics of a case.

A.3 Business Process Behavior Heuristics

A view on the behavior of a business process is concerned with ordering of activities. There are four heuristics within this category:

Resequencing: “Move activities to their appropriate place”. In existing business processes, actual activity orderings often do not reveal the necessary dependencies between activities. Sometimes it is better to postpone an activity if it is not required for its immediate follow-up activities. The benefit would be that perhaps its execution may prove to become superfluous, which saves cost. Also, an activity may be moved into the proximity of a similar activity, in this way diminishing set-up times.

Parallelism: “Put activities in parallel”. The effect of placing activities in parallel is that throughput time may be considerably reduced. The applicability of this heuristic in business process redesign is large. In practical settings, activities are often ordered sequentially without the existence of hard logical restrictions prescribing such an order.

Knock-out: “Order knock-outs in an increasing order of effort and in a decreasing order of termination probability”. A typical element of a business process is the subsequent checking of various conditions that must be satisfied to deliver a positive end result. Any condition that is not met may lead to a termination of that part of the business process: the *knock-out*. If possible, the condition that has the most favorable ratio of (1) expected knock-out probability versus (2) the expected effort to check the condition should be pursued. Next, the second best condition, and so forth. This way of ordering checks yields on average the least costly business process execution.

Exception: “Design business processes for typical cases and isolate exceptional cases from the normal flow”. Exceptions may seriously disturb normal operations. By isolating them, precious time may be saved and flexibility of the overall process is improved.

A.4 Organization Heuristics

The organization view refers to two categories of heuristics. The first set relates to the *structure* of the organization, specifically how resources are allocated. There are seven heuristics in this category:

Case assignment: “Let participants perform as many steps as possible”. By using case assignment in the most extreme form, a participant will carry out all activities that belong to a particular case. The advantage of this heuristic is that this person will know the case well and will need less set-up time in carrying out subsequent activities. Also, quality of service may be increased.

Flexible assignment: “Keep generic participants free for as long as possible”. Suppose that an activity can be executed by either of two available participants. Then, this heuristic suggests assigning it to the most specialized person. In this way, the likelihood of committing the free, more general participant to another work package is maximal. The advantage is that an organization stays flexible with respect to assigning work and that overall queueing time is reduced. Also, the workers with the highest degree of specialization can be expected to take on most of the work, which may result in a higher quality.

Centralization: “Let geographically dispersed participants act as if they are centralized”. This heuristic exploits the benefits of a BPMS (see Chapter 9). After all, when a BPMS assigns work to process participants it becomes less relevant where they are located geographically. The specific advantage of this measure is that resources can be committed more flexibly, which yields a better cycle time.

Split responsibilities: “Avoid shared responsibilities for tasks by people from different functional units”. The idea is that shared responsibilities are more likely to be a source of neglect and conflict. Reducing the overlap in responsibilities should lead to a better quality of activity execution. Also, a higher responsiveness to available work may be developed, so that customers are served quicker.

Customer teams: “Consider composing work teams of people from different departments that will take care of the complete handling of specific sorts of cases”. The heuristic calls for creating dedicated teams that have the time and the commitment to take on particular work. It provides benefits in terms of time and quality. In addition, working as a team may improve the attractiveness of the work, which is another quality aspect.

Numerical involvement: “Minimize the number of departments, groups and persons involved in a business process”. This will lead to less coordination problems, which makes more time available for the processing of cases. Reducing the number of departments may also split responsibilities, which has a positive impact on quality.

Case manager: “Appoint one person to be responsible for the handling of each type of case”. The person, called *case manager*, is responsible for a specific order or customer. The difference with case assignment is that the emphasis is on management of the process—not its execution. The most important aim is to improve upon the external quality. The business process will become more transparent from the viewpoint of a customer: the case manager provides a single point of contact. This, in general, positively influences customer satisfaction. It may also have a positive effect on the internal quality of the business process, as someone is accountable for and committed to correcting mistakes.

The second set relates to the organizational population and the resources being involved in terms of their type and number. This category includes three heuristics:

Extra resources “If capacity is insufficient, increase the available number of resources”. This heuristic aims at extending capacity to reduce queue time. It may also help to implement a more flexible assignment policy.

Specialize “Consider deepening the skills of participants”. Participants in a process may be turned into specialists. They may work quicker and deliver higher quality than less specialized resources due to their experience.

Empower “Give workers decision-making authority instead of relying on middle management”. In traditional business processes, substantial time may be spent on authorizing the outcomes of activities that have been performed by others. If workers are empowered to take decisions autonomously, this may result in smoother operations with lower throughput times. The reduction of middle management from the business process also reduces labor cost.

A.5 Information Heuristics

The information category describes redesign heuristics related to the information that is being processed within the business process. It covers two heuristics:

Control addition: “Check the completeness and correctness of incoming materials and check the output before it is sent to customers”. This heuristic promotes the addition of controls to a business process in order to increase quality.

Buffering: “Instead of requesting information from an external source, buffer it and subscribe to updates”. Obtaining information from other parties is time-consuming. By having information directly available when required, throughput times may be substantially reduced. This heuristic can be compared to the caching principle that microprocessors apply.

A.6 Technology Heuristics

This category describes redesign heuristics related to the technology the business process utilizes. It includes activity automation and integral technology.

Activity automation: “Consider automating activities”. Automation improves processing time and provides more predictable results at lower cost. Instead of fully automating an activity, it may also be considered to provide automated support to process participants.

Integral technology: “Elevate physical constraints in a business process by applying new technology”. In general, new technology can offer all kinds of positive effects across an entire business process. For example, a BPMS may support the coordination of all its activities; a Document Management System, in its turn, will open up to all participants the same information available on cases. The major effect would be a better quality of service.

A.7 External Environment Heuristics

The external environment category contains heuristics that try to improve upon the collaboration and communication with third parties. There are three heuristics in this category:

Trusted party: “Use the insights of a trusted party”. Some decisions are generic and standardized to the extent that other parties will get the same result for the same input data. An example is the creditworthiness of a customer that bank A wants to establish. If a customer can present a recent creditworthiness certificate of bank B, then bank A may be likely to accept it. Relying on a trusted party reduces cost and may cut processing time.

Outsourcing: “Consider outsourcing a business process completely or parts of it”. Another party may be more efficient in performing the same work, so it might as well perform it for the business process that is up for redesign. The obvious aim of outsourcing work is that it will generate less cost.

Interfacing: “Consider a standardized interface with customers and partners”. A standardized interface diminishes the occurrence of mistakes, incomplete applications, or unintelligible information exchanges. This may yield better quality due to less errors and faster processing time.

The various heuristics are listed in Table A.1, which shows the main performance dimensions of the Devil’s Quadrangle that are explicitly being targeted by each (+).

Table A.1 Performance dimensions for the redesign heuristics

	Time	Cost	Quality	Flexibility
Activity automation	+	+	+	·
Activity composition	+	·	·	·
Activity elimination	+	+	·	·
Buffering	+	·	·	·
Case assignment	+	·	+	·
Case manager	·	·	+	·
Case types	+	+	·	·
Case-based work	+	·	·	·
Centralization	+	·	·	+
Control addition	·	·	+	·
Control relocation	·	·	+	·
Contact reduction	+	·	+	·
Customer teams	+	·	+	·
Empower	+	+	·	+
Exception	+	·	·	+
Extra resources	+	·	·	+
Flexible assignment	+	·	+	+
Integral technology	·	·	+	·
Integration	+	+	·	·
Interfacing	+	·	+	·
Knock-out	·	+	·	·
Numerical involvement	+	·	+	·
Outsourcing	·	+	·	·
Parallelism	+	·	·	·
Resequencing	+	+	·	·
Specialize	+	·	+	·
Split responsibilities	+	·	+	·
Triage	·	·	+	·
Trusted party	+	+	·	·

References

1. W.M.P. van der Aalst, *Process Mining: Data Science in Action*, 2nd edn. (Springer, Berlin, 2016)
2. W.M.P. van der Aalst, Verification of Workflow Nets, in *Application and Theory of Petri Nets 1997*, ed. by P. Azéma, G. Balbo. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 1248 (Springer, Berlin, 1997), pp. 407–426
3. I. Adan, J. Resing, *Queueing Theory* (Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, 2002)
4. A. Adriansyah, Aligning observed and modeled behavior. PhD thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology (2014)
5. S. Aguirre, C. Parra, M. Sepúlveda, Methodological proposal for process mining projects. *Int. J. Bus. Process Integr. Manag.* **8**(2), 102–113 (2017)
6. D.M. Ahern, J. Armstrong, A. Clouse, J.R. Ferguson, W. Hayes, K.E. Nidiffer, *CMMI SCAMPI Distilled: Appraisals for Process Improvement* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 2005)
7. T. Allweyer, *BPMN 2.0: Introduction to the Standard for Business Process Modeling*, 2nd edn. Books on Demands (2016)
8. A. Alves, A. Arkin, S. Askary, C. Barreto, B. Bloch, F. Curbera, M. Ford, Y. Goland, A. Guizar, N. Kartha, C.K. Liu, R. Khalaf, D. Koenig, M. Marin, V. Mehta, S. Thatte, D. van der Rijn, P. Yendluri, A. Yiu, Web services business process execution language version 2.0. Committee specification 31 january 2007, OASIS, 2007
9. R. Anupindi, S. Chopra, S.D. Deshmukh, J.A. van Mieghem, E. Zemel, *Managing Business Process Flows* (Prentice Hall, New York, 1999)
10. A. Augusto, R. Conforti, M. Dumas, M. La Rosa, Split miner: Discovering accurate and simple business process models from event logs, in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM)* (IEEE Computer Society, 2017)
11. A. Augusto, R. Conforti, M. Dumas, M. La Rosa, G. Bruno, Automated discovery of structured process models: Discover structured vs. discover and structure, in *Proc. of the 35th International Conference on Conceptual Modeling (ER)*, Cham, Switzerland, 2016 (Springer, Berlin, 2016)
12. A. Augusto, R. Conforti, M. Dumas, M. La Rosa, F.M. Maggi, A. Marrella, M. Mecella, A. Soo, Automated discovery of process models from event logs: Review and benchmark. *CoRR*, abs/1705.02288 (2017)
13. W. Bandara, S. Bailey, P. Mathiesen, J. McCarthy, C. Jones, Enterprise business process management in the public sector: The case of the Department of Human Services (DHS) Australia. *J. Inf. Technol. Teaching Cases* (2017)
14. W. Bandara, H. Opsahl, Developing organization-wide BPM capabilities in an SME: the approaches used, challenges and outcomes. *J. Inf. Technol. Teaching Cases* (2017)

15. G. Barnett, Robotic process automation: Adding to the process transformation toolkit. White paper IT0022-0005, Ovum Consulting, 2015
16. A.M. Bassam Alkharashi, L. Jesus, R. Tregear, *Establishing the Office of Business Process Management* (Leonardo Consulting, Brisbane, 2010)
17. J. Becker, M. Kugeler, M. Rosemann, *Process Management: A Guide for the Design of Business Processes* (Springer, Berlin, 2011)
18. J. Becker, M. Rosemann, C. von Uthmann, Guidelines of Business Process Modeling, in *Business Process Management. Models, Techniques, and Empirical Studies*, ed. by W.M.P. van der Aalst, J. Desel, A. Oberweis (Springer, Berlin, 2000), pp. 30–49
19. M. Beer, R.A. Eisenstat, B. Spector, Why change programs don't produce change. *Harv. Bus. Rev.* (November-December 1990)
20. B.L. Berg, H. Lune, *Qualitative Research Methods for the Social Sciences* (Pearson, Boston, 2004)
21. J.D. Blackburn, Time-based competition: White-collar activities. *Bus. Horiz.* **35**(4), 96–101 (1992)
22. N. Brand, H. van der Kolk, *Workflow Analysis and Design (In Dutch)* (Kluwer Bedrijfswetenschappen, Deventer, 1995)
23. R.T. Burlton, Delivery business strategy through process management, in *Handbook on Business Process Management 2*, 2nd edn., ed. by J. vom Brocke, M. Rosemann (Springer, Berlin, 2015)
24. T. Chamorro-Premuzic, Why group brainstorming is a waste of time. *Harv. Bus. Rev.* (2015)
25. M.B. Chrissis, M. Konrad, S. Shrum, *CMMI for Development: Guidelines for Process Integration and Product Improvement*, 3rd edn. (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 2011)
26. J.G. Clark, D.B. Walz, J.L. Wynekoop, Identifying exceptional application software developers: A comparison of students and professionals. *Commun. Assoc. Inf. Syst.* **11**(1), 8 (2003)
27. D. Cohn, R. Hull, Business artifacts: A data-centric approach to modeling business operations and processes. *IEEE Data Eng. Bull.* **32**(3), 3–9 (2009)
28. S. Conger, Six sigma and business process management, in *Handbook of Business Process Management 1*, ed. by J. vom Brocke, M. Rosemann (Springer, Berlin, 2015), pp. 127–146
29. T. Curran, G. Keller, *SAP R/3 Business Blueprint: Understanding the Business Process Reference Model* (Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, 1997)
30. T.H. Davenport, *Process Innovation: Reengineering Work Through Information Technology* (Harvard Business School Press, Boston, 1993)
31. T.H. Davenport, J.E. Short, The new industrial engineering: information technology and business process redesign. *Sloan Manag. Rev.* **31**(4), 11–27 (1990)
32. R.B. Davis, E. Brabander, *ARIS Design Platform: Getting Started with BPM* (Springer, Berlin, 2007)
33. T. de Bruin, Business process management: theory on progression and maturity. PhD thesis, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia (2009)
34. T. de Bruin, G. Doebeli, An organizational approach to bpm: The experience of an australian transport provider, in *Handbook on Business Process Management 2*, 2nd edn., ed. by J. vom Brocke, M. Rosemann (Springer, Berlin, 2015)
35. T. de Bruin, M. Rosemann, Using the delphi technique to identify bpm capability areas, in *Proceedings of the 18th Australasian Conference on Information Systems* (Association for Information Systems, 2007)
36. J. Dick, E. Hull, K. Jackson, *Requirements Engineering*, 4th edn. (Springer, Berlin, 2017)
37. J.M. Digman, Personality structure: Emergence of the five-factor model. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* **41**(1), 417–440 (1990)
38. R. Dijkman, I. Vanderfeesten, H.A. Reijers, Business process architectures: overview, comparison and framework. *Enterp. Inf. Syst.* **10**(2), 129–158 (2016)
39. M. Dumas, L. Garcia-Banuelos, M. La Rosa, R. Uba, Fast detection of exact clones in business process model repositories. *Inf. Syst.* **38**(4), 619–633 (2013)

40. M. Dumas, M. La Rosa, J. Mendling, R. Mäesalu, H.A. Reijers, N. Semenenko, Understanding business process models: the costs and benefits of structuredness, in *International Conference on Advanced Information Systems Engineering* (Springer, Berlin, 2012), pp. 31–46
41. W. Eckerson, *Performance Dashboards: Measuring, Monitoring, and Managing Your Business*, 2nd edn. (Wiley, New York, 2010)
42. C.C. Ekanayake, M. La Rosa, A.H.M. ter Hofstede, M.C. Fauvet, Fragment-based version management for repositories of business process models, in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Cooperative Information Systems (CoopIS)*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 7044 (Springer, Berlin, 2011), pp. 20–37
43. D.J. Elzinga, T. Horak, C.Y. Lee, C. Bruner, Business process management: survey and methodology. *IEEE Trans. Eng. Manag.* **42**(2), 119–128 (1995)
44. G. Engels, A. Forster, E. Heckel, S. Thone, Process Modeling Using UML, in *Process-Aware Information Systems*, chapter 5, ed. by M. Dumas, W.M.P. van der Aalst, A.H.M. ter Hofstede (Wiley, New York, 2005)
45. T. Erl, A. Karmarkar, P. Walmsley, H. Haas, *Web Service Contract Design and Versioning for SOA* (Prentice Hall, New York, 2008)
46. E. Forrester, B. Buteau, S. Shrum (Author), *CMMI for Services: Guidelines for Superior Service*, 2nd edn. (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 2011)
47. P. Franz, M. Kirchmer, *Value-Driven Business Process Management* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 2012)
48. P.J.M. Frederiks, T.P. van der Weide, Information modeling: The process and the required competencies of its participants. *Data Knowl. Eng.* **58**(1), 4–20 (2006)
49. J. Freund, B. Rücker, *Real-Life BPMN: With introductions to CMMN and DMN*, 3rd edn. (CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform, 2016)
50. V. Frolov, D. Megel, W. Bandara, Y. Sun, L. Ma, Building an ontology and process architecture for engineering asset management, in *Proceedings of the 4th World Congress on Engineering Asset Management (WCEAM)*, Athens, Greece, September 2009 (Springer, Berlin, 2009)
51. D. Fürstenau, *Process Performance Measurement* (GRIN, Santa Cruz, 2008)
52. B. Gallagher, M. Phillips, K. Richter, S. Shrum, *CMMI for Acquisition: Guidelines for Improving the Acquisition of Products and Services*, 2nd edn. (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 2011)
53. L. García-Bañuelos, A. Ponomarev, M. Dumas, I. Weber, Optimized execution of business processes on blockchain, in *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Business Process Management (BPM)*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 10445 (2017), pp. 130–146
54. L. García-Bañuelos, N.R.T.P. van Beest, M. Dumas, M. La Rosa, Complete and interpretable conformance checking of business processes. *IEEE Trans. Softw. Eng.* (2017). <https://doi.org/vu-nl.idm.oclc.org/10.1109/TSE.2017.2668418>
55. R. Gill, Change management—or change leadership? *J. Change Manag.* **3**(4), 307–318 (2002)
56. E.M. Goldratt, *The Goal: A Process of Ongoing Improvement* (North River Press, Great Barrington, 1992)
57. B. Grabot, A. Mayère, I. Bazet, *ERP Systems and Organisational Change: A Socio-Technical Insight* (Springer, Berlin, 2008)
58. A. Greasley, A redesign of a road traffic accident reporting system using business process simulation. *Bus. Process Manag. J.* **10**(6), 635–644 (2004)
59. M. Hammer, Reengineering work: Don't automate, obliterate. *Harv. Bus. Rev.* **68**(4), 104–112 (1990)
60. M. Hammer, *Beyond Reengineering: How the Process-Centered Organization Is Changing Our Work and Our Lives* (HarperBusiness, New York, 1997)
61. M. Hammer, What is business process management, in *Handbook of Business Process Management 1*, ed. by M. Rosemann, J. vom Brocke (Springer, Berlin, 2015), pp. 3–16

62. M. Hammer, J. Champy, *Reengineering the Corporation: A Manifesto for Business Revolution* (Harpercollins, New York, 1993)
63. P. Hanafizadeh, M. Moosakhani, J. Bakhshi, Selecting the best strategic practices for business process redesign. *Bus. Process Manag. J.* **15**(4), 609–627 (2009)
64. P. Harmon, Analyzing activities. *BPTrends Newsl.* **1**(4) (2003). <http://www.bptrends.com>
65. P. Harmon, *Business Process Change: A Guide for Business Managers and BPM and Six Sigma Professionals*, 2nd edn. (Morgan Kaufmann, San Mateo, 2007)
66. P. Harmon, R. Tregear, *Questioning BPM?* (Meghan-Kiffer Press, Tampa, 2016)
67. A.H.M. ter Hofstede, W.M.P. van der Aalst, M. Adams, N. Russell, (eds.), *Modern Business Process Automation: YAWL and Its Support Environment* (Springer, Berlin, 2010)
68. D. Hollingsworth, The Workflow Reference Model. TC00-1003 Issue 1.1, Workflow Management Coalition, 24 November 1994
69. D. Hollingsworth, The Workflow Reference Model: 10 Years On, in *The Workflow Handbook 2014*, ed. by L. Fischer (Workflow Management Coalition, Cohasset, 2004), pp. 295–312
70. IEEE TaskForce on Process Mining, Process Mining Manifesto. http://www.win.tue.nl/ieeetfpm/doku.php?id=shared:process_mining_manifesto. Accessed October 2017, 2011
71. J. Jeston, J. Nelis, *Business Process Management: Practical Guidelines to Successful Implementations*, 3rd edn. (Routledge, New York, 2014)
72. F. Johannsen, S. Leist, G. Zellner, Implementing six sigma for improving business processes at an automotive bank, in *Handbook of Business Process Management I*, ed. by J. vom Brocke, M. Rosemann (Springer, Berlin, 2015), pp. 393–416
73. R.S. Kaplan, D.P. Norton, The balanced scorecard - measures that drive performance. *Harv. Bus. Rev.* **70**(1), 71–79 (1992)
74. R. Kegan, L.L. Lahey, The real reason people won't change. *HBR's 10 Must Reads on Change*, pp. 77 (2001)
75. W.D. Kelton, R.P. Sadowski, N.B. Swets, *Simulation with Arena*, 5th edn. (McGraw-Hill, New York, 2009)
76. G. Kerpedzhiev, U. König, M. Röglinger, M. Rosemann, Business process management in the digital age. *BPTrends* (July 2017)
77. W.J. Kettinger, J.T.C. Teng, S. Guha, Business process change: a study of methodologies, techniques, and tools. *MIS Q.*, 55–80 (1997)
78. M. Kirchmer, P. Franz, The chief process officer: A role to drive value. White paper, Accenture, 2012
79. M. Kloppenburg, J. Kettenbohrer, D. Beimborn, M. Bögle, Leading 20,000+ employees with a process-oriented management system: Insights into process management at lufthansa technik group, in *Business Process Management Cases*, ed. by J. vom Brocke, J. Mendling (Springer, Berlin, 2018), pp. 505–520
80. C.N. Knaflitz, *Storytelling with Data: A Data Visualization Guide for Business Professionals* (Wiley, New York, 2015)
81. J.P. Kofter, Leading change: why transformation efforts fail. *Harv. Bus. Rev.* **92**, 107 (2007)
82. A. Kovačić, G. Hauc, B. Buh, M.I. Štemberger, Bpm adoption and business transformation at snaga, a public company: Critical success factors for five stages of bpm, in *Business Process Management Cases*, ed. by J. vom Brocke, J. Mendling (Springer, Berlin, 2018), pp. 77–89
83. J. Krogstie, *Quality in Business Process Modeling* (Springer, Berlin, 2016)
84. M. La Rosa, M. Dumas, C.C. Ekanayake, L. Garcia-Banuelos, J. Recker, Detecting approximate clones in business process model repositories. *Inf. Syst.* **49**, 102–125 (2015)
85. M. Laguna, J. Marklund, *Business Process Modeling, Simulation and Design* (Prentice Hall, New York, 2004)
86. S.J.J. Leemans, D. Fahland, W.M.P. van der Aalst, *Discovering Block-Structured Process Models from Event Logs Containing Infrequent Behaviour* (Springer, Cham, 2014), pp. 66–78
87. H. Leopold, R.-H. Eid-Sabbagh, J. Mendling, L.G. Azevedo, F.A. Baião, Detection of naming convention violations in process models for different languages. *Decis. Support Syst.* **56**, 310–325 (2013)

88. M. Leyer, D. Heckl, J. Moormann, Process performance measurement. *Handbook on Business Process Management, Volume 2* (2015), pp. 227–241
89. F. Leymann, D. Roller, *Production Workflow - Concepts and Techniques* (Prentice Hall, New York, 2000)
90. S. Limam Mansar, H.A. Reijers, F. Ounnar, Development of a decision-making strategy to improve the efficiency of bpr. *Expert Syst. Appl.* **36**(2), 3248–3262 (2009)
91. O.I. Lindland, G. Sindre, A. Sølvberg, Understanding quality in conceptual modeling. *IEEE Softw.* **11**(2), 42–49 (1994)
92. N. Lohmann, Correcting deadlocking service choreographies using a simulation-based graph edit distance, in *International Conference on Business Process Management*, vol. 8 (Springer, Berlin, 2008), pp. 132–147
93. P. Lohmann, M. zur Muehlen, Business process management skills and roles: An investigation of the demand and supply side of BPM professionals, in *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Business Process Management (BPM)*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 9253 (Springer, Berlin, 2015), pp. 317–332
94. A.V. Looy, *Business Process Maturity. A Comparative Study on a Sample of Business Process Maturity Models* (Springer, Berlin, 2014)
95. O. López-Pintado, L. García-Bañuelos, M. Dumas, I. Weber, Caterpillar: A blockchain-based business process management system, in *Proceedings of the BPM Demo Track and BPM Dissertation Award co-located with 15th International Conference on Business Process Modeling (BPM 2017), Barcelona, Spain, September 13, 2017*, ed. by R. Clarisó, H. Leopold, J. Mendling, W.M.P. van der Aalst, A. Kumar, Br.T. Pentland, M. Weske. CEUR Workshop Proceedings, vol. 1920 (2017). CEUR-WS.org
96. J. Luftman, Strategic alignment maturity, in *Handbook on Business Process Management 2*, 2nd edn., ed. by J. vom Brocke, M. Rosemann (Springer, Berlin, 2015)
97. M. Malinova, A language for designing process maps. PhD thesis, WU Vienna University of Economics and Business (2016)
98. M. Malinova, B. Hribar, J. Mendling, A framework for assessing bpm success, in *Proceedings of the 22nd European Conference on Information Systems* (Association for Information Systems, 2014)
99. M. Malinova, H. Leopold, J. Mendling, An explorative study for process map design, in *Information Systems Engineering in Complex Environments - CAiSE Forum 2014, Thessaloniki, Greece, June 16–20, 2014, Selected Extended Papers*, ed. by S. Nurcan, E. Pimenidis. Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing, vol. 204 (Springer, Berlin, 2015), pp. 36–51
100. M. Malinova, J. Mendling, The effect of process map design quality on process management success, in *21st European Conference on Information Systems, ECIS 2013, Utrecht, The Netherlands, June 5–8, 2013* (2013), p. 160
101. R.L. Manganelli, M.M. Klein, American Management Association, *The Reengineering Handbook: A Step-by-Step Guide to Business Transformation* (Amacom, New York, 1994)
102. S.L. Mansar, H.A. Reijers, Best practices in business process redesign: validation of a redesign framework. *Comput. Ind.* **56**(5), 457–471 (2005)
103. S.L. Mansar, H.A. Reijers, Best practices in business process redesign: use and impact. *Bus. Process Manag. J.* **13**(2), 193–213 (2007)
104. N. Martin, B. Depaire, A. Caris, The use of process mining in business process simulation model construction - structuring the field. *Bus. Inf. Syst. Eng.* **58**(1), 73–87 (2016)
105. N. Martin, M. Swennen, B. Depaire, M. Jans, A. Caris, K. Vanhoof, Retrieving batch organisation of work insights from event logs. *Decis. Support Syst.* **100**, 119–128 (2017)
106. A. McAfee, Pharmacy service improvement at cvs (a). *Harv. Bus. Rev. Case Stud.* (2005)
107. K. McCormack, The development of a measure of business process orientation and its relationship to organizational performance, April 1999. Online tutorial available at <http://www.prosci.com/mccormack.htm>

108. J. Mendling, *Metrics for Process Models: Empirical Foundations of Verification, Error Prediction, and Guidelines for Correctness*. Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing, vol. 6 (Springer, Berlin, 2008)
109. J. Mendling, Empirical studies in process model verification, in *Transactions on Petri Nets and Other Models of Concurrency II, Special Issue on Concurrency in Process-Aware Information Systems*, vol. 5460 (2009), 208–224
110. J. Mendling, H.A. Reijers, W.M.P. van der Aalst, Seven process modeling guidelines (7pmg). *Inf. Softw. Technol.* **52**(2), 127–136 (2010)
111. J. Mendling, L. Sánchez-González, F. García, M. La Rosa, Thresholds for error probability measures of business process models. *J. Syst. Softw.* **85**(5), 1188–1197 (2012)
112. J. Mendling, M. Strembeck, J. Recker, Factors of process model comprehension - findings from a series of experiments. *Decis. Support Syst.* **53**(1), 195–206 (2012)
113. J. Mendling, I. Weber, W.M.P. van der Aalst, J. vom Brocke, C. Cabanillas, F. Daniel, S. Debois, C. Di Ciccio, M. Dumas, S. Dustdar, A. Gal, L. García-Bañuelos, G. Governatori, R. Hull, M. La Rosa, H. Leopold, F. Leymann, J. Recker, M. Reichert, H.A. Reijers, S. Rinderle-Ma, A. Rogge-Solti, M. Rosemann, S. Schulte, M.P. Singh, T. Slaats, M. Staples, B. Weber, M. Weidlich, M. Weske, X. Xu, L. Zhu, Blockchains for business process management - challenges and opportunities. *CoRR*, abs/1704.03610 (2017)
114. W. Mertens, J. Recker, T.-F. Kummer, T. Kohlborn, S. Viaene, Constructive deviance as a driver for performance in retail. *J. Retail. Consum. Serv.* **30**, 193–203 (2016)
115. N. Modig, P. Ahlström, *This Is Lean: Resolving the Efficiency Paradox* (Rheologica, Stockholm, 2012)
116. A. Møller, M.I. Schwartzbach, *An Introduction to XML and Web Technologies* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 2006)
117. D. Müller, M. Reichert, J. Herbst, A new paradigm for the enactment and dynamic adaptation of data-driven process structures, in *Advanced Information Systems Engineering* (Springer, Berlin, 2008), pp. 48–63
118. J. Munoz-Gama, *Conformance Checking and Diagnosis in Process Mining: Comparing Observed and Modeled Processes* (Springer, Berlin, 2016)
119. M. Netjes, R.S. Mans, H.A. Reijers, W.M.P. van der Aalst, R.J.B. Vanwersch, Bpr best practices for the healthcare domain, in *Business Process Management Workshops* (Springer, Berlin, 2010), pp. 605–616
120. Object Management Group, Unified Modeling Language (UML) Version 2.5, 2015
121. P. O'Neill, A.S. Sohal, Business process reengineering a review of recent literature. *Technovation* **19**(9), 571–581 (1999)
122. A. Osterwalder, Y. Pigneur, *Business Model Generation: A Handbook for Visionaries, Game Changers, and Challengers* (Wiley, New York, 2010)
123. A. Ottensooser, A. Fekete, H.A. Reijers, J. Mendling, C. Menictas, Making sense of business process descriptions: An experimental comparison of graphical and textual notations. *J. Syst. Softw.* **85**(3), 596–606 (2012)
124. M.A. Ould, *Business Process Management: A Rigorous Approach* (British Informatics Society Ltd., Swindon, 2005)
125. M.C. Paulk, C.V. Weber, B. Curtis, M.B. Chrissis, *The Capability Maturity Model: Guidelines for Improving the Software Process* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1994)
126. M. Petre, Why looking isn't always seeing: Readership skills and graphical programming. *Commun. ACM* **38**(6), 33–44 (1995)
127. K. Pohl, *Requirements Engineering: Fundamentals, Principles, and Techniques* (Springer, Berlin, 2010)
128. M.E. Porter, *Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance* (The Free Press, New York, 1985)
129. G. Redding, M. Dumas, A.H.M. ter Hofstede, A. Iordachescu, A flexible, object-centric approach for business process modelling. *SOCA* **4**(3), 191–201 (2010)
130. M. Reichert, B. Weber, *Enabling Flexibility in Process-Aware Information Systems* (Springer, Berlin, 2012)

131. H.A. Reijers, Product-based design of business processes applied within the financial services. *J. Res. Pract. Inf. Technol.* **34**(2), 110–122 (2002)
132. H.A. Reijers, *Design and Control of Workflow Processes: Business Process Management for the Service Industry* (Springer, Berlin, 2003)
133. H.A. Reijers, T. Freytag, J. Mendling, A. Eckleder, Syntax highlighting in business process models. *Decis. Support Syst.* **51**(3), 339–349 (2011)
134. H.A. Reijers, S. Limam, W.M.P. van der Aalst, Product-based workflow design. *J. Manag. Inf. Syst.* **20**(1), 229–262 (2003)
135. H.A. Reijers, S.L. Mansar, Best practices in business process redesign: an overview and qualitative evaluation of successful redesign heuristics. *Omega* **33**(4), 283–306 (2005)
136. H.A. Reijers, J. Mendling, A study into the factors that influence the understandability of business process models. *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern. A* **41**(3), 449–462 (2011)
137. H.A. Reijers, J. Mendling, R.M. Dijkman, Human and automatic modularizations of process models to enhance their comprehension. *Inf. Syst.* **36**(5), 881–897 (2011)
138. H.A. Reijers, I.T.P. Vanderfeesten, W.M.P. van der Aalst, The effectiveness of workflow management systems: A longitudinal study. *Int. J. Inf. Manag.* **36**(1), 126–141 (2016)
139. C. Reisert, S. Zelt, J. Wacker, How to move from paper to impact in business process management: The journey of sap, in *Business Process Management Cases*, ed. by J. vom Brocke, J. Mendling (Springer, Berlin, 2018)
140. S.-H. Rhee, N.W. Cho, H. Bae, Increasing the efficiency of business processes using a theory of constraints. *Inf. Syst. Front.* **12**(4), 443–455 (2010)
141. L. Richardson, S. Ruby, *RESTful Web Services* (O'Reilly Media, Inc., Sebastopol, 2008)
142. J.J. Rooney, L.N. Vanden Heuvel, Root cause analysis for beginners. *Qual. Prog.*, 45–53 (2004)
143. M. La Rosa, A.H.M. ter Hofstede, P. Wohed, H.A. Reijers, J. Mendling, W.M.P. van der Aalst, Managing process model complexity via concrete syntax modifications. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Inf.* **7**(2), 255–265 (2011)
144. M. La Rosa, P. Wohed, J. Mendling, A.H.M. ter Hofstede, H.A. Reijers, W.M.P. van der Aalst, Managing process model complexity via abstract syntax modifications. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Inf.* **7**(4), 614–629 (2011)
145. M. Rosemann, Potential pitfalls of process modeling: part a. *Bus. Process Manag. J.* **12**(2), 249–254 (2006)
146. M. Rosemann, Potential pitfalls of process modeling: part b. *Bus. Process Manag. J.* **12**(3), 377–384 (2006)
147. M. Rosemann, A. Hjalmarsson, M. Lind, J. Recker, Four facets of a process modeling facilitator, in *Proceedings of the 32nd International Conference on Information Systems* (Association for Information Systems, 2011)
148. M. Rosemann, The nestt: Rapid process redesign at queensland university of technology, in *Business Process Management Cases* (Springer, Berlin, 2018), pp. 169–185
149. M. Rosemann, T. de Bruin, Application of a holistic model for determining bpm maturity, in *Proceedings of the AIM Pre-ICIS Workshop on Process Management and Information Systems* (Actes du 3e colloque Pre-ICIS de l'AIM, 2004)
150. M. Rosemann, T. de Bruin, Towards a business process management maturity model, in *Proceedings of the 13th European Conference on Information Systems* (Association for Information Systems, 2005)
151. M. Rosemann, T. de Bruin, Towards understanding strategic alignment of business process management, in *Proceedings of the 17th Australasian Conference on Information Systems* (Association for Information Systems, 2006)
152. A. Rozinat, W.M.P. van der Aalst, Conformance checking of processes based on monitoring real behavior. *Inf. Syst.* **33**(1), 64–95 (2008)
153. G.A. Rummier, A.P. Brache, *Improving Performance: Managing the White Space on the Organizational Chart* (Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, 1990)

154. G.A. Rummier, A.J. Ramias, A framework for defining and designing the structure of work, in *Handbook of Business Process Management 1*, ed. by M. Rosemann, J. vom Brocke (Springer, Berlin, 2015), pp. 81–104
155. N. Russell, W.M.P. van der Aalst, A.H.M. ter Hofstede, *Workflow Patterns: The Definitive Guide* (MIT Press, Cambridge, 2016)
156. A.-W. Scheer, *ARIS Business Process Modelling* (Springer, New York, 2000)
157. K.D. Schenk, N.P. Vitalari, K.S. Davis, Differences between novice and expert systems analysts: What do we know and what do we do? *J. Manag. Inf. Syst.* **15**(1), 9–50 (1998)
158. T. Schmiedel, J. vom Brocke, J. Recker, Culture in business process management: How cultural values determine bpm success, in *Handbook on Business Process Management 2*, 2nd edn., ed. by J. vom Brocke, M. Rosemann (Springer, Berlin, 2015)
159. A. Schwegmann, M. Laske, As-is modeling and process analysis, in *Process Management: A Guide for the Design of Business Processes*, ed. by J. Becker, M. Kugeler, M. Rosemann (Springer, Berlin, 2011), pp. 133–156
160. I. Seidman, *Interviewing as Qualitative Research: A Guide for Researchers in Education and the Social Sciences* (Teachers College Press, New York, 2006)
161. A. Sharp, P. McDermott, *Workflow Modeling: Tools for Process Improvement and Applications Development*, 2nd edn. (Artech House, Norwood, 2008)
162. A. Shtub, R. Karni, *ERP: The Dynamics of Supply Chain and Process Management* (Springer, Berlin, 2010)
163. B. Silver, *BPMN Method and Style*, 2nd edn. (Cody-Cassidy Press, Aptos, 2011)
164. B. Silver, A. Sayles, *DMN Method and Style: The Practitioner's Guide to Decision Modeling with Business Rules* (Cody-Cassidy Press, Aptos, 2016)
165. H.L. Sirkin, P. Keenan, A. Jackson, The hard side of change management. *Harv. Bus. Rev.* **83**(10), 108 (2005)
166. Software AG, *Transparent Processes Lead to Improved Customer Service and Regulatory Compliance. Reference Story* (Software AG, 2016). <https://tinyurl.com/y8j6lgwh>
167. A. Spanyi, The governance of business process management, in *Handbook on Business Process Management 2*, 2nd edn., ed. by J. vom Brocke, M. Rosemann (Springer, Berlin, 2015)
168. G. Steinbauer, M. Ossberger, D. Dorazin, Wiener linien: Infrastruktur für den öffentlichen verkehr bereitstellen: Prozessmanagement mit hoher komplexität, in *Prozessmanagement individuell umgesetzt*, ed. by E.-M. Kern (Springer, Berlin, 2012), pp. 221–236
169. J. Stirna, A. Persson, K. Sandkuhl, Participative Enterprise Modeling: Experiences and Recommendations, in *Proceedings of the 19th Conference on Advanced Information Systems Engineering (CAiSE 2007)*, ed. by J. Krogstie, A.L. Opdahl, G. Sindre. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4495, Trondheim, Norway, 2007 (Springer, Berlin, 2007), pp. 546–560
170. D. Straker, *A Toolbook for Quality Improvement and Problem Solving* (Prentice Hall, New York, 1995)
171. S. Suriadi, M.T. Wynn, C. Ouyang, A.H.M. ter Hofstede, N.J. van Dijk, Understanding process behaviours in a large insurance company in australia: A case study, in *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Advanced Information Systems Engineering (CAiSE)*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 7908 (Springer, Berlin, 2013), pp. 449–464
172. K.D. Swenson, *Mastering the Unpredictable: How Adaptive Case Management Will Revolutionize the Way That Knowledge Workers Get Things Done* (Meghan-Kiffer Press, Tampa, 2010)
173. R. Tregear, *Reimagining Management* (Blurb, San Francisco, 2017)
174. J.M. Utterback, W.J. Abernathy, A dynamic model of process and product innovation. *Omega* **3**(6), 639–656 (1975)
175. N.R.T.P. van Beest, M. Dumas, L. García-Bañuelos, M. La Rosa, Log delta analysis: Interpretable differencing of business process event logs, in *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Business Process Management (BPM)* (Springer, Berlin, 2015), pp. 386–405

176. W.M.P. van der Aalst K. Van Hee, *Workflow Management: Models, Methods, and Systems* (MIT Press, Cambridge, 2004)
177. W.M.P. van der Aalst, Business process simulation survival guide, in *Handbook of Business Process Management 1*, ed. by J. vom Brocke, M. Rosemann (Springer, Berlin, 2015), pp. 337–370
178. W.M.P. van der Aalst, M. Rosemann, M. Dumas, Deadline-based escalation in process-aware information systems. *Decis. Support Syst.* **43**(2), 492–511 (2007)
179. W.M.P. van der Aalst, M. Weske, D. Grünbauer, Case handling: a new paradigm for business process support. *Data Knowl. Eng.* **53**(2), 129–162 (2005)
180. M.L. van Eck, X. Lu, S.J.J. Leemans, W.M.P. van der Aalst, PM²: A process mining project methodology, in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Advanced Information Systems Engineering (CAiSE)* (Springer, Berlin, 2015), pp. 297–313
181. A. van Lamsweerde, *Requirements Engineering: From System Goals to UML Models to Software Specifications* (Wiley, New York, 2009)
182. I. Vanderfeesten, H.A. Reijers, W.M.P. van der Aalst, Product-based workflow support. *Inf. Syst.* **36**(2), 517–535 (2011)
183. R.J.B. Vanwersch, I. Vanderfeesten, E. Rietzschel, H.A. Reijers, Improving business processes: does anybody have an idea? in *International Conference on Business Process Management* (Springer, Berlin, 2015), pp. 3–18
184. R. Verganti, *Design-Driven Innovation* (Harvard Business School Press, Boston, 2009)
185. L. Verner, The challenge of process discovery. *BPTrends* (May 2004)
186. J. vom Brocke, M. Rosemann, *Handbook on Business Process Management 1: Introduction, Methods, and Information Systems*, 2nd edn., vol. 1 (Springer, Berlin, 2015)
187. J. vom Brocke, M. Rosemann, *Handbook on Business Process Management 2: Strategic Alignment, Governance, People and Culture*, 2nd edn., vol. 2 (Springer, Berlin, 2015)
188. J. vom Brocke, J. Mendling, *Business Process Management Cases: Digital Innovation and Business Transformation in Practice* (Springer, Berlin, 2018)
189. J. Vom Brocke, T. Schmiedel, J. Recker, P. Trkman, W. Mertens, S. Viaene, Ten principles of good business process management. *Bus. Process Manag. J.* **20**(4), 530–548 (2014)
190. K.W. Wagner, G. Patzak, *Performance Excellence-Der Praxisleitfaden zum effektiven Prozessmanagement* (Carl Hanser Verlag GmbH Co KG, KolbergerstraSse 5, 2015)
191. I. Weber, X. Xu, R. Riveret, G. Governatori, A. Ponomarev, J. Mendling, Untrusted business process monitoring and execution using blockchain, in *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Business Process Management (BPM)*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 9850 (Springer, Berlin, 2016), pp. 329–347
192. A. Weijters, J. Ribeiro, Flexible Heuristics Miner (FHM), in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Data Mining (CIDM)* (IEEE Computer Society, 2011)
193. M. Weske, *Business Process Management: Concepts, Languages, Architectures*, 2nd edn. (Springer, Berlin, 2012)
194. B. Woliński, S. Bala, Comprehensive business process management at siemens: Implementing business process excellence, in *Business Process Management Cases*, ed. by J. vom Brocke, J. Mendling (Springer, Berlin, 2018), pp. 111–124
195. Workflow Patterns Initiative, Workflow Patterns Home Page, 2001. <http://www.workflowpatterns.com>
196. Y. Yang, M. Dumas, L. García-Bañuelos, A. Polyvyanyy, L. Zhang, Generalized aggregate quality of service computation for composite services. *J. Syst. Softw.* **85**(8), 1818–1830 (2012)
197. J.M. Zaha, A.P. Barros, M. Dumas, A.H.M. ter Hofstede, Let's Dance: A language for service behavior modeling, in *Proceedings of the OTM Conferences (1)*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4275 (Springer, Berlin, 2006), pp. 145–162
198. M. zur Muehlen, D.E. Wisnosky, J. Kindrick, Primitives: design guidelines and architecture for BPMN models, in *Proceedings of the Australasian Conference on Information Systems (ACIS)*, 2010

Index

Symbols

α -algorithm 432, 436, 437, 451, 467, 471
7FE 306, 307, 311–315
7PMG *see* Seven Process Modeling Guidelines

A

ABC *see* activity-based costing
accountability 54, 478
ACM *see* adaptive case management system
active branch 88
activity 3, 76, 487
 call 106
 compensation 137
 concurrent 79
 dead 185, 186
 decision 80, 86, 91, 97, 126, 138
 label 77
 multi-instance 119
 mutually exclusive 79
activity-based costing 305, 447
activity-based modeling 211
actor *see* process actor
ad hoc *see* sub-process
ad hoc workflow system 345, 382
adaptive case management system 345, 347
allocation
 authorization 393
 by offer 393
 capability-based 393
 case handling 393
 deferred 393
 delegation 393
 direct 392
 escalation 393
 history-based 393
 organizational 393
 random 393
 retain familiar 393
 role-based 393
 round-robin 393
 separation of duties 359, 381, 393, 457
allocation by offer *see* allocation
American Productivity and Quality Center
 46, 52, 53, 67
AND gateway *see* gateway
application model 37
application system design 79
application-to-approval 2, 429
appraisal 494
approval 188
APQC *see* American Productivity and Quality Center
Apromore 86, 194, 456, 459
ArchiMate 72
ARIS 37, 85, 115, 194
arrival rate 266, 270, 274, 276, 282, 286,
 289, 290
artifact 93, 211, 325
artifact-centric modeling 211, 339
as-is 17, 19, 22, 23, 159, 167, 172, 173, 205,
 206, 208, 253, 287, 292, 305, 322,
 477
association 96
 compensation 137
association rule 459
ATAMO procedure 305
authorization *see* allocation

automated process discovery 166, 175, 420,
427, 438, 450
automated task *see* task

B

backoffice 59
balanced scorecard 36, 63, 418, 481, 483
BAM *see* Business Activity Monitoring
batch 261
behavioral completeness 432
behavioral correctness 187
Benchmarking 309
bill-of-material 326
black box *see* pool
block structure 187, 189, 272
blockchain 368
bottleneck 270, 444
BPM Advisory Board 485
BPM Center of Excellence 26, 485, 489,
491, 500
BPM Group *see* BPM Center of Excellence
BPM lifecycle 1, 6, 8, 16, 22–25, 27, 32, 75,
79, 345, 413, 475–481, 484, 485,
491
BPM maturity 475, 477, 479, 490, 491, 498,
500
 assessment 493
BPM Maturity Model 478, 479, 492, 499
BPM program 232, 312, 475–478, 480, 482,
483, 487, 489–492, 494, 495, 497
BPM Program Manager 485
BPM Steering Committee 485
BPMN *see* Business Process Model and
Notation
BPMS *see* Business Process Management
System
BPTrends 33, 311
branching probability 257, 282
Business Activity Monitoring 414
business fault 129
business impact 51
business model 36
Business Model Canvas 320
business object 4, 50, 93
business party 4, 97
Business Process Management System 15,
263, 341, 343, 344, 371, 476
 architecture 347
 engine 348, 349, 375, 379, 385, 386,
390, 394, 398, 402
 orchestration 347
 worklist handler 349, 372, 374, 375, 402,
404

Business Process Model and Notation 18,
45, 75, 115, 117, 381, 382, 411, 479
 components 92
 tool 85
Business Process Reengineering 319, 323
business strategy 35
Business Value Adding 214–218, 244, 249
BVA *see* Business Value Adding

C

call center 59
capability area 479
Capability Maturity Model 500
Capability Maturity Model Integration 490,
500
capability-based allocation *see* allocation
case 162, 166, 222, 262, 273, 286, 317, 329,
346–348, 350, 358, 359, 382, 384,
414, 415, 421, 422, 487, 502
 identifier 422, 424, 425, 444, 462, 466
case handling *see* allocation
Case Management Model and Notation 371,
381, 382, 411
case management system 345, 347, 382
case manager 504
causal factor 236
 chart 253
cause-and-effect diagram 236
CEO *see* Chief Executive Officer
CEP *see* Complex Event Processing
certification 189
CFO *see* Chief Financial Officer
change management 363
check-in *see* work item
check-out *see* work item
Chief Executive Officer 24, 483, 492
Chief Financial Officer 25, 492
Chief Information Officer 25, 493
Chief Operations Officer 24, 71, 255, 486
Chief Process and Innovation Officer 25,
485, 492
Chief Process Officer 25, 485, 492
churn rate 61
CIO *see* Chief Information Officer
CMM *see* Capability Maturity Model
CMMI *see* Capability Maturity Model
Integration
CMMN *see* Case Management Model and
Notation
collaboration *see* diagram
Colored Petri Nets 296
compensation 136
 handler 137

completeness 51, 188
 Complex Event Processing 345
 compliance 54
 conceptual *see* process
 conformance checking 420
 consistency 51
 contributing factor 236
 control step 216
 conversation *see* diagram
 COO *see* Chief Operations Officer
 coordination 356
 core process 41
 cost 17, 29, 36, 59, 60, 255, 271, 303, 308,
 417, 422, 447
 incidental 60
 labor 60
 leadership 481
 operational 60, 481
 production 59
 CPIO *see* Chief Process and Innovation
 Officer
 CPN Tools 296
 CPO *see* Chief Process Officer
 Critical Path Method 263
 culture 26, 27, 478, 488
 customer intimacy 481
 customer journey 61
 customer relationship 50
 Customer Relationship Management system
 342, 396
 customer satisfaction 60, 308
 customer value proposition 36
 cycle time 59, 255, 308, 316, 442
 cycle time efficiency 262

D

data
 association 93, 388
 collection 120
 input 388
 object 18, 93–95, 97, 101, 102, 115, 120,
 146, 182, 184, 187, 286, 377–379,
 385–388, 403, 404, 407, 409
 state 94
 output 388
 store 94, 95, 182, 377
 type 386
 complex 386
 simple 386
 data mapping 388
 data model 37
 data-flow diagram 18
 database 12, 341

Database Management System 345
 DBMS *see* Database Management System
 dead activity *see* activity
 deadlock 89, 91, 127–129, 185, 186, 203,
 371, 441
 decision making process 478
 Decision Model and Notation 139, 371, 394,
 411
 table 395
 decision point 4
 decomposition 43
 default flow *see* flow
 deferred allocation *see* allocation
 deferred choice *see* split, XOR, event-based
 delegation *see* allocation
 Delphi 305
 dependency graph 427, 428
 Design-led Innovation 320
 deviance mining 458
 Devil's Quadrangle 303, 304, 315–317, 319,
 442, 464, 501
 diagram
 choreography 157
 collaboration 101
 conversation 157
 DICE 363
 direct allocation *see* allocation
 division of labor 9, 10, 268
 DMN *see* Decision Model and Notation
 DMN table *see* Decision Model and
 Notation
 DMS *see* Document Management System
 document analysis 165
 Document Management System 343, 347
 domain expert 160

E

ECM system *see* Enterprise Content
 Management system
 EDI *see* Electronic Data Interchange
 Electronic Data Interchange 219
 end-to-end process 49
 enhanced process model 421
 Enterprise Application Integration 361
 enterprise architecture 37
 Enterprise Content Management system 344
 Enterprise Resource Planning system 14,
 342, 372
 EPCs *see* Event-driven Process Chains
 equipment 96
 ERP system *see* Enterprise Resource
 Planning system
 error code 388

error rate 255
 escalation *see* allocation
 event 3, 76, 388, 394
 boundary 130
 catching 124
 compensate 137
 conditional 138
 end 76, 129
 error 130, 387
 intermediate 123
 interrupting 130
 label 77
 message 102, 123, 390
 non-interrupting 133
 signal 134, 387, 390
 terminate 129
 throwing 124
 timer 124
 event class 422
 event filter 431
 event log 166, 414, 415, 422, 463
 filtering 429
 event pair filter 431
 event-based gateway *see* split
 Event-driven Process Chains 18, 114, 115
 evidence-based discovery 175
 exception 129, 140, 166, 170, 358, 362, 368,
 378, 413, 421, 503
 complex 134
 external 132
 handler 182, 378
 internal 130
 unsolicited 132
 exception flow *see* flow
 executable *see* process
 execution engine *see* Business Process
 Management System
 execution log 273, 282, 351, 358, 365, 448
 execution property 385
 BPMS-specific 396
 exponential distribution 274
 eXtensible Event Stream 422
 external service *see* service

F

facilitator 172–174, 211, 311, 312
 factor 236, 237
 fallacy of programmatic change 364
 feasibility 57
 Fishbone diagram 240
 Five Factor Model 163
 flexibility 17, 59, 61, 303, 356
 flow

default 81
 exception 130
 message 99, 101, 102, 107, 121, 129,
 180, 184
 sequence 19, 76, 80, 92–94, 99, 101,
 122, 123, 126, 131, 136, 179, 180,
 183–186, 219, 385, 394, 399, 407
 flowchart 18, 305
 flower pattern 442
 footprint matrix 434
 Fordism 368
 functional organization 11
 fuzzy miner 429

G

gateway 79, 184
 AND 82, 86, 164, 174, 180, 193, 272,
 440
 decision 255, 257, 263, 282
 exclusive 80
 inclusive 87
 OR 87, 88, 184, 190, 193, 259
 parallel 82, 257
 XOR 80, 81, 86, 92, 164, 184, 190, 193,
 272
 governance 27, 475, 478, 479, 484
 group dynamics 307
 groupware system 345

H

handoff 29, 50, 177, 179, 180, 195, 214–
 216, 218–220, 226, 245, 246, 261,
 293, 334, 355, 356, 381, 420, 444,
 446
 internal 180
 handoff point *see* handoff
 health 56
 Heuristic Process Redesign 307, 315
 heuristics miner 438–440
 historic information *see* information
 history-based allocation *see* allocation
 HR director 25
 HTTP 390
 human capital 36

I

IDEF3 *see* Integrated DEfinition for Process
 Description Capture Method
 idleness 222
 IEEE Task Force on Process Mining 422
 implicit termination 84

inductive miner 438, 439, 441, 442, 468
 information
 historic 358
 operational 358
 Information Technology Infrastructure Library
 45, 114
 infrastructure model 37
 innovation 36
 instantiation
 explicit 377
 implicit 377
 Integrated DEFinition for Process Description
 Capture Method 18, 305
 inter-arrival time 274, 286
 interview-based discovery 168, 170, 175
 Ishikawa diagram 240
 island automation 357
 Issue Tracking system 232, 343
 issue-to-resolution 2, 343
 IT 479
 IT Service Management 343
 IT-oriented *see* process
 ITIL *see* Information Technology
 Infrastructure Library

J

JavaScript Object Notation 390
 join 80, 184, 185
 AND 82, 83, 87–89, 91, 126, 129, 269
 OR 88, 89, 91, 114
 XOR 80, 81, 84, 87–89, 91, 117, 143,
 259
 event-based 127
 JSON *see* JavaScript Object Notation

K

Key Performance Indicator 59, 478, 483, 499
 KPI *see* Key Performance Indicator

L

lack of synchronization 89, 185, 203
 lane 97
 Lean 7, 310
 Lean Six Sigma 8, 311
 learning 189
 livelock 185, 186, 203
 log delta analysis 459
 loop count 394

M

M/M/1 queue 275, 276

M/M/c queue 275, 276
 maintainability 189
 manageability 51
 management process 41
 Management Team 24
 Mannesmann 38
 manual task *see* task
 master vendor list 31
 merging *see* token
 message 387
 message flow *see* flow
 methods 479
 middleware 361
 model
 abstraction 78, 104, 174, 426
 fully-executable 385
 mapping 78, 160, 426
 purpose 19, 75, 78, 182, 189, 310, 378,
 379
 target audience 78
 modeling
 convention 183, 192
 naming 77, 189
 guideline 183, 192
 language 92
 theory 78
 tools 85
 monitoring 351

N
 negative effect 236
 NESTT 306, 321, 338
 net promoter score 61
 Non-Value Adding 214, 215, 217, 218, 223,
 244, 249
 normal distribution 282
 normative process model *see* process model
 notation 92
 NVA *see* Non-Value Adding

O

object *see* business object
 observation 166
 occupation rate 267
 Operating System 356
 operational information *see* information
 operational manager 161
 OR gateway *see* gateway
 order-to-cash 1, 5, 25, 266, 341, 415
 organization chart 37
 organizational allocation *see* allocation
 organizational change management 21

organizational design 79
 organizational perspective 96

P

PAIS *see* Process-Aware Information System

Pareto

analysis 232, 233, 235, 252, 253, 305
 chart 233, 235

passthrough 81

patterns

creation 392
 push 393

PCF *see* Process Classification Framework

people 27, 480, 486

performance

dashboard 414, 415
 objective 62, 225, 326, 362, 414, 473,
 476, 482
 target 62

Performance Framework 46

performance measure *see* process
 performance measure

performance mining 420, 421

perspective

control-flow 93
 data 93
 functional 93
 object 93
 resource 96

Petri nets 305

PICK chart 235

PLM system *see* Product Lifecycle

Management system

PMBOX *see* Process Management Body of
 Knowledge

pool 97

black box 101
 collapsed 101
 white box 101

Positive Deviance 309

potential owner 392

PPM *see* process performance measurement

pragmatic quality 189

premature victory celebration 364

primary factor 239

PRINCE2 *see* Projects in Controlled
 Environments

private process *see* process

Process

maturity 490

process

actor 4, 6, 13, 15, 28, 30
 customer 6, 13, 30

instance 76

attribute 394

state 76

inter-organizational 368

intra-organizational 368

model

business-oriented 371
 conceptual 79, 371
 connectivity 115
 correctness 184, 187
 deployment 348
 diameter 115
 executable 79, 371
 IT-oriented 79, 371
 normative 452
 size 115
 structuredness 115, 187
 unstructured 272
 validation 170

outcome 4, 6, 13, 17, 31

negative 4, 17, 20

positive 4

private 101

public 101

scope 132

value 6, 13, 30

variable 386

process analysis 20, 213, 255

process analyst 25, 160, 163

process architecture 17, 22, 35, 37, 43

process automation 21

process category 41

process checklist 39

Process Classification Framework 46, 67

process discovery 17, 159–163, 175, 177,
 254, 273

process group 48

process identification 17, 35

process implementation 21

process landscape model 42, 43, 48

Process Management Body of Knowledge
 478

process maturity 490

process methodologist 26

process mining 415

process model repository 348

process modeler 172

process modeling tool 348

process monitoring 22, 413

process owner 15, 25, 161

process participant 4, 25, 96, 392

process performance dimension 59

process performance measure 17, 20, 22, 25,
 56, 59, 478, 482

process performance measurement 414
 process performance metric *see* process
 performance measure
 process portfolio 64
 process profile 51
 process redesign phase 21
 process simulation 279
 input analysis 282
 Process-Aware Information System 15, 19,
 22, 219, 263, 318, 342, 343
 domain-agnostic 342
 domain-specific 342
 processing time 60, 226, 261, 262, 264, 266,
 268, 269, 271, 275, 276, 280
 procure-to-pay 2, 249, 342, 431
 product 325
 product and service catalog 37
 product data model 326, 327
 product lifecycle 50, 56, 67, 343
 Product Lifecycle Management system 343
 Product-Based Design 325
 production rule 328
 production workflow system 345, 346
 profitability 54
 project management 225
 Projects in Controlled Environments 478
 public process *see* process
 pyramid 44

Q

quality 17, 59, 60, 303
 external 60, 481
 internal 61, 504
 quality control mechanism 478
 queue 274
 queueing system 274
 queueing theory 273
 queueing time 60
 quote-to-order 2

R

random allocation *see* allocation
 redesign heuristics 316
 redesign method 297
 ambition 306
 analytical 307
 creative 307
 evolutionary 307
 inward-looking 307
 nature 307
 outward-looking 307
 perspective 307

 revolutionary 307
 transactional 306
 transaformational 306
 Redesign Orbit 306
 reference model 49
 repetition block 91
 Representational State Transfer 390
 resistance 364
 resource 96, 98, 99, 102
 active 96
 class 96, 392
 classification 354
 consumption 308
 contention 273
 parameter 392
 passive 96
 pool 268, 281
 utilization 267, 270, 276, 280
 REST *see* Representational State Transfer
 RESTful *see* service
 retain familiar *see* allocation
 rework 222
 rework probability 260
 Robotic Process Automation 221, 360, 361
 role 457
 role-based allocation *see* allocation
 role-playing 305
 root cause 241
 round-robin allocation *see* allocation
 RPA *see* Robotic Process Automation
 rule enforcement 359
 rule task *see* task

S

Sarbanes-Oxley Act 359
 SCAMPER 305
 SCAMPI *see* Standard CMMI Appraisal
 Method for Process Improvement
 scientific management 10
 SCM system *see* Supply Chain Management
 system
 SCOR *see* Supply Chain Operations
 Reference Model
 screen scraping 360
 scribe 172
 script task *see* task
 secondary factor 239
 selection criteria 56
 semantical correctness 187
 semantics 92
 separation of duties *see* allocation
 sequence 42, 76
 sequence flow *see* flow

service 350
 adapter 396
 connector 396
 external 350
 in-only 389
 in-out 389
 interface 389
 operation 389
 asynchronous 389
 synchronous 389
 RESTful 390
 service level agreement 60
 service task *see* task
 service time *see* processing time
 Service-Oriented Architecture 345, 362
 Seven Process Modeling Guidelines 192,
 193, 210
 shortest queue 393
 Signavio 86, 194, 286, 456, 479
 simulation *see* process simulation, 305
 simulation log 279
 Six Sigma 7, 60, 308
 Six Thinking Hats 305
 SLA *see* service level agreement
 smart contract 369
 social network 167, 345, 488, 498
 software development 343
 software system 96
 soundness 186, 187, 371
 specialization 43
 speech act modeling 305
 split 80, 180, 184
 AND 82–84, 87, 89, 97, 119
 data-based 126
 event-based 180
 OR 87, 89, 255
 XOR 80, 81, 83, 86–89, 91, 117, 126,
 255, 259, 394
 data-based 379
 event-based 126, 127, 140
 split miner 438, 439, 442, 464, 468, 469
 stakeholder 24
 stakeholder analysis 225
 Standard CMMI Appraisal Method for Process
 Improvement 500
 standardization 450
 state *see* process instance
 step 213
 STP *see* Straight-Through-Processing
 Straight-Through-Processing 356
 strategic alignment 26, 27, 475, 477–480
 strategic goal 37
 strategic importance 41, 56
 strategy map 36

structural correctness 184
 structured heuristics miner 438, 439, 442,
 469
 sub-process 102
 ad hoc 122, 382
 collapsed 104
 event 135
 expanded 104
 global 106
 success factor 478
 supply chain 50
 Supply Chain Management system
 343
 Supply Chain Operations Reference Model
 46, 114
 support process 41
 synchronization 83. *see* token
 synchronizing merge 88
 syntactic quality 183
 syntactical correctness 187
 syntax 92
 system binding 396
 system engineer 26

T

task 4, 102, 388, 394
 automated 372
 manual 372
 receive 374, 390, 391
 rule 374
 script 374, 391
 send 374, 390, 391
 service 374, 389
 user 372, 391
 task allocation strategy 392
 technology fault 129
 terminology 49
 text annotation 96
 The Open Group Architecture Framework
 37, 72
 theoretical capacity 267
 Theory of Constraints 308
 throughput time *see* cycle time
 ticketing system 449
 time 17, 59, 175
 timeline chart 443
 timeout 133
 timestamp 422
 to-be 21–23, 287, 305, 322, 334, 335, 338,
 477
 to-be-executed 384
 TOC *see* Theory of Constraints

TOGAF *see* The Open Group Architecture Framework

token 76

merging 83

Total Quality Management 7

touchpoint 483

Toyota Production System 7, 218

TPS *see* Toyota Production System

trace 426

trace filter 431

transaction processing 347

transaction stage 50

transactional method *see* redesign method

transformational method *see* redesign method

Transparency 358

tree diagram 241

TRIZ 308

trust 368

U

UIMS *see* User Interface Management System

UML Activity Diagrams 18

understandability 189

unit capacity 269

unit load 268

unrealized value 221

User Interface Management System 357

user task *see* task

V

VA *see* Value Adding

validation 187

validity 188

Value Adding 214, 216, 217, 220, 244, 249

value chain 44

value-chain modeling 42

variants analysis 420, 421, 458

verification 187

violation 451

vocabulary 92

W

waiting time 60, 261, 262, 442

waste

defects 219

inventory 219, 221, 227, 228, 245

motion 219, 220

overprocessing 219, 222, 223, 226, 245

overproduction 219, 223, 226, 245

transportation 219, 220

waiting 219, 221, 227, 245

Web service 15, 114, 341, 352, 361, 372, 385, 390, 391, 398

Web Services Description Language 390

Web technology 385

WfMC *see* Workflow Management Coalition

WfMC reference model *see* Workflow Management Coalition

WfMS *see* Workflow Management System

white box *see* pool

why-why diagram 236

WIP *see* Work-In-Process

work item 279, 348, 421

check-in 349

check-out 349

Work-In-Process 221, 266, 276

Work-in-Process 266

workflow 342

workflow log 426

Workflow Management Coalition 352
reference model 352

Workflow Management System 14, 345

worklist 421

workload reduction 355

workshop-based discovery 172, 174–177

WSDL *see* Web Services Description Language

X

XES *see* eXtensible Event Stream

XML 385, 390

XML Schema 385, 386, 390

XOR gateway *see* gateway

XSD *see* XML Schema

Z

Zachman Framework 37, 72