M1 Cell and Tissue Biology Evaluation Report

Back to evaluations

Back to evaluations of Kerim Kaylan

Calculating results. The evaluation report will appear below in a few seconds...

The headings of demographic questions will appear as links. Clicking this link will show a breakdown of all responses to the item by the corresponding demographic category.

The number of students choosing each response is shown in parentheses. A dark blue bar indicates the average response for Likert scale items (non-responses are excluded).

This evaluation was either filled out or specifically declined by 28 of registered students (%).

2016/2017 CTB I Fall Final Evaluation (2016/2017 CTB I Fall Final Evaluation - TA) - - Kerim Kaylan (16-17)

1: Rate the instructor's overall teaching effectiveness.

(n=0)	(n=0)	(n=0)	(n=4)	(n=14)
Low				High
μ = 4.8 σ = 0.41 n = 18				

2: Rate the overall quality of this course.

(n=0)	(n=0)	(n=0)	(n=6)	(n=12)
Low				High
$\mu = 4.7$				

3: The TA addressed content related to the learning objectives for the course.

	J	,			
(n=0)	(n=0)	(n=2)	(n=4)		(n=12)
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	
μ = 4.6 σ = 0.68 n = 18					

4: The TA promoted the development of clinical and/or scientific reasoning skills.

(n=0)	(n=0)	(n=2)	(n=5)	(n=11)
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
μ = 4.5 σ = 0.68 n = 18				

5: The TA taught the students in an interactive way.

(n=	n=1)	(n=0)	(n=6)	(n=10)
Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
$\mu = 4.5$ $\sigma = 0.77$				

- 6: Please provide any positive comment or constructive criticisms that could benefit the TA in future teaching.
- 1: He was very knowledgeable in lab and did a great job of leading us to answers instead of just telling us

- 2: Kerim is a great TA.
- 3: very helpful and kind all the time!
- 4: I think Karim knows a lot about this topic, but doesn't appear very confident when interacting with students. Believe in yourself! You're great! :-)
- 5. NA
- 6: Kerim was an effective instructor during laboratory sessions and made sure that presenters were well prepared for case studies.
- 7: Allow for more discussions or time to talk about topics relevant to the course
- 8: He was a good TA and made things pretty relaxed for us.
- 9: Really helpful in lab and made sure we understood why structures would be in the specific area.
- 10: Great TA. Very thorough in his teaching, and he takes his job seriously.
- 11: Kerim is good at answering questions clearly and directly.
- 12: Great TA!
- 13: I believe more review sessions and keys to practice problem would be helpful.
- 14: I have never interacted with this instructor
- 15: Kerim was familiar with the material. The only criticism is when a student asks about the location of a particular structure, do not tell the student to find the generalized structure instead. If the objective asks the student to find a lymphatic valve, assist the student in finding a lymphatic valve. Do not state that it is not important and identifying a lymph vessel is sufficient. All-in-all, the TA is knowledgeable but could improve how he communicates and directs students in correctly identifying structures.
- 16: He helps students very well and makes the effort to understand and identify the various histology slides. He does a great job at explaining terms.
- 17: Kerim was really kind and understanding. I think he really cared about our learning without being too rigid about course structure.

Thank you for taking the time to fill out the form. The Office of Academic Affairs