1. A random sample X_1, \ldots, X_n is drawn from a population with pdf

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \theta x) I_{(-1,1)}(x)$$

for $-1 < \theta < 1$. Find a consistent estimator of θ and show that it is consistent.

Solution:

Note that the mean of the population is given by

$$E(X) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\theta x^{2}}{2} dx$$
$$= \frac{x^{2}}{4} + \frac{\theta x^{3}}{6} \Big|_{-1}^{1}$$
$$= \frac{\theta}{3}.$$

The method of moments estimator of θ is $\widetilde{\Theta} = 3\overline{X}$. Note that this estimator is unbiased by linearity of E. To show that this estimator is consistent, note that the mean squared error is given by

$$Var_{\theta}(3\overline{X}) - Bias(\theta)^2 = 9 Var_{\theta}(\overline{X}) = \frac{9\sigma_{\theta}^2}{n},$$

where σ_{θ}^2 is given by the finite integral $\int_{-1}^1 x^2 f(x|\theta)$. Hence,

$$MSE_{\widetilde{\Theta}}(\theta) = \frac{9\sigma_{\theta}^2}{n} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

2. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be a random sample from $N(\theta, 1)$. Consider two estimators of $\tau(\theta) = P_{\theta}(X > 0)$ given by

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I_{(0,\infty)}(X_i)$$
 and $T_2 = \Phi(\widehat{\Theta})$

where $\widehat{\Theta}$ is MLE of θ .

(a) Show that both estimators are weakly consistent

Solution:

Note that T_1 is unbiased for $\tau(\theta) = P_{\theta}(X > 0)$ since

$$E(T_1) = E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{(0,\infty)}(X_i)\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[I_{(0,\infty)}(X)]$$
$$= P_{\theta}(X > 0).$$

Note also

$$\tau(\theta) = E_{\theta}[I_{(0,\infty)}(X)] = P_{\theta}(X > 0)$$

$$= 1 - P_{\theta}(X - \theta \le -\theta)$$

$$= 1 - \Phi(-\theta)$$

$$= \Phi(\theta).$$

So,

$$MSE_{T_{1}}(\theta) = Var_{\theta}(T_{1})$$

$$= E_{\theta} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{(0,\infty)}(X_{i}) \right]^{2} - \left(E_{\theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{(0,\infty)}(X_{i}) \right)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=j} E_{\theta} \left[I_{(0,\infty)}(X_{i})^{2} \right] + \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i \neq j} E_{\theta} \left[I_{(0,\infty)}(X_{i}) I_{(0,\infty)}(X_{j}) \right] - \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{\theta}(X_{i}) \right]^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \Phi(\theta) + \frac{n(n-1)}{n^{2}} [\Phi(\theta)]^{2} - [\Phi(\theta)]^{2} \quad \text{by independence in the second term}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left[\Phi(\theta) - (\Phi(\theta))^{2} \right] \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

So T_1 is strongly consistent, and thus, weakly consistent.

For T_2 , recall that the MLE for a normal distribution is given by $\widehat{\Theta} = \overline{X}$. By the weak law of large numbers we have that $\overline{X} \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} \theta$, and since Φ is continuous $\Phi(\overline{X}) \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} \Phi(\theta)$. Hence $\widehat{\Theta}$ is weakly consistent.

(b) Are both estimators asymptotically efficient? Justify your answers.

Solution:

Let us first calculate the quantity

$$v(\theta) = \frac{(\tau'(\theta))^2}{I(\theta)}$$
$$= \frac{\phi(\theta)}{E_{\theta}(X - \theta)^2}$$
$$= \frac{\phi(\theta)}{Var_{\theta}(X)}$$
$$= \phi(\theta).$$

Now, let us calculate the asymptotic distributions of both T_1 and T_2 . For T_1 , note that $E_{\theta}(I_{(0,1)}(X_i)) = \Phi(\theta)$ and $Var_{\theta}(I_{(0,\infty)}(X)) = \Phi(\theta) - (\Phi(\theta))^2$, so by the CLT

$$\sqrt{n}(T_1 - \Phi(\theta)) \stackrel{\cdot}{\sim} N(0, \Phi(\theta) - (\Phi(\theta))^2).$$

Note that $\Phi(0) - \Phi(0)^2 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\phi(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$, so these distributions do not coincide.

For $T_2 = \overline{X}$, note that

$$\sqrt{n}(\overline{X} - \theta) \stackrel{\cdot}{\sim} N(0, 1),$$

so by the Δ -method

$$\sqrt{n}(\Phi(\overline{X}) - \Phi(\theta)) \stackrel{\cdot}{\sim} N(0, \phi(\theta)).$$

Hence $\widehat{\Theta}$ is asymptotically efficient.

3. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be a random sample from the density

$$f(x|\theta) = \theta(1+x)^{-(1+\theta)} I_{(0,\infty)}(x)$$
 for $\theta > 0$.

The MLE for θ is

$$\widehat{\Theta} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 + X_i)}.$$

Show that this estimator is consistent and asymptotically efficient.

Solution:

Let
$$Y_i = \log(1 + X_i)$$
. Then $Y_i \sim \frac{dx}{dy} f(x(y)|\theta)$ where
$$\frac{dx}{dy} f(x(y)|\theta) = \frac{d}{dy} [e^y - 1] \theta e^{-y(1+\theta)} I_{(0,\infty)}(e^y - 1) = \theta e^{-\theta} I_{(0,\infty)}(y).$$

This is an exponential density so $\widehat{\Theta} = 1/\overline{Y}$. Hence

$$E_{\theta}(\widehat{\Theta}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y} \cdot \frac{(n\theta)^{n}}{\Gamma(n)} y^{n-1} e^{-n\theta y} dy$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(n-1)(n\theta)^{n}}{\Gamma(n)(n\theta)^{n-1}} \cdot 1$$
$$= \frac{n\theta}{n-1},$$

and similarly

$$Var_{\theta}(\widehat{\Theta}) = \frac{\Gamma(n-2)(n\theta)^n}{\Gamma(n)(n\theta)^{n-2}} - \left(\frac{n\theta}{n-1}\right)^2$$
$$= \frac{(n\theta)^2}{(n-1)(n-2)} - \left(\frac{n\theta}{n-1}\right)^2$$
$$= \frac{(n\theta)^2}{(n-1)^2(n-2)}.$$

So the MSE is given by

$$MSE_{\widehat{\Theta}}(\theta) = Var_{\theta}(\widehat{\Theta}) - Bias_{\theta}(\widehat{\Theta})^{2}$$
$$= \frac{(n\theta)^{2}}{(n-1)^{2}(n-2)} - \theta^{2} \left(\frac{n}{n-1} - 1\right)^{2}.$$

Note that each term goes to 0 as $n \to \infty$, hence the statistic is strongly consistent.

For asymptotic efficiency, recall for the exponential distribution $E_{\theta}(Y_i) = \frac{1}{\theta}$ and $Var_{\theta}(Y_i) = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$. We invoke the Δ -method with $g(\overline{Y}) = 1/\overline{Y}$ and $\frac{1}{\theta}g'(1/\theta) = -\theta$ to obtain

$$\sqrt{n} \left(1/\overline{Y} - \theta \right) \stackrel{\cdot}{\sim} N(0, \theta^2).$$

The Fisher-information is

$$I(\theta) = -E_{\theta} \left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} f(X|\theta) \right]$$
$$= -E_{\theta} \left[\frac{-1}{\theta^{2}} \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{\theta^{2}}.$$

So, the asymptotic variance implied by the Cramér-Rao is

$$v(\theta) = \frac{(\tau'(\theta))^2}{I(\theta)} = \theta$$

This matches the asymptotic variance of $\widehat{\Theta}$, hence the statistic is asymptotically efficient.

4. Let Z_1, \ldots, Z_n be a random sample from $N(0, \theta^2), \theta >$). Define $X_i = |Z_i|$. Consider two estimators of θ^2 given by

$$T_1 = \frac{\sum X_i^2}{n}$$
 and $T_2 = \frac{\sum X_i^2}{n+2}$.

(a) Show that both estimators are consistent.

Solution:

Recall from homework 5 that the family of estimators

$$T_c = c \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$$

has

$$E_{\theta}(T_c) = cn\theta^2$$
 and $Var_{\theta}(T_c) = 2nc^2\theta^4$

by recognizing that $\sum \frac{X_i}{\theta} \sim \chi_n^2$. Hence

$$MSE_{T_1}(\theta) = 2n \left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \theta^4 - \left(\theta^2 - \theta^2\right)^2$$
$$= \frac{2\theta^4}{n} \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

and

$$MSE_{T_2}(\theta) = 2n \left(\frac{1}{n+2}\right)^2 \theta^4 - \left(\frac{n\theta^2}{n+2} - \theta^2\right)^2$$

= $\theta^4 \frac{2n}{(n+2)^2} - \theta^4 \left(\frac{n}{n+2} - 1\right)^2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

The second convergence follows from the fact that the quadratic denominator of the first term dominates the linear numerator, and in the second term $n/(n+2) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$.

(b) Find the asymptotic distribution of T_1 .

Solution:

Note that $\frac{X_1^2}{\theta^2} \sim \chi_1^2$, so by CLT

$$\sqrt{n}(\overline{X} - \theta^2) \stackrel{\cdot}{\sim} N(0, 2\theta^4).$$

5. MGB VIII: 1[b]. Let X be a single observation from the density

$$f(x|\theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1} I_{(0,1)}(x),$$

where $\theta > 0$. Show that (Y/2, Y) is a confidence interval for θ . Find its confidence coefficient. Also, find a better confidence interval for θ . Define $Y = -1/\log X$.

Solution:

Note that

$$\frac{Y}{2} < \theta < Y \iff 1 < \frac{Y}{\theta} < 2.$$

 $(T_1,T_2)=(Y/2,Y)$ is a confidence interval provided the following integral is free from θ ,

$$P(\theta < Y < 2\theta) = \int_{\theta}^{2\theta} f_Y(y|\theta) dy$$

$$= \int_{\theta}^{2\theta} \frac{dx}{dy} \cdot f_X(x(y)|\theta) dy$$

$$= \int_{\theta}^{2\theta} \frac{e^{-1/y}}{y^2} \theta e^{(1-\theta)/y}$$

$$= \int_{\theta}^{2\theta} \theta e^{-\theta/y} dy$$

$$= e^{-1/2} - e^{-1}$$

Hence Y/θ is pivotal, and (Y/2, Y) is a $(e^{-1/2} - e^{-1}) \cdot 100\%$ confidence interval. Since Y/θ is pivotal, another confidence interval is given by any q_1, q_2 satisfying

$$\int_{q_1\theta}^{q_2\theta} \theta e^{-\theta/y} dy = e^{-q_1} - e^{-q_2} \stackrel{\dagger}{=} e^{-1/2} - e^{-1}.$$

The expected width of the interval is given by $E(Y)(q_1-q_2)$ which we can minimize subject to \dagger . I.e.

$$q_2 = -\log\left(e^{-q_1} + e^{-1} - e^{-1/2}\right)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dq_1}(q_1 - q_2) = 1 - \frac{dq_2}{dq_1}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{-e^{-q_1}}{e^{-q_1} + e^{-1} - e^{-1/2}}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-1} - e^{-1/2}}{e^{-q_1} + e^{-1} - e^{-1/2}}.$$

This derivative is always negative, hence the width is decreasing with respect to q_1 . Thus, $q_1 = 0$ and $q_2 = -\log(1 + e^{-1} - e^{-1/2})$ which is also the minimum expected width for the pivotal statistic 1/Y. Note $q_2 \approx 0.2726637 < 1/2$.

6. MGB VIII 4. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be a random sample from $f(x|\theta) = I_{(\theta-1/2,\theta+1/2)}(x)$. Let $Y_1 < \cdots < Y_n$ be the corresponding ordered sample. Show that (Y_1, Y_n) is a confidence interval for θ . Find its confidence coefficient.

Solution:

We calculate

$$P(Y_1 < \theta < Y_n) = P(\theta < Y_n) - P(\theta < Y_n \text{ and } \theta \le Y_1) \qquad \text{since } A \cap B = A \setminus (A \cap B^c)$$

$$= P(\theta < Y_n) - (\theta \le Y_1) \qquad \text{since } \theta \le Y_1 \implies \theta \le Y_n$$

$$= P(Y_1 \le \theta) - P(Y_n \le \theta)$$

$$= 1 - \left[1 - F(\theta)\right]^n - \left[F(\theta)\right]^n$$

$$= 1 - \left[1 - (\theta - \theta + 1/2)\right]^n - \left[\theta - \theta + 1/2\right]^n \qquad \text{since } F(x) = \theta - x + 1/2$$

$$= 1 - \left[\frac{1}{2}\right]^{n-1}.$$

Thus (Y_1, Y_n) is a confidence interval with confidence $1 - (1/2)^{n-1}$. Note that the confidence approaches 1 as $n \to \infty$.