

Wrangling with Databases

Data Wrangling, Session 7c

Kieran Healy

Code Horizons

July 29, 2024

Load the packages, as always

```
library(here)      # manage file paths
library(socviz)    # data and some useful functions
library(tidyverse) # your friend and mine
library(gapminder) # inescapable

library(DBI) # DBMS interface layer
library(duckdb) # Local database server
```

“Big” Data

What we're talking about

Mostly in this case, datasets that are nominally larger than your laptop's memory.

There are other more specific uses, and truly huge data is beyond the scope of the course. But we can look at methods for working with data that's “big” for all practical purposes.

Databases

When we're working with data in the social sciences the basic case is a single table that we're going to do something with, like run a regression or make a plot.

```
gapminder
```

```
# A tibble: 1,704 × 6
  country      continent  year lifeExp      pop gdpPercap
  <fct>        <fct>    <int>  <dbl>    <int>    <dbl>
1 Afghanistan Asia      1952   28.8  8425333    779.
2 Afghanistan Asia      1957   30.3  9240934    821.
3 Afghanistan Asia      1962   32.0 10267083    853.
4 Afghanistan Asia      1967   34.0 11537966    836.
5 Afghanistan Asia      1972   36.1 13079460    740.
6 Afghanistan Asia      1977   38.4 14880372    786.
7 Afghanistan Asia      1982   39.9 12881816    978.
8 Afghanistan Asia      1987   40.8 13867957    852.
9 Afghanistan Asia      1992   41.7 16317921    649.
10 Afghanistan Asia      1997   41.8 22227415    635.
# i 1,694 more rows
```

But the bigger a dataset gets, the more we have to think about whether we really want (or even can have) all of it in memory all the time.

Databases

In addition, much of what we want to do with a specific dataset will involve actually acting on some relatively small subset of it.

```
gapminder ▶  
select(gdpPercap, lifeExp)
```

```
# A tibble: 1,704 × 2  
  gdpPercap lifeExp  
    <dbl>    <dbl>  
1     779.     28.8  
2     821.     30.3  
3     853.     32.0  
4     836.     34.0  
5     740.     36.1  
6     786.     38.4  
7     978.     39.9  
8     852.     40.8  
9     649.     41.7  
10    635.     41.8  
# i 1,694 more rows
```

Databases

In addition, much of what we want to do with a specific dataset will involve actually acting on some relatively small subset of it.

```
gapminder ►  
  filter(continent = "Europe",  
         year = 1977)
```

```
# A tibble: 30 × 6
```

	country <fct>	continent <fct>	year <int>	lifeExp <dbl>	pop <int>	gdpPercap <dbl>
1	Albania	Europe	1977	68.9	2509048	3533.
2	Austria	Europe	1977	72.2	7568430	19749.
3	Belgium	Europe	1977	72.8	9821800	19118.
4	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Europe	1977	69.9	4086000	3528.
5	Bulgaria	Europe	1977	70.8	8797022	7612.
6	Croatia	Europe	1977	70.6	4318673	11305.
7	Czech Republic	Europe	1977	70.7	10161915	14800.
8	Denmark	Europe	1977	74.7	5088419	20423.
9	Finland	Europe	1977	72.5	4738902	15605.
10	France	Europe	1977	73.8	53165019	18293.

```
# i 20 more rows
```

Databases

In addition, much of what we want to do with a specific dataset will involve actually acting on some relatively small subset of it.

```
gapminder ▶  
  group_by(continent) ▶  
    summarize(lifeExp = mean(lifeExp),  
              pop = mean(pop),  
              gdpPercap = mean(gdpPercap))
```

```
# A tibble: 5 × 4  
  continent lifeExp      pop gdpPercap  
  <fct>      <dbl>    <dbl>    <dbl>  
1 Africa      48.9  9916003.    2194.  
2 Americas    64.7 24504795.    7136.  
3 Asia        60.1 77038722.    7902.  
4 Europe      71.9 17169765.   14469.  
5 Oceania     74.3  8874672.   18622.
```


Databases

Efficiently storing and querying really large quantities of data is the realm of the database and of Structured Query Languages.

As with everything in information technology there is a long and interesting story about various efforts to come up with a good theory of data storage and retrieval, and efficient algorithms for it. If you are interested, watch e.g. [this lecture from a DBMS course](#) from about twelve minutes in.

Where's the database?

Local or remote?

On disk or in memory?

The important thing from the database admin's point of view is that the data is stored *efficiently*, that we have a means of *querying* it, and those queries rely on some search-and-retrieval method that's *really fast*.

There's no free lunch. We want storage methods to be efficient and queries to be fast because the datasets are gonna be gigantic, and accessing them will take time.

Database layouts

A real database is usually not a single giant table. Instead it is more like a list of tables that are partially connected through keys shared between tables. Those keys are indexed and the tables are stored in a tree-like way that makes searching much faster than just going down each row and looking for matches.

From a social science perspective, putting things in different tables might be thought of a matter of logically organizing entities at different *units of observation*. Querying tables is a matter of assembling tables ad hoc at various *units of analysis*.

Database layouts

```
gapminder_xtra ← read_csv(here("data", "gapminder_xtra.csv"))
gapminder_xtra
```

```
# A tibble: 1,704 × 13
  country    continent  year lifeExp      pop gdpPercap area_pct pop_pct
  <chr>      <chr>    <dbl> <dbl>    <dbl>    <dbl>    <dbl>    <dbl>
1 Afghanistan Asia      1952   28.8  8425333    779.    29.8    59.4
2 Afghanistan Asia      1957   30.3  9240934    821.    29.8    59.4
3 Afghanistan Asia      1962   32.0 10267083    853.    29.8    59.4
4 Afghanistan Asia      1967   34.0 11537966    836.    29.8    59.4
5 Afghanistan Asia      1972   36.1 13079460    740.    29.8    59.4
6 Afghanistan Asia      1977   38.4 14880372    786.    29.8    59.4
7 Afghanistan Asia      1982   39.9 12881816    978.    29.8    59.4
8 Afghanistan Asia      1987   40.8 13867957    852.    29.8    59.4
9 Afghanistan Asia      1992   41.7 16317921    649.    29.8    59.4
10 Afghanistan Asia      1997   41.8 22227415    635.    29.8    59.4
# i 1,694 more rows
# i 5 more variables: gm_countries <dbl>, country_fr <chr>, iso2 <chr>,
#   iso3 <chr>, number <dbl>
```

Again, in social science terms, the redundancies are annoying in part because they apply to different levels or units of observation. From a Database point of view they are also bad because they allow the possibility of a variety of errors or anomalies when updating the table, and they make things really inefficient for search and querying.

Database normalization

A hierarchical set of rules and criteria for ensuring the integrity of data stored across multiple tables and for reducing redundancy in data storage.

Tries to eliminate various sources of error — so-called Insertion, Update, and Deletion anomalies — particularly ones that will pollute, damage, or corrupt things beyond the specific change.

Redundancy and error are minimized by breaking the database up into a series of linked or related tables. Hence the term “relational database”

Normal Forms

0NF: No duplicate rows!

1NF: Using row order to convey information is not allowed; Mixing data types in the same column is not allowed; No table without a primary key is not allowed. Primary keys can be defined by more than one column though. No “repeating groups”.

2NF: Each non-key attribute must depend on the entire primary key

3NF: Every non-key attribute should depend wholly and only on the key.

Think of these rules in connection with ideas about “tidy data” that we’ve already covered.

Database normalization

```
gapminder_xtra
```

```
# A tibble: 1,704 × 13
  country      continent  year lifeExp      pop gdpPercap area_pct pop_pct
  <chr>        <chr>    <dbl>  <dbl>    <dbl>   <dbl>    <dbl>    <dbl>
1 Afghanistan Asia      1952   28.8  8425333    779.    29.8    59.4
2 Afghanistan Asia      1957   30.3  9240934    821.    29.8    59.4
3 Afghanistan Asia      1962   32.0 10267083    853.    29.8    59.4
4 Afghanistan Asia      1967   34.0 11537966    836.    29.8    59.4
5 Afghanistan Asia      1972   36.1 13079460    740.    29.8    59.4
6 Afghanistan Asia      1977   38.4 14880372    786.    29.8    59.4
7 Afghanistan Asia      1982   39.9 12881816    978.    29.8    59.4
8 Afghanistan Asia      1987   40.8 13867957    852.    29.8    59.4
9 Afghanistan Asia      1992   41.7 16317921    649.    29.8    59.4
10 Afghanistan Asia      1997   41.8 22227415    635.    29.8    59.4
# i 1,694 more rows
# i 5 more variables: gm_countries <dbl>, country_fr <chr>, iso2 <chr>,
#   iso3 <chr>, number <dbl>
```

Database normalization

```
gapminder
```

```
# A tibble: 1,704 × 6
  country      continent  year lifeExp      pop gdpPercap
  <fct>        <fct>    <int>   <dbl>    <int>    <dbl>
1 Afghanistan Asia      1952    28.8  8425333    779.
2 Afghanistan Asia      1957    30.3  9240934    821.
3 Afghanistan Asia      1962    32.0 10267083    853.
4 Afghanistan Asia      1967    34.0 11537966    836.
5 Afghanistan Asia      1972    36.1 13079460    740.
6 Afghanistan Asia      1977    38.4 14880372    786.
7 Afghanistan Asia      1982    39.9 12881816    978.
8 Afghanistan Asia      1987    40.8 13867957    852.
9 Afghanistan Asia      1992    41.7 16317921    649.
10 Afghanistan Asia      1997    41.8 22227415    635.
# i 1,694 more rows
```


Database normalization

```
continent_tbl ← read_tsv(here("data", "continent_tab.tsv"))
country_tbl ← read_tsv(here("data", "country_tab.tsv"))
year_tbl ← read_tsv(here("data", "year_tab.tsv"))
```

```
continent_tbl
```

```
# A tibble: 5 × 5
  continent_id continent area_pct pop_pct gm_countries
    <dbl>    <chr>      <dbl>   <dbl>      <dbl>
1           1 Africa      20.3    17.6         52
2           2 Americas    28.1     13         25
3           3 Asia       29.8    59.4         33
4           4 Europe      6.7     9.4         30
5           5 Oceania     5.7     0.6          2
```

```
gapminder
```

```
# A tibble: 1,704 × 6
  country      continent year lifeExp      pop gdpPercap
  <fct>        <fct>    <int>   <dbl>   <int>    <dbl>
1 Afghanistan Asia      1952   28.8  8425333    779.
2 Afghanistan Asia      1957   30.3  9240934    821.
3 Afghanistan Asia      1962   32.0 10267083    853.
4 Afghanistan Asia      1967   34.0 11537966    836.
5 Afghanistan Asia      1972   36.1 13079460    740.
6 Afghanistan Asia      1977   38.4 14880372    786.
7 Afghanistan Asia      1982   39.9 12881816    978.
8 Afghanistan Asia      1987   40.8 13867957    852.
9 Afghanistan Asia      1992   41.7 16317921    649.
10 Afghanistan Asia      1997   41.8 22227415    635.
# i 1,694 more rows
```

Database normalization

continent_tbl

```
# A tibble: 5 × 5
  continent_id continent area_pct pop_pct gm_countries
    <dbl>    <chr>      <dbl>   <dbl>      <dbl>
1           1 Africa      20.3    17.6         52
2           2 Americas    28.1     13         25
3           3 Asia       29.8    59.4         33
4           4 Europe       6.7     9.4         30
5           5 Oceania      5.7     0.6          2
```

country_tbl

```
# A tibble: 249 × 8
  country_id continent_id country      iso_country country_fr iso2 iso3 number
    <dbl>      <dbl>    <chr>      <chr>      <chr>      <chr> <chr> <dbl>
1           1           3 Afghanistan Afghanistan Afghanist... AF   AFG     4
2           2           4 Albania      Albania      Albanie (... AL   ALB     8
3           3           1 Algeria      Algeria      Algérie (... DZ   DZA    12
4           4           NA <NA>         American S... Samoa amé... AS   ASM    16
5           5           NA <NA>         Andorra      Andorre (... AD   AND    20
6           6           1 Angola      Angola      Angola (l... AO   AGO    24
7           7           NA Anguilla     Anguilla     Anguilla    AI   AIA   660
8           8           NA Antarctica  Antarctica  Antarctiq... AQ   ATA    10
9           9           NA Antigua an... Antigua an... Antigua-e... AG   ATG    28
10          10          2 Argentina   Argentina   Argentine... AR   ARG    32
# i 239 more rows
```

Database normalization

country_tbl

```
# A tibble: 249 × 8
  country_id continent_id country      iso_country country_fr iso2 iso3  number
  <dbl>         <dbl> <chr>      <chr>      <chr>      <chr> <chr> <dbl>
1         1           3 Afghanistan Afghanistan Afghanist... AF    AFG      4
2         2           4 Albania      Albania      Albanie (... AL    ALB      8
3         3           1 Algeria      Algeria      Algérie (... DZ    DZA     12
4         4           NA <NA>         American S... Samoa amé... AS    ASM     16
5         5           NA <NA>         Andorra      Andorre (... AD    AND     20
6         6           1 Angola      Angola      Angola (l... AO    AGO     24
7         7           NA Anguilla     Anguilla     Anguilla    AI    AIA    660
8         8           NA Antarctica  Antarctica  Antarctiq... AQ    ATA     10
9         9           NA Antigua an... Antigua an... Antigua-e... AG    ATG     28
10        10          2 Argentina   Argentina   Argentine... AR    ARG     32
# i 239 more rows
```

year_tbl

```
# A tibble: 1,704 × 5
  year country_id lifeExp      pop gdpPercap
  <dbl>      <dbl>   <dbl>   <dbl>   <dbl>
1  1952         1    28.8  8425333    779.
2  1957         1    30.3  9240934    821.
3  1962         1    32.0 10267083    853.
4  1967         1    34.0 11537966    836.
5  1972         1    36.1 13079460    740.
6  1977         1    38.4 14880372    786.
7  1982         1    39.9 12881816    978.
8  1987         1    40.8 13867957    852.
9  1992         1    41.7 16317921    649.
10 1997         1    41.8 22227415    635.
# i 1,694 more rows
```

Talking to databases

The main idea

Ultimately, we query databases with SQL. There are several varieties, because there are a variety of database systems and each has their own wrinkles and quirks.

We try to *abstract away* from some of those quirk by using a DBI (DataBase Interface) layer, which is a generic set of commands for talking to some database. It's analogous to an API.

We also need to use a package for the DBMS we're talking to. It translates DBI instructions into the specific dialect the DBMS speaks.

Talking to databases

Some databases are small, and some are far away.

Client-server databases are like websites, serving up responses to queries. The database lives on a machine somewhere in the building, or on campus or whatever.

Cloud DBMSs are like this, too, except the database lives on a machine in someone else's building.

In-process DBMSs live and run on your laptop. We'll use one of these, **duckdb** for examples here.

Talking to databases

We need to open a *connection* to a database before talking to it.

Conventionally this is called **con**.

Once connected, we ask it questions. Either we use functions or packages designed to translate our R / dplyr syntax into SQL, or we use functions to pass SQL queries on directly.

We try to minimize the amount of time we are actually making the database do a lot of work.

The key thing is that when working with databases our queries are *lazy* — they don't actually do anything on the whole database unless its strictly necessary or they're explicitly told to.

Example: flights

The nice example

Where everything is lovely and clean. Thanks to Grant McDermott for the following example.

duckdb and DBI

```
# library(DBI)  
con ← dbConnect(duckdb::duckdb(), path = ":memory:")
```

Here we open a connection to an in-memory duckdb database. It's empty. We're going to populate it with data from [nycflights](#).

duckdb and DBI

```
copy_to(  
  dest = con,  
  df = nycflights13::flights,  
  name = "flights",  
  temporary = FALSE,  
  indexes = list(  
    c("year", "month", "day"),  
    "carrier",  
    "tailnum",  
    "dest"  
  )  
)
```

Remember, keys and indexes are what make databases *fast*.

Make a lazy tibble from it

This says “go to **con** and get the ‘flights’ table in it, and pretend it’s a tibble called **flights_db**.”

```
flights_db ← tbl(con, "flights")
```

```
flights_db
```

```
# Source:   table<flights> [?? x 19]
# Database: DuckDB v1.0.0 [root@Darwin 23.5.0:R 4.4.1/:memory:]
  year month   day dep_time sched_dep_time dep_delay arr_time sched_arr_time
  <int> <int> <int>   <int>         <int>         <dbl>   <int>         <int>
1  2013     1     1     517             515           2     830           819
2  2013     1     1     533             529           4     850           830
3  2013     1     1     542             540           2     923           850
4  2013     1     1     544             545          -1    1004          1022
5  2013     1     1     554             600          -6     812           837
6  2013     1     1     554             558          -4     740           728
7  2013     1     1     555             600          -5     913           854
8  2013     1     1     557             600          -3     709           723
9  2013     1     1     557             600          -3     838           846
10 2013     1     1     558             600          -2     753           745
# i more rows
# i 11 more variables: arr_delay <dbl>, carrier <chr>, flight <int>,
#   tailnum <chr>, origin <chr>, dest <chr>, air_time <dbl>, distance <dbl>,
#   hour <dbl>, minute <dbl>, time_hour <dtm>
```

Run some dplyr-like queries

```
flights_db > select(year:day, dep_delay, arr_delay)
```

```
# Source:   SQL [?? x 5]
# Database: DuckDB v1.0.0 [root@Darwin 23.5.0:R 4.4.1/:memory:]
  year month   day dep_delay arr_delay
  <int> <int> <int>    <dbl>    <dbl>
1  2013     1     1         2        11
2  2013     1     1         4        20
3  2013     1     1         2        33
4  2013     1     1        -1       -18
5  2013     1     1        -6       -25
6  2013     1     1        -4        12
7  2013     1     1        -5        19
8  2013     1     1        -3       -14
9  2013     1     1        -3        -8
10 2013     1     1        -2         8
# i more rows
```

Run some dplyr-like queries

```
flights_db > filter(dep_delay > 240)
```

```
# Source:   SQL [?? x 19]
# Database: DuckDB v1.0.0 [root@Darwin 23.5.0:R 4.4.1/:memory:]
  year month   day dep_time sched_dep_time dep_delay arr_time sched_arr_time
  <int> <int> <int>   <int>         <int>         <dbl>   <int>         <int>
1  2013     1     1     848           1835         853     1001           1950
2  2013     1     1    1815           1325         290     2120           1542
3  2013     1     1    1842           1422         260     1958           1535
4  2013     1     1    2115           1700         255     2330           1920
5  2013     1     1    2205           1720         285         46           2040
6  2013     1     1    2343           1724         379         314           1938
7  2013     1     2    1332             904         268     1616           1128
8  2013     1     2    1412             838         334     1710           1147
9  2013     1     2    1607           1030         337     2003           1355
10 2013     1     2    2131           1512         379     2340           1741
# i more rows
# i 11 more variables: arr_delay <dbl>, carrier <chr>, flight <int>,
#   tailnum <chr>, origin <chr>, dest <chr>, air_time <dbl>, distance <dbl>,
#   hour <dbl>, minute <dbl>, time_hour <dtm>
```

Run some dplyr-like queries

```
flights_db >
  group_by(dest) >
  summarise(mean_dep_delay = mean(dep_delay))
```

```
# Source:   SQL [?? x 2]
# Database: DuckDB v1.0.0 [root@Darwin 23.5.0:R 4.4.1/:memory:]
  dest  mean_dep_delay
  <chr>          <dbl>
1 CLT             9.22
2 MDW            18.6
3 HOU            14.3
4 SDF            16.4
5 LAS             9.42
6 PHX            10.4
7 IAH            10.8
8 SYR            14.4
9 CAK            20.8
10 BDL            17.7
# i more rows
```

Lazy, lazy, lazy

```
tailnum_delay_db ←  
  flights_db ▷  
  group_by(tailnum) ▷  
  summarise(  
    mean_dep_delay = mean(dep_delay),  
    mean_arr_delay = mean(arr_delay),  
    n = n()) ▷  
  filter(n > 100) ▷  
  arrange(desc(mean_arr_delay))
```

This doesn't touch the database.

Lazy, lazy, lazy

Even when we ask to look at it, it just does the absolute minimum required.

```
tailnum_delay_db
```

```
# Source:      SQL [?? x 4]
# Database:    DuckDB v1.0.0 [root@Darwin 23.5.0:R 4.4.1/:memory:]
# Ordered by: desc(mean_arr_delay)
  tailnum mean_dep_delay mean_arr_delay      n
  <chr>      <dbl>          <dbl> <dbl>
1 N11119      32.6             30.3  148
2 N16919      32.4             29.9  251
3 N14998      29.4             27.9  230
4 N15910      29.3             27.6  280
5 N13123      29.6             26.0  121
6 N11192      27.5             25.9  154
7 N14950      26.2             25.3  219
8 N21130      27.0             25.0  126
9 N24128      24.8             24.9  129
10 N22971     26.5             24.7  230
# i more rows
```

When ready, use `collect()`

```
tailnum_delay <-  
  tailnum_delay_db >  
  collect()
```

```
tailnum_delay
```

```
# A tibble: 1,201 × 4  
  tailnum mean_dep_delay mean_arr_delay     n  
  <chr>         <dbl>         <dbl> <dbl>  
1 N11119          32.6          30.3  148  
2 N16919          32.4          29.9  251  
3 N14998          29.4          27.9  230  
4 N15910          29.3          27.6  280  
5 N13123          29.6          26.0  121  
6 N11192          27.5          25.9  154  
7 N14950          26.2          25.3  219  
8 N21130          27.0          25.0  126  
9 N24128          24.8          24.9  129  
10 N22971         26.5          24.7  230  
# i 1,191 more rows
```

Now it exists for realsies.

Joins

Database systems will have more than one table. We query and join them. The idea is that getting the DBMS to do this will be way faster and more memory-efficient than trying to get `dplyr` to do it.

Joins

```
## Copy over the "planes" dataset to the same "con" DuckDB connection.
copy_to(
  dest = con,
  df = nycflights13::planes,
  name = "planes",
  temporary = FALSE,
  indexes = "tailnum"
)

## List tables in our "con" database connection (i.e. now "flights" and "planes")
dbListTables(con)
```

```
[1] "flights" "planes"
```

```
## Reference from dplyr
planes_db ← tbl(con, 'planes')
```

See what we did there? It's like `con` the database connection has a list of tables in it.

Joins

```
# Still not done for realsies!
left_join(
  flights_db,
  planes_db %>% rename(year_built = year),
  by = "tailnum" ## Important: Be specific about the joining column
) ▷
select(year, month, day, dep_time, arr_time, carrier, flight, tailnum,
       year_built, type, model)
```

```
# Source:   SQL [?? x 11]
# Database: DuckDB v1.0.0 [root@Darwin 23.5.0:R 4.4.1/:memory:]
   year month   day dep_time arr_time carrier flight tailnum year_built type
   <int> <int> <int>   <int>   <int> <chr>   <int> <chr>      <int> <chr>
1  2013     2    14    1045    1204 UA        67 N16713    1998 Fixed ...
2  2013     2    14    1048    1333 UA       764 N665UA    1998 Fixed ...
3  2013     2    14    1051    1203 US      2171 N747UW    2000 Fixed ...
4  2013     2    14    1057    1408 DL      1275 N379DA    1999 Fixed ...
5  2013     2    14    1057    1244 WN       366 N414WN    2001 Fixed ...
6  2013     2    14    1057    1353 UA      1550 N14228    1999 Fixed ...
7  2013     2    14    1058    1211 EV      4694 N14993    2000 Fixed ...
8  2013     2    14    1058    1337 DL      1647 N689DL    1998 Fixed ...
9  2013     2    14    1101    1418 UA      1183 N87512    2008 Fixed ...
10 2013     2    14    1103    1415 UA       642 N557UA    1992 Fixed ...
# i more rows
# i 1 more variable: model <chr>
```

Finishing up

Close your connection!

```
dbDisconnect(con)
```

Example: ARCOS Opioids data

This one is messier

I'm not going to do it on the slides. We'll try to process a pretty big data file on a machine of modest proportions.