## 1 The characteristic polynomial

Recall ODEs with linear constant coefficients of the form

$$y^{(n)} + a_1 y^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_0 y = f(t)$$
(1)

have unique solutions

$$y(t) = y_h(t) + y_p(t) \tag{2}$$

where  $y_h(t)$  solves the associated homogeneous equation

$$y^{(n)} + a_1 y^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_0 y = 0 \tag{3}$$

and  $y_p(t)$  is a particular solution to (1). We have classified the solutions to the homogeneous equation by writing (3) in the form AY = Y' where

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ y' \\ \vdots \\ y^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & \cdots & -a_{n-2} & -a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and exponentiating A for a given set of n initial conditions; i.e.

$$Y = e^{At}Y_0 = Pe^{\Lambda t}P^{-1}Y_0 \tag{4}$$

where  $Y_0$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector of arbitrary initial values and  $A = P\Lambda P^{-1}$  is the Jordan decomposition of A. Note that any eigenvalue satisfies  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ . This determinant is relatively easy to compute using the Laplace expansion about the bottom row and the fact that the determinant of a lower triangular matrix is the product of the diagonal elements, so

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\lambda & 1 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & \cdots & -a_{n-2} & (-a_{n-1} - \lambda) \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\iff -a_0 - a_1 \lambda - \cdots - a_{n-2} \lambda^{n-2} - (a_{n-1} - \lambda) \lambda^{n-1} = 0$$

$$\iff p(\lambda) := \lambda^n + a_{n-1} \lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 \lambda + a_0 = 0.$$
(5)

The polynomial defined in equation (5) is called the *characteristic polynomial*. Note that this is precisely the polynomial one would get if they replaced  $\lambda$  with y in the left hand side of (3) and substituted successive differentiation with multiplication. This is generally how it is presented in a first ODEs course, and we see that the condition that it be zero coincides the linear algebra notion of a characteristic polynomial for finding eigenvalues.

## 2 Polynomial operators and complex exponential functions

We have shown that the characteristic polynomial, which in some sense determines the homogeneous solutions to (3), coincides with the polynomial operator which defines left hand

side of (1). We also know that the matrix exponential of  $\Lambda t$  in the Jordan decomposition results in functions of the form  $t^k e^{\lambda t}$ , for  $0 \le k < n$ , hence, (4) implies that all such solutions are linear combinations of these. It is natural consider this class of functions as "right-hand-side"s of (1), since often these are also defined as solutions to some other constant coefficient ODE (think springs connected to other springs or RLC circuits in series). It turns out that these functions have particularly nice particular solutions.

Let us first establish some basic facts about polynomial operators with constant coefficients. Let D denote the differential operator, then for any given polynomials p and q, any sufficiently differentiable functions f and g, and any constant c, the following propositions are relatively easy to show

(i) 
$$p(D)(f+g) = p(D)f + p(D)g$$

(ii) 
$$p(D)(q(D)f) = (p(D)q(D))f = (q(D)p(D))f$$

(iii) 
$$(p(D) + q(D))f = p(D)f + q(D)f$$

(iv) 
$$p(D)(cf) = c p(D)f$$
.

Using these facts, we can show that for exponential functions, polynomial operators act in a particularly nice way.

**Lemma 1.** For any polynomial differential operator p(D) and any complex exponential function  $e^{\alpha t}$  with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$p(D)e^{\alpha t} = p(\alpha)e^{\alpha t}.$$

*Proof.* We need only expand p(D), distribute, and compute,

$$p(D) = (D^{n} + a_{n-1}D^{n-1} + \dots + Da_1 + a_0)e^{\alpha t} = \alpha^{n}e^{\alpha t} + a_n\alpha^{n-1}e^{\alpha t} + \dots + a_1\alpha e^{\alpha t} + a_0e^{\alpha t} = p(\alpha)e^{\alpha t}.$$

As an immediate consequence of this fact, we can find particular solutions for (1) where  $f(t) = e^{\alpha t}$  and  $p(\alpha) \neq 0$ . We state the result in the following theorem and provide a few examples.

**Theorem 1.** For the constant coefficient linear ODE of the form  $p(D)y = e^{\alpha t}$ , where  $p(\alpha) \neq 0$ , we have  $y(t) = \frac{1}{p(\alpha)}e^{\alpha t}$  as a particular solution.

*Proof.* Using the properties of polynomial operators and the lemma,  $p(D)\frac{1}{p(\alpha)}e^{\alpha t} = \frac{1}{p(\alpha)}p(D)e^{\alpha t} = 1e^{\alpha t}$ .

**Example 1.** Consider the example given in D'Angelo Example 1.2. - Find a particular solution for  $(D-5)(D-3)y=e^t$ 

**Solution:** We directly appeal to the theorem to obtain  $y(t) = \frac{1}{(1-5)(1-3)}e^t = \frac{1}{8}e^t$ . Note that this agrees with the solution arrived at in [1] (pg. 14).

**Example 2.** Find a particular solution for  $(D-2)y = \cos(3t)$ .

**Solution:** We cannot directly apply the theorem yet. One could proceed by writing  $\cos(3t) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{3ti} + e^{-3ti})$  and use the principle of superposition, however, we will proceed by solving a related problem and translating it back to the original problem. This "metatechnique" is a powerful idea that is used quite often in mathematics.

Let z = y + ix, and consider the complex ODE  $(D-2)z = e^{3ti}$ . Note that the real part of  $e^{3ti} = \cos(3t)$ . By the theorem, the solution to this ODE is given by  $z = \frac{1}{3i-2}e^{3ti}$ . Now, we invoke the fact that complex numbers are equivalent if and only if their real and imaginary parts are equivalent; i.e.  $y = \text{Re } z = \text{Re } \frac{1}{3i-2}e^{3ti} = \text{Re } \frac{1}{13}(-2-3i)(\cos 3t + i\sin 3t) = \frac{1}{13}(-2\cos 3t + 3\sin 3t)$ . Compare this with the method of undetermined coefficients for  $y = c_1\cos(3t) + c_2\sin(3t)$ , and you'll see that this method nicely consolidates the algebra using complex numbers.

It remains to find a particular solution to  $p(D)y = e^{\alpha t}$  when  $p(\alpha) = 0$ . We will need the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.** Suppose f is a sufficiently differentiable function, p(D) is a polynomial differential operator, and  $e^{\alpha t}$  is a complex exponential function with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the following identity holds,

$$p(D)e^{\alpha t}f = e^{\alpha t}p(D+\alpha)f.$$

*Proof.* First, note that  $D^k e^{\alpha t} f = D^{k-1}(e^{\alpha}Df + \alpha e^{\alpha t}f) = D^{k-1}e^{\alpha t}(D-\alpha)f$ . Proceeding inductively, we have  $e^{\alpha t}(D-\alpha)^k f$ . We now apply this identity to each term in  $p(D)e^{\alpha t}f$  to arrive at the desired identity.

Now, let us consider the ODE  $p(D)y = e^{\lambda t}$  where  $p(\lambda) = 0$ . Let us write  $p(D) = (D - \lambda)^k q(D)$  where k is the first integer such that  $q(\lambda) \neq 0$ . Note  $(D - \lambda)e^{\lambda t} = 0$ , hence we can form a new homogeneous problem

$$(D - \lambda)p(D)y = 0 \iff (D - \lambda)^{k+1}q(D)y = 0.$$
(6)

Using similar reasoning to (4), we have that homogeneous solutions are of the form

$$\widetilde{y} = c_0 e^{\lambda t} + c_1 t e^{\lambda t} + \dots + c_k t^k e^{\lambda t} + \sum_{i=1}^k q_i(t)$$
(7)

where  $q_j(t) = c_j t^j e^{\lambda_j t}$  are solutions corresponding to the factorization of the polynomial q. Now, if we allow p(D) to operate on  $\widetilde{y}$ ,

$$p(D)\widetilde{y} = (D - \lambda)^k q(D)\widetilde{y}$$

$$= 0 + q(D)(D - \lambda)^k c_k e^{\lambda t} t^k + 0$$

$$= c_k q(D) e^{\lambda t} (D - \lambda + \lambda)^k t^k$$

$$= c_k q(D) e^{\lambda t} k!$$

$$= c_k q(\lambda) k! e^{\lambda t}.$$

So if  $c_k = \frac{1}{q(\lambda)k!}$  and  $c_j = 0$  otherwise, then  $\widetilde{y} = \frac{t^k e^{\alpha t}}{q(\lambda)k!}$  is a particular solution for  $p(D)y = e^{\lambda t}$ . We remark that a similar technique can be used to motivate the method of undetermined coefficients. See [2].

For this to be useful, we need to be able to factor  $p(D) = (D - \lambda)^k q(D)$  to obtain a particular solution. Note that if  $\lambda$  is a root of order 1, which is to say  $p(z) = (z - \lambda)q(z)$  where  $q(\lambda) \neq 0$ ,

then  $\frac{d}{dz}p(z)\big|_{z=\lambda}=\left(q(z)+(z-\lambda)\frac{d}{dz}q(z)\right)\big|_{z=\lambda}=q(\lambda)$ . A nice exercise in advanced calcululs shows that q is given by the first integer k such that  $\left[\frac{d}{dz}\right]^kp(z)\Big|_{z=\lambda}\neq 0$ . We state this result in the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.** For the constant coefficient linear ODE of the form  $p(D)y = e^{\lambda t}$ , where  $p^{(j)}(\lambda) = 0$  for  $0 \le j < k$  and  $p^{(k)}(\lambda) \ne 0$  (i.e.  $\lambda$  is a root of order k for p), we have

$$y(t) = \frac{t^k e^{\alpha t}}{k! p^{(k)}(\alpha)}$$

as a particular solution.

Consider the following example

**Example 3.** Solve  $(D^2 + 9)y = \cos 3t$  with y(0) = 1 and y'(0) = 0.

**Solution:** Note that the corresponding complex ODE is  $(D^2+9)z=e^{3it}$  has p(3i)=-9+9=0. Note also  $p'(3i)=2\cdot 3i+0=6i\neq 0$ . The theorem gives a particular solution as  $z(t)=\frac{te^{3it}}{6i}$ . Equating real parts, we have a particular solution  $y_p(t)=\frac{t}{6}\sin 3t$ . The homogeneous solutions are given by  $c_1\cos 3t+c_2\sin 3t$ , so the general solution is

$$y(t) = c_1 \cos 3t + \left(c_2 + \frac{t}{6}\right) \sin 3t.$$

Applying the initial conditions, we have  $c_1 = 1$  and  $c_2 = 0$ , and we arrive at

$$y(t) = \cos 3t + \frac{t}{6}\sin 3t.$$

We remark that as  $t \to \infty$ , |y(t)| is arbitarily large for certain values of t' > t, despite the forcing function  $f(t) = \cos 3t$  begin bounded. This phenomenon is sometimes called resonance. Moreover, the frequencies of forcing functions where resonance occurs depends completely upon the characteristic equation of the defined system; i.e. they are precicely the roots of  $p(\lambda)$ . In fact, in view of Theorem 1, we see that for forcing functions  $e^{\alpha t}$  with  $\alpha \approx \lambda$  will have large amplitudes in the particular solution, since near roots of p,  $\left|\frac{1}{p(\alpha)}\right|$  is very large. So, there is, in some sense, a continous transition from large amplitude solutions to unbounded ones as  $\alpha$  approaches roots  $\lambda$ . See the Beats lecture in [2] for more information.

## References

- [1] D'Angelo, J. P. (2013). Hermitian Analysis. AMC, 10, 12.
- [2] Miller, H. and Mattuck, A. 18.03 Differential Equations, Spring 2010. (MIT OpenCourse-Ware: Massachusetts Institute of Technology), http://ocw.mit.edu/courses/mathematics/18-03-differential-equations-spring-2010 (Accessed 18 Mar, 2014). License: Creative Commons BY-NC-SA