

Detailed Model Research for "Beyond QWERTY" Project.

Below is a detailed **model research breakdown**.

These models use cloud servers to process speech, ensuring **high accuracy** and **real-time capabilities** but requiring an **internet connection**.

❖ Azure OpenAI Whisper (Azure Speech-to-Text)

- **Accuracy:** High (5star)
 - **Pros:**
 - Native integration with **Azure ecosystem**.
 - Supports over **100 languages** for transcription and translation.
 - **Real-time** and **batch processing** support.
 - **Custom speech models** for domain-specific terms.
 - **Cons:**
 - Requires **internet**.
 - **Paid service** (cost depends on usage).
 - **Use Case:** Best for online deployments with Azure-based infrastructure.
-

❖ Google Cloud Speech-to-Text

- **Accuracy:** High (4 star)
 - **Pros:**
 - Supports **125+ languages** with auto-detection.
 - Can adapt models for **domain-specific** terminology.
 - Works well for **noisy environments**.
 - **Cons:**
 - **Requires internet**.
 - **Pricing** can be high for large-scale use.
 - **Use Case:** Plan to integrate with **Google Cloud**, this is a great option.
-

These models can process **speech-to-text without an internet connection**.

❖ **OpenAI Whisper (Open-Source)**

- **Accuracy:** High (5 star)
 - **Pros:**
 - Best accuracy for **multilingual speech**.
 - Works **fully offline** when deployed locally.
 - Can be **fine-tuned** for specific accents and domains.
 - **Cons:**
 - Requires **high computational power** (GPU recommended).
 - **Large model size** (not ideal for mobile devices).
 - **Use Case:** **Best for high-end devices** running offline applications.
-

❖ **Vosk (Kaldi-Based)**

- **Accuracy:** Low
 - **Pros:**
 - **Very lightweight** (works on mobile, Raspberry Pi).
 - **Works fully offline**.
 - Supports **20+ languages**.
 - **Cons:**
 - **Lower accuracy** than Whisper.
 - Requires additional **post-processing** for better results.
 - **Use Case:** **Best for low-resource environments** where Whisper is too heavy.
-