

As part of APAR IV62636 (RTC defect 112013) we have introduced two additional signatures for enforcePolicyForPerson extension. Following are the details regarding the additional signatures for enforcePolicyForPerson extension:

a. enforcePolicyForPerson (Person, skipNonEntitledAccountsEvaluation) :

Where:

Person – Person object for which policies are to be enforced.

SkipNonEntitledAccountsEvaluation: This string parameter would indicate whether all the accounts owned by the person under consideration should be evaluated as part of policy enforcement.

Allowed values for this parameter are “true” or “false”.

Behavior with “false” value:

When “false” value is set for the SkipNonEntitledAccountsEvaluation parameter, ISIM will evaluate all the accounts that are applicable for the person under consideration. All the other accounts owned by the person, which may not be affected by the person changes, would also be evaluated. In other words, when “false” value is set for the said parameter all accounts that person under consideration owns would be considered while enforcing provisioning policies.

Behavior with “true” value:

When “true” value is set for the SkipNonEntitledAccountsEvaluation parameter, ISIM will carry out policy enforcement in following manner:

1. Identify all the services that are applicable for the person under consideration and store them in a collection.
2. Look for the removed roles in the change list of the person object being passed to the enforcePolicyForPerson extension and identify services hence accounts associated with these removed roles.
3. Merge services in step 1 and 2 above and enforce them.

In other words, when “true” value is set for the said parameter, only accounts calculated from the person role change would be considered. All the other accounts if any would not be considered while evaluation. So if some roles of a person have been removed and is not part of current workflow process and if there exists any account that has been already provisioned as a result of these roles, then such account/s would not be considered during the policy evaluation.

b. enforcePolicyForPerson (BPPerson, skipNonEntitledAccountsEvaluation) :

Where:

BPPerson – BPPerson object for which policies are to be enforced.

SkipNonEntitledAccountsEvaluation: This string parameter would indicate whether all the accounts owned by the BPPerson under consideration should be evaluated as part of policy enforcement.

Allowed values for this parameter are “true” or “false”.

Behavior with “false” value:

When “false” value is set for the SkipNonEntitledAccountsEvaluation parameter, ISIM will evaluate all the accounts that are applicable for the BPPerson under consideration. All the other accounts owned by the BPPerson, which may not be affected by the BPPerson changes, would also be evaluated. In other words, when “false” value is set for the said parameter all accounts that BPPerson under consideration owns would be considered while enforcing provisioning policies.

Behavior with “true” value:

When “true” value is set for the SkipNonEntitledAccountsEvaluation parameter, ISIM will carry out policy enforcement in following manner:

1. Identify all the services that are applicable for the BPPerson under consideration and store them in a collection.
2. Look for the removed roles in the change list of the BPPerson object being passed to the enforcePolicyForPerson extension and identify services hence accounts associated with these removed roles.
3. Merge services in step 1 and 2 above and enforce them.

In other words, when “true” value is set for the said parameter, only accounts calculated from the BPPerson role change would be considered. All the other accounts if any would not be considered while evaluation. So if some roles of a BPPerson have been removed and is not part of current workflow process and if there exists any account that has been already provisioned as a result of these roles, then such account/s would not be considered during the policy evaluation.