

4. Let  $f$  be a function defined on  $[1/2, 1]$  which is continuous at 1. Prove that the sequence  $(x^n f(x))_n$  converges for every  $x \in [1/2, 1]$ , and that this convergence is uniform if and only if  $f$  is bounded and  $f(1) = 0$ .

**Solution:**

First if  $x = 1$ , then  $x^n f(x) = f(1)$  for all  $n$ , and thus converges to  $f(1)$ . Fix  $x \in [1/2, 1)$ , then for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$n > \ln\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|f(x)|}\right) / \ln(x) \iff n \ln x < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|f(x)|}\right) \implies x^n < \frac{\varepsilon}{|f(x)|}$$

by applying the increasing function  $e^x$  to both sides of the inequality. Hence  $|x^n f(x)| = x^n |f(x)| < \varepsilon$  implies  $(x^n f(x))_n$  converges to 0. and thus  $(x^n f(x))_n$  converges to the function  $g$  where  $g(x) = 0$  when  $1/2 \leq x < 1$  and  $g(1) = 1$ .

Now, suppose  $|f(x)| \leq M$  for each  $x$  and  $f(1) = 1$ . Then if we take

$$n > \ln\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{M}\right) / \ln(x)$$

a similar calculation replacing  $|f(x)|$  by  $M$  yields  $|x^n f(x)| \leq x^n M < \varepsilon$  implies uniform convergence to the constant 0. And, in the case where  $f(1) = 0$ , is equal to  $g$ .

On the other hand, if we assume that the convergence is uniform, then since each function  $g_n$  where  $g_n(x) = x^n f(x)$  are continuous on  $[1/2, 1]$ . Hence the point-wise limit  $g(x)$  must be continuous which implies  $f(1) = \lim f(1/n) = 0$ .

□

5. Suppose  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is decreasing, and that  $\int_0^\infty f(x) dx$  converges. Prove that for every  $\delta > 0$  the series  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty f(n\delta)$  converges and

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \delta \sum_{n=1}^\infty f(n\delta) = \int_0^\infty f(x) dx$$

**Solution:**

We first show that  $f(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x$ . Assume otherwise, then there exists an  $x_0 < 0$  and since  $f$  is decreasing, then  $f(x) < f(x_0) < 0$  for all  $x > x_0$ . Thus

$$\int_0^N f(x) dx = \int_0^{x_0} f(x) dx + \int_{x_0}^N f(x) dx \leq \int_0^{x_0} f(x) dx - f(x_0)(x_0 - N) \rightarrow -\infty$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

Thus, it suffices to prove that  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty f(n\delta)$  is bounded above. For each  $\delta > 0$ ,  $(0, \delta, 2\delta, \dots, N\delta)$  is a partition of  $[0, N\delta]$ . Since  $f$  is decreasing and positive

$$\delta \sum_{n=1}^N f(n\delta) = \sum_P \inf_{[x_i - x_{i-1}]} \{f(t)\} \Delta_i = L(P, f) \leq \int_0^{N\delta} f(x) dx \leq \int_0^\infty f(x) dx.$$

Hence  $\sum_{n=1}^N f(n\delta) \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\infty f(x) dx$ .

Now, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given, and let  $R > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^\infty f(x) dx - \varepsilon < \int_0^R f(x) dx.$$

For the family of partitions  $(0, \delta, 2\delta, \dots, N_R\delta, R)$ , indexed by all  $\delta > 0$ , we have that the maximum width of each interval goes to 0 as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , hence, for sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ , we have

$$\int_0^R f(x) dx - \varepsilon < L(P, f) = \delta \sum_{n=1}^N f(n\delta).$$

Hence

$$\int_0^\infty f(x) dx - 2\varepsilon < \delta \sum_{n=1}^\infty f(n\delta) = L(P, f) \leq \int_0^R f(x) dx \leq \int_0^\infty f(x) dx,$$

and the convergence is evident.

□

**7.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called Lipschitz if there is a constant  $L$  such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq Ld(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ .

(a) Show that every Lipschitz function is uniformly continuous and give an example of a uniformly continuous function that is not Lipschitz.

**Solution:**

Let  $f$  be a Lipschitz function with Lipschitz constant  $L$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given, then observe

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq Ld(x, y) < \varepsilon$$

by choosing  $x, y$  such that  $d(x, y) < \varepsilon/L$ .

On the other hand, consider  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ . This function is uniformly continuous as a continuous function with a compact domain. However, for any given  $L \geq 0$ , choose  $x < \frac{1}{L^2}$  and  $y = 0$ , then

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = \left| \frac{1}{L} \right| = L \left| \frac{1}{L^2} - 0 \right| > L|x - y|.$$

□

Let  $f$  be a bounded uniformly continuous real-valued function on  $X$ . For each positive integer  $n$ , consider the function  $f_n$  defined by

$$f_n(x) = \inf\{f(y) + nd(x, y) : y \in X\},$$

for  $x \in X$ . Prove the following statements:

(b) Each  $f_n$  is a Lipschitz function on  $X$ .

**Solution:**

Let  $x_1 \neq x_2$  in  $X$  labeled such that  $f(x_1) \leq f(x_2)$  so that  $|f(x_2) - f(x_1)| = f(x_2) - f(x_1)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. There exists a  $y_1 \in X$  so that

$$f(y_1) + nd(x_1, y_1) \leq f_n(x_1) + \varepsilon$$

since  $f_n(x)$  is the greatest of the upper bounds. Now, for  $f_n(x_2)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(x_2) &\leq f(y_1) + nd(x_2, y_1) \\ &= f(y_1) + nd(x_2, y_1) - nd(y_1, x_1) + nd(y_1, x_1) \\ &\stackrel{*}{\leq} f(y_1) + nd(x_2, x_1) + nd(y_1, x_1) \\ &\leq f_n(x_1) + \varepsilon + nd(x_2, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $|f_n(x_2) - f_n(x_1)| \leq nd(x_2, x_1) + \varepsilon$  for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , which suffices for  $f_n$  to be Lipschitz.

$$*nd(x_2, y_1) \leq nd(y_1, x_1) + nd(x_2, x_1) \implies nd(x_2, y_1) - nd(y_1, x_1) \leq nd(x_2, x_1)$$

□

(c)  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Solution:**

Let  $|f(x)| \leq M$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. First, observe  $f_n(x) \leq f(x) + nd(x, x) = f(x)$ , hence  $|f(x) - f_n(x)| = f(x) - f_n(x)$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  whenever  $d(x, y) < \delta$ . Choose  $n \geq \frac{2M}{\delta}$ . Now, for any  $x \in X$ , there exists  $y_x$  such that

$$f(y_x) + nd(x, y_x) \leq f_n(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \iff f(y_x) - f_n(x) + nd(x, y_x) < \varepsilon.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - f_n(x) &= f(x) - f(y_x) + (f(y_x) - f_n(x) + nd(x, y_x)) - nd(x, y_x) \\ &< f(x) - f(y_x) - nd(x, y_x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We proceed in two cases, when  $d(x, y_x) \geq \delta$  or when  $d(x, y_x) < \delta$ . In the first case,  $n > \frac{2M}{\delta}$  implies  $nd(x, y_x) > \frac{2M}{\delta}\delta = 2M$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - f_n(x) &< f(x) - f(y_x) - 2M + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &\leq (f(x) - M) - (f(y_x) + M) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the facts that  $f(x) \leq M$  and  $f(y_x) \geq -M$ . In the other case where  $d(x, y_x) < \delta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - f_n(x) &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - nd(x, y_x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &\leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

since  $nd(x, y_x) \geq 0$ . Since our choice of  $n$  did not depend on  $x$ , the convergence is uniform.

□

## References

- [BC04] James Ward Brown and Ruel V. Churchill. *Complex Variables and Applications*. McGraw-Hill, 7th edition, 2004.
- [Boa10] Harold P. Boas. Julius and Julia: Mastering the art of the Schwarz lemma. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 117(9):770–785, 2010.
- [Ste04] J Michael Steele. *The Cauchy-Schwarz master class: an introduction to the art of mathematical inequalities*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [Str00] Robert S Strichartz. *The way of analysis*. Jones & Bartlett Learning, 2000.