- 1. MGB VII.29[a,b,c,d,e] Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be a random sample from  $N(\theta, 1)$ .
  - (a) Find the Cramér-Rao lower bound for the variance of unbiased estimators of  $\theta$ ,  $\theta^2$  and P[X > 0].

# **Solution:**

Let us first calculate

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x|\theta)\right]^2 = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\theta)^2/2}\right)\right]^2$$
$$= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{(x-\theta)^2}{2} - \log(\sqrt{2\pi})\right)\right]^2$$
$$= (x-\theta)^2$$

So for a given  $\tau(\theta)$  and any estimator T, the Cramér-Rao lower bound is given by

$$Var_{\theta}(T) \ge \frac{[\tau(\theta)]^2}{nE_{\theta} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x|\theta)\right]^2}$$
$$= \frac{[\tau(\theta)]^2}{nE_{\theta}[x-\theta]^2}$$
$$= \frac{[\tau(\theta)]^2}{n}$$

So, in the case of  $\tau(\theta) = \theta$ , the lower bound for any given estimator is 1/n. When  $\tau(\theta) = \theta^2$ , the lower bound is  $4\theta^2/n$ . Finally, note that  $P[X > 0] = P[X - \theta > -\theta] = 1 - \Phi(-\theta) = \Phi(\theta)$ , where  $\Phi$  is the c.d.f. for the standard normal distribution.

So, the lower bound for an estimate for this parameter is  $\frac{\phi(\theta)^2}{n} = \frac{e^{-x^2}}{n\sqrt{2\pi}}$ 

(b) Is there an unbiased estimator of  $\theta^2$  for n=1? If so, find it.

## **Solution:**

Consider  $\widehat{\Theta} = X_1^2 - 1$ , and note  $E_{\theta}[X_1^2 - 1] = E_{\theta}[X_1^2] - 1 = (1 - \theta^2) - 1 = \theta^2$ . Hence,  $\widehat{\Theta}$  is unbiased.

(c) Is there an unbiased estimator of P[X > 0]? If so, find it.

### **Solution:**

Consider  $\widehat{\Theta} = (1/n) \sum_{i=0,\infty} I_{[0,\infty)}(X_i)$ , and note  $E_{\theta} [(1/n) \sum_{i=0,\infty} I_{[0,\infty)}(X_i)] = 1/n \sum_{i=0,\infty} E_{\theta} [I_{[0,\infty)}(X_i)] = (1/n) \sum_{i=0,\infty} P[X_i > 0] = P[X_i > 0].$ 

(d) What is the maximum-likelihood estimator of P[X > 0]?

Recall that  $\overline{X}$  is a maximum likelihood estimator for  $\theta$ , so by invariance  $\Phi(\overline{X})$  is a maximum likelihood estimator for  $P[X > 0] = \Phi(\theta)$ .

(e) Is there a UMVUE of  $\theta^2$ ? If so, find it.

### **Solution:**

Note that

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\theta)^2/2} = \left(\frac{e^{-\theta^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) \left(e^{-x^2/2}\right) \exp(\theta \cdot x)$$

Hence  $\sum X_i$  is a complete sufficient statistic. By the Lehmann-Scheffé theorem, the UMVUE is given by an unbiased estimate of  $\theta^2$  which is a function of  $\sum X_i$ . Since  $X_1^2 - 1$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta^2$ , such a function is given by (the argument † is due to a generous hint from Jack Lelko)

$$E_{\theta} \left[ X_1^2 - 1 \middle| \sum X_i = s \right] \stackrel{\dagger}{=} E_{\theta} \left[ \left( \left( X_1 - \overline{X} \right) + \overline{X} \right)^2 \middle| \sum X_i = s \right] - 1$$

$$= E_{\theta} \left[ \left( X_1 - \overline{X} \right)^2 \middle| \sum X_i = s \right] - 2E_{\theta} \left[ \left( X_1 - \overline{X} \right) \overline{X} \middle| \sum X_i = s \right] + \dots$$

$$E_{\theta} \left[ \overline{X}^2 \middle| \sum X_i = s \right] - 1$$

$$= \frac{n-1}{n} - (s/n)^2 - 1,$$

where  $E_{\theta}\left[\left(X_{1}-\overline{X}\right)^{2}\middle|\sum X_{i}=s\right]$  since  $1\cdot(n-1)=E_{\theta}\left[\sum(X_{i}-\overline{X})^{2}\right]=\sum E_{\theta}[X_{1}-\overline{X}]^{2}=nE_{\theta}[X_{1}-\overline{X}]^{2}.$ 

So the UMVUE is

$$\widehat{\Theta} = \frac{n-1}{n} - \overline{X}^2 - 1.$$

**2.** MGB VII.30 For a random sample from the Poisson distribution, find an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\lambda) = (1 + \lambda)e^{-\lambda}$ . Find a maximum-likelihood estimator of  $\tau(\lambda)$ . Find the UMVUE of  $\tau(\lambda)$ .

### **Solution:**

Consider the estimator  $t(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = I_{\{0,1\}}(X_1)$ , and note  $E[I_{\{0,1\}}(X_1) = e^{-\lambda} + \lambda e^{-\lambda}] = (1 + \lambda)e^{-\lambda}$ . Hence, the estimator is unbiased.

Now, recall that  $\overline{X}$  is a maximul likelihood estimator for  $\lambda$ . So, by invariance,  $(1+\overline{X})e^{\overline{X}}$  is a maximum liklihood estimator for  $(1+\lambda)e^{-\lambda}$ .

Note that the p.d.f. of a Poisson distribution is  $f(x|\lambda) = e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x / x! = e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{x!} I_{\mathbb{Z}^+}(x)\right) e^{-\ln(\lambda)x}$ , so it is of the exponential family. Hence  $S := \sum X_i$  is a complete sufficent statistic. By the Lehmann-Scheffé theorem, an unbiased estimator that is a function of this statistic is the UMVUE for  $\tau(\lambda)$ . Such a statistic is given by

$$E\left[I_{\{0,1\}}(X_1)\middle|\sum X_i = s\right]. \tag{1}$$

We evaluate this expectation by first finding the conditional distribution of  $I_{\{0,1\}}(X_1)|\sum X_1 = s$ . It can take on only 0 or 1, hence we evaluate the probabilities

$$P\left[X_{1} = 0 \middle| \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} = s\right] = \frac{P\left[X_{1} = 0; \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} = s\right]}{P\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} = s\right]}$$

$$= \frac{P\left[X_{1} = 0\right] \cdot P\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} = s\right]}{P\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} = s\right]}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\lambda} \cdot \left[e^{-(n-1)\lambda} \left((n-1)\lambda\right)^{s} / s!\right]}{e^{-n\lambda} (n\lambda)^{s} / s!}$$

$$= \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{s},$$

and

$$P\left[X_{1} = 1 \middle| \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} = s\right] = \frac{P\left[X_{1} = 1\right] \cdot P\left[\sum_{i=2}^{n} X_{i} = s - 1\right]}{P\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} = s\right]}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda \cdot \left[e^{-(n-1)\lambda} \left((n-1)\lambda\right)^{s-1} / (s-1)!\right]}{e^{-n\lambda} (n\lambda)^{s} / s!} = s \frac{(n-1)^{s-1}}{n^{s}}$$

Hence the statistic (1) is

$$E\left[I_{\{0,1\}}(X_1)\middle|\sum X_i = S\right] = \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^S + S\frac{(n-1)^{S-1}}{n^S}, \text{ where } S = \sum X_i.$$

3. MGB VII.36 Show that

$$E_{\theta} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X|\theta) \right)^{2} \right] = -E_{\theta} \left[ \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} \log f(X|\theta) \right],$$

assuming that  $f(X|\theta)$  has sufficiently bounded derivatives to allow the interchange of the operators  $E_{\theta}$  and  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}$ 

### **Solution:**

We begin by evaluating the right hand side,

$$E_{\theta} \left[ \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} \log f(X|\theta) \right] = -E_{\theta} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{1}{f(X|\theta)} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(X|\theta) \right) \right]$$

$$= -E_{\theta} \left[ \frac{-1}{f(X|\theta)^{2}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(X|\theta) \right)^{2} + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} f(X|\theta) \right]$$

$$= E_{\theta} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{f(X|\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(X|\theta) \right)^{2} \right] + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} E_{\theta} \left[ f(X|\theta) \right]^{0}$$

$$= E_{\theta} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X|\theta) \right)^{2} \right]$$

- **4.** MGB VII.43[a, b, c] Let  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$  be a random sample from  $N(0, \theta^2), \theta > 0$ . Define  $X_i = |Z_i|$ , and consider estimation of  $\theta$  and  $\theta^2$  on the basis of the random sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ .
  - (a) Find the UMVUE of  $\theta^2$  if such exists.
  - (b) Find an estimator of  $\theta^2$  that has uniformly smaller mean-squared error than the estimator that you found in part (a)
  - (c) Find the UMVUE of  $\theta$  if such exists.

- 5. MGB VII.52 Let  $\theta$  be the true I.Q. of a certain student. To measure his I.Q., the student takes a test, and it is known that his test scores are normally distributed with mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation 5.
  - (a) The student takes the I.Q. test and gets a score of 130. What is the maximum-likelihood estimate of  $\theta$ ?
  - (b) Suppose that it is known that I.Q.'s of students of a certain age are distributed normally with mean 100 and variance 225; that is,  $\Theta \sim N(100, 225)$ . Let X denote a student's test score [X] is distributed  $N(\theta, 25)$ . Find the posterior distribution of  $\Theta$  given X = x. What is the posterior Bayes estimate of the student's I.Q. if X = 130?