



## CCAC@625 - Evaluation Summary

**Date:** August 18, 2025 **Overall Score:** 4.6/5.0

**AI Evaluation Disclaimer** *This evaluation was performed by an AI system using gpt-4.1.*

**Executive Summary** The document demonstrates exemplary quality across technical, structural, and domain-specific parameters, with high scores and strong evidence supporting each area. No critical failures or major inconsistencies were detected, and the evaluation process was thorough and well-supported.

**Score Rationale** The score reflects consistently high performance in all evaluation areas, with most parameters rated excellent and supported by strong evidence. Minor improvement areas exist but do not impact overall readiness or integrity.

### KPI's Evaluation Results

KPI	Score	Reason
Technical Accuracy	4.6/5.0	Highly accurate technical mapping and explanations. Minor gaps in DISP and DCB parameter details.
Completeness Coverage	4.7/5.0	Comprehensive coverage of all job steps and datasets. Lacks some in-document record layouts.
Traceability	4.4/5.0	Strong mapping of claims to JCL steps and datasets. Some reliance on external documentation.
Internal Consistency	4.7/5.0	Consistent use of step names, datasets, and terminology. Minor notational clarifications needed.
Coverage Unknowns Gaps	4.3/5.0	Systematic identification of unknowns and gaps. Could better summarize and flag missing details.
Side Effect Identification	4.1/5.0	Good awareness of operational side effects and abend handling. Lacks deep resource contention analysis.
Clarity Readability	4.7/5.0	Outstanding clarity, structure, and glossary support. Could add more inline examples for control cards.
Structural Conformity	4.8/5.0	Excellent sectioning, formatting, and metadata. Minor bullet list standardization improvements possible.
Figure Diagram Quality	4.6/5.0	Diagrams are accurate, clear, and well-integrated. Could enhance visual clarity and add legends.
Control Flow Accuracy	4.9/5.0	Exceptionally accurate control flow representation. Minor omission of explicit COND logic principle.
Data Flow Integrity	4.8/5.0	All datasets and flows are well-documented. Could clarify temporary vs. permanent datasets.
Call Dependency Mapping	4.8/5.0	All program and PROC calls are mapped. Minor gaps in conditional logic and external DD references.
Modularity Layering	4.6/5.0	Strong modularity and layering documentation. Could better highlight PROC reusability benefits.



KPI	Score	Reason
Algorithm Explanation Depth	4.6/5.0	Detailed step logic and business rules. Some program internals not deeply explained due to lack of source.
Figure Referencing	4.7/5.0	Diagrams are well-referenced and formatted. Could add more explicit in-line references and legends.
Error Handling Recovery Coverage	4.7/5.0	Comprehensive error handling and recovery documentation. Could clarify RC checking distinctions.

**Recommended Improvements** • Clarify DISP/DCB details • Add summary tables • Enhance resource analysis • Include inline code examples • Summarize unknowns